# Exercise 1

Machine Learning I

## 1A-1.

Let *X* be the day and *Y* the exact amount of rain. If *Y* is normal, then *X*, *Y* is mixed.

## 1A-2.

Counterexample:

Given X, let A, B be the results of two successive *independent* coin tosses.

Let 
$$C = B$$
.

We now have:

$$P(A, B|X) = \underbrace{P(A|X) \cdot P(B|X)}_{conditional independence A,B}$$

$$P(A,C|X) = P(A,B|X) = \underbrace{P(A|X) \cdot P(C|X)}_{conditional independence A,B}$$

But:

$$P(B,C|X) \underset{C=B}{\neq} P(B|X) \cdot P(C|X). \square$$

This can be further analyzed.

We have the following constraints:

Given the above restrictions R, we analyze the validity of the relationship:

$$R \Longrightarrow P(B,C|X) = P(B|X) \cdot P(C|X)$$

Solution: Transform the joint probability P(B, C|X) into

$$P(B,C|X) = P(C|B,X) \cdot P(B|X).$$

Excluding the degenerate case P(B|X) = 0, this leads to:

$$P(B,C|X) = P(B|X) \cdot P(C|X)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(C|B,X) \cdot P(B|X) = P(B|X) \cdot P(C|X)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(C|B,X) = P(C|X)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{P(C,B,X)}{P(B,X)} = P(C|X).$$

The above only holds iff P(C|B,X) = P(C|X), which is generally not true, so conditional independence of A,B and A,C is not sufficient for transitivity.

Just imagine: If P(C|B,X) = P(C|X) were always valid, we could not learn about C by gathering data B,X. In other words: More data would not make our estimates any better. This runs counter to most situations.

### 1A-3.

Definition of the events:

E = Person is guilty,

T = Person passes the test.

(i) The negations  $\overline{T}$ ,  $\overline{E}$  can be read as *not*.

$$P(E|\overline{T}) = \frac{P(\overline{T}|E) \cdot P(E)}{P(\overline{T})} = \frac{\frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3}}{\frac{7}{18}} = \frac{5}{7},$$
with
$$P(\overline{T}|E) = \frac{5}{6},$$

$$P(E) = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$P(\overline{T}) = P(E) \cdot P(\overline{T}|E) + P(\overline{E}) \cdot P(\overline{T}|E) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{5}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{7}{18}$$
(ii)
$$P(E|\overline{T},\overline{T}) = \frac{P(\overline{T},\overline{T}|E) \cdot P(E)}{P(\overline{T},\overline{T})} = \frac{P(\overline{T}|E) \cdot P(\overline{T}|E) \cdot P(E)}{P(\overline{T},\overline{T})} = \frac{\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2} = 0.\overline{925}.$$

Using the conditional independence of  $P(\overline{T}, \overline{T}|E)$  and independence of testing  $P(\overline{T}, \overline{T}|E)$ .

## 1A-4.

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} i \cdot P(X = i) = (1 + 2 + 3) \cdot \frac{1}{12} + (4 + 5) \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 6 \cdot \frac{5}{12} = 4.5.$$

$$Var[X] = E[X^{2}] - E[X]^{2} = \left[ (1 + 4 + 9) \cdot \frac{1}{12} + (16 + 25) \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 36 \cdot \frac{5}{12} \right] - 4.5^{2} = \frac{276}{12} - 4.5^{2}$$

$$= 2.75.$$

$$E[X_{1} + E_{2}] = 2 \cdot E[X_{1}] = 9.$$

$$E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])] = E[XY - XE[Y] - YE[X] + E[X]E[Y]]$$

$$= E[XY] - E[Y]E[X] - E[X]E[Y] + E[X]E[Y]$$

$$= \underbrace{E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]}_{*}$$

$$= 0.$$

$$E[XY] = \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} xy \cdot f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy = \int_{y_0}^{y_1} y \int_{x_0}^{x_1} x \cdot \underbrace{f_X(x) f_y(y)}_{independence} dx dy$$

$$= \int_{y_0}^{y_1} y f_y(y) \int_{x_0}^{x_1} x f_X(x) dx dy = \int_{y_0}^{y_1} y f_y(y) \cdot E[X] dy = E[X] \int_{y_0}^{y_1} y f_y(y) dy$$

$$= E[X]E[Y].$$

Utilizing that \*E[XY] = E[X]E[Y] if X, Y are independent.

If X, Y are discrete equivalent steps can be taken to prove the conjecture.