

SHERMAN S. STARTZ v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Docket # SF-1221-23-0258-W-1
MOTION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE
Summary Page

Case Title : SHERMAN S. STARTZ v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Docket Number : SF-1221-23-0258-W-1

Pleading Title : MOTION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Filer's Name : Sherman S. Startz

Filer's Pleading Role : Appellant

Details about the supporting documentation

#	Title/ Description	Mode of Delivery
1	ATTACHMENT 1 EMAILS "THE SEARCH FOR GWENDOLYN"	Uploaded
2	ATTACHMENT 2 EISLER EMAILS "DISCOVERY RESPONSE"	Uploaded
3	ATTACHMENT 3 EISER / STARTZ EMAIL DISCOVERY CLARIF	Uploaded
4	ATTACHMENT 4 AGENCY DISCOVERY RESPONSE	Uploaded
5	ATTACHMENT 5 MEMORANDUM REBUTAL	Uploaded
6	ATTACHMENT 6 ALEUT "WE ARE ONE"	Uploaded

Table of Contents

Pleading Interview	3
Uploaded Pleading Text Document	4
ATTACHMENT 1 EMAILS "THE SEARCH FOR GWENDOLYN"	7
ATTACHMENT 2 EISLER EMAILS "DISCOVERY RESPONSE"	10
ATTACHMENT 3 EISER / STARTZ EMAIL DISCOVERY CLARIF	12
ATTACHMENT 4 AGENCY DISCOVERY RESPONSE	13
ATTACHMENT 5 MEMORANDUM REBUTAL	24
ATTACHMENT 6 ALEUT "WE ARE ONE"	32
Certificate of Service	39

SHERMAN S. STARTZ v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Docket # SF-1221-23-0258-W-1
MOTION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE
Online Interview

1. Would you like to enter the text online or upload a file containing the pleading?

See attached pleading text document

2. Does your pleading assert facts that you know from your personal knowledge?

Yes

3. Do you declare, under penalty of perjury, that the facts stated in this pleading are true and correct?

Yes

Come now, **PRO SE Appellant SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ** appearing with a Pleading; "SECOND FINAL EVIDENCE" in the Appeal SF -1221-23-0258-W-1, SHERMAN S STARTZ VS THE UNITED STATES ARMY.

PRO SE Appellant SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ, having approached the court with a MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVER, and having received and ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO COMPEL; Appellant, in a good faith effort looked around trying to locate **GWENDOLYN L SMITH, Esq.** and still having an open Request for Leave in an EEO case that has not been responded to, I sent an email to Ms. Mason- Keeley with the EEO office on Fort Wainwright on 7-20-2023; this email initiated an exchange that lead to Charles Eisler resurfacing. Regrettably I learned Gwendolyn L Smith had not been working on the case since May and seems to be gone. Charles Eisler made attempts to reacquaint himself and ultimately wanted Appellant to resend the Discovery package. With a stated objection, the discovery package was resent allowing a full 8 days left to respond. Please find this email exchange attached as **ATTACHMENT 1** and the subsequent exchange leading up to an AGENCY RESPONSE to DISCOVERY, found as **ATTACHMENT 2**. This response was lacking suitability and completeness and virtually presented a host of interpretation questions. Appellant responded to the agency on Sunday 7-30-2023 at 1600; thus, giving the agency a full 24 hours to gather the information; The Agency Response can be found as **ATTACHMENT 3**.

The Agency responded to a specific Request for Production, - My personnel file. Appellant Objects to this submission on 2 points; 1) incomplete response and 2.) lacking authenticity. That said the AGENCY submitted the discovery as a certified submission; therefore Appellant considers them as documentation found in my personnel file. The documents submitted by the agency and the content is very disturbing. While these unauthenticated "Memos" are not signed, the content which was obviously intended to be evidence justifying my termination; they clearly show the involvement of all the fraudulent conspirators described early on INCLUDING the employees of a PRIME GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR working directly in a collaborative effort to defraud the government with senior federal employees and OBSTRUCT MY CIVIL RIGHTS. Submitted as **ATTACHMENT 4** are 5 MEMORANDUMS FOR RECORD that document the retaliation by my coworkers, DAVE BROWN and BEN PLUMELY in collaboration with ALEUT FEDERAL, PATRICK CONSTRUTORS AND ALEUT CORPORATION (**They are one**). Appellant has also drafted a response with comments to these memoranda submitted as **ATTACHMENT 5**.

