

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1)
July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 25-1375

Short Case Caption: STARTZ v. ARMY

Filing Party/Entity: SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ JR

Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
MSPB	SF-1221-23-0258-W-1	WHISTLEBLOWER & OTHER

Relief sought on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

i am seeking relief for the EEO Violations, Fraud, Conspiracy to Obstruct Civil Right elements, Anti -Trust Elements and violations of Prohibited Personnel

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): ☐ None/Not Applicable

Damages include normal whistleblower award portions of recovered funds by the Army, my portions of fines to individuals and corporations. back pay and benefits, punitive damages for the malicious conduct, future pay to age 70 or a role within

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:

The final decision did not recognize the lack of responsiveness; literally every step of the way, to comply and work toward resolve. My disclosure to the DoD OIG is

Nature of judgment (select one):

Date of judgment: 1/17/25

☒ Final Judgment, 28 USC § 1295☐ Rule 54(b)☐ Interlocutory Order (specify type) _____☐ Other (explain) _____

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2)
July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. ☒ None/Not Applicable

Issues to be raised on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

EEO, Fraud and Conspiracy to commit Fraud, Anti - Trust, PPP Violations, Civil Rights Violations

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

☒ Yes ☒ No

If "yes," when were the last such discussions?

- ☒ Before the case was filed below
☐ During the pendency of the case below
☐ Following the judgment/order appealed from

If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If they were mediated, by whom?

MSPB Judge Ordered the US Army Agency Representative and I enroll in "MAPS" the government mediation process. I submitted my form on 5-14-2023 /

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Explain.

if Gwendolyn Smith had been real and not a fictitious example of Abuse of Power by Stacy Keely, the case would have likely settled. That said had Stacy Keely responded to my original outcry for reasonable accommodations rather than commit fraud and conspiracy towards my civil rights I would not be filing this Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program.

I do feel this case would best be suited for mediation in an effort to bring this matter to a means to and end and justice.

Date: 1/22/25

Signature:

Sherman Startz

Name:

SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ JR