

# Roadmap for the Next Two Weeks

## 12. Modern Economic Growth (Mar 5):

- **Read:** J. Bradford DeLong: *Slouching Towards Utopia?: An Economic History of the Long Twentieth Century* DRAFT, selections <<https://delong.typepad.com/files/slouching-towards-utopia-fall-2019.zip>>
- **Slides:** <<https://github.com;braddelong/public-files/blob/master/econ-135-lecture-12.pptx>>

## 13. U.S. Economic Ascendancy (Mar 10):

- **Read:** Claudia Goldin and Larry Katz: *The Race Between Education and Technology*, chapter 1 <<https://delong.typepad.com/files/goldin-katz-race-i.pdf>>
- **Slides:** <<https://github.com;braddelong/public-files/blob/master/econ-135-lecture-13.pptx>>
- **Finish:** Assignment 6: slow technological and organizational progress before 1500 <<https://bcourses.berkeley.edu/courses/1487685/assignments/8069059>>; due March 11
- **Start:** Assignment 7: post-1500 growth accelerations paper; due March 18

## 14. Globalization Advances and Retreats (Mar 12):

- **Read Before:** Ronald Findlay and Kevin O'Rourke (2007): *Power and Plenty: Trade, War, and the World Economy in the Second Millennium*, selections <<https://delong.typepad.com/files/findlay-orourke-selections.pdf>>
- **Slides:** <<https://github.com;braddelong/public-files/blob/master/econ-135-lecture-14.pptx>>

## 15. Convergence and Its Absence (Mar 17):

- **Read Before:** J. Bradford DeLong (1986): Productivity Growth, Convergence, and Welfare: Comment <[https://delong.typepad.com/files/delong\\_baumol.pdf](https://delong.typepad.com/files/delong_baumol.pdf)>
- **Read Before:** Dev Patel, Justin Sandefur, and Arvind Subramanian (2019): Everything You Know about Cross-Country Convergence Is (Now) Wrong <<https://www.piie.com/blogs/realtime-economic-issues-watch/everything-you-know-about-cross-country-convergence-now-wrong>>
- **Slides:** <<https://github.com;braddelong/public-files/blob/master/econ-135-lecture-15.pptx>>
- **Finish:** Assignment 7: post-1500 growth accelerations paper; due March 18
- **Start:** Assignment 8: due Mar 25

# Lecture 12:

## 3.4. Modern Economic Growth

**Brad DeLong**

Department of Economics and Blum Center, U.C. Berkeley; and WCEG

last revised: 2020-03-02

for presentation: 2020-03-05

Original course by Melissa Dell (Harvard Econ 1342), revised by Brad DeLong

<<https://github.com;braddelong/public-files/blob/master/econ-135-lecture-12.pptx>>

# **Big Ideas: Lecture 11: Northwest Europe**

**Takeaways from last lecture:**

-

# Permanent Agrarian Age World

## What if there had been no Commercial Revolution?

- What would we have to eliminate from our world?
  - The New World & the Columbian Exchange
  - Merchant republics & constitutional monarchies
  - Printing as transformative for intellectual life?
- Is this plausible?
- Rate of ideas growth settles at 0.035%/yr = 0.7%/generation
  - Doubling time of 2000 years
- World today of 1/10 population, \$2.50/day
  - Population growing at glacial pace

## Permanent Agrarian Scenarios

Date	Human Population (millions)	Income per Capita (per year)	World Product (billions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-48000	1	\$1,200	\$1			
-8000	3	\$1,200	\$4	0.003%	0.000%	0.0014%
-3000	15	\$900	\$14	0.032%	-0.006%	0.0103%
-1000	50	\$900	\$45	0.060%	0.000%	0.0301%
0	170	\$900	\$153	0.122%	0.000%	0.0612%
800	300	\$900	\$270	0.071%	0.000%	0.0355%
1500	500	\$900	\$450	0.073%	0.000%	0.0365%
1770	609	\$900	\$548	0.073%	0.000%	0.0364%
1870	655	\$900	\$589	0.073%	0.000%	0.0364%
2020	730	\$900	\$657	0.073%	0.000%	0.0364%
2100	774	\$900	\$696	0.073%	0.000%	0.0364%
2200	832	\$900	\$749	0.073%	0.000%	0.0364%

# Gunpowder Empire World

## What if things had stuck Gunpowder Empire Scenarios at the Commercial Revolution?

- What would we have to eliminate from our world?
  - Coal or the British Empire
  - Science, tinkering, and nature manipulation?
- Is this plausible?
- Global rate of ideas growth of  $0.15\%/\text{yr} = 4\%/\text{generation}$ , broadly shared
  - Doubling time of 500 years
- World today of 1/5 our population, \$3/day

Date	Human Population (millions)	Income per Capita (per year)	World Product (billions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-48000	1	\$1,200	\$1			
-8000	3	\$1,200	\$4	0.003%	0.000%	0.0014%
-3000	15	\$900	\$14	0.032%	-0.006%	0.0103%
-1000	50	\$900	\$45	0.060%	0.000%	0.0301%
0	170	\$900	\$153	0.122%	0.000%	0.0612%
800	300	\$900	\$270	0.071%	0.000%	0.0355%
1500	500	\$900	\$450	0.073%	0.000%	0.0365%
1770	750	\$1,100	\$825	0.150%	0.074%	0.1494%
1870	895	\$1,169	\$1,047	0.177%	0.061%	0.1494%
2020	1402	\$1,169	\$1,639	0.299%	0.000%	0.1494%
2100	1780	\$1,169	\$2,081	0.299%	0.000%	0.1494%
2200	2400	\$1,169	\$2,806	0.299%	0.000%	0.1494%

# Steampunk World

## What if there had been no Industrial Revolution?

- What would we have to eliminate from our world?
  - Post-1870 speedup of STEM labor force growth
  - Industrial research lab to rationalize & routinize & modern corporation to deploy ideas
  - Globalization?
- Is this plausible?
  - Stepping-on-toes & low-hanging-fruit
  - Arguments that it was inevitable lead to expectations of further growth accelerations—which we have not had
- World settles at ideas growth of 0.44%/yr—12%/generation
  - doubling time of 150 years
- World today of 2.7 billion, \$5/day
- World reaches today's population in 2200

## Steampunk Scenarios

Date	Human Population (millions)	Income per Capita (per year)	World Product (billions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-48000	1	\$1,200	\$1			
-8000	3	\$1,200	\$4	0.003%	0.000%	0.0014%
-3000	15	\$900	\$14	0.032%	-0.006%	0.0103%
-1000	50	\$900	\$45	0.060%	0.000%	0.0301%
0	170	\$900	\$153	0.122%	0.000%	0.0612%
800	300	\$900	\$270	0.071%	0.000%	0.0355%
1500	500	\$900	\$450	0.073%	0.000%	0.0365%
1770	750	\$1,100	\$825	0.150%	0.074%	0.1494%
1870	1300	\$1,300	\$1,690	0.550%	0.167%	0.4421%
2020	2878	\$1,696	\$4,880	0.530%	0.177%	0.4421%
2100	5838	\$1,696	\$9,900	0.884%	0.000%	0.4421%
2200	7871	\$1,696	\$13,348	0.884%	0.000%	0.4421%

# Those Are Not the Worlds We Live in

## Modern Economic Growth:

- We did have:
  - Industrial research lab: routinization & rationalization of invention & innovation
  - Modern corporation: routinization & rationalization of the deployment of ideas
  - Globalization
    - Transport
    - Communications
    - Migration
      - American ascendancy: “the furnace where the future is being forged”
- Ideas growth of 2.1%/yr
  - Doubling time of 35 years
  - More change in one year than in 50
- Enormous growth in global inequality

## Longest-Run Global Economic Growth (2019)

Date	ideas Level H	Total Real World Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total Human Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-1000	16.8	\$45	\$900	50	0.060%	0.000%	0.030%
0	30.9	\$153	\$900	170	0.122%	0.000%	0.061%
800	41.1	\$270	\$900	300	0.071%	0.000%	0.035%
1500	53.0	\$450	\$900	500	0.073%	0.000%	0.036%
1770	79.4	\$825	\$1,100	750	0.150%	0.074%	0.149%
1870	123.5	\$1,690	\$1,300	1300	0.550%	0.167%	0.442%
2020	2720.5	\$90,000	\$11,842	7600	1.177%	1.473%	2.061%

## Global Growth: The Advanced West (2019)

Date	ideas Level H	Total Real Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total “West” Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Increasing Resources p	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-1000	15.0	\$1.80	\$900	2	0.069%	0.000%	0.000%	0.035%
0	23.7	\$4.50	\$900	5	0.092%	0.000%	0.000%	0.046%
800	30.0	\$7.20	\$900	8	0.059%	0.000%	0.000%	0.029%
1500	58.9	\$25.00	\$1,000	25	0.163%	0.015%	0.000%	0.096%
1770	101.0	\$105.00	\$1,400	75	0.407%	0.125%	0.257%	0.200%
1870	252.0	\$490.00	\$2,800	175	0.847%	0.693%	0.405%	0.914%
2020	8439.5	\$40,000.00	\$50,000	800	1.013%	1.922%	0.175%	2.341%

# The World We Have: All That Is Solid Melts into Air

## Since 1870: The Long 20th Century:

- In all likelihood to be seen in the future as *the watershed* in human experience:
  - History was economic...
  - Explosion of wealth...
  - Cornucopia of technology...
  - Demographic transition...
  - Feminist revolution...
  - Empowered tyrannies...
  - Wealth gulfs...
  - Inclusion and hierarchy attenuation...
  - Mismanagement and insecurity...
- Humanity is unlikely to see as transformative—for good and ill, but mostly for good—century again...

# Shadows...


**How many people today are still living on less than \$2.50 a day?**

- 70 million
- 230 million
- 700 million
- 2.3 billion

# Allen: Spread of Industrialization

**Robert Allen (2017): *The Industrial Revolution: A Very Short Introduction***  
<https://delong.typepad.com/files/allen-industrial.pdf>, chs. 3, 5-6:

- Western Europe: 12% in the 18th century to 28% in 1913
- North America: Less than 1% in the 18th century to 47% in 1953
- The Pacific Rim share dropped from 4 per cent to 2 per cent in the early 19th century, but then increased to 5 percent in the first half of the 20th century. By 2006, these countries were producing 17 per cent of the world's manufactures
- China in 1953 at 2% of manufacturing was at its all time low. 9 per cent in 2006. 25 per cent in 2013
- The Indian subcontinent: 2% of the world's manufactures in 1973 and only 3% in 2013



16. Percentage shares of world manufacturing output, 1750–2013.


# Shadows...

**What fraction of people today live in countries where average income *per capita* is greater than \$40,000 per year?**

- 50%
- 12%
- 5%
- 1.2%

# Shadows...

**What fraction of people today live in countries where average income *per capita* is greater than \$40,000 per year?**




# Edward Bellamy: *Looking Backward*

Edward Bellamy: *Looking Backward* <<https://delong.typepad.com/files/bellamy-backward.pdf>>

: Perhaps the third best-selling novel of the 19th century in the United States

- 2000 is a utopia...
- The narrator is carried forward in time from 1887-2000 by an implausible plot device:
  - ““This is the tenth day of September in the year 2000, and you have slept exactly one hundred and thirteen years, three months, and eleven days...””
- He then wanders around, looking at the utopia of 2000...
- The opening:
  - ““How could I live without service to the world?”” you ask.... The answer is that my great-grandfather had accumulated a sum of money on which his descendants had ever since.... The sum had been originally by no means large. It was, in fact, much larger now that three generations had been supported upon it in idleness, than it was at first...’



# The Stagecoach of Society

## **Those who ride and this who pull:**

- ‘Commiseration was frequently expressed by those who rode for those who had to pull the coach, especially when the vehicle came to a bad place in the road, as it was constantly doing, or to a particularly steep hill. At such times, the desperate straining of the team, their agonized leaping and plunging under the pitiless lashing of hunger, the many who fainted at the rope and were trampled in the mire, made a very distressing spectacle, which often called forth highly creditable displays of feeling on the top of the coach.
- ‘At such times the passengers would call down encouragingly to the toilers of the rope, exhorting them to patience, and holding out hopes of possible compensation in another world for the hardness of their lot, while others contributed to buy salves and liniments for the crippled and injured. It was agreed that it was a great pity that the coach should be so hard to pull, and there was a sense of general relief when the specially bad piece of road was gotten over. This relief was not, indeed, wholly on account of the team, for there was always some danger at these bad places of a general overturn in which all would lose their seats.
- ‘It must in truth be admitted that the main effect of the spectacle of the misery of the toilers at the rope was to enhance the passengers’ sense of the value of their seats upon the coach, and to cause them to hold on to them more desperately than before...’

# The Stagecoach of Society II

## “Finer clay”:

- ‘The other fact is yet more curious, consisting in a singular hallucination which those on the top of the coach generally shared, that they were not exactly like their brothers and sisters who pulled at the rope, but of finer clay, in some way belonging to a higher order of beings who might justly expect to be drawn. This seems unaccountable, but, as I once rode on this very coach and shared that very hallucination, I ought to be believed.
- ‘The strangest thing about the hallucination was that those who had but just climbed up from the ground, before they had outgrown the marks of the rope upon their hands, began to fall under its influence. As for those whose parents and grand-parents before them had been so fortunate as to keep their seats on the top, the conviction they cherished of the essential difference between their sort of humanity and the common article was absolute. The effect of such a delusion in moderating fellow feeling for the sufferings of the mass of men into a distant and philosophical compassion is obvious.
- ‘To it I refer as the only extenuation I can offer for the indifference which, at the period I write of, marked my own attitude toward the misery of my brothers...’

# The Stagecoach of Society III

## Class war:

- ‘The sanguine argued very forcibly that it was in the very nature of things impossible that the new hopes of the workingmen could be satisfied, simply because the world had not the wherewithal to satisfy them. It was only because the masses worked very hard and lived on short commons that the race did not starve outright, and no considerable improvement in their condition was possible while the world, as a whole, remained so poor.
- ‘It was not the capitalists whom the laboring men were contending with, these maintained, but the iron-bound environment of humanity, and it was merely a question of the thickness of their skulls when they would discover the fact and make up their minds to endure what they could not cure.
- The less sanguine admitted all this. Of course the workingmen’s aspirations were impossible of fulfillment for natural reasons, but there were grounds to fear that they would not discover this fact until they had made a sad mess of society. They had the votes and the power to do so if they pleased, and their leaders meant they should. Some of these desponding observers went so far as to predict an impending social cataclysm. Humanity, they argued, having climbed to the top round of the ladder of civilization, was about to take a header into chaos...’