It is my hope the **HONORABLE JUDGE** will see the obvious involvement; beyond aiding and abetting; but direct criminal involvement with SENIOR ALEUT CORPORATION LEADERSHIP, SENIOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES and CIVILIAN CONTRACTORS' EMPLOYEES in the Obstruction of My Civil Rights. The absolute most aggregates examples of knowing and willful criminal misconduct by all of these individuals. Most specifically USC 18, 241; USC 18, 242 and USC 18 245 (b) (1) (C) and cause the intervention of the DOJ Civil Rights Division. Fining each corrupt individual involved in this malicious conduct \$1,000,000.00 (one million) and put them in jail for the maximum allowed by law.

Further, considering the evolution of all of this corruption and the involvement of ALEUT CORPORATION; First the Whistleblower Retaliation by PATRICK CONSTRUCTORS an ALEUT Corporation as a Civilian Employee on a Government job and then to be Harassed as a Government Employee by the same corporation is mind boggling. This compounded with the fact I actually asked for accommodations to avoid any possible conflict and even that was used against me. This conduct has the complexion of hate. Considering details such as Tanya Clooten is the daughter of the City Inspector in Fairbanks responsible for issuing Building Permits and how Tanya had no experience in construction, was hired by ALEUT

FEDERAL as an office administrator, then suddenly hired by the Government by compromised Government employees to be the Contracting Officer of Aleut Federal approving fictitious, inflated and fraudulent invoicing from ALEUT. This corruption inside and outside the gate of Fort Wainwright by these nefarious characters is long running fraud that could not have evolved to this level without the involvement and knowledge and financial support of and with the most senior members of ALEUT CORPORATION whom present themselves as "WE ARE ONE."

Further, it is my hope the **HONORABLE JUDGE** will initiate the involvement of the DOJ ANTI TRUST DIVISION and consider the gross misconduct of ANTI TRUST LAWS and SHERMAN ACT LAWS. Considering the involvement here of each person, company, contracting mechanism, small and 8a, adding this ANC advantage. Then top that off with Native Corporations purchasing key companies; for instance, Bering Straits (a Native Corporation) purchasing GHEMM Company another local 8a, a local large construction company. ALEUT CORPORATION purchasing PATRICK CONSTRUCTORS and so on with the long term plan of replacing the ALEUT FEDERAL JOC role. Once contracts are awarded and certain portions (amounts) on a contract are given to another 8a or Small Business, then incentive awards become eligible, in some cases as much as 15%. The corruption within these groups has spread like a cancer and it is in complete violation of the original DRAFT and SUBSEQUENT SHERMAN ACT of 1890 and what the intended benefits for preventing monopolization. Please see **ATTACHMENT 6**. This all lends itself to corruption and rather than discourage misconduct it is supportive.

While Appellant has been and still is sensitive of respecting the US ARMY confidentiality, importance of maintaining public trust and 100% understand the ARMY is a victim here as much as me, a clear message needs to be sent to what was once a good intended advantage program to benefit Native Corporations, which has now become so corrupt. It is my hope a clear message be sent (and it can still be kept non-public but; be sure, word will get around amongst all NATIVE CORPORATIONS. They know well what is happening inside their tents and there are no secrets amongst them; (and considering it is highly frowned upon and considered unacceptable to set Hostile Natives and Thieves of the US Army before a firing squad) that the Honorable Judge seek the most aggressive CIVIL RIGHTS damages and fine s allowed; \$100,000,000.00 (One hundred million) be levied against ALEUT CORPORATION – or debar them and put all their leaders in jail (including their Chief) , or a combination of all of the above. Further, It is my hope the Honorable Judge will seek legislative change and remove this "Set Aside" advantage afforded to ANC Corporations, thus once again leveling the playing field and eliminate such an exploitable equation.

