

Problem One

- 1) Show that A_4 has a normal subgroup $H \triangleleft A_4$ isomorphic to $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- 2) Show that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ has a unique subgroup of order 3.
- 3) Conclude that $A_4 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ where $\phi: \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is the inclusion of the unique subgroup of order 3.

~ Let $H = \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle$. Computation of the elements is straightforward:

$$H = \{1, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$$

$H \triangleleft S_4$ since $H < S_4$ and is a union of conjugacy classes ^{or class of} ~~of type~~ of type $(2,2)$ and identity. But, every element of H is an even permutation since every element is either the identity or has cycle type $(2,2)$. Hence, $H \triangleleft A_4$ as $A_4 < S_4$.

There are only two groups of order 4 up to isomorphism: $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ contains an element of order 4 (eg: the residue class of 1 mod 4) and all non-identity elements of H have order 2, it follows that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

~ We have that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong S_3$ (any permutation of the non-identity elements give rise to an automorphism). The unique subgroup of order 3 is the subgroup generated by the automorphism which cyclically permutes the non-identity elements of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ~ the cyclic subgroup that corresponds to $\langle (123) \rangle$ in S_3 . The uniqueness of this subgroup follows from the Sylow Theorems: the number n_3 of Sylow 3-subgroups of a group of order 6 divides 2 and satisfies $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ hence $n_3 = 1$.

~ The 3-cycle (123) is even (cycle of odd length), hence $K \equiv \langle (123) \rangle < A_4$. By Lagrange's Theorem, $H \cap K = \{1\}$ (even non-identity element of H has order 2, and A_4 has order 3). Since $H \triangleleft A_4$, $HK \leq A_4$. But $|HK| = |H||K| = 4 \times 3 = 12 = |A_4|$. Hence $A_4 = HK$.

Equivalently, $A_4 \cong H \rtimes_{\phi} K$, where $\phi: K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ and $\phi(k)$ is the conjugation map by k .

Problem 2

- ~ Finish the proof started in the lecture that A_n is simple for $n \geq 5$ as follows:
Suppose $N \triangleleft A_n$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup, in particular it contains a non-trivial element $\sigma \in N$.

1) Depending on the cycle decomposition of σ find a 3-cycle (ijk) such that $\sigma(ijk)\sigma^{-1}(kji)$ is either a 3-cycle or we land in one of the cases:

a) σ contains a ≥ 4 -cycle

→ Suppose that the cycle decomposition of σ contains a cycle of length at least 4: $\sigma = \rho(ijkl\dots)$ then with ρ disjoint

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(ijk)\sigma^{-1}(kji) &= \rho(\sigma(i)\sigma(j)\sigma(k))(kji) \\ &= (jkl)(kji) \\ &= (ilkj)\end{aligned}$$

b) σ contains at least two 3-cycles

→ Suppose that the cycle decomposition of σ contains at least two 3-cycles

$\sigma = \rho(ijk)(lmn)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(ijk)\sigma^{-1}(kji) &= \rho\rho^{-1}(\sigma(i)\sigma(j)\sigma(k))(kji) \\ &= (jkm)(kji) \\ &= (ilkmj)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(ilkmj) \in N \Rightarrow$ we can reduce to (a) by replacing σ with a 5-cycle.

c) σ contains 1 3-cycle and 1 2-cycle

→ Suppose that the cycle decomposition of σ contains a 3-cycle and a 2-cycle (ρ disjoint and product of disjoint 2-cycles), then

$$\sigma = \rho(ijk)(lm)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \sigma(ijk)\sigma^{-1}(kji) &= \rho\rho^{-1}(\sigma(i)\sigma(j)\sigma(k))(kji) \\ &= (jkl)(kji) \\ &= (ilkmj)\end{aligned}$$

• Hence, $(ilkmj) \in N$ and we are reduced to case (a) as above (b).

a) σ contains only 2-cycles:

$\sigma = \rho(ij)(kl)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(ijkl)\sigma^{-1}(ijkl)^{-1} &= (\sigma(i)\sigma(j)\sigma(k))(\sigma(l))(\sigma(ji)) \\ &= (jil)(lji) \\ &= (ijl)\end{aligned}$$

Hence N contains a 3-cycle.

2) Conclude that A_n is simple

→ If case (a) fails, then there is at most one 3-cycle in the cycle decomposition. If case (b) fails, then there is at most one 3-cycle in the cycle decomposition. If the cycle decomposition is a 3-cycle, we are done.