# The Limit of Human Felicity

**Technological marvels of 2000: great cities, Amazon drop-shipments, music**

- Julian West expects Edith Leete to play the piano, but:
- “Nothing would delight me so much as to listen to you,” I said.
- ““To me!” she exclaimed, laughing. “Did you think I was going to play or sing to you?”
- “I hoped so, certainly,” I replied.
- ‘Seeing that I was a little abashed, she subdued her merriment and explained. “Of course, we all sing nowadays as a matter of course in the training of the voice, and some learn to play instruments for their private amusement; but the professional music is so much grander and more perfect than any performance of ours, and so easily commanded when we wish to hear it, that we don’t think of calling our singing or playing music at all. All the really fine singers and players are in the musical service, and the rest of us hold our peace for the main part. But would you really like to hear some music?”...’

# The Limit of Human Felicity II

## In the music room:

- ‘She made me sit down comfortably, and, crossing the room, so far as I could see, merely touched one or two screws, and at once the room was filled with the music of a grand organ anthem; filled, not flooded, for, by some means, the volume of melody had been perfectly graduated to the size of the apartment. I listened, scarcely breathing, to the close. Such music, so perfectly rendered, I had never expected to hear.
- ““Grand!” I cried, as the last great wave of sound broke and ebbed away into silence. “Bach must be at the keys of that organ; but where is the organ?”...
- ““There are a number of music rooms in the city, perfectly adapted acoustically to the different sorts of music. These halls are connected by telephone with all the houses of the city.... Any one of the four pieces now going on that you prefer, you can hear by merely pressing the button which will connect your house-wire with the hall where it is being rendered...””

# The Limit of Human Felicity III

**Four live orchestras you can listen to on the speakerphone!**

- ““It appears to me, Miss Leete,” I said, “that if we could have devised an arrangement for providing everybody with music in their homes, perfect in quality, unlimited in quantity, suited to every mood, and beginning and ceasing at will, we should have considered the limit of human felicity already attained, and ceased to strive for further improvements...””

# Feminism

## The elimination of housework—and of the servant class:

- ““Who does your house-work, then?” I asked.
- ““There is none to do,” said Mrs. Leete.... “Our washing is all done at public laundries at excessively cheap rates, and our cooking at public kitchens. The making and repairing of all we wear are done outside in public shops. Electricity,\* of course, takes the place of all fires and lighting. We choose houses no larger than we need, and furnish them so as to involve the minimum of trouble to keep them in order. We have no use for domestic servants....
- ““What a paradise for womankind the world must be now!” I exclaimed. “In my day, even wealth and unlimited servants did not enfranchise their possessors from household cares, while the women of the merely well-to-do and poorer classes lived and died martyrs to them...””

# The View from 3000: Themes & Big Ideas

**Science reaches critical mass and from it springs engineering—all of the engineering subdisciplines, including the management of human resources and of organizations. From a liberal political order spring national and then the global market economy. And from engineering and the market then, since 1870, spring...**

- Post-1870 history has been economic...
- Explosion of wealth...
- Cornucopia of technology...
- Demographic transition...
- Feminist revolution...
- Empowered tyrannies...
- Wealth gulfs...
- Inclusion and hierarchy attenuation...
- Mismanagement and insecurity...

# Modern Economic Growth

- Modern Economic Growth <<https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0cAkr6Xg2irxSX4uEtqSShI-Q>>
- Modern Economic Growth: Eagle's-Eye View <<https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0uV-761YfOFH171v7LfWSaraA>>
- Future Duration of Modern Economic Growth <<https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0-YJu0G3OfHNBAgdO7ACJ-rw>>
- Readings: Modern Economic Growth <<https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0-eFajZd43DYDqAqDnBfRqeLA>>
  - Article: Nordhaus: History of Lighting <<https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0dc5gmnPPBwdqXx-Ql3i-hEaQ>>
  - Article: Donaldson: Railways of the Raj <[https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0qBZ2l5FNs-WmpuCh\\_lZHOurw](https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0qBZ2l5FNs-WmpuCh_lZHOurw)>
  - Article: Thompson: Liberty Shipbuilders <[https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0bwURhbpD\\_r3yp9L2Y4CNLW2A](https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0bwURhbpD_r3yp9L2Y4CNLW2A)>
  - Article: Crafts: The Solow Productivity Paradox in Historical Perspective [https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0tR\\_udvdJau\\_fkmiltCzxmCQ](https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0tR_udvdJau_fkmiltCzxmCQ)
  - Article: Nathan Nunn (2008): The Long-Term Effects of Africa's Slave Trades <https://www.icloud.com/keynote/06pkCtAWbjBWAijow41dM1XAQ>
- African Retardation <<https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0O8TxLOzM1gvGwSZYkWBV97rw>>
  - Article: Nathan Nunn (2008): The Long-Term Effects of Africa's Slave Trades <https://www.icloud.com/keynote/06pkCtAWbjBWAijow41dM1XAQ> <https://www.icloud.com/keynote/06pkCtAWbjBWAijow41dM1XAQ>
- Modern Economic Growth Memo Question <<https://www.icloud.com/keynote/0EIT1DF6p0hpO991Wx0CTDJAQ>>

# The Eagle's-Eye View

- Three accelerations:
  - 6.5-fold with the Commercial Revolution
  - 3.5-fold with the Industrial Revolution
  - 4.5-fold with the coming of Modern Economic Growth
- Back when I got into this business in the 1980s Paul Romer was making what seemed to us to be powerful arguments that there was about to be a fourth acceleration
  - Did not happen (yet)
  - Everything logisticizes...

# MEG: Origin, Pace, Spread, Duration(?)

- Origin:
  - We have market economies in Eurasia, at least, from 500 BC.
  - We have governments smart enough—or constrained enough—not to kill the goose that lays the golden eggs, at least not quickly.
  - Yet post-1770 and much more so post-1870 we have something truly wild—but also oddly concentrated
- Pace:
  - How fast has it been, really?
  - Do quantitative indices of output per capita calculated over extended periods of time have any meaning?
  - How many “singularities” or near-“singularities”?

# MEG: The Question of Spread...

- Karl Marx (1853): “The Future Results of British Rule in India” <https://marxists.catbull.com/archive/marx/works/1853/07/22.htm> :
  - “The millocracy... intend now drawing a net of railroads over India....
  - “You cannot maintain a net of railways over an immense country without introducing all those industrial processes necessary to meet the immediate and current wants of railway locomotion, and out of which there must grow the application of machinery to those branches of industry not immediately connected with railways. The railway-system will therefore become, in India, truly the forerunner of modern industry....
  - “What they will not fail to do is to lay down the material premises....
  - “Has the bourgeoisie ever done more? Has it ever effected a progress without dragging individuals and people through blood and dirt, through misery and degradation?...”

# Marx Is Trying...

- Karl Marx (1853): “The Future Results of British Rule in India” <https://marxists.catbull.com/archive/marx/works/1853/07/22.htm> :
  - “The Indians will not reap the fruits of the new elements of society scattered among them by the British bourgeoisie, till in Great Britain itself the now ruling classes shall have been supplanted by the industrial proletariat, or till the Hindoos themselves shall have grown strong enough to throw off the English yoke altogether.
  - “At all events, we may safely expect to see, at a more or less remote period, the regeneration of that great and interesting country, whose gentle natives are, to use the expression of Prince Soltykov, even in the most inferior classes, ‘plus fins et plus adroits que les Italiens’, a whose submission even is counterbalanced by a certain calm nobility, who, notwithstanding their natural langor, have astonished the British officers by their bravery, whose country has been the source of our languages, our religions, and who represent the type of the ancient German in the Jat, and the type of the ancient Greek in the Brahmin....
  - “The profound hypocrisy and inherent barbarism of bourgeois civilization lies unveiled before our eyes, turning from its home, where it assumes respectable forms, to the colonies, where it goes naked. They are the defenders of property, but did any revolutionary party ever originate agrarian revolutions like those in Bengal, in Madras, and in Bombay? Did they not, in India, to borrow an expression of. that great robber, Lord Clive himself, resort to atrocious extortion, when simple corruption could not keep pace with their rapacity?...
  - “Bourgeois industry and commerce create these material conditions of a new world in the same way as geological revolutions have created the surface of the earth. When a great social revolution shall have mastered the results of the bourgeois epoch, the market of the world and the modern powers of production, and subjected them to the common control of the most advanced peoples, then only will human progress cease to resemble that hideous, pagan idol, who would not drink the nectar but from the skulls of the slain...”

# MEG: Spread II

- Economic inequality and its “between nations” and “within nations” components
- Spread of MEG:
  - Starts as Greater London, Amsterdam, and Antwerp, plus American north ports, plus British Midlands, Belgian resource-rich, New England
  - Spreads via enclaves, settlement, cultural transmission
  - We wind up with a “First World” of Marshall-Plan recipients and donors, plus Korea, Taiwan (PoC), Hong Kong (SAZ), and Singapore...
    - Qian Yingyi: “neocolonial origins of comparative development”...
- Elsewhere:
  - “Recent settlement”—S. Brazil, Southern Cone, Ukraine frontier, Ghana-Kenya-Zambia-Zimbabwe-South Africa...
  - Sub-Saharan Africa
  - Stalinist Central Planning...
  - “Meh” Row...

# MEG: Is Spread Changing? III

- Richard Baldwin's take: from *The Great Convergence*:
- Separation of production and consumption... first unbundling.... Markets expanded globally but industry clustered.... Northern industrialization fostered Northern innovation, and since ideas were so costly to move, Northern innovations stayed in the North.... The resulting growth differences compounded into the colossal, North-South income asymmetries that define the planet's economic landscape even today.... The Great Divergence was produced by the combination of low trade costs and high communication costs...
- Concentrated shift of manufacturing to six low wage economies, the I6: China, Korea, India, Indonesia, Thailand, and Poland...
- The commodity price boom created by growth in the I6 then pulls other resource rich economies up: Australia, Mexico, Brazil, Nigeria, Venezuela, Turkey...

# MEG: Duration(?) IV


- Duration: Everything logisticizes, eventually: Gordon vs. Varian
  - Gordon: it's all about: matter manipulation, power generation and application, and flush toilets—and that's all over...
  - Varian: we combine: physical stuff, energy applied to matter-manipulation, information, and communication to generate utility—and that's just beginning...

# MEG: What Do We Have to Account for?

- 1.8%-point/year jump in ideas proportional generation rate h...
- $0.018 \times 150 = 2.7$  in the natural log...
- How would we go about looking for what are the components of this jump relative to even the Industrial Revolution-era immediate past?
- How would we go about looking for what are the components of this jump relative to economies that fall behind?


# World Income Distribution: 1800

- Bulk of world's population at or below \$2/day (these numbers are about 2/3 of those in the previous slides)...





# World Income Distribution: 2015

- Less than 750 million people below \$1.33/day—what we set our Malthusian subsistence level at...



# Was There a Sea-Sea-Change Around 1980?

- I say yes—but only for China and, a bit later, for India and Indonesia (Bangladesh?)...
- Plus post-2000 crash of the OECD...



# A Dollar a Day

- What do you get for \$1/day?
- Robert Allen's subsistence baskets
- Is this enough to ovulate?
- What with famines, accidents, plagues, etc., pre-industrial populations living on \$1/day survive but shrink

- Table 2. Bare-bones subsistence basket of goods -

	quantity per man per year	calories per day	protein (grams) per day
<b>food</b>			
grain	167 kg	1657	72
beans	20 kg	187	14
meat	5 kg	34	3
butter	3 kg	60	0
<b>total</b>		<b>1938</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>non-food</b>			
soap	1.3 kg		
linen/cotton	3 metres		
candles	1.3 kg		
lamp oil	1.3 litres		
fuel	2.0 Million British Thermal Units		

# Pre-1800 Income Inequality

- High-Malthusian societies...
- Globalizing societies...
- Gini coefficients: one of the deceptions of Satan...
  - If the bottom 3/4 got 1/4 of the income and the top 1/4 the rest (evenly distributed)—i.e., 80-20 ratio = 9, the Gini would be 0.5 (50%)
  - If the bottom 2/3 got 1/3 of the income and the top 1/3 the rest (evenly distributed)—i.e., 80-20 ratio = 4—the Gini would be 0.33 (33%)
  - This is income: not status or social power: the 4M slaves in the U.S. in 1860 would have objected most strongly to claim that U.S. then no more unequal than Britain...
  - If you were to think like a utilitarian—and assume that each doubling of income is equally valuable in a utilitarian sense—a move from a Gini of 0.5 to 0.33 would be like a 30% boost to everyone's income...
- U.S. Gini today 0.41?





Fig. 2. Pre-industrial Inequalities: Estimated Gini Coefficients, and the Inequality Possibility Frontiers

# Gini Coefficients Today

## Income inequality

Gini coefficient, 0 = complete equality; 1 = complete inequality, 2017 or latest available

Source: OECD Social and Welfare Statistics: Income distribution



# The Rich of the Past...

- 1770: 750M people; mean lifetime income/consumption \$900/yr
  - x 25 —\$360/yr
  - x 15...
- Pareto's Law; 20% get 80% (Pareto distribution with  $\alpha = 1.16$ )
- Full Berkeley economics professors... \$240K/yr
- In 1770:
  - Top 100M got \$1500/yr
  - Top 20M got \$6000/yr
  - Top 4M got \$24K/yr
  - Top 800K got \$120K/yr
  - Top 160K got \$480K/yr
  - Top 32K got \$2M/yr
  - Top 6K got \$8M/yr

# What Determines Rich and Poor Economies?

- In the 19th Century: Standard package:
  - (Manufacturing) tariffs, railroads (and ports), banks, schools...
  - “Culture”
- In the 20th Century: “Big pushes”?
  - Northwest European settlement
  - Marshall Plan (and Asian “neocolonial origins”)
  - Really-existing socialism
  - Africa (but post 1950...)