As stated in *28 U.S Code 1746 Unsworn Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury*

Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form:

(1) If executed without the United States: "I, **SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ**, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (8-3-2023). Sherman Shelby Startz

(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (8-3-2023).

Sherman Shelby Startz

shelbystartz@outlook.com

From: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2023 4:50 PM
To: Sherman Startz
Subject: Re: Question please

Mr. Startz,

I recognize and understand your position. You are under no obligation to provide your discovery request until I am officially designated as the Agency representative. I will review your request when received. Thank you and have a good weekend.

Respectfully,

Charley

Charles R. Eiser
Administrative Law Attorney
11th Airborne Division and U.S. Army Alaska
charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil

From: Sherman Startz <shelbystartz@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2023 6:01 PM
To: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>
Subject: [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] RE: Question please

Dear Sir,

Thanks for the reply and the status on Ms. Smith, has anyone begun looking for her?

To answer your question; yes, I actually do mind.

I have attached examples of documentation that I would be looking for in MSPB E-Appeal to consider your request; i.e. being officially attached by the Judge, because right now you officially are not attached to any case.

That said, I sent the original "First Round of Discovery" via email to Gwendolyn L. Smith; perhaps whomever attached you to this case may be able to attach you to her email too; the Discovery Package is very robust and already 60 days late.

Please give my regards to the Good Col. Surrey and Sgt Maj. Murillo; Good Lord, I hope you haven't lost them too! Have a good day.

Respectfully

Sherman Shelby Startz; SMS
907-231-9270
["Safety does not happen by accident, make it a safe day!"](http://Safety does not happen by accident, make it a safe day!)

From: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>

Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 12:25 PM

To: shelbystartz@outlook.com

Subject: Re: Question please

Mr. Startz,

Thank you for reaching out to Ms. Mason-Keeley. I have just been pulled into covering the labor and employment portfolio here at Fort Wainwright. Ms. Smith is no longer employed with our office. She has not been completing any of the work since this past May.

This morning I was pulled into your MSPB case and have been reviewing the appeal information. It appears you submitted a discovery request to Ms. Smith and have not gotten anything back. Would you mind submitting the request to me so I can respond and compile what we have? We do not have access to the request at this time. I am aware the MSPB Administrative Law Judge has been reaching out to Ms. Smith. I have left a voicemail with his paralegal, Ms. Abbott. I will also be submitting a change of Agency representative to the MSPB portal soon.

Thank you!

Respectfully,

Charley

Charles R. Eiser

Administrative Law Attorney

11th Airborne Division and U.S. Army Alaska

charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil

From: MASON-KEELEY, Stacie R CIV USARMY IMCOM (USA) <stacie.r.mason-keeley.civ@army.mil>

Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 3:05 PM

To: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>

Subject: FW: Question please

Please see below.

Vr

Stacie

From: Sherman Startz <shelbystartz@outlook.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 8:52 AM

To: MASON-KEELEY, Stacie R CIV USARMY IMCOM (USA) <stacie.r.mason-keeley.civ@army.mil>

Subject: [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] Question please

Dear Ms. Stacie R. Mason-Keeley

Good day; I have a line of dialogue with some folks with MSPB that includes a person from Fort Wainwright; Gwendolyn L Smith, Esq.

The contact information currently on file for Ms. Smith is as follows,

Gwendolyn L. Smith
Attorney, Labor and Employment Law
Consolidated Legal Office
Fort Wainwright Law Center
Office: (907) 353-6546
E-mail: gwendolyn.l.smith8.civ@army.mil

The situation is, the email sent to this address and phone number does not seem to work.

Questions.