Otherwise, the cycle decomposition contains a 2-cycle and a 3-cycle, which is case (c) or contains two 2-cycles, in which case (d). Thus, it follows from (I) that N contains a 3-cycle. But N is normal, hence is a union of conjugacy classes. Hence, N contains the conjugacy class of the 3-cycles it contains. But, all 3-cycles are conjugate in A_n , $n \geq 5$. Hence A_n contains all 3-cycles. But, A_n is generated by all 3-cycles for $n \geq 3$. Hence $N = A_n$ and it follows that A_n contains no non-trivial proper normal subgroup for $n \geq 5$ i.e. A_n is simple for $n \geq 5$.

Problem Three

Let G be a group and $S \subseteq G$ a subset. We define the group generated by S to be

$$\langle S \rangle \equiv \bigcap_{S \subseteq H \leq G} H$$

Show that for any group K a group homomorphism $\phi: \langle S \rangle \rightarrow K$ is completely determined by the restriction $\phi|_S: S \rightarrow K$. That is, show that

if we have a group homomorphism $\phi_1, \phi_2: \langle S \rangle \rightarrow K$ and that

$$\phi_1(s) = \phi_2(s) \quad \forall s \in S, \text{ then}$$

$$\phi_1 = \phi_2.$$

→ Let $\alpha \in \langle S \rangle$ and let $\phi_1, \phi_2: \langle S \rangle \rightarrow K$ be group homomorphisms which satisfy $\phi_1(s) = \phi_2(s) \quad \forall s \in S$. We must show that there exists finitely many elements $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S$ such that

$$\alpha = s_1^{e_1} \dots s_n^{e_n}$$

as therefore

$$\phi_1(\alpha) = \phi_1(s_1^{e_1} \dots s_n^{e_n}) = \phi_1(s_1^{e_1}) \dots \phi_1(s_n^{e_n}) = \phi_2(s_1^{e_1}) \dots \phi_2(s_n^{e_n}) = \phi_2(\alpha)$$

Firstly, define a set \bar{S} to be the subset of G consisting of all finite products of elements of S and their inverses:

$$\bar{S} = \{ s_1^{e_1} \dots s_n^{e_n} \mid s_i \in S, e_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \}$$

where $\bar{S} = \{1\}$ if $S = \emptyset$. This $\bar{S} \neq \emptyset$. If $y = t_1^{d_1} \dots t_n^{d_n}$ is in \bar{S} , then $y^{-1} = t_n^{-d_n} \dots t_1^{-d_1}$ is also in $\bar{S} \Rightarrow \bar{S}$ is closed under inverses of elements.

If $\alpha = s_1^{e_1} \dots s_n^{e_n}$ is any other element of \bar{S} , then

$$\alpha y^{-1} = s_1^{e_1} \dots s_n^{e_n} t_n^{-d_n} \dots t_1^{-d_1}$$

which is, again, in \bar{S} . Thus, it follows that $\bar{S} \leq G$. But, for $s \in S \Rightarrow s \in \bar{S}$, that is $S \subseteq \bar{S}$. Hence $\langle S \rangle \leq \bar{S}$.

Problem 4

Let n be a natural number and define the group

$$G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \mid R \rangle$$

where R is the set of relations consisting of

$$s_i^2, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(s_i s_{i+1})^3, \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

$$(s_i s_j)^2, \forall i, j \text{ such that } |i-j| > 1$$

Show that there exists a surjective group homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow S_{n+1}$

→ Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be the generating set and define a map $\phi: S \rightarrow S_{n+1}$ by $s_i \mapsto (i \ i+1)$

Then $\phi(s_i)^2 = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ since every transposition has order 2. Next, we have

$$[\phi(s_i)\phi(s_{i+1})]^3 = [(i \ i+1)(i+1 \ i+2)]^3 = [(i \ i+1 \ i+2)]^3 = 1$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ since every 3-cycle has order 3. Next, we have

$$[\phi(s_i)\phi(s_j)]^2 = [(i \ i+1)(j \ j+1)]^2 = (i \ i+1)^2 (j \ j+1)^2 = 1$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|i-j| > 1$, since disjoint cycles commute.

Let $(i \ j)$ be any transposition in S_{n+1} . If $|i-j| = 1$, then $\phi(s) = (i \ j)$ for some $s \in S$. Suppose instead that $|i-j| > 1$ and assume $i < j-1$. Then

$$(i \ j) = (i \ i+1) \dots (j-2 \ j-1)(j-1 \ j)(j-2 \ j-1) \dots (i \ i+1)$$

Therefore, $\phi(S)$ generates all transpositions in S_{n+1} and hence generates S_{n+1} (every permutation can be written as a product of transpositions).

→ By Problem (3), we can extend ϕ to a group homomorphism

$\phi: G \rightarrow S_{n+1}$ by $\phi|_S = \phi$, by requiring that ϕ is a group homomorphism.

It is clear by definition of the restriction of the homomorphism that it is a surjection.