# Future Duration of Modern Economic Growth

- Gordon vs. Varian
- Gordon: it's all about:
  - matter manipulation,
  - power generation and application, and
  - flush toilets—and
  - that's allover...
- Varian: we combine:
  - physical stuff,
  - energy applied to matter-manipulation,
  - information, and
  - communication
  - to generate utility—and
  - that's just beginning...

# Bob Gordon: Technological Exhaustion Occurred Forty Years Ago


- Robert J. Gordon. 2014. "The Demise of U.S. Economic Growth: Restatement, Rebuttal, and Reflections." NBER Working Paper No. 19895 (February). [www.nber.org/papers/w19895](http://www.nber.org/papers/w19895)
- "There is no need to forecast that innovation in the future will 'falter'.... The slowdown in the rate of productivity growth... occurred more than four decades ago...."
- "[My] forecast assumes that innovations in the next 40 years will be developed at the same pace as the last four decades, but reasons for skepticism are provided for that prediction...."
- "The... 1870 and 1900, with continuing benefits to 1972... "Second Industrial Revolution" (IR #2).... growth rate of American productivity... [of] 2.36 percent per year, compared to 1.59 percent per year since 1972. That permanent decline of

Figure 3. Future Economic Growth,  
2.0 without Headwinds and 0.8 with Headwinds



# Bob Gordon: Power and Matter Manipulation and Flush Toilets


- Modern Economic Growth ain't going to continue
- It's really all about:
  - Nonhuman combustion-based energy sources
  - Use of energy and automatic machinery for matter manipulation
  - Flush toilets
  - Everything else that is important is subject to Baumol's disease
- The Trachtenberg/Nordhaus counter...
- The Varian counter...



# Bob Gordon: 1996-2004 a Flash in the Pan

- Modern Economic Growth ain't going to continue at its 1890-1972 pace
- In what ways is 2004-13 unrepresentative of the future?
- How would we figure out whether 1996-2004 or 1972-1996 is more likely going forward?


Figure 5. Annualized Growth Rates of Output per Hour, 1891-2013



# Bob Gordon: “Headwinds”

- Modern Economic Growth ain't going to continue at its 1890-1972 pace
- Demography yes...
- But education, inequality, “debt fix”?
- Gordon is greatly widening his critique beyond the uniqueness of IR#2

Figure 7. Summary of Subtraction from 2.0 to 0.2, Disposable Real Income per Capita of Bottom 99%



# Bob Gordon: The Eighty Years Before 1890

- In October, 1879, Thomas Edison created the first working electric light bulb...
- Between 1890 and 1930 the American household became fully “networked,” replacing its previous isolation by five types of connections – electricity, gas, telephone, running water, and sewer pipes....
- Two months after Edison’s electric light, Karl Benz achieved the first reliable and workable internal combustion engine...
- An Englishman named David Edward Hughes succeeded in sending a wireless signal several hundred meters in London almost two decades before Marconi won his earliest wireless patents
- At least three aspects of the Second Industrial Revolution have received less attention than they deserve... the multi-dimensional nature... everything happened all at once.... economic progress through 1972 mainly consisted of consolidating the incomplete aspects of IR #2 across many subsidiary and complementary inventions....
- Two criteria help to capture the uniqueness of IR#2. First, something cannot be more than 100 percent.... The second ... some indicators cannot go below zero...

# **Bob Gordon: The Next Forty Years**

- As we peer out into the future, the achievements of the past 40 years set a hurdle that is dauntingly high.
- The achievements that must be matched for importance in the next four decades include:
  - Memory typewriters, the personal computer, word-processing and spreadsheets
  - Bar-code scanning, ATM banking, cable and satellite TV
  - Internet, e-mail, web browsing, e-commerce
  - Google, Amazon, Wikipedia, Linked-In, Facebook
  - Mobile phones, smart phones, ipads
  - CDs, DVDs, i-tunes, Netflix, movie streaming
  - Airline reservation systems, supply-chain monitoring systems, electronic library catalogs
- What is in store for the next 40 years?

# Bill Nordhaus: History of Lighting

- William D. Nordhaus. 1997. “Do Real-Output and Real-Wage Measures Capture Reality? The History of Lighting Suggests Not.” In *The Economics of New Goods*, edited by Timothy F. Bresnahan and Robert J. Gordon. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for NBER, pp. 29–66. <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c6064> | <http://tinyurl.com/dl2017201a>

# Bill Nordhaus: Measured Real Productivity

- William D. Nordhaus. 1997. "Do Real-Output and Real-Wage Measures Capture Reality? The History of Lighting Suggests Not." In *The Economics of New Goods*, edited by Timothy F. Bresnahan and Robert J. Gordon. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for NBER, pp. 29– 66. <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c6064>
- Conventional measures: a 15-fold increase in real first-world GDP/capita and productivity




Fig. 1.1 Real wages and per capita GNP

# Bill Nordhaus: Rocket Ship to the Singularity?

- A 20-fold or a 30,000-fold increase in real wages in the North Atlantic since 1800?
- Nordhaus calculates that—back in 1991—28% of consumption was “run-of-the-mill”, 36% had been “seismically-active” since 1800, and 37% was in sectors that had *no effective affordable equivalent in 1800*
- Dixit-Stiglitz tells us that we multiply “ordinary” utilities by the number of varieties. Which way does that mislead us?

Table 1.8 Consumption by Extent of Qualitative Changes, 1991 (\$ billion)

Sector	Run-of-the-Mill Sectors	Seismically Active Sectors	Tectonically Shifting Sectors
Food			
Home consumption	419.2		
Purchased meals		198.5	
Tobacco		47.8	
Clothing			
Apparel	208.9		
Cleaning and services		21.1	
Watches and jewelry		30.6	
Personal care			
Toilet articles		38.2	
Services	24.0		
Housing			
Dwellings		574.0	
Housing operation			
Furniture and utensils	116.3		
Appliances		25.5	
Cleaning and polishing		52.8	
Household utilities		143.2	
Telephone and telegraph		54.3	
Other	49.6		
Medical care			656.0
Personal business			
Legal and funeral	60.3		
Financial and other		257.5	
Transportation			438.2
Recreation			
Printed	42.9		
Toys		32.3	
Electronics and other goods		84.2	
Other	51.7	51.2	27.4
Private education and research		92.8	
Religious and welfare	107.7		
Total	1,080.6	1,396.8	1,428.8
Percent of total	27.7	35.8	36.6

# Bill Nordhaus: Rocket Ship to the Singularity

## II

- A 5000-fold decrease in the price of light since 1800
- This is something that churned up between 1% and 5% of household budgets back in 1800
- 100-fold CPI bias in the price of light since 1800

Table 1.3

Efficiency of Different Lighting Technologies

Device	Stage of Technology	Approximate Date	Lighting Efficiency	
			(lumens per watt)	(lumen-hours per 1,000 Btu)
Open fire <sup>a</sup>	Wood	From earliest time	0.00235	0.69
Neolithic lamp <sup>b</sup>	Animal or vegetable fat	38,000–9000 b.c.	0.0151	4.4
Babylonian lamp <sup>c</sup>	Sesame oil	1750 b.c.	0.0597	17.5
Candle <sup>c</sup>	Tallow	1800	0.0757	22.2
	Sperm	1800	0.1009	29.6
	Tallow	1830	0.0757	22.2
	Sperm	1830	0.1009	29.6
Lamp	Whale oil <sup>d</sup>	1815–45	0.1346	39.4
	Silliman's experiment:			
	Sperm oil <sup>e</sup>	1855	0.0784	23.0
	Silliman's experiment:			
	Other oils <sup>f</sup>	1855	0.0575	16.9
Town gas	Early lamp <sup>g</sup>	1827	0.1303	38.2
	Silliman's experiment <sup>g</sup>	1855	0.0833	24.4
	Early lamp <sup>g</sup>	1875–85	0.2464	72.2
	Welsbach mantle <sup>g</sup>	1885–95	0.5914	173.3
Kerosene lamp	Welsbach mantle <sup>g</sup>	1916	0.8685	254.5
	Silliman's experiment <sup>g</sup>	1855	0.0498	14.6
	19th century <sup>h</sup>	1875–85	0.1590	46.6
	Coleman lantern <sup>i</sup>	1993	0.3651	107.0
Electric lamp				
Edison carbon	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1883	2.6000	762.0
Advanced carbon	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1900	3.7143	1,088.6
	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1910	6.5000	1,905.0
Tungsten	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1920	11.8182	3,463.7
	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1930	11.8432	3,471.0
	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1940	11.9000	3,487.7
	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1950	11.9250	3,495.0
	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1960	11.9500	3,502.3
	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1970	11.9750	3,509.7
	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1980	12.0000	3,517.0
Compact fluorescent	Filament lamp <sup>j</sup>	1990	14.1667	4,152.0
	First generation bulb <sup>m</sup>	1992	68.2778	20,011.1

Note: The modern unit of illumination is the lumen which is the amount of light cast by a candle at one foot.

# Bill Nordhaus: Rocket Ship to the Singularity

III

- A 5000-fold decrease in the price of light since 1800
- This is something that churned up between 1% and 5% of household budgets back in 1800
- 100-fold CPI bias in the price of light since 1800




Fig. 1.4 Alternative light prices

# Discussion

## Modern Economic Growth:

- What do we think of alternatives to Allen's story?

**Longest-Run Global Economic Growth (2019)**

Date	ideas Level H	Total Real World Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total Human Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-1000	16.8	\$45	\$900	50	0.060%	0.000%	0.030%
0	30.9	\$153	\$900	170	0.122%	0.000%	0.061%
800	41.1	\$270	\$900	300	0.071%	0.000%	0.035%
1500	53.0	\$450	\$900	500	0.073%	0.000%	0.036%
1770	79.4	\$825	\$1,100	750	0.150%	0.074%	0.149%
1870	123.5	\$1,690	\$1,300	1300	0.550%	0.167%	0.442%
2020	2720.5	\$90,000	\$11,842	7600	1.177%	1.473%	2.061%

**Global Growth: The Advanced West (2019)**

Date	ideas Level H	Total Real Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total "West" Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Increasing Resources p	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-1000	15.0	\$1.80	\$900	2	0.069%	0.000%	0.000%	0.035%
0	23.7	\$4.50	\$900	5	0.092%	0.000%	0.000%	0.046%
800	30.0	\$7.20	\$900	8	0.059%	0.000%	0.000%	0.029%
1500	58.9	\$25.00	\$1,000	25	0.163%	0.015%	0.000%	0.096%
1770	101.0	\$105.00	\$1,400	75	0.407%	0.125%	0.257%	0.200%
1870	252.0	\$490.00	\$2,800	175	0.847%	0.693%	0.405%	0.914%
2020	8439.5	\$40,000.00	\$50,000	800	1.013%	1.922%	0.175%	2.341%


# **Big Ideas: Lecture 12: Modern Economic Growth**

**Takeaways from this class:**


1.

# Catch Our Breath...


- Ask a couple of questions?
- Make a couple of comments?
- Any more readings to recommend?



# Notes



# Reviews...



# Review: Commercial Revolutions

## Exploration and Conquest and Market Extension:

- **Zheng He:** 1405-33: 7 expeditions—300 ships ??, 30,000 crew??, as far as Malindi. 400 feet long??:
  - “We have traversed more than 100,000 li of immense water spaces and have beheld in the ocean huge waves like mountains rising in the sky, and we have set eyes on barbarian regions far away hidden in a blue transparency of light vapors, while our sails, loftily unfurled like clouds day and night, continued their course [as rapidly] as a star, traversing those savage waves as if we were treading a public thoroughfare...”, quoted in Louise Levathes (1996): *When China Ruled the Seas: The Treasure Fleet of the Dragon Throne, 1405–1433*
- **Bartolomeu Dias:** 1487-8: 3 ships, rounded the Cape of Good Hope at the southern tip of Africa. 80 feet long, 30 men/ship
- **Cristoforo Colombo:** 1492: 3 ships, 90 men.
- **Vasco da Gama:** 1498: 4 ships, 170 men to India and back

# Resources! And Political Economy!

## The Columbian Exchange

- Corn, the potato, chocolate, &c.: substantial boost to calories
- Benefits everywhere!
- But one-sided: Europe gains empire and resources wherever its ships can sail and cannon can shoot
- Sugar islands and the slave trade
  - 400 calories per Briton per day by 1750?
  - The underdevelopment of Africa
    - 12.5 million Atlantic African slave trade
    - (2 million Mediterranean, 4 million Black Sea, 1 million Viking, 17 million Indian Ocean, 30 million Graeco-Roman)

## The East Indies

- Spices—later silks, porcelain, cottons: 80% fall in real price
- Benefits everywhere
  - But benefits one-sided: disassembling a mountain of silver in Peru in order to import luxuries from China, India, Malaysia, and Indonesia...

## Political Economy

- The merchants of Bristol, the nabobs, the King of Spain: New wealth to add in to the scales...
- Inflation

## “The Advanced West”


Date	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Increasing Resources p	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
0	0.092%	0.000%	0.000%	0.046%
800	0.059%	0.000%	0.000%	0.029%
1500	0.163%	0.015%	0.000%	0.096%
1770	0.407%	0.125%	0.257%	0.200%
1870	0.847%	0.693%	0.405%	0.914%
2020	1.013%	1.922%	0.175%	2.341%

## The World

Date	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
0	0.122%	0.000%	0.061%
800	0.071%	0.000%	0.035%
1500	0.073%	0.000%	0.036%
1770	0.150%	0.074%	0.149%
1870	0.550%	0.167%	0.442%
2020	1.177%	1.473%	2.061%
2100	0.211%	1.894%	2.000%


# Clark, “The Secret History of the Industrial Revolution”

**Figure 17: The Prices of Pepper and French Wine relative to Domestic Farm Products**



# Clark, “The Secret History of the Industrial Revolution”

Figure 16: Output per worker in printing, 1340-1839



# Establishing an Effective Monopoly of Violence: Wars of the Roses

- ▶ The War of the Roses, a civil war between the House of York and the House of Lancaster, ended when King Richard III was defeated and killed at the Battle of Bosworth 22 August, 1485.
- ▶ Henry Tudor was crowned Henry VII.
- ▶ Richard's army: The Duke of Norfolk had around 3,000 spearmen and archers on the right flank, protecting the cannon. Richard's group, comprising 3,000 infantry, formed the centre. The Earl of Northumberland's 4,000 men guarded the left flank. The Stanleys 6,000 men were on Dadlington Hill.
- ▶ What happened?