1. You ever heard of her?
2. If yes, can you please confirm the email and phone is correct?

Thank you in advance.

Respectfully
Sherman Shelby Startz; SMS
907-231-9270

"Safety does not happen by accident, make it a safe day!"

shelbystartz@outlook.com

From: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>
Sent: Friday, July 28, 2023 3:00 PM
To: Sherman Startz
Subject: RE: FIRST ROUND of DISCOVERY

Good afternoon Mr. Startz,

I have sent our Agency's First Discovery Response in an encrypted email to this address. I felt it necessary to submit in an encrypted email due it including your personal information. Under "Request for Production and Documents" you requested your complete personnel file. This personnel file contains your personally identifiable information.

Please advise if you do not have the capability to review our response due to it being encrypted. If necessary, we can discuss potential redactions or submitting the documents through other means.

Thank you and have a good weekend.

Respectfully,

Charley

Charles R. Eiser
Administrative Law Attorney
11th Airborne Division and U.S. Army Alaska
charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil

From: Sherman Startz <shelbystartz@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2023 4:16 PM
To: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>
Subject: [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] FW: FIRST ROUND of DISCOVERY

Dear Sir,

I still mind; but I did say I would consider it and I have, I am not a jerk and have always wanted to stay in the solution.

This is the email I sent to Ms. Smith on 5-16-2023; I do hope my concerns for her have not caused even further delay.

That said; her original response/s of 5-5-2023 did not get off the ground too well and it may be just me but I am thinking asking for more time may not set well with the Judge, after all its was not that many questions or requests; and if you all cant keep up with (I assume) critical personnel; well, that is not a good excuse for being this late.

Incidentally; I too have moved. I would prefer this be kept confidential.

7719 Vineyards Lane NE # D-106
Bremerton, Washington 98311

Respectfully

Sherman Shelby Startz; PRO SE

907-231-9270

"Safety does not happen by accident, make it a safe day!"

From: Sherman Startz

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2023 11:10 AM

To: Smith, Gwendolyn L CIV (USA) <gwendolyn.l.smith8.civ@army.mil>

Subject: FIRST ROUND of DISCOVERY

Dear Gwendolyn L. Smith

Please find a Combined Document entitled Combined Document Discovery and a Zip File.

The Combined is the entire packet including the rules and the zip file is basically the same stuff only separated.

I have put this together in a manner that is consistent with a Pleading; in the event I need to file a motion to ORDER I want it near ready.

Thank you in advance for your expeditious response.

Respectfully

PRO SE APPELANT

Sherman Shelby Startz; SMS

907-231-9270

"Safety does not happen by accident, make it a safe day!"

shelbystartz@outlook.com

From: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 10:18 AM
To: Sherman Startz
Subject: RE: RESPONSE to QUESTIONS FIRST ROUND DISCOVERY // SF -1221-23-0258-W-1

Mr. Startz,

Thank you for your response clarifying the requests. We will begin compiling and submit to you our Agency Second Response when completed.

Thank you and have a good day.

Respectfully,

Charley

Charles R. Eiser
Administrative Law Attorney
11th Airborne Division and U.S. Army Alaska
charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil

From: Sherman Startz <shelbystartz@outlook.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 30, 2023 3:01 PM
To: Eiser, Charles Robert (Charley) CIV USARMY USAG (USA) <charles.r.eiser.civ@army.mil>
Subject: [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] RESPONSE to QUESTIONS FIRST ROUND DISCOVERY // SF -1221-23-0258-W-1

Dear Sir,

Please find a response to your questions for the FIRST ROUND of DISCOVERY;

The previous response was woefully lacking and in no way considered suitable. I hope the attached document provides the clarity necessary for an acceptable response this late.

