

# MATH H113: Honors Introduction to Abstract Algebra

2016-01-22

- Well-defined functions
- Properties of  $\mathbb{Z}$

Homework due Fri. 2016-01-29:

- 0.1: 5, 7
- 0.2: 1d
- 0.3: 2, 12, 13, 14

## Error in Proposition 1 (0.1)

$f : A \rightarrow B$  is injective  $\iff$  has a left inverse. If  $A = \emptyset, B = 1$  then  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is 1-1 but there's no left inverse  $g : B \rightarrow A$  because there's no function  $g : B \rightarrow A$ . Attempted proof that  $f$  is 1-1  $\implies$  there's a left inverse: Define  $g : B \rightarrow A$  by  $g(b) = a$  if  $b \in f(A)$  and  $a \in A$  satisfies  $f(a) = b$  (there's only one such  $a$  because  $f$  is 1-1  $\therefore g$  is well defined) If  $b \notin f(A)$  then let  $g(b) =$  any element of  $A$  (assumes that  $A \neq \emptyset$  (where things went wrong)).

Note: Part 3 is still true. The above proof works if  $f$  is bijective (includes  $f : \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$ ), because the 2nd part never comes up. (3.  $f$  is bijective  $\iff f$  has a two-sided inverse  $g$ .)

## Well-Defined

Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = |x|$  if  $x \geq 0$ ,  $f(x) = -x$  if  $x \leq 0$  is well-defined (sets not disjoint, but this is OK because  $|x| = -x$  where they overlap. On the other hand,  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $g(x) = |x|$  if  $x \geq 0$ ,  $g(x) = 2x$  if  $x \leq 0$  is not well-defined since  $g(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$  if you use the first part, but  $g(\frac{1}{2}) = 1$  if you use the second part.

More typical example:  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = \sin(\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is such that  $\cos(\theta) = x$  is not well-defined:  $f(\frac{1}{2})$  if  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$  then  $f(x)$  would be  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$  if  $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$  then  $f(x)$  would be  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$  (this is not really  $f(x) = \sin(\cos^{-1}(x))$ )

Is well-defined:  $f(x) = |\sin(\theta)|$ , if  $\theta$  is such that  $\cos(\theta) = x$ .

## Properties of the Integers

### Well-Ordering Property of $\mathbb{N}$

Any *non-empty* subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a smallest element (*smallest element* means an element  $m \in A$  such that  $m \leq a$  for all  $a \in A$ ).

**Definition:** let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that  $a \mid b$  ( $a$  divides  $b$ ) iff there is an integer  $q$  such that  $aq = b$  (I do not require  $a \neq 0$ ).

If  $a$  does not divide  $b$ , we write  $a \nmid b$ .

**Examples:**  $-7 \mid 21$ ,  $0 \mid 0$  (any  $q$  will work),  $3 \mid 0$ ,  $0 \nmid 3$ ,  $2 \nmid 7$

**Theorem** (Division Algorithm): For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$  there exist unique integers  $q$  and  $r$  such that  $a = qb + r$  and  $0 \leq r < |b|$ .

**Proof:** - Case 1:  $b > 0$  - Uniqueness: Suppose  $q, r, q', r' \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy  $a = qb + r = q'b + r'$ ,  $0 \leq r < b$ ,  $0 \leq r' < b$

Then  $qb - q'b = r' - r$

$q - q' = \frac{r' - r}{b}$ ,  $r' - r < b$ , similarly  $r' - r > -b$

So  $1 < \frac{r' - r}{b} < 1$   $-1 < q - q' < 1$  (where  $q - q' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) so  $q - q' = 0$ ,  $r' - r = 0$ . -

Existence: Let  $A = \{a - qb : q \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap \mathbb{N}$

Then  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Want to check that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . If  $a \geq 0$  then  $a \in A$  (take  $q = 0$ , note that  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ )

If  $a < 0$  then take  $q = a$ . Then  $a - ab \in A$  because you can take  $q = a$  and  $a - ab = (-a)(b - 1) \geq 0$  it's in  $\mathbb{N}$ . By the well-ordering property of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set  $A$  has a smallest element  $r$ . Since  $r \in A$ ,

i.  $r = a - qb$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $a = qb + r$  ii.  $r \geq 0$  because  $r \in A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  iii.  $r < b$ , because if  $r \geq b$  then  $r - b \geq 0$  and  $r = a - (q + 1)b$ , so  $r - b \in A$  so  $r$  is not the smallest element of  $A$  ( $r - b < r$ ). - Case 2:  $b < 0$  By case I,  $a = q(-b) + r$  for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \leq r < -b = |b|$ . Then  $a = (-q)b + r$ . QED

**Definition:** If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \mid b$  then we say that  $a$  is a *divisor* of  $b$ , and the  $b$  is a *multiple* of  $a$ .

**Definition:** If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  then a *common divisor* of  $a$  and  $b$  is an integer  $d$  such that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ .

**Definition:** If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero. The *greatest common divisor* of  $a$  and  $b$  is a positive integer  $d$  such that

- i.  $d$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$
- ii.  $d' \mid d$  whenever  $d'$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$

We write  $d = \gcd(a, b)$

(Note:  $\gcd(0, 0)$  is not defined.)

Also:  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, a)$

**Theorem:** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero, there is a unique  $\gcd$  of  $a$  and  $b$

**Proof:**

- *Uniqueness*: Suppose  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  both satisfy the definition for  $\gcd(a, b)$ . Then  $d_1 \mid d_2$  because  $d_1$  is a common divisor and  $d_2$  satisfies (ii).  $d_1 \leq d_2$  because  $d_2 > 0$ . If  $d_1 > d_2$  and  $d_1 r = d_2$  then  $r = \frac{d_1}{d_2} < 1$  so  $r \notin \mathbb{Z}$  or  $r \leq 0 \implies d \leq 0$  not true. Similarly  $d_2 \mid d_1$  so  $d_2 \leq d_1 \therefore d_1 = d_2$ .
- *Existence*: Euclidean algorithm (next time).