# Establishing an Effective Monopoly of Violence: Implications of the Treason of the Stanleys

- ▶ **Conclusion:** Richard III did not have a monopoly of violence.
- ▶ In fact the War of the Roses came at the end of a long period of 'bastard feudalism' which had seen the central state become weaker while the armed lords became more powerful.

*"Government at the center relinquished the reins, and the institutions of law and order fell under the sway of overly-powerful individuals with armed men at their backs. The famous evils of this time were all the result of this. Livery (the equipping of armed retainers with their lords' uniform and badge to signify their sole allegiance), maintenance (the lord's support for his followers in courts of law) . . . embracery (the corruption and intimidation of judges)." (Elton (1991, p. 6)*

# Marcher Lords: Warwick the Kingmaker

- **Adam Smith:** “The great Earl of Warwick is said to have entertained every day, at his different manors, 30,000 people; and though the number here may have been exaggerated, it must, however, have been very great to admit of such exaggeration. A hospitality nearly of the same kind was exercised not many years ago in many different parts of the Highlands of Scotland...

# Jeremiah Dittmar (2011): The Printing Press as an Agent of Change... II

- Dittmar's Test: Compare (especially over the period 1500– 1600) population growth of cities that did and did not adopt the printing press before 1500.
- Why are Dittmar's IV estimates so big? 0.6 per century—a near doubling—as opposed to 0.2?




FIGURE IV

TABLE VII  
INSTRUMENTAL VARIABLE ANALYSIS OF PRINTING AND LOG CITY GROWTH

Regression Model	(1)	(2) 1st Stage Adopt Print 1450–1500	(3) 2nd Stage City Growth 1500–1600
Log Distance to Mainz	-0.06*** (0.01)		
Adopt Print 1450–1500		0.58** (0.29)	
Observations	410	410	410
R squared	0.34	0.15	0.15
F Statistic (IV)	20.74***	82.07***	82.07***

Note. The dependent variable in the first stage is an indicator variable that takes the value of 1 for cities that adopted the printing press 1450–1500. The dependent variable in the second stage is log population growth:  $\ln\left(\frac{POP_{1600}}{POP_{1500}}\right)$ . Distance from Mainz in log kilometers is the instrumental variable for print adoption 1450–1500. Regressions control for: log city population in 1500, port location, navigable rivers, location on Roman sites, political capitals, city latitude, city longitude, the interaction between latitude and longitude, and the DeLong–Shleifer freedom index of regional institutions. The Data Appendix provides detailed descriptions of these variables. Sample restricted to balanced panel of cities with population observed 1500–1800 in economies with at least one print city. Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered by country in parentheses. Significance at the 90%, 95%, and 99% confidence levels are indicated by \*, \*\*, and \*\*\*.

# DeLong and Shleifer I

- It's a big deal...

The total population living in western European cities of 30,000 or more in 1650 was 4.7 million. Had each of the nine regions experienced an additional century and a half of absolutist rule before 1650, this urban population would have been reduced by two million according to the regression in line 1 of Table 3. In such a scenario Europe in 1650 might well have played the same role in world history that it had played in 1000: a poor and barbarous backwater compared to the high civilizations of Islam, India, and China, rather than a continent on the verge of three centuries of world domination.

Conversely, had all of western Europe been free of absolutist rule over 1050–1650, then the regression in line 1 of Table 3 predicts that Europe in 1650 would have had a total urban population of nearly 8 million and would have had forty additional cities with more than 30,000 inhabitants. Such a heightened level of commerce and urban civilization might have triggered the Industrial Revolution considerably earlier.

# DeLong and Shleifer III

- Northern Italy in 1500-1650 is “surprising” as absolutist then
- England 1650-1800 is “surprising” as non-absolutist then
- WTF?! with the Italian urban boom 1050-1200
- Econometric problems
  - Normal distribution —we have only 45 observations, and 30 degrees of freedom...
  - The file-drawer problem...




FIGURE 1.—Partial scatter of change in number of cities against absolutist regime

# Discussion

## “Commercial Society” of the Eighteenth Century

- An extra 1500 years of invention and innovation, yes...
  - Scope of control...
  - Columbian Exchange...
- But, otherwise, how different from Antonine Rome or Sung China or Abbasid Mesopotamia?
  - It did occur in Antonine Rome...
  - Temin: no industrial revolution...

## Longest-Run Global Economic Growth (2019)

Date	ideas Level H	Total Real World Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total Human Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-1000	16.8	\$45	\$900	50	0.060%	0.000%	0.030%
0	30.9	\$153	\$900	170	0.122%	0.000%	0.061%
800	41.1	\$270	\$900	300	0.071%	0.000%	0.035%
1500	53.0	\$450	\$900	500	0.073%	0.000%	0.036%
1770	79.4	\$825	\$1,100	750	0.150%	0.074%	0.149%
1870	123.5	\$1,690	\$1,300	1300	0.550%	0.167%	0.442%
2020	2720.5	\$90,000	\$11,842	7600	1.177%	1.473%	2.061%

# Review: Adam Smith

## We Have a Very Keen-Eyed Contemporary Observer:

- **Read:** Christopher Berry (2018): *Adam Smith: A Very Short Introduction*, chs. 1, 4-6  
[<https://delong.typepad.com/files/berry-smith.pdf>](https://delong.typepad.com/files/berry-smith.pdf)
- The market economy as a game changer
- Commercial society:
  - Hunter, shepherd, agricultural, and commercial stages...
  - “It is Smith’s explicit reference to a ‘commercial society’ that is distinctive and Smith here is a pioneer...”
  - Agrarian-Age power lies with the owners of land, and government is ‘a combination of the rich to oppress the poor’
  - Commercial society sees the growth of the rule of law—and a government that can enforce its property-rights order against local notables, roving bandits, *and its own functionaries* ...

# Why the Emergence of “Commercial Society”

## Friedrich Engels:

- “Exceptional periods, however, occur when the warring classes are so nearly equal in forces that the state power, as apparent mediator, acquires for the moment a certain independence in relation to both. This applies to the absolute monarchy of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, which balances the nobility and the bourgeoisie against one another; and to the Bonapartism of the First and particularly of the Second French Empire, which played off the proletariat against the bourgeoisie and the bourgeoisie against the proletariat. The latest achievement in this line, in which ruler and ruled look equally comic, is the new German Empire of the Bismarckian nation; here the capitalists and the workers are balanced against one another and both of them fleeced for the benefit of the decayed Prussian cabbage lords...” *Origin of the Family...*
- It was in the kings’ and their bureaucracies’ interests—and they were (sometimes) able to make it stick.

# Why the Emergence of “Commercial Society” II

## **Adam Smith, according to Berry:**

- “The feudal lords were masters... settled disputes, enforced discipline, and commanded their tenants to fight on their behalf.... [But] when foreign commerce introduced... what Smith deliberately calls frivolous and useless goods (he mentions diamond buckles) the lords sold off their land or granted long leases... undermine[d] their power to command and their ability to act as judges because those who had been previously dependent became independent: ‘For the gratification of the most childish, the meanest and the most sordid of all vanities’... these landlords gradually bartered away their whole power and authority (WN 419)...
- “Smith calls this change a ‘revolution of the greatest importance to the publick happiness’ (WN 422)
  - But it was not brought about with the deliberate aim to further the public good...
  - It was, rather, an example of unintended consequences.
- This made possible the ‘regular administration of justice’.
- The establishment of that uniformity is crucial
- Without it a commercial society is not possible

# Once You Have the Preconditions for “Commercial Society”...

## Commercial Revolution Prosperity:

- “Universal opulence which extends itself to the lowest ranks of the people...”
- Because of the division of labor...
- Possible only in a well-governed society...
- Berry: “Through the division of labour ten individuals could make 48,000 pins a day— equivalent to 4,800 each. But if each individual performed all the tasks required (drawing, straightening, cutting, pointing the wire, and so on) then less than twenty would have been manufactured. He gives three reasons for this: increased dexterity that comes from reducing each individual’s task to ‘one simple operation’; time-saving that stems from not having to transfer from one task to the next; and inventing better ways of executing the task prompted by the concentration on one task...”
- Division of labor depends on the extent of the market...
- And self-interest: “it is not from the benevolence of the butcher, the brewer or the baker that we expect our dinner, but from their regard to their own interest. We address ourselves not to their humanity but to their self- love and never talk to them of our own necessities but of their advantages. Nobody but a beggar chuses to depend chiefly upon the benevolence of his fellow-citizens...”

# **Smith's “System of Natural Liberty”**

**Depends on Universal Principles: Smith according to Berry:**

- A ‘science of human nature’.
- The self-interested hope of everyone to better their own condition.
- The moral principle that everyone is free.
- Individuals are the best judges of their own interests
- The outcomes of particular exchanges redound unintentionally to the general benefit.
- The ‘miserable poverty’ of the savage nations, as depicted in his Introduction, is left behind
- The twin blessings of opulence and freedom are experienced.

# Review: Why Was Pre-Industrial Progress so Slow on Average?

## Our readings:

- Willem M. Jongman (2007): Gibbon was Right: The Decline and Fall of the Roman Economy <<https://delong.typepad.com/jongman-gibbon-was-right.pdf>>
- Peter Temin: The Roman Market Economy, Roman Growth <<https://delong.typepad.com/files/temin-roman-growth.pdf>>
- Moses Finley: Technical Innovation and Economic Progress in the Ancient World <<https://delong.typepad.com/finley-technical.pdf>>
- Josh Ober (2019): Agamemnon's Cluelessness, selections <<https://delong.typepad.com/files/ober-agamemnon-selections.pdf>>

Date	Ideas Level H	Total Real World Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total Human Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-68000	1.0	\$0	\$1,200	0.1			
-8000	5.0	\$3	\$1,200	2.5	0.005%	0.000%	0.003%
-6000	6.3	\$6	\$900	7	0.051%	-0.014%	0.011%
-3000	9.2	\$14	\$900	15	0.025%	0.000%	0.013%
-1000	16.8	\$45	\$900	50	0.060%	0.000%	0.030%
0	30.9	\$153	\$900	170	0.122%	0.000%	0.061%
800	41.1	\$270	\$900	300	0.071%	0.000%	0.035%
1500	53.0	\$450	\$900	500	0.073%	0.000%	0.036%
1770	79.4	\$825	\$1,100	750	0.150%	0.074%	0.149%
1870	123.5	\$1,690	\$1,300	1300	0.550%	0.167%	0.442%
2020	2720.5	\$90,000	\$11,842	7600	1.177%	1.473%	2.061%

# Potential Points of View

## What are the possibilities here?:

- No puzzle—given how few heads they had, and given the absence of printing and the difficulty of controlled experiments, it is a miracle that they managed to advance technology as far as they did as fast as they did... (Kremer)
- No: there was something wrong. They had the wrong kind of society... (Finley, critiqued by Ober)
- No: something went wrong: civilization seems to be progressing up to the year 1... 0.013%/yr... 0.030%/yr... 0.061%/yr... & then it stalls out: instead of doubling to a Commercial Revolution rate of growth after the year 1, the rate of ideas growth halves again... (Jongman)

# Our Four Readings

## What possibilities do they argue for?:

- Jongman:

- “Population went down... production per man hour must have gone up.... The Roman Empire should have turned into a world of happy and prosperous peasants.... Reality was, of course, different... the emergence of a new social, political, and legal regime, where oppression replaces the entitlements of citizenship...”

- Temin:

- “The high ratio of wages to energy costs was not only absent in eighteenth-century continental Europe; it was absent as well in the Roman Empire.... There was no possibility of escaping from the Malthusian constraints... no possibility that industrialization could have begun in the ancient world...”

- Finley:

- “The pejorative judgments of ancient writers about labour, and specifically about the labour of the artisan, and of anyone who works for another, are too continuous, numerous, and unanimous, too wrapped up in discussions of every aspect of ancient life, to be dismissed as empty rhetoric. In other slave-owning societies for whom there is fuller documentation, these implications and their practical effects are unmistakable. Writing about the Great Trek, for example, Sir Keith Hancock said: 'The Boers very soon convinced themselves that artisans' work and slaves' work were the same thing—a conviction which struck such deep roots in their minds that their descendants in the nineteenth century left to British immigrants almost all the opportunities of skilled industrial employment in the expanding towns'. Or Tocqueville, whose 1831 notebooks are filled with the theme that 'slavery is even more prejudicial to the masters than to the slaves', because, as a leading Louisville merchant said to him, 'it deprives us of the energy and spirit of enterprise that characterizes the States that have no slaves'.... Comparisons must be made with caution and reserve. But this particular one seems to me to be valid and necessary...”

- Ober:

- “Greeks were quite capable of the kind of reasoning necessary to build and sustain a growing economy.... Ancient Greeks, as individuals and collectives, frequently employed... rationally instrumental reasoning in economic contexts. It is nonetheless undeniable that there is a body of classical literature that exemplifies the scorn for money-making that was emphasized by the Finley school. Those expressions of scorn underpin the theory of an essentially timeless and changeless ancient economy predicated on violent extraction and gift exchange.... The approach of the Socratic philosophers to economic rationality was fundamentally critical and normative.... For Finley and his school... any activity that was not grounded in status, and in [its] power relations... was... unmoored and ephemeral.... Economic activity aimed at increasing productivity, innovations aimed at increasing efficiency, and increased consumption—rather than securing the status of the relevant actors—were, thereby, rendered more or less invisible—and in any event, unworthy of detailed study. The result was, so I suppose, both a misunderstanding of the relevant texts and a misrepresentation of the underlying social reality...”