Sherman Shelby Startz; SMS
907-231-9270
["Safety does not happen by accident, make it a safe day!"](#)

Exhibit A



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND
HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON ALASKA
1046 MARKS ROAD - #6000
FORT WAINWRIGHT, ALASKA 99703-6000

AMIM-AKP-G

6/16/2022

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Reference to Sherman Startz

1. This is in reference to an employee in my section, who is after five to six months now, is not working out in my branch. I have had numerous counseling sessions with the individual on a regular basis. I do not feel any supervisor should have to endure this with any employee especially after six months on the job. Sherman Startz is the individual I am referencing.
2. He has questioned authority on more than one occasion. He has worked with numerous coworkers and when he does he questions or disagrees with them. Then it is brought to my attention or his section lead, resulting in a 2-3hour counseling session regularly. He seems to think Contractors whom we apart of our mission is to check daily, thinks that they are out to get him fired. He accuses contractors of lying continuously.
3. His job is to go observe the jobsite, come back to the office, and fill out a report. Sherman goes beyond that, investigates on his own, and digs into things that he has no reason to other than he thinks the contractors are lying. I have repeatedly told him to avoid talking to anyone on or at the jobsite, I have had contractors call me and state that my QA (Sherman) is speaking with sub-contractors and questioning them. This is not allowed as we do not direct or speak to sub-contractors as it is a breach of contract and Sherman has been told repeatedly and he still does it. Projects have come to a stall or slow speed with this happening. With Alaska's short work season, we cannot afford this. He has failed to adapt to our protocol. I would like to know what needs to be done to have this employee terminated. I have talked with my supervisor when all these incidents occurred and he is in agreement with my decision.

DPW Engineering
Chief Contract Management Branch
David Zrna

Exhibit B



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND
HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON ALASKA
1046 MARKS ROAD - #6000
FORT WAINWRIGHT, ALASKA 99703-6000

AMIM-AKP-G

3/31/2022

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Reference to Sherman Startz

1. CMB had their monthly meeting, birthday and safety meeting at Fenders at 1130 on 31 March 2022. All of CMB was present for this gathering. As the waiter started to take orders, I noticed Mr. Startz said he was not eating and only ordered a drink. No requirement to order. Greg Sayers started to give his weekly Safety Brief during which I noticed Mr. Startz sitting with his arms crossed over his chest looking around avoiding eye contact with anyone. After the safety brief, Mr. Startz asked if there was a sign in sheet, which Greg responded YES.
2. At this time Mr. Emerson stood and added a short brief on Ice Dams and to be very careful from falling ice. It was at that time Mr. Startz stood up, said he had enough of this shit and stormed off. As his supervisor, I went to see what the issue was. Mr. Startz said that Mr. Emerson cannot tell him where he can and cannot go. There was more to this which I continued on I asked him where was he going? Mr. Startz said he was leaving, I told him I was not going to have this conversation in public and in front of our coworkers. I asked if he was returning to work and he stated, "Yes that's my job".
3. Lunch was over and I returned to the office so I then called in Matt Taylor and Mr. Startz in a private location and asked what was going on? He said he had a vehicle and was outside and saw Mr. Emerson leaving so he followed him to his project site. Mr. Startz was told to move the vehicle he was driving because he had parked in a commander's spot. He moved and went inside and met up with Mr. Emerson. Mr. Emerson was only there to check on things and update his daily report. Mr. Startz was asking him questions. Mr. Startz says he only asked questions and that Mr. Emerson was very standoffish. (Next portion, I did speak with Mr. Emerson and got his side of the story to be able to understand everything.) Which then led to Mr. Startz asking Mr. Emerson where are we going to next? Mr. Emerson replied we are not going anywhere I am going to my next project at 1004. They both said this. Mr. Emerson is saying that Mr. Startz was asking insinuating questions seeming to look for something wrong. Mr. Startz says he was merely asking questions. Matt Taylor asked Mr. Startz if he thought some of his question could be misread. Starts said no. Mr. Startz said he was fired from a company because the employees thought he was a corporate spy. That was mentioned in the CMB gossip, which I NIXED. I asked Mr. Startz what the basis of your firing? He said he was asking insinuating questions. I said what is the common denominator of these two insistences. Mr. Startz you need to ask questions that are not so insinuation and more of a question of learning. This meeting with Mr. Startz and Mat Taylor and Myself lasted approximately 2 hours. At the end of the meeting, I told Mr. Startz to think about his questions before asking and I think he may get a more receivable response. I told him I would talk to CMB and to work with Mr. Startz. He seems to believe everyone is out to get him, past employers, and coworkers. I am monitoring the situation. I

recently sent Mr. Starts out with a few different QA's and Mr. Startz was quiet and asked no QA question.

