Test doc CCC contract 2/13/18

**Testing with ganache-cli and truffle (started at beginning of readability-of-string-params branch)**

**Pass 1: (party set to “party”)**

Contract: currentc

new trade contract address = 0x302bda9657a600323b0a76c69a4395d7ba6dc47d

trade number = 1

party info for trade 1 = 0x7061727479000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

main contract owner address = 0xf8cb91f0f6bfa260dfa212f4ba009f34c07a124c

2nd new trade contract address = 0x33e87ad4b1abf7d25245c872113846270fcefa72

trade number after 2nd trade = 2

√ should create a dummy trade contract (253ms)

1 passing (271ms)

**Pass 2: (party set to “nothing”)**

Contract: currentc

new trade contract address = 0xc6a09e68f4e735e929f975327e690756025420e8

trade number = 1

party info for trade 1 = 0x6e6f7468696e6700000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

main contract owner address = 0xf8cb91f0f6bfa260dfa212f4ba009f34c07a124c

2nd new trade contract address = 0xe2dd29439bc801dd5bc361d925bbb67f6fc410d9

trade number after 2nd trade = 2

√ should create a dummy trade contract (378ms)

1 passing (405ms)

**Pass 3: (party set to “party”, again)**

Contract: currentc

new trade contract address = 0xeeea03c4c374847ccb8e932c95c269a7692130fe

trade number = 1

party info for trade 1 = 0x7061727479000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

main contract owner address = 0xf8cb91f0f6bfa260dfa212f4ba009f34c07a124c

2nd new trade contract address = 0x76a5f63746d2f81e32971d3d50fc3e108868e461

trade number after 2nd trade = 2

√ should create a dummy trade contract (300ms)

1 passing (320ms)

**Notice that the third pass and the first pass (those that have the same party value) show the same value for “party info for trade 1”, it just is not in readable form. This means that the correct information is almost surely being stored, there is just a problem with the type of the variable.**