UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

FED. CIR. R. 15(c) STATEMENT CONCERNING DISCRIMINATION

SF-1221-23-0258-W-1
STARTZ vs USARMY / WHISTLEBLOWER AND OTHE
SHERMAN S STARTZ JR

Purpose: This form is to help determine the proper forum for judicial review of a decision of the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB) or an Arbitrator, and in particular, those cases in which the federal employee has attributed the adverse employment action, in whole or in part, to bias based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, or handicapping condition, in violation of federal antidiscrimination laws.

This court, while empowered to review MSPB and Arbitrator decisions dealing solely with civil-service claims, lacks authority to decide matters in which claims arising under federal discrimination laws have been asserted and not abandoned. Rather, the proper forum for judicial review of such matters is a federal district court. This form will assist the court in determining whether it needs to transfer a matter to a district court.

Instructions: Complete Section A. Complete Sections B and C only as directed by your answer to Section A.

Section A

Before the MSPB or the Arbitrator, did you argue that the adverse employment action (1) was attributable to discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, or handicapping condition <u>or</u> (2) was retaliation for pursuing Equal Employment Opportunity activity?

~	Yes (complete Sections B and C)	\square No (ignore Sections B and C)

Section B

Complete this section only if you answered "Yes" to the question in Section A.					
If you answered "No" to the question in Section A, skip this section.					
1. Identify the discrimination claim(s) you raised before the MSPB or Arbitrator.					
As a result of retaliation I asked for reasonable accommodation as a result of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder caused by retaliation from the same Native Corporation and employees. first as a civilian employee and then as a federal employee. My request was					

denied and ultimately it revealed a compromised EEO director whom has been replaced

2.	Have you filed a discrimination case in a United States district court from the MSPB's or Arbitrator's decision?				
	✓ Yes □ No				
	If yes, please identify the case name(s) and number(s) and the status of the case(s) in the box below.				
	SHERMAN S STARTZ vs UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ARMY				
	SF-1221-23-0258-W-1				
	MSPB FINAL DECISION 01-17-2025				
3.	Have you filed a discrimination case with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission from the MSPB's or Arbitrator's decision?				
	✓ Yes □ No				
	If yes, please identify the case name(s) and number(s) and the status of the case(s) in the box below.				

DECISION 3-14-2024
THESE ELEMENTS CARRIED TO IRA SF-1221-23-0258-W-1 INITIAL
APPEAL FILED 3-28-2023 FINAL DECISION 01-17-2025

SF-315H-22-0532-I-1 INITIAL APPEAL DATE 7-1-2022 AND 8-4-2022, FINAL

$\underline{\mathbf{Section}\;C}$

Complete this section only if you answ	vered "Yes" to	the question in Section A.		
If you answered "No" to the question i	n Section A, s	kip this section.		
Check <u>only one</u> of the boxes below.				
☐ Although I did claim that I was a Arbitrator, I wish to abandon thos service claims in the Federal Circu and civil-service claims in district be able to raise the discrimination	se discriminat uit rather thar court. I unde	tion claims and only pursue civil- n pursuing discrimination claims rstand that this means I will not		
I did claim that I was discriminated against before the MSPB or the Arbitrator and I do <u>not</u> wish to abandon my discrimination claims.				
CER	TIFICATION			
I certify the above information and an and complete to the best of my knowle	•	eets (as necessary) are accurate		
Date: <u>1/17/25</u>	Signature:	Sherman Startz		
	Name:	SHERMAN S STARTZ JR		