4. I emailed Mr. Startz the next day (01APR22) and asked if things were a little better? Please see attached email.

Dave Zrna

Exhibit C



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND
HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON ALASKA
1046 MARKS ROAD - #6000
FORT WAINWRIGHT, ALASKA 99703-6000

AMIM-AKP-G

6/16/2022

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Reference to Sherman Startz

1. Mr. Hunt came to me with problems pertaining to Mr. Startz on BLDG 3415 because they were not wearing their hard hats and the AHA stated that this would be PPE. It was stated that Aleut made the call that they did not have to wear the hard hat on the roof because they were not working overhead. Mr. Hunt stated he spoke to Aleut to have them update the AHA if that is what they are allowing the contractor to do. Aleut initiated the change to the AHA's and updated to facilitate the work getting done and doing it safely by removing the hard hat
2. During the discussions on the roof Mr. Startz was disrespectful to the Contractor from Aleut and Aleut made phone calls to the COR and Chief of Project Management Branch. I was called into the Chief's office and was informed that he received a phone call from the contractor stating the fact of Mr. Startz had been disrespectful. The Contractors Project Manager informed the Chief that he would be at all inspections that Mr. Startz will attend.
3. Chief, from the Project Management Branch wanted to talk to Mr. Hunt and myself together to talk about the issues of Mr. Startz attitude towards the Contractor's. The concerns were the contractors' calling about his treatment of their personnel. A teleconference call was made to our Chief of Contract Management Branch while he was out of town to inform him of the events that had taken place during his absents.
4. There was an email from the contractor to Mr. Hunt, Mr. Startz and I about an issue with the forklift, it was broken down and they were unable to get a repair made that afternoon. The next morning Mr. Startz went to the job site to check out the status of the forklift he thought it might have had a leak. While Mr. Startz was there, he notices the repair that was reported the afternoon would not be done was in fact repaired. Upon Mr. Startz return to the office, he came into my office and had an issue that the repair was completed the afternoon before, and the forklift was supposed to be broken. He reported to me that the contractor stated that a mechanic came by the site and looked at the forklift. He stated to me that he did not believe contractor and the forklift never broken from the beginning. I asked him whom he was, to say the contractor was not telling the truth you said yourself they said they had mechanic come by. I told him it was not his job to determine if the contractor was telling you the truth about their forklift status, and the work got completed.

Troy Stoneking

Exhibit D



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND
HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON ALASKA
1046 MARKS ROAD - #6000
FORT WAINWRIGHT, ALASKA 99703-6000

AMIM-AKP-G

4/4/2022

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Reference to Sherman Startz

1. I have had multiple conversations with Mr. Startz, explaining the processes with different forms of Contract procedures. One being the FAO Project and the processes of how they work and a QA's responsibility towards the FAO. It is our responsibility to ensure that the owner of the FAO does what they say they are going to do and for us to ensure the Government property is not damaged in anyway.
2. Mr. Startz will go to multiple QA's in our division only to ask the same questions about the same things. It seems as though everyone in CMB must reel him in pulling him back into reality. The other QA's will tell me that Sherman comes to them and goes through the processes of the projects, we have all had to pull him back and explain that the things he is talking about is not what's required. Mr. Startz has had issues with fellow workers and made statement that he would not work with certain QA's. Mr. Startz has had issues with digging into other QA's files, past and present. He also has been questioning the way the QA's do their job. These kinds of questions and the way they are asked seems to be creating waves.
3. Chief Zrna and I have had multiple closed-door meetings about the issue of his past coming back up about people being "after" him. He has been told to stop bringing up his past and things that have happened outside the walls of this building and he cannot let it go. He has been told to move forward, do this job, and stop worrying about what others think. I personally have told him to stop bringing the past up while not in closed-door meetings. Chief Zrna and I completed his initial counseling for DPMAPS and again the past came back up and Chief had to again tell him to stop talking about these issues and do you job here. We do not care about the issues that somebody has to say about you outside DPW.
4. I was not present on 31 Mar 22 during a luncheon, I was briefed by Chief Zrna of the events that took place with him leaving the luncheon not happy, and not participating in the lunch meeting.