# Review: The Fall of Rome

## Economic Zenith, Then Economic Decline, Then Political Decline:

- While the existing data are somewhat contradictory, the consensus amongst archaeologists is the early 2nd century.
- A new social distinction between *honestiores* (high status) and *humiliores* (low status with different laws) was introduced.
- Citizens began to lose their rights and by the end of the 2nd century, they were being tied to the land as serfs
- The Barbarians were at the gates, but it seems reasonable to see this as an outcome of the weakening of Roman institutions
- Earlier Rome had defeated far more formidable and better organized enemies like the Carthaginians.
- Acemoglu and Robinson argue that the big fact about what preceded the decline is that political institutions moved in a much more extractive direction and this was followed by economic institutions.
- Jongman (“Gibbon was Right”) proposes that the Antonine plague which hit the Roman Empire around 160AD is the most likely explanation for the collapse of Rome.
- But Malthusian crises are supposed to increase living standards, not reduce them: so what is going on?



$$y^{*mal} = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{n^{*mal}}{\beta} \right) = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma h}{\beta} \right)$$

Annotations pointing to the equation:

- Malthusian equilibrium income level
- True zpg subsistence
- Sensitivity of productivity to population
- Rate of useful ideas creation
- The salience of capital in determining productivity
- The extent to which population depresses productivity
- Nuisance terms
- The inverse of the taste for luxury
- The ratio of savings to depreciation
- The responsiveness of population growth to prosperity
- Taste for luxuries



# Three Great Plagues

**But the demands of the empire for revenue and of the upper class for resources remain the same:**

- Antonine Plague (smallpox?): Antonine ⇒ Severian dynasty
- Plague of St. Cyrian (Ebola-like?): Things fall completely apart, then Diocletian: between Philip the Arab and Diocletian, 18 emperors in 35 years, plus two breakaways; 12 of the 18 were assassinated
- Plague of Justinian (Bubonic): Flavius Apion...

# The Domar Hypothesis

You can have a leisured upper class, or abundant land relative to labor, or free labor, but not all three at once:



# The Later Roman Empire

## How does it compare to the expanding Roman Republic?

- **Militarism:** in striking contrast to earlier days, a successful general is a threat to the emperor. Eighteen emperors in 35 years between Philip the Arab and Diocletian
- **Mobilization:** In order to extract resources from a smaller population, the people must be disarmed rather than mobilized.
- **Distribution:** The smaller pool of benefits needs to be hoarded for those with connections, not shared.
- **Incorporation:** You can join the Goths: you cannot join the Roman upper class unless you know someone...

# Dell's Summary of Acemoglu and Robinson on the Rise and Fall of Rome II

**For the Roman Empire, the collapse of Roman authority was pronounced, particularly in the West:**

- By 450AD all the trappings of Roman economic prosperity were gone.
- Money vanished from circulation.
- Urban areas were abandoned and buildings stripped of stone.
- The roads were overgrown with weeds.
- The only type of pottery which was fabricated was crude and hand made, not manufactured.
- People forgot how to use mortar and they also forgot how to read and write.
- Roofs were made of branches, not tiles.
- The Eastern Roman Empire lived on, but it contracted significantly with the rise of Islam in the 7th Century.

# Review: Republic to Empire

## Political transition:

- The expansion of Rome's conquests created inequality and increasing political instability.
- There were calls for the redistribution of land and power.
- For example, Plebeian Tribune Tiberius Gracchus started to develop very 'populist' political platforms which threatened the senatorial elites.
- The culmination of this was civil war, the dictatorship of Julius Caesar, and finally the creation of the Empire under Augustus.
  - First the *principate*
  - Then the *dominate*
- Augustus reformed the army, removing it as a bastion of plebeian power.
- His successor Tiberius stripped the assemblies of powers and gave them to the senate—and then neutered the senate
- A semi-hereditary monarchy replaced the Republic:
  - "May good success attend the Roman senate and people and myself. I hereby adopt as my son Marcus Ulpius Nerva Traianus..."
- This was a move towards more "extractive" political institutions and though it stabilized things for awhile, there was an eventual movement towards even more extractive economic institutions

# Always Scribble, Scribble, Scribble! Eh! Mr. Gibbon?

## Beste, *Memorials*:

- The Duke of Gloucester, brother of King George III, permitted Mr. Gibbon to present to him the first volume of *The History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire*. When the second volume of that work appeared, it was quite in order that it should be presented to His Royal Highness in like manner. The prince received the author with much good nature and affability, saying to him, as he laid the quarto on the table,
  - “Another damned thick, square book! Always, scribble, scribble, scribble! Eh! Mr. Gibbon?”

# **Always Scribble, Scribble, Scribble! Eh! Mr. Gibbon?**

## **Five Good Emperors: Nerva-Trajan-Hadrian-Antonius Pius-Marcus Aurelius:**

- If a man were called to fix the period in the history of the world, during which the condition of the human race was most happy and prosperous, he would, without hesitation, name that which elapsed from the death of Domitian to the accession of Commodus.
  - The vast extent of the Roman empire was governed by absolute power, under the guidance of virtue and wisdom.
  - The armies were restrained by the firm but gentle hand of four successive emperors, whose characters and authority commanded involuntary respect.
  - The forms of the civil administration were carefully preserved by Nerva, Trajan, Hadrian, and the Antonines, who delighted in the image of liberty, and were pleased with considering themselves as the accountable ministers of the laws.
  - Such princes deserved the honor of restoring the republic, had the Romans of their days been capable of enjoying a rational freedom.
- The labors of these monarchs were overpaid by
  - the immense reward that inseparably waited on their success;
  - by the honest pride of virtue, and
  - by the exquisite delight of beholding the general happiness of which they were the authors.

# Aelius Aristides

## The Roman Oration:

- Whatever the seasons make grow and whatever countries and rivers and lakes and arts of Hellenes and non-Hellenes produce are brought from every land and sea, so that if one would look at all these things, he must needs behold them either by visiting the entire civilized world or by coming to this city. For whatever is grown and made among each people cannot fail to be here at all times and in abundance. And here the merchant vessels come carrying these many products from all region in every season and even at every equinox, so that the city appears a kind of common emporium of the world.
- Cargoes from India and, if you will, even from Arabia the Blest one can see in such numbers as to surmise that in those lands the trees will have been stripped bare and that the inhabitants of these lands, if they need anything, must come here and beg for a share of their own. Again one can see Babylonian garments and ornaments from the barbarian country beyond arriving in greater quantity and with more ease than if shippers from Naxos or from Cythnos, bearing something from those islands, had but to enter the port of Athens. Your farms are Egypt, Sicily and the civilized part of Africa.
- Arrivals and departures by sea never cease, so that the wonder is not that the harbor has insufficient space for merchant vessels, but that even the sea has enough, if it really does.
- And just as Hesiod said about the ends of the Ocean, that there is a common channel where all waters have one source and destination, so there is a common channel to Rome and all meet here, trade, shipping, agriculture, metallurgy, all the arts and crafts that are or ever have been, all the things that are engendered or grow from the earth. And whatever one does not see here neither did nor does exist. And so it is not easy to which is greater, the superiority of this city in respect to the cities that now are or the superiority of this city respect to the empires that ever were...

# Review: The Rise of Rome

## Roman Institutions are key to the rise of Rome:

- In 510BC, the citizens of Rome overthrew their king, Lucius Tarquinius Superbus, and created a republic.
- The state was run by elected officials:
  - Two consuls who had the job for one year
  - Other magistrates: praetors, aediles, proconsuls
  - Tribunes.
  - Offices were elected, annual, and held by multiple people at the same time
    - This greatly reduced the ability of any one person to consolidate or exploit his power.
- The institutions of the Republic contained a system of checks and balances which distributed power fairly widely.
- Even if elite patrician families had far more power, it was possible for non-elites, so called plebeians, to get to the top, and they constrained the power of the elites.
  - Then some plebeian families became equally elite...
  - The *nobles*

## Roman assemblies:

- Centuriate: 193 centuries on the basis of military organization, weighted toward the rich. Elects the magistrates, declares war and peace
- Tribal: After 241 BC, 35 tribes on the basis of geographical location
- Plebeian: Non-patricians, run by Tribunes
- Senate

## Roman institutions:

- Legions
  - Phalanx
  - Manipular
  - Marian
- Imperium
- Provinciae
- Proconsuls and propraetors

# The Rise of Rome II

**Roman Institutions are key to the rise of Rome:**


- Four key factors:
  - Militarism (on the part of elites competing for authority)
  - Mobilization (of the citizen mass)
  - Widely shared benefits (of conquest)
  - Incorporation (of conquered communities)
- Mammoth military and political expansion after -340, and substantial economic, expansion




# Measuring Roman Efflorescence

**There are many interesting ways to track economic expansion:**


- Shipwrecks indicate trade, but they also track the movement of goods by fiat. For example, the citizens of Rome were kept happy by the free distribution of bread after 58BC. This was later extended to olive oil and even wine. This had to be shipped (mostly from Egypt and North Africa).
- The Romans also moved around taxes levied in the provinces and supplied their troops. Some argue that 2/3 of all the ‘trade’ was actually the state moving stuff around.
- For Roman citizens, economic institutions were quite good. However, the Italian economy was based on slavery (about 35% of the population of Italy were slaves at the time of the Emperor Augustus). There was little technological change.




Graph 2: Lead pollution in Greenland ice cores<sup>22</sup>



Graph 1: dated shipwrecks (from De Catalay 2005)



Graph 4: archaeological finds in western Germany (Trier laboratory)



Graph 3: dated wood remains from western Germany (Trier laboratory)

Source: Jongman, Willem M. (2007) “Gibbon was Right: The Decline and Fall of the Roman Economy,” in O. Hekster et. al. eds. *Crises and the Roman Empire*, Brill.

# Review: Pre-Industrial “Efflorescences”

Ideas courtesy of Jack Goldsmith, Daron Acemoglu and James Robinson:

- The Malthusian model misses a great deal of the interesting action prior to the Industrial Revolution.
- An alternative explanation for why there was no long-run trend in living standards is the theory of ‘efflorescence and decline’
- I organize my thoughts about this with the two Malthusian equations, and with their bunch of variables and parameters:  $h$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $y^{sub}$ ,  $s$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $H$  that together determine  $y^{*mal}$  and  $L^{*mal}$
- This is best thought of as a filing system for factors that may be important—given the importance of both capital and labor efficiency, the roles of ideas and of resources in producing labor efficiency, and Malthusian population dynamics, these are the things you should look at

$$L_t^{*mal} = \left[ \left( \frac{H_t}{y^{sub}} \right) \left( \frac{s}{\delta} \right)^\theta \left( \frac{1}{\phi} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\delta)^\theta} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\beta)} \right] \right]^\gamma$$

$$y^{*mal} = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{n^{*mal}}{\beta} \right) = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma h}{\beta} \right)$$

# The Classical Greek Efflorescence

## Emerging out of the Iron Dark Age of -1200 to -800:

- When the Greek city states emerged they did so with functional systems of governance which provided public goods, such as security for trade and investment.
- This initiated a period of sustained increases in living standards.
- While Ancient Greece did have a period of democracy, it was relative short (less than 200 years) compared to the duration of the polity and most citizens - slaves, poor citizens who couldn't afford their tax bill, women - could not participate.
- Greek institutions (rules according to which the society was organized) tended to be "extractive." For example, the economy was largely based upon slavery.


*Table 1*  
Standard Periodization of Ancient Greek History

Name	Dates
Bronze Age	c. 3000–1200 BC
Late Bronze Age	c. 1600–1200 BC (also known as Mycenaean period)
Early Iron Age	c. 1200–700 BC (also known as Dark Age)
Archaic	c. 700–480 BC
Classical	480–323 BC
Hellenistic	323–30 BC
Early Empire	30 BC–AD 284
Late Empire	AD 284–526
Early Byzantine	AD 526–1081

Source: Morris, Ian (2004) "Economic Growth in Ancient Greece," Journal of Institutional And Theoretical Economics, 160, 709-742.

## Population Went Up

*Figure 10*  
ie Estimated Population of the Greek World (including the Aegean and western Mediterranean), 800–300 BC





Source: Morris, Ian (2004) "Economic Growth in Ancient Greece," Journal of Institutional And Theoretical Economics, 160, 709-742.

# The Classical Greek Efflorescence II

## “Developmental” or “Extractive”?



- Extractive political institutions concentrate political power in the hands of some group who can use that power to redistribute wealth and income to themselves. This resulting concentration of wealth tends to reinforce the initial set of political institutions.
- Roving bandits or stationary bandits?
- Acemoglu and Robinson hypothesize that growth was not sustained in ancient societies because their institutions were extractive, and extractive institutions are incompatible with sustaining growth in the long run.
- They argue that this is because extracting resources creates conflicts over who will control those resources, and it may also induce rebellion from below.
- In either case political instability can bring the government and economy down.



# The Anti-Kythera Mechanism

## What is this?


- Built between -150 and -70. Rhodes 13" x 7" x 4" wooden box
  - Gears—largest 5" in diameter
  - Inscriptions
- Wikipedia: "37 gear wheels enabling it to follow the movements of the Moon and the Sun through the zodiac, to predict eclipses and even to model the irregular orbit of the Moon, where the Moon's velocity is higher in its perigee than in its apogee. This motion was studied in the 2nd century BC by astronomer Hipparchus of Rhodes, and it is speculated that he may have been consulted in the machine's construction. The knowledge of this technology was lost at some point in antiquity. Similar technological works later appeared in the medieval Byzantine and Islamic worlds, but works with similar complexity did not appear again until the development of mechanical astronomical clocks in Europe in the fourteenth century..."



# The Anti-Kythera Mechanism II

## What is this?

- Brian Resnick: “A main gear would move to represent the calendar year, and would, in turn, move many separate smaller gears to represent the motions of the planets, sun, and moon. So you could set the main gear to the calendar date and get approximations for where those celestial objects would be in the sky on that date.... You, as a user, could input a few simple variables and it would yield a flurry of complicated mathematical calculations.... All the user had to do was enter the main date on one gear, and through a series of subsequent gear turns, the mechanism could calculate things like the angle of the sun crossing the sky. (For some reference, mechanical calculators—which used gear ratios to add and subtract—didn’t arrive in Europe until the 1600s) ...”



