

# Exercise 4

Machine Learning I

4A-1.

## Prerequisites

Leibnitz rule for constants  $-\infty < a, b < +\infty$ :

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( \int_a^b f(x, t) dt \right) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, t) dt$$

Additionally, let at least one of the following conditions hold:

(i)  $f(x, t)$  is measurable and nonnegative

(ii)  $\int_a^b |f(x, t)| dt$  is finite

then we can switch the order of integration according to Tonelli/Fubini, respectively.

Note: In our case, at least one of (i), (ii) is nearly always satisfied. Think about why.

Lastly, we need (\*) *Theorem 1* concerning uniform convergence from these notes:

<http://www.math.ucla.edu/~tao/resource/general/131bh.1.03s/week45.pdf>

Let  $D$  be the domain of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[y(\mathbf{x}) - t] &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_D (y(\mathbf{x}) - t)^2 p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} dt \\ &= \underbrace{\int_D \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (y(\mathbf{x}) - t)^2 p(\mathbf{x}, t) dt d\mathbf{x}}_{\text{Fubini/Tonelli}} \\ &= \int_D p(\mathbf{x}) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (y(\mathbf{x}) - t)^2 p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

Minimizing the loss cumulative loss for all  $\mathbf{t}$  equals minimizing the loss for each  $t_i$  separately(\*\*). Note:

Inside the interior integral,  $\mathbf{x}$  is constant. Let  $y(\mathbf{x}) = z$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (z - t)^2 p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt &= \underbrace{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z - t)^2 p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt}_{\text{Leibnitz rule}} \\ &= 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (z - t) p(t|\mathbf{x}) dt \end{aligned}$$

We can now solve for  $z$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (z - t)p(t|\mathbf{x})dt &= 0 \\
 \Leftrightarrow z \underbrace{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} p(t|\mathbf{x})dt}_{=1} &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} tp(t|\mathbf{x})dt \\
 \Leftrightarrow y(\mathbf{x}) &= E[t|\mathbf{x}]
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the Leibnitz rule, this only holds for finite limits  $t_1, t_2$ . To extend this proof to the infinite domain, we construct the sequence:

$$f'_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{-n}^n (z - t)^2 p(t|\mathbf{x})dt$$

Because probabilities sum to one, if  $n$  tends to infinity,  $p(t|\mathbf{x})$  vanishes for most  $t_i$ . The  $(z - t)^2$  will not compensate that, as we required  $E[y(\mathbf{x}) - t]$  to be finite earlier.

Accordingly,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n$  converges to  $g$  uniformly. Due to (\*), the functions  $f_n$  converge uniformly to  $f$ , with  $f' = g$ .

Spoken plainly, this means if we have an infinite domain  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can approximate the solution arbitrarily close by increasing  $[t_1, t_2]$ .

(\*\*) Only because the  $x_i$  are independent. In our situation this is the case, otherwise we would also have to integrate over all possibilities  $p(x|x_i, \dots x_0)$ .

## 4A-2.

### Auxiliary calculation

Using the Jacobian integral substitution, the area of an infinitesimal  $d$ -dimensional volume element is

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^n V &= \left| \det \frac{\partial(x_i)}{\partial(r, \phi_j)} \right| dr d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1} \\
 d^n V &= \left| \det \frac{\partial(x_i)}{\partial(r, \phi_j)} \right| dr d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1} \\
 &= r^{n-1} \underbrace{\sin^{n-2} \theta_1 \sin^{n-3} \theta_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \sin \theta_{n-2}}_{g(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})} dr d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1} \\
 &= r^{n-1} g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) dr d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Above can be seen here:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/N-sphere#Spherical\\_coordinates](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/N-sphere#Spherical_coordinates)

Furthermore: We have  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = r^2$  for all  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , because the squared length of a coordinate point is  $r^2$ .

The surface area  $S_D = S_{n-1}$  of an  $n$  dimensional sphere with radius  $r$  is denoted by

$$S_{n-1} = \frac{dV_n(R)}{dR} = nC_n R^{n-1}$$

where  $V_n(R)$  denotes the volume of a sphere of radius  $R$ .

This can be seen here:

[http://scipp.ucsc.edu/~haber/ph116A/volume\\_11.pdf](http://scipp.ucsc.edu/~haber/ph116A/volume_11.pdf)

There we can also find the identity (Eq.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
 nC_n &= \int \dots \int d\Omega_{n-1} \\
 (*) &= \int \dots \int g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) &= \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^\pi \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}}_{pdf \text{ normal dist.}} \underbrace{r^{d-1} \sin^{d-2} \theta_1 \sin^{d-3} \theta_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \sin \theta_{d-2} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{d-1}}_{infinitesimal \text{ area}} dr \\
 &= \int_0^r (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} r^{d-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^\pi \underbrace{g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1}}_{=nC_n \cdot 1, acc.to. (*)} dr \\
 &= \int_0^r (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} r^{d-1} S_D dr
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Ergo } p(r)dr = S_D r^{d-1} (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}.$$

Now we are looking for the maximum density  $\max_r p(r)$ :

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left[ \log r^{d-1} + \log e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} \right] = \frac{(d-1)r^{d-2}}{r^{d-1}} - r = 0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (d-1) = r^2.$$

Because radii are non-negative, we have a maximum at  $\sqrt{d-1}$ .

Now if we set  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{d-1}$ , we get

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{0})} = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{0}\|^2}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)}.$$

## 4A-3.

Let  $L(\mathbf{w})$ :

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n))^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

Derivative of  $\ln L(\mathbf{w})$  with respect to  $w_i$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \ln L(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_i(x_n) (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)) + \lambda w_i$$

Conversion to matrix/vector operations:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \phi_i(x_n) (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)) + \lambda w_i = \text{col}_i(\boldsymbol{\Phi})^T (\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w}) + \lambda w_i$$

Generalized for all  $w$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \ln L(\mathbf{w}) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T (\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w}) + \lambda \mathbf{w}$$

Setting zero and solving for  $\mathbf{w}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}^T (\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w}) + \lambda \mathbf{w} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} + (-\boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \boldsymbol{\Phi} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{w} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{w} = (-\lambda \mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \boldsymbol{\Phi})^+ \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t}$$

As usual,  $\mathbf{A}^+$  denotes the Penrose pseudo inverse.

Because  $\lambda$  can be an arbitrary normative factor, it is also possible to write:

$$\mathbf{w} = (\lambda \mathbf{I} + \Phi^T \Phi)^+ \Phi^T \mathbf{t}$$