Troy Stoneking

Exhibit E



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND
HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON ALASKA
1046 MARKS ROAD - #6000
FORT WAINWRIGHT, ALASKA 99703-6000

AMIM-AKP-G

6/13/2022

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Reference to Sherman Startz

1. Mr. Startz is continuing to have issues adapting with the contractors that we work alongside with daily. When there is a disagreement on an issue, Mr. Startz gets confrontational and abrasive with the contractors. Instead of being professional about the issue and coming up with a solution Mr. Startz would just walk away from the contractors.
2. I have talked to Mr. Startz about keeping a professional working relationship with the contractors. I received a phone call from Aleut PM Mr. Ben Plumley about the confrontation situations between their QC Dave Brown and Mr. Startz. Mr. Plumley informed me that he would attend every meeting from now on because of the concern on how Mr. Startz is treating Aleut employees. I had to have a meeting with Mr. Dave Brown the next day and diffuse the previous days' events to ensure that we keep a professional working relationship.
3. Because of the incident stated above, I along with Ms. Tammie Sprague had to have a meeting with the Chief of Engineering Division Mr. Shaffer on the behaviorisms of Mr. Startz. We discussed how he treats the contractors when there is a disagreement, and how he cannot adapt to DPW's work culture and business practices.
4. The QC Dave Brown has stated that when Mr. Startz is on site that he will not even engage with him about the ongoing job. Mr. Startz constantly states that the contractors are lying and covering up everything and that the contractors are out to get him and cause internal issues in our office. Mr. Startz also states how he does not like them and is tired of working with Aleut.

Ronny Hunt

Summary and comments on exhibits provided by AGENCY

I started my role on January 31, 2022. This was not only my first Government job but also what I considered to be my last hope of employment; having gone through an odd previous year in construction on Fort Wainwright.

It is important to note I really did not know anything about this JOC (Job Order Contract), prior to actually going to work for DPW. My work is always the same on a Construction project; Safety (SSHO) or Quality (CQCSM). I really had no reason to even care what JOC was or is or anything else for that matter; It was the unusual line of questioning by CMB staff that caused me to wonder what is this all about.