# Cicero (-54): De Re Publica

**"With the exception of the dream of Scipio, in the last book, the whole treatise was lost till the year 1822, when the librarian of the Vatican discovered a portion of them among the palimpsests in that library. What he discovered is translated here; but it is in a most imperfect and mutilated state. The form selected was that of a dialogue, in imitation of those of Plato..."**

## I.XIV:

- Then Philus said: "I am not about to bring you anything new, or anything which has been thought over or discovered by me myself. But I recollect that Caius Sulpicius Gallus, who was a man of profound learning, as you are aware, when this same thing was reported to have taken place in his time, while he was staying in the house of Marcus Marcellus, who had been his colleague in the consulship, asked to see a celestial globe which Marcellus's grandfather had saved after the capture of Syracuse from that magnificent and opulent city, without bringing to his own home any other memorial out of so great a booty; which I had often heard mentioned on account of the great fame of Archimedes; but its appearance, however, did not seem to me particularly striking. For that other is more elegant in form, and more generally known, which was made by the same Archimedes, and deposited by the same Marcellus in the Temple of Virtue at Rome."
- "But as soon as Gallus had begun to explain, in a most scientific manner, the principle of this machine, I felt that the Sicilian geometrician must have possessed a genius superior to anything we usually conceive to belong to our nature. For Gallus assured us that that other solid and compact globe was a very ancient invention, and that the first model had been originally made by Thales of Miletus. That afterward Eudoxus of Cnidus, a disciple of Plato, had traced on its surface the stars that appear in the sky, and that many years subsequently, borrowing from Eudoxus this beautiful design and representation, Aratus had illustrated it in his verses, not by any science of astronomy, but by the ornament of poetic description. He added that the figure of the globe, which displayed the motions of the sun and moon, and the five planets, or wandering stars, could not be represented by the primitive solid globe; and that in this the invention of Archimedes was admirable, because he had calculated how a single revolution should maintain unequal and diversified progressions in dissimilar motions.
- "In fact, when Gallus moved this globe, we observed that the moon succeeded the sun by as many turns of the wheel in the machine as days in the heavens. From whence it resulted that the progress of the sun was marked as in the heavens, and that the moon touched the point where she is obscured by the earth's shadow at the instant the sun appears opposite...."
- Scipio: "I had myself a great affection for this Gallus, and I know that he was very much beloved and esteemed by my father Paulus. I recollect that when I was very young, when my father, as consul, commanded in Macedonia, and we were in the camp, our army was seized with a pious terror, because suddenly, in a clear night, the bright and full moon became eclipsed. And Gallus, who was then our lieutenant, the year before that in which he was elected consul, hesitated not, next morning, to state in the camp that it was no prodigy, and that the phenomenon which had then appeared would always appear at certain periods, when the sun was so placed that he could not affect the moon with his light..."

# Review: Class and Conflict: at the End of the Middle Ages, Elsewhere, and Elsewhere

## What was “feudalism” and how did it end?

- Marc Bloch’s definitions:
  - A subject peasantry
  - Widespread use of the service tenement (i.e., the fief) instead of a salary (or of private property plus taxation and then purchase)
  - The supremacy of a caste of specialized warriors
  - Ties of obedience and protection which bind man to man
  - Within the warrior class, these ties assume the distinctive form called vassalage
    - Fragmentation of authority
    - Disorder and private war
  - But also, other forms of association, family, and state surviving...
- By the late Middle Ages feudalism was a stable system
- Trade and population expanded
- What data we have shows the number and size of cities increasing



## The Population of England




Figure 2. English population, 850-1550. A speculative reconstruction. The figures from 850 to 1086 are pure speculation. The subsequent figures are based on Domesday (1086), the Poll Tax (1377), the subsidies (1324-5) and the military survey (1322), and by extrapolation from manorial records of tenant deaths and payments of headpennies and common fines.

Sources: J. Hatcher, *Plague, Population and the English Economy, 1348-1550* (1977); R. M. Smith, 'Human Resources', in G. Astill and A. Grant (eds), *The Countryside of Medieval England* (Oxford, 1988); E. A. Wrigley and R. S. Schofield, *The Population History of England*,

Source: Dyer, Christopher (2002) *Making a Living in the Middle Ages*, Yale University Press. p. 235.

## English Wool and Cloth Exports




Figure 4. English exports of wool and cloth, 1279-1540 (cloth exports are only consistently recorded from the mid-fourteenth century).

Sources: E. M. Carus-Wilson and C. Colenou, *England's Export Trade 1275-1547* (Oxford, 1963); E. M. Carus-Wilson, *Medieval Merchant Ventures* (1954).

Source: Dyer, Christopher (2002) *Making a Living in the Middle Ages*, Yale University Press. p. 244.

# A Four-Cornered Fight

## Kings, Lords, Commons, & Peasants:

- Class alliances, class power, and class conflict...
- Plus ideological legitimations...
- Friedrich Engels: “Exceptional periods, however, occur when the warring classes are so nearly equal in forces that the state power, as apparent mediator, acquires for the moment a certain independence in relation to both. This applies to the absolute monarchy of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, which balances the nobility and the bourgeoisie against one another; and to the Bonapartism of the First and particularly of the Second French Empire, which played off the proletariat against the bourgeoisie and the bourgeoisie against the proletariat. The latest achievement in this line, in which ruler and ruled look equally comic, is the new German Empire of the Bismarckian nation; here the capitalists and the workers are balanced against one another and both of them fleeced for the benefit of the decayed Prussian cabbage Junker-squires...”
- This is not just in exceptional periods...
- The relative autonomy of the state is the rule, not the exception...

# Review: Malthusian Models and Reality

$$\frac{dE/dt}{E} = \frac{d \ln(E)}{dt} = g = h - \frac{n}{\gamma}$$

$$\frac{dL/dt}{L} = \frac{d \ln(L)}{dt} = n = \beta \left( \frac{y}{\phi y^{sub}} - 1 \right)$$

$$y^{*mal} = \kappa^* E = \left( \frac{s}{n+g+\delta} \right) E$$

$$L_t^{*mal} = \left[ \left( \frac{H_t}{y^{sub}} \right) \left( \frac{s}{\delta} \right)^\theta \left( \frac{1}{\phi} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\delta)^\theta} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\beta)} \right] \right]^\gamma$$

The diagram illustrates the factors influencing the Malthusian equilibrium population  $L_t^{*mal}$ . Red arrows point from each factor label to its corresponding term in the equation:

- The ratio of knowledge to subsistence income points to  $\left( \frac{H_t}{y^{sub}} \right)$ .
- The salience of capital in determining productivity points to  $\left( \frac{s}{\delta} \right)^\theta$ .
- The extent to which population depresses productivity points to  $\left( \frac{1}{\phi} \right)$ .
- Nuisance terms points to  $\left[ \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\delta)^\theta} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\beta)} \right]$ .
- The inverse of the taste for luxury points to  $\left[ \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\delta)^\theta} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\beta)} \right]$ .
- The ratio of savings to depreciation points to  $\left( \frac{s}{\delta} \right)^\theta$ .
- The Malthusian equilibrium population points to  $L_t^{*mal}$ .

$$y^{*mal} = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{n^{*mal}}{\beta} \right) = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma h}{\beta} \right)$$

The diagram illustrates the factors influencing the Malthusian equilibrium income level  $y^{*mal}$ . Red arrows point from each factor label to its corresponding term in the equation:


- Malthusian equilibrium income level points to  $\phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{n^{*mal}}{\beta} \right)$ .
- Sensitivity of productivity to population points to  $\left( 1 + \frac{\gamma h}{\beta} \right)$ .
- True zpg subsistence points to  $\phi y^{sub}$ .
- Rate of useful ideas creation points to  $\left( 1 + \frac{\gamma h}{\beta} \right)$ .
- Taste for luxuries points to  $\left( 1 + \frac{n^{*mal}}{\beta} \right)$ .
- Responsiveness of population growth to prosperity points to  $\left( 1 + \frac{\gamma h}{\beta} \right)$ .

# Eastern Europe and the “Second Serfdom”

**The percentage of people killed in Europe was similar across space:**

- After the plague, landlords in Eastern Europe started to take over large tracts of land and expand their holdings, which were already larger than those in Western Europe.
- Towns were weaker and less populous and rather than becoming freer, workers began to see their already existing freedoms encroached on: the Domar hypothesis at work.
- This contrasts with western Europe.
- Effects became especially pronounced after 1500, when Western Europe began to demand the agricultural goods which the East produced.
- Eastern landlords ratcheted up their control over the labor force to expand their production.
  - Mecklenberg: in 1500, peasants owed only a few days service a year; by 1600 this was three days/week; children had to work for the lord for free for several years.
  - In Hungary, landlords legislated one day a week of unpaid labor services for each worker. In 1550 this was raised to 2 days per week. By the end of the century it was 3 days. Serfs subject to these rules made up 90% of the rural population.
- What was it that allowed the Spanish settlers in Mexico to keep wages so low, when in England after the Black Death the state had been incapable of enforcing the Statue of Laborers and stopping wages from rising?
  - William the Conqueror rewarded his army by providing them with parceled landholdings to prevent them from becoming powerful regional warlords (save for the “marcher lords” along the Scottish and Welsh borders).
  - Many landholders in close proximity created intense competitive pressures for labor in the wake of the Black Death.

The Manors of Lord Gilbert de Clare (1314)



(a) Estate of Gilbert de Clare, earl of Gloucester and Hertford, 1314.

# Is Malthus Right? II

**At the macro level, yes; but there are lots of interesting meso- and small-scale puzzles:**

- In addition, measures of good government, such as proxies for constraints on the executive, are correlated with urbanization in this period.
- For example, DeLong and Shleifer (1993) showed there was a strong correlation between form of government and urbanization in the pre-modern world
  - Charles Wilson (1967): *Trade, Society, and the State*: "The two areas which in 1500 represented the richest and most advanced concentrations of trade, industry and wealth were the quadrilateral formed by the Italian cities Milan, Venice, Florence and Genoa; and the strip of the Netherlands that ran from Ypres north-east past Ghent and Bruges up to Antwerp. It was not merely coincidence that these were the areas where the tradesmen of the cities had been most successful in emancipating themselves from feudal interference and in keeping at bay the newer threat of more centralized political control offered by the new monarchies. In the fleeting intervals between the storms of politics and war, men here glimpsed the material advance that was possible when tradesmen were left in peace unflattered by the attentions of strategists who regarded their activities as the sinews of war..."




FIGURE 1.—Partial scatter of change in number of cities against absolutist regime

# Malthus: Summing Up

**On the broadest scale only:**

- The simple Malthusian model may indeed capture some realities.
- If labor markets are competitive, population growth may indeed induce a decline in wages.
- Or if there is a fixed amount of land and few opportunities for labor intensive cultivation systems, a population increase may lead to a decline in output per worker.
- However, the reality is typically much more messy.
  - How wages respond to changes in income will depend on *institutions*.
  - Thus the overwhelming likelihood that institutional or cultural factors also shaped pre-modern growth
  - It was not simply being dictated by the Malthusian relationship between births, deaths, and income.

# Review: “Subsistence”




FIG. 5.—Real wages vs. population on the new series, 1280s–1860s. The line summarizing the trade-off between population and real wages for the preindustrial era is fitted using the data from 1260–69 to 1590–99. Sources: population, same as for fig. 3; real wage, table A2.

# “Bare-Bones”

Table 2. Bare-bones subsistence basket of goods

	quantity per man per year	calories per day	protein (grams) per day
<b>food</b>			
grain	167 kg	1657	72
beans	20 kg	187	14
meat	5 kg	34	3
butter	3 kg	60	0
total		1938	89
<b>non-food</b>			
soap	1.3 kg		
linen/cotton	3 metres		
candles	1.3 kg		
lamp oil	1.3 litres		
fuel	2.0 Million British Thermal Units		

## From Clark & Allen:

- "Manual workers"—70% of median, 50% of average income
- In 1800: the English population in 1800 is a very rich pre-industrial population
- 70% of spending spent on food
  - 30-40% grains
  - 20% meat and dairy
- “Bare-bones” subsistence
- Cities: Malthus rules, but it takes centuries—and other things can and do happen




TABLE A3  
PERCENTAGE OF EXPENDITURES BY CATEGORY, MANUAL WORKERS, 1734–1854

Category	1734 (Vanderlint)	1787–96 (Horrell)	1840–54 (Horrell)	Assumed Here
<b>Food and drink</b>	<b>54.4</b>	<b>75.4</b>	<b>61.7</b>	<b>67.0</b>
Bread and flour	12.5	17.5	23.5	18.5
Barley	0	3.6	.0	1.0
Oats and oatmeal	0	9.9	1.5	2.0
Peas	0	..	..	1.0
Potato	0	6.3	4.0	4.0
Rice	0	.0	.2	.5
<b>Farmaceous</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>37.8</b>	<b>29.7</b>	<b>27.0</b>
Meat (beef, mutton, pork)	16.7	11.8	9.8	10.0
Fish	0	.1	.2	.5
Bacon	0	.2	1.8	1.0
Eggs	0	.0	.3	.5
<b>Meat</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>12.0</b>
Milk	2.1	5.9	2.7	4.0
Cheese	2.1	2.7	1.9	2.5
Butter	4.2	6.2	4.1	5.0
Dairy	8.4	14.8	8.7	11.5
<b>Sugars</b>	..	4.2	4.5	4.5
Beer/cider	12.5	2.8	1.7	6.5
Tea	0	3.4	2.2	2.5
Coffee	0	.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Drink</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>10.0</b>
Salt	..	..	..	1.0
Spices (pepper/vinegar)	..	..	..	1.0
Other food	4.2	.6	2.1	.0
<b>Housing/housewares</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>8.0</b>
Fuel	5.6	4.4	4.8	5.0
Light	2.1	..	..	4.0
Soap	2.1	..	..	.5
<b>Light and soap</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.5</b>
Services	8.2	.1	2.5	2.5
Tobacco	0	.0	.7	1.0
Other (clothing, bed linen)	20.5	11.0	14.2	12.0

Source.—Vanderlint (1734, 76–77), Horrell (1996, 568–69, 577).

Note.—The boldface entries are the sums for each major category of food, such as farmaceous or meat. These groupings of items are the ones whose price levels are reported in table A4.