After going to work at DPW, the Friday of my first week (2-4-2023) I found myself being taken to Building 1555 with Greg Sayer, another co-worker from CMB. While there I noticed a slip trip and fall concern, the rear exit to the wing being remodeled (which I still did not actually know it was the Col. Wing), which is used by both Soldiers and Construction workers. Greg and I went back to the office and I asked David Zrna; "where would a general site (post) wide condition be reported, there is a lot of ice built up and someone could slip, I bet this hazard is all over." All the best intentions in mind, David Zrna sluffed it off but then in passing he said, I guess that would be reported through ICE; an Internal method for reporting any type of quality or safety type concern; (ex. 'Large pot hole on such and such street hard to see'). I got enthusiastic and found this ICE portal; since he did not show me, and reported (2-4-2022) , anonymously only pointing to Contract Management Branch; "Construction Control Inspector." I honestly thought this was great and it would reflect the team positively and I was so excited. I even remember thinking the Chief will be pleased. Well come Monday (2-7-2022), as soon as walking into the office is when I found out the back door, I reported was actually Col. Surrey wing backdoor (and ultimately realized I had attracted attention to yet another project being exploited) and all his immediate staff wing, and David Zrna informed me everything that happens on Fort Wainwright goes straight to the PENTAGON (this guy is got PENATAGON on the brain I guess) and now all our shit is on the radar, we all are. This project ultimately is where I was expected to sign off on materials not even delivered for the Col. Office. Nevertheless, all the guys began feeling real uneasy taking me anywhere, very early on, the second week. Well, I actually heard within 2 weeks the Col. did slip and now I was a jinx too, and I am thinking oh crap! My last hope of a job now on the block because I did an ICE report and the Col. Slipped. So shortly after that the Col. apparently decided he was concerned about Snow Load on the roofs of all the buildings on Fort Wainwright and he tasks our department (DPW) with researching every building on post; a lot of research. The project of going through every buildings file and reviewing specifications and drawings looking for SNOW LOAD and last re-roofing date. Feeling like I may have caused this extra work and literally nobody wanted to help him (Troy who was now saddled with the effort; I figured this is a great opportunity to learn and stay busy and help the team; and just maybe not step into anymore pookey. I embraced the opportunity. Without sounding like I am bragging but, I have to admit I can cover a lot of data fast so I was plowing through building after building. Mind you, at this stage of my career I had never cared about roof snow load, and had never dealt in any way a FLAT ROOF; like on a barracks. The only contractor in the area I ever worked with was A&A Roofing and had gotten to know and knew I could count on them for Safe work, and quality materials. (I actually would call Scott Skaluka or Ryan Timmon and ask questions on Fall Protection and things like leading edge. Since 29 CFR Subpart D (Walking and Working Surfaces) was updated it is a beast). They knew their stuff and I considered them top shelf. Nevertheless, as I reviewed files and documenting specific information for the Col. Project, I began to notice some serious disconnects on supporting documents

for a large group of buildings or rather documentation missing; so I started asking questions about missing documentation, like material, and other crucial information. Nevertheless, I learned a lot about roofs and how hyper sensitive Troy was to me asking questions on a project I volunteered to help him on and ultimately did most of the research for the information. Week three and I am already touching a large portion of the fraud and asking questions that made him very uncomfortable. I later learned why.

Time is moving and I am initially scheduled for my new hire orientation. It gets cancelled 2 months in a row and finally came to fruition the first full week of April; I am thinking a Tuesday at the Community Center on Fort Wainwright. Col. Surrey and Srg. Maj. Murrillo were both there for a short time and presentation of welcome; which impressed me so much. For these Senior Ranking Officers to take time to come and speak at my new hire orientation meant so much to me. I was honored and flattered, to be so welcomed for this little entry level role. That's sincere commitment. It was at this meeting that I learned about Wade Falkenberg. and Michael Patton of the EAP department on Fort Wainwright. I decided to go because I was actually stressing really bad. It was becoming clear this ALEUT was up to something that spelled trouble for me and all I wanted was help avoiding these folks. At this meeting with Michael Patton, I found the courage to initiate a line of dialogue with David Zrna on my own about my needs and request to not be attached to any ALEUT projects. Initially I did not connect the dots with PATRICK CONSTRUCTOR, an ALEUT COMPANY; which I had a bad experience with before going to work for DPW. I literally had put that event completely behind me. Now I go to work and immediately Ronny Hunt informs me that ALEUT is a prime contractor for the JOC and everyone in CMB hates them here. I then began to see the connection and I did worry but thought I don't have anything to worry about; "I am a federal worker now and surely people don't treat us like that; you know bad." Ronny went on to tell me that ALEUT FEDERAL did not have a Safety person and had not had one for going on a year; as it turns out when I was reaching out to Melanie Morris with ALEUT CORPORATION regarding the wrongful termination for reporting safety violations to the ARMY on FTW 435. I simply wanted to avoid conflict and the possibility of being set up. Simple enough request I thought and I had good reasons. NOTE