# Review: Determinants of Technological and Organizational Progress

**How do we make sense of the fact that technological and organizational progress was so slow back then and is so (relatively) rapid now?**

- Two heads are (almost) better than one
  - But that does not quite work
- Add in additional drag from first picking low-hanging fruit
- What causes the increase in  $L_{stem}$ ?
- What institutions make it profitable for  $n_{stem}$  to be higher?
- Plus:
  - Learning by doing
  - Productivity through embodiment
  - Technology transfer through contact

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{\pi p^2}{1-\alpha}$$

$$\frac{dH/dt}{H} = \delta L_{stem}^\lambda H^{\phi-1}$$

$$h^* = \frac{\lambda n}{1-\phi}$$

$$H^* = \left( \frac{\delta(1-\phi)}{\lambda} \right)^{1/(1-\phi)} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)^{1/(1-\phi)} L_{stem}^{\lambda/(1-\phi)}$$

# Review: Solow-Malthus Model Basics

**How do we make sense of the fact that people were ingenious and inventive back before 1500, and yet standards of living did not increase?**

- Although population did increase—slowly
- Other parts of the model
- Balanced-growth equilibrium
- Convergence to equilibrium
- Lecture notes: <<https://nbviewer.jupyter.org/github/braddelong/long-form-drafts/blob/master/solow-model-5-pre-industrial.ipynb>>
  - datahub: <<http://datahub.berkeley.edu/user-redirect/interact?account=braddelong&repo=long-form-drafts&branch=master&path=solow-model-5-pre-industrial.ipynb>>

# Understanding the Solow-Malthus Equilibrium: Population and Labor Force

$$L_t^{*mal} = \left[ \left( \frac{H_t}{y^{sub}} \right) \left( \frac{s}{\delta} \right)^\theta \left( \frac{1}{\phi} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\delta)^\theta} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma h/\beta)} \right] \right]^\gamma$$

The Malthusian equilibrium population

The ratio of knowledge to subsistence income

The salience of capital in determining productivity

The ratio of savings to depreciation

Nuisance terms

The inverse of the taste for luxury

The extent to which population depresses productivity

Notes:

-

# Understanding the Solow-Mathus Equilibrium: Prosperity

Malthusian equilibrium income level

$$y^{*mal} = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{n^{*mal}}{\beta} \right) = \phi y^{sub} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma h}{\beta} \right)$$

True zpg subsistence

Sensitivity of productivity to population

Rate of useful ideas creation

Taste for luxuries

Responsiveness of population growth to prosperity

```
graph TD; A[Malthusian equilibrium income level] --> B[y*^mal = phi * y^sub * (1 + n*^mal / beta)]; C[True zpg subsistence] --> D[phi * y^sub]; E[Sensitivity of productivity to population] --> D; F[Rate of useful ideas creation] --> G[(1 + gamma h / beta)]; H[Taste for luxuries] --> I[n*^mal / beta]; J[Responsiveness of population growth to prosperity] --> K[gamma h / beta]
```

Notes:


-

# Steady-State and Along the Transition Path

The fall of an empire:

- <[https://nbviewer.jupyter.org/github/braddejong/LS2019/blob/master/2019-10-14-Ancient\\_Economies.ipynb](https://nbviewer.jupyter.org/github/braddejong/LS2019/blob/master/2019-10-14-Ancient_Economies.ipynb)>

- A decline in inequality, taste for luxuries, and taste for urban living:  
 $\Delta\varphi = -0.25$
- A decline in law-and-order that produces a sharp fall in the savings rate:  $\Delta s = -0.10$



# Review: Solow Model Basics

Lecture Notes: <<https://www.bradford-delong.com/2020/01/lecture-notes-the-solow-growth-model-the-history-of-economic-growth-econ-135.html>>

$$(2.1.2) \quad Y = \kappa^\theta E L ; \quad (2.1.3) \quad y = \kappa^\theta E ; \quad (2.1.1) \quad \kappa = \frac{K}{Y}$$


$$\frac{dE}{dt} = gE \quad \frac{dL}{dt} = g_L L = nL \quad \frac{dK}{dt} = sY - \delta K = \left( \frac{s}{\kappa} - \delta \right) K$$

$$(1.16) \quad \kappa^* = \frac{s}{n+g+\delta}$$


This  $\kappa^*$  we define as the steady-state balanced-growth equilibrium value of capital-intensity in the Solow growth model. If the capital-intensity  $\kappa = \kappa^*$ , then it is constant, and the economy is in balanced growth, with  $Y$  and  $K$  growing at the rate  $n+g$ ,  $E$  and  $y$  growing at the rate  $g$ , and  $L$  growing at the rate  $n$ .

$$(1.18) \quad \frac{d\kappa}{dt} = -\frac{n+g+\delta}{1+\theta}(\kappa - \kappa^*)$$

# Solving the Model



```
k_max = 10
κ = k_max
for i in range(5):
    cg = κ_convergence_graph(κ_0=κ, s = 0.20, n = 0.01,
                             g = 0.015, δ = 0.025, θ = 1/2, T = 200)
    cg.draw()
    κ = κ-2
```



```
k_max = 10
κ = k_max
for i in range(5):
    cg = κ_convergence_graph(κ_0=κ, s = 0.15, n = 0.02,
                             g = 0.015, δ = 0.025, θ = 2, T = 200)
    cg.draw()
    κ = κ-2
```

# Along the Balanced-Growth Path

**Everything except  $\kappa$ —which is constant—grows at a constant proportional rate: either  $n$ , or  $g$ , or  $n+g$ ;**

- Labor force  $L$  grows at  $n$
- Income per worker  $y$  and the efficiency of labor  $E$  grow at  $g$
- Total income  $Y$  and the capital stock  $K$  grow at  $n+g$

$$E_t^* = e^{gt} E_0$$

$$L_t^* = e^{nt} L_0$$

$$Y_t^* = (\kappa^*)^\theta E_t L_t = (\kappa^*)^\theta e^{gt} E_0 e^{nt} L_0 = (s/(n + g + \delta))^\theta e^{gt} E_0 e^{nt} L_0$$

$$K_t^* = \kappa^* Y_t^* = (s/(n + g + \delta))^{(1+\theta)} e^{gt} E_0 e^{nt} L_0$$

$$y_t^* = (\kappa^*)^\theta E_t = (\kappa^*)^\theta e^{gt} E_0 = (s/(n + g + \delta))^\theta e^{gt} E_0$$

# Review: Long-Run Patterns: Global *h, g, & n*

Date	ideas Level H	Total Real World Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total Human Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-68000	1.0	\$0	\$1,200	0.1			
-8000	5.0	\$3	\$1,200	2.5	0.005%	0.000%	0.003%
-6000	6.3	\$6	\$900	7	0.051%	-0.014%	0.011%
-3000	9.2	\$14	\$900	15	0.025%	0.000%	0.013%
-1000	16.8	\$45	\$900	50	0.060%	0.000%	0.030%
0	30.9	\$153	\$900	170	0.122%	0.000%	0.061%
800	41.1	\$270	\$900	300	0.071%	0.000%	0.035%
1500	53.0	\$450	\$900	500	0.073%	0.000%	0.036%
1770	79.4	\$825	\$1,100	750	0.150%	0.074%	0.149%
1870	123.5	\$1,690	\$1,300	1300	0.550%	0.167%	0.442%
2020	2720.5	\$90,000	\$11,842	7600	1.177%	1.473%	2.061%

# Long-Run Patterns: “Western” $h$ , $g$ & $n$

## Global Growth: The Industrializing West (2019)

Date	ideas Level H	Total Real Income Y (billions)	Average Real Income per Capita y (per year)	Total “West” Population L (millions)	Rate of Population and Labor Force Growth n	Rate of Efficiency-of-Labor Growth g	Increasing Resources $\rho$	Rate of Ideas-Stock Growth h
-68000	1.0	\$0.01	\$1,200	0.005				
-8000	4.5	\$0.12	\$1,200	0.1	0.005%	0.000%	0.000%	0.002%
-6000	4.7	\$0.18	\$900	0.2	0.035%	-0.014%	0.000%	0.003%
-3000	7.5	\$0.45	\$900	0.5	0.031%	0.000%	0.000%	0.015%
-1000	15.0	\$1.80	\$900	2	0.069%	0.000%	0.000%	0.035%
0	23.7	\$4.50	\$900	5	0.092%	0.000%	0.000%	0.046%
800	30.0	\$7.20	\$900	8	0.059%	0.000%	0.000%	0.029%
1500	58.9	\$25.00	\$1,000	25	0.163%	0.015%	0.000%	0.096%
1770	101.0	\$105.00	\$1,400	75	0.407%	0.125%	0.257%	0.200%
1870	252.0	\$490.00	\$2,800	175	0.847%	0.693%	0.405%	0.914%
2020	8439.5	\$40,000.00	\$50,000	800	1.013%	1.922%	0.175%	2.341%


Where does the “ $\rho$ ” come from?

- “Ghost acreage”—conquest and resource utilization (sugar islands, timberlands, cottonlands, etc.)
- Cultural expansion—Australia, Canada, New Zealand, & U.S.; Spain & Italy & Scandinavia; plus Japan, Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong, & Singapore


# One Figure: A Great Divergence

**From 1800 to 2018:**

- The dots start with a 3-1 spread in incomes and a 10-year spread in life expectancy.
- All the arrows go up.
- Some arrows—mostly those already to the right—go right fast.
- Other arrows go right slowly.




# China and India and America, 1800-1975



## From 1800 to 1975:

- Measured living standards and productivity levels improve fourteen-fold in the United States...
- ...& less than 30% in China & India...
- ...in spite of economic, transport, and cultural globalization...
- This is crazy!
- A “great divergence”
  - Not only were China & India relatively poor in 1800, they fell further behind thereafter

# China and India and America, 1975–2018



## From 1975-2018:

- Measured living standards and productivity levels...
- ... $54.9/25.9 = 2.12$  in America...
- ... $16.0/0.9 = 17.8$  in China...
- ... $6.9/1.2 = 5.8$  in India...

# Review: Allen: Reform and Democracy

**Robert Allen** (2017): *The Industrial Revolution: A Very Short Introduction*  
<https://delong.typepad.com/files/allen-industrial.pdf>, chs. 3, 5-6:

- Enlightenment, literacy, pamphlets, *The Rights of Man* (sells 1 million copies), & the French Revolution
- 60,000-strong Manchester demonstration in 1819: eleven killed: “Peterloo”
- “Reform that we may preserve”: 1832 Reform Bill
  - Virtual representation
  - Divide the reformers
- 1833: Factory Act—9-hour day for children under 12
- 1834: New Poor Law—workhouses



3. Peterloo massacre.

# Allen: Reform and Democracy II

**Robert Allen (2017): *The Industrial Revolution: A Very Short Introduction***  
<https://delong.typepad.com/files/allen-industrial.pdf>, chs. 3, 5-6:

- 1833: Factory Act—9-hour day for children under 12
- 1834: New Poor Law—workhouses
- 1838: People's Charter
- 1846: Corn Law Repeal
- The “condition of England”
- John Stuart Mill (1848 and 1871): “It is questionable if all the mechanical inventions yet made have lightened the day's toil of any human being. They have enabled a greater population to live the same life of drudgery and imprisonment...”
- 1846-67: Real wage stagnation ends: average consumption per head in working class families rose by 42 per cent...



Photograph of the Great Chartist Meeting on [Kennington Common](#), London in 1848

# The People's Charter

**The People's Charter called for six reforms to make the political system more democratic:**

1. A vote for every man twenty-one years of age, of sound mind, and not undergoing punishment for a crime.
2. The secret ballot to protect the elector in the exercise of his vote.
3. No property qualification for Members of Parliament in order to allow the constituencies to return the man of their choice.
4. Payment of Members, enabling tradesmen, working men, or other persons of modest means to leave or interrupt their livelihood to attend to the interests of the nation.
5. Equal constituencies, securing the same amount of representation for the same number of electors, instead of allowing less populous constituencies to have as much or more weight than larger ones.
6. Annual Parliamentary elections, thus presenting the most effectual check to bribery and intimidation, since no purse could buy a constituency under a system of universal manhood suffrage in each twelve-month period



Photograph of the Great Chartist Meeting on [Kennington Common](#), London in 1848

# Greg Clark Being Contrarian

- There were lots of technological “revolutions” before the Industrial Revolution
- But they all petered out because of low price-elasticity of demand
- Coal-steam-cotton-machinery-textiles-rails were different
  - But even that was reaching limits to growth by 1870 or so...
  - The classic British Industrial Revolution more a comparative-advantage concentration of global manufacturing than true modern economic growth...

# Karl Marx (1867): The Key is “Capitalism”— Market Economy Plus...

- Karl Marx (1867), "The Secret of Primitive Capital Accumulation," Capital, Vol. 1, Part VIII, Chapters 26-32 <http://tinyurl.com/dl20090112k>
  - “We have seen how money is changed into capital; how through capital surplus-value is made, and from surplus-value more capital. But the accumulation of capital presupposes surplus-value; surplus-value presupposes capitalistic production; capitalistic production presupposes the pre-existence of considerable masses of capital and of labour power in the hands of producers of commodities. The whole movement, therefore, seems to turn in a vicious circle, out of which we can only get by supposing a primitive accumulation (previous accumulation of Adam Smith) preceding capitalistic accumulation; an accumulation not the result of the capitalistic mode of production, but its starting point...”
  - “The immediate producer, the labourer, could only dispose of his own person after he had ceased to be attached to the soil... the slave, serf, or bondsman of another. To become a free seller of labour power... he must further have escaped from the regime of the guilds.... The historical movement which changes the producers into wage-workers... their emancipation from serfdom and from the fetters of the guilds... alone exists for our bourgeois historians...”
  - “But... these new freedmen... [were also] robbed of all their own means of production, and of all the guarantees of existence afforded by the old feudal arrangements. And the history of this, their expropriation, is written in the annals of mankind in letters of blood and fire...”
- Workers *must* work for wages...
- Capitalists *must* invest and accumulate...

# Karl Marx & Friedrich Engels

## Paean to the *Bourgeoisie*:

- “The bourgeoisie cannot exist without constantly revolutionising the instruments of production, and thereby the relations of production, and with them the whole relations of society. Conservation of the old modes of production in unaltered form, was, on the contrary, the first condition of existence for all earlier industrial classes...”



# Paean to the Bourgeoisie II

## Revolutionary Change and Unveiling:

- “Constant revolutionising of production,
- “uninterrupted disturbance of all social conditions,
- “everlasting uncertainty and agitation distinguish the bourgeois epoch from all earlier ones.
- “All fixed, fast-frozen relations, with their train of ancient and venerable prejudices and opinions, are swept away,
- “all new-formed ones become antiquated before they can ossify.
- “All that is solid melts into air,
- “all that is holy is profaned, and
- “man is at last compelled to face with sober senses his real conditions of life, and his relations with his kind...



# Paean to the Bourgeoisie III

## Globalization:

- “The bourgeoisie has subjected the country to the rule of the towns.
- “It has created enormous cities,
- “has greatly increased the urban population as compared with the rural, and
- “has thus rescued a considerable part of the population from the idiocy of rural life.
- “Just as it has made the country dependent on the towns,
- “so it has made barbarian and semi-barbarian countries dependent on the civilised ones,
- “nations of peasants on nations of bourgeois,
- “the East on the West...



# Karl Marx: Capital: Part VII: The Accumulation of Capital

This is where the book starts to sing—to me. What I got out of chapter 23:

- To quote from the *Communist Manifesto*, “the executive of the modern state is a committee for managing the affairs of the *business class*.” Wealth speaks loudly, and influences the government to arrange things for the convenience of wealth—to keep wages low, and workers available. Marx quotes a protest from *The Times* of London against the demands of capital in 1863:
  - “Mr. Edmund Potter is so impressed with the exceptional and supreme importance of the cotton masters that, in order to preserve this class and perpetuate their profession, he would keep half a million of the labouring class confined in a great moral workhouse against their will.... We must confess that we do not think it ‘worth while,’ or even possible, to keep the human machinery in order—that is to shut it up and keep it oiled till it is wanted. Human machinery will rust under inaction, oil and rub it as you may. Moreover, the human machinery will, as we have just seen, get the steam up of its own accord, and burst or run amuck...”

# “Alienation”

Capital is made up of what the workers produce:

- But what the workers produce then does not advance their interests or make them happy...
- Instead, what the workers have produced somehow escapes from human control...
- It then imposes itself on people, and bosses them around...
- Note: it's not that capital transfers human freedom and flourishing from the workers to the capitalists:
  - The capitalists have to act like capitalists—push wages down, speedup the line, and reinvest their profits
  - If they don't? They become uncompetitive go bankrupt, and become workers
- This is Marx's theory of “alienation”—what one has made then remakes you
  - “Here in America, everyone watches television. In Soviet Russia, television watches you!”

# Accumulate, Accumulate!

A capitalist market economy is driven to invest and reinvest to boost the economy's capital stock:

- “Accumulation for the sake of accumulation, production for the sake of production: this was the formula in which classical economics expressed the historical mission of the bourgeoisie in the period of its domination. Not for one instant did it deceive itself over the nature of wealth’s birth-pangs. But what use is it to lament a historical necessity? If, in the eyes of classical economics, the proletarian is merely a machine for the production of surplus-value, the capitalist too is merely a machine for the transformation of this surplus-value into surplus capital...”

A capitalist market economy is driven to become more capital intensive:

- “The law of the progressive growth of the constant part of capital in comparison with the variable part is confirmed at every step ... by the comparative analysis of the prices of commodities, whether we compare different economic epochs or different nations in the same epoch. The relative magnitude of the part of the price which represents the value of the means of production, or the constant part of the capital, is in direct proportion to the progress of accumulation, whereas the relative magnitude of the other part of the price, which represents the variable part of the capital, or the payment made for labour, is in inverse proportion to the progress of accumulation...”

# Invention and Innovation Deskill Workers, and Put Downward Pressure on the Demand for Labor

Marx believes that machinery is not a complement to but a substitute for labor:

- “Since the demand for labour is determined not by the extent of the total capital but by its variable constituent alone, that demand falls progressively with the growth of the total capital, instead of rising in proportion to it, as was previously assumed....
- “[Capital] produces indeed in direct relation with its own energy and extent, a relatively redundant working population, i.e. a population which is superfluous to capital’s average requirements for its own valorization, and is therefore a surplus population....
- “The working population therefore produces both the accumulation of capital and the means by which it is itself made relatively superfluous...”

# The “Industrial Reserve Army” of the Non-Employed

For Marx, it is inconceivable that there might be a permanent, durable increase in the average wage level:

- “The industrial reserve army, during the periods of stagnation and average prosperity, weighs down the active army of workers; during the periods of over-production and feverish activity, it puts a curb on their pretensions. The relative surplus population is therefore the background against which the law of the demand and supply of labour does its work. It confines the field of action of this law to the limits absolutely convenient to capital’s drive to exploit and dominate the workers...”
- Note that the century and a half after Marx wrote saw wages multiply tenfold in the Global North world economy core.
- There is something wrong with the argument...

# Forest of Outstretched Arms...

In fact, for Marx it is inconceivable the average wage level will stay above bare subsistence:

- Karl Marx:
  - “The most diverse machines are now applied to the manufacture of the machines themselves.... The labourers employed in machine factories can but play the role of very stupid machines alongside of the highly ingenious machines.... To sum up: the more productive capital grows, the more it extends the division of labour and the application of machinery; the more the division of labour and the application of machinery extend, the more does competition extend among the workers, the more do their wages shrink together.... A mass of small business men and of people living upon the interest of their capitals is precipitated into the ranks of the working class.... Thus the forest of outstretched arms, begging for work, grows ever thicker, while the arms themselves grow every leaner...”
- Note that the century and a half after Marx wrote saw wages multiply tenfold in the Global North world economy core.
- There is something wrong with the argument...

# Karl Marx: Summing Up

## Marx and His Vision:

- Marx's vision: utopia is now, thanks to the epoch of the *bourgeoisie*, within our grasp
  - But the *bourgeoisie* that has performed this historic task is now the major obstacle to utopia
- The Marxist movement: really-existing-socialism 1917-1991 not the brightest light on humanity's tree of good ideas...
- Marx's three-stage trajectory: philosopher/analyst/economist
- Marx's three intellectual faces: prophet, political analyst, economist:
  - Marx the prophet... unhelpful for this world (New Jerusalem)
  - Marx the organizer and analyst... largely wrong (capitalism stripping away the veil of illusion; ruling class will never moderate the system; factory and workers solidarity as the future)
  - Marx the economist... wrong and right

# Karl Marx the Economist

## The Good:

- Three:
  - Fever-fits of financial crisis and business cycle
  - Among the first to understand how transformative the Industrial Revolution would be
  - Very insightful about the history of industrialization
- Marx's genius responsible for the good

## The Bad:

- Also three:
  - Capital always a substitute for labor
  - Markets as always a source of mystification and oppression
  - Market economy cannot deliver a good division of income
- Hegel, Manchester, the (inherited from Ricardo) Labor Theory of Value, and stubbornness responsible for the bad

# Sources of Marx's Misconceptions: Hegel

Here is Engels trying to make Marx's coquetting with Hegel's modes of expression clear:

- "Marx says: 'It is the negation of negation. This re-establishes individual property, but on the basis of the acquisitions of the capitalist era, i.e., on co-operation of free workers and their possession in common of the land and of the means of production produced by labour...'"
  - The state of things brought about by the expropriation of the expropriators is therefore characterised as the re-establishment of individual property, but *on the basis* of the social ownership of the land and of the means of production produced by labour itself.
  - To anyone who understands plain talk this means that social ownership extends to the land and the other means of production, and individual ownership to the products, that is, the articles of consumption.
  - And in order to make the matter comprehensible even to children of six, Marx assumes on page 56 'a community of free individuals, carrying on their work with the means of production in common, in which the labour-power of all the different individuals is consciously applied as the combined labour-power of the community', that is, a society organised on a socialist basis; and
  - he continues: 'The total product of our community is a social product. One portion serves as fresh means of production and remains social. But another portion is consumed by the members as means of subsistence. A distribution of this portion amongst them is consequently necessary'.
  - And surely that is clear enough even for Herr Dühring, in spite of his having Hegel on his brain..."
- This does not help

# Sources of Marx's Misconceptions

## **Manchester:**

- Manchester, especially in the aftermath of the Irish Potato Famine, an unusual outlier—not a model for the future...

## **The Labor Theory of Value:**

- It just does not work...

## **Stubbornness:**

- What do you do when the world surprises you?
- Do you double down, and turn all the ingenuity of your brain to figuring out reasons why what you had thought to be true and what is apparently false is true after all?
- Or do you mark your beliefs to market?

# A Paragraph to Note

**How should we read this paragraph?:**

- “The law... of surplus-value produced... clearly contradicts all experience based on appearance.... A cotton spinner, who... employs... little variable capital, does not, on account of this, pocket less profit or surplus-value than a baker... [with] much variable... capital. For the solution of this apparent contradiction, many intermediate terms are as yet wanted, as from the standpoint of elementary algebra many intermediate terms are wanted to understand that  $0/0$  may represent an actual magnitude.... It will be seen later how the school of Ricardo has come to grief over this stumbling block. Vulgar economy which, indeed, ‘has really learnt nothing’, here as everywhere sticks to appearances in opposition to the law which regulates and explains them. In opposition to Spinoza, it believes that “ignorance is a sufficient reason”...
- That equal capitals deployed over equal times with equal degrees of risk yield equal profits independent of how many workers their deployment sets to work should have made Marx rethink.
- But it did not: instead it made him add epicycle upon epicycle...

# David Landes: Why Northwest Europe? Why Not China?

## China had two chances:

- First, to generate a continuing, self-sustaining process of scientific and technological advance on the basis of its indigenous traditions and achievements
- Second, to learn from European science and technology once the foreign “barbarians” entered the Chinese domain in the sixteenth century.
- The first failure has elicited much scholarly inquiry and analysis. And yet it remains an abiding mystery.
- Chinese priority:
  - **Textiles:** a power-driven spinning machine in the thirteenth century, some 500 years before the England of the Industrial Revolution knew water frames and mules
  - **Iron:** China early learned to use coal and probably coke (as against charcoal) in blast furnaces for smelting iron and were turning out perhaps as many as 125,000 tons of pig iron by the later eleventh
  - **Other industries:** the wheelbarrow, the stirrup, the rigid horse collar (to prevent choking), the compass, paper, printing, gunpowder, porcelain
- The mystery lies in the failure of China to realize the potential of some of the most important of these inventions....
- Chinese industrial history offers a number of examples of technological regression and oblivion:
  - The machine to spin hemp was never adapted to the manufacture of cotton
  - Cotton spinning was never mechanized
  - Coal/coke smelting was allowed to fall into disuse, along with the iron industry

# Landes: Conventional Explanations (of European Success) Inadequate

**But almost every element usually regarded by historians as a major contributory cause to the Industrial Revolution in north-western Europe was also present in China:**

- A revolution in the relations between social classes, at least in the countryside
- Only Galilean-Newtonian science was missing; but in the short run this was not important.
- Had the Chinese possessed, or developed, the seventeenth-century European mania for tinkering and improving, they could easily have made an efficient spinning machine out of the primitive model described by Wang Chen.
- A steam engine would have been more difficult; but it should not have posed insuperable difficulties to a people who had been building double-acting piston flame-throwers in the Sung dynasty.
- The crucial point is that nobody tried.
- In most fields, agriculture being the chief exception, Chinese technology stopped progressing well before the point at which a lack of scientific knowledge had become a serious obstacle

# Landes: Sinologist Explanations (of China's Failure)

## Partial explanations only:

- First, China lacked a free market and institutionalized property rights.
- The Chinese state was always stepping in to interfere with private enterprise—to take over certain activities, to prohibit and inhibit others, to manipulate prices, to exact bribes:
  - Motivated by a desire to reserve labor to agriculture
  - To control important resources (salt and iron, for example)
  - By an appetite for revenue (the story of the goose that laid the golden eggs is a leitmotif of Chinese history);
  - By fear and disapproval of self-enrichment, except by officials, giving rise in turn to abundant corruption and rent-seeking
  - By a distaste for maritime trade... [seen] as a diversion from imperial concerns, as a divisive force and source of income inequality in the ecumenical empire, and worse yet, as an invitation to exit.
  - This state intervention and interference encountered evasion and resistance; indeed, the very needs of state compelled a certain tolerance for disobedience.
- Still, the goal, the aim, the ideal was the ineffable stillness of immobility.
- The Hongwu (“Vast Martial”) emperor... He wanted rather to immobilize the realm. People were to stay put and move only with the permission of the state—at home and abroad. People who went outside China without permission were liable to execution on their return. The Ming code of core laws also sought to block social mobility, with severe penalties for those jumping professional and occupational barriers.
- The reason the Chinese did not develop based on their scientific knowledge is that no one was trying. Why try?
- In all this, the contrast with Europe was marked.

# Landes: Sinologist Explanations (of China's Failure) II

**Elvin (1973, pp. 224–225) captures some of this:**

- It was the great size of the Chinese Empire which made the adoption of the policies of the Ming emperors possible.
- In a Chinese subcontinent made up of smaller independent states, like those of the Five Dynasties [907-960 C.E.] or the Ten Kingdoms, no government could have afforded to close itself off. International economic interdependence (as that between regions would have become) would have removed this option; and the need for diplomatic and military alliances, and revenue from foreign trade, would have made isolationism undesirable.
- With smaller states, there might also have been, as there was in north-western Europe in early modern times, a closer conscious identification of the governed with their countries and rulers.
- Why this peculiarly European joy in discovery? This pleasure in the new and better? This cultivation of invention—or what some have called “the invention of invention”?
- Different scholars have suggested a variety of reasons, typically related to religious values;
- The Judaeo-Christian respect for manual labor, summed up in a number of biblical injunctions. One example will suffice: when God warns Noah of the coming flood and tells him he will be saved, it is not God who saves him. “Build thee an ark of gopher wood,” says the Lord
- The Judaeo-Christian subordination of nature to man—a sharp departure from widespread animistic beliefs and practices that saw something of the divine in every tree and stream (hence the naiads and dryads).
- The Judaeo-Christian sense of linear time. Other societies thought of time as cyclical, returning to earlier stages and starting over again.
- In the last analysis, however, I would stress the role of the market:
  - Enterprise was free in Europe
  - Innovation worked and paid,
  - Rulers and vested interests were narrowly constrained in what they could do to prevent or discourage innovation
  - Success bred imitation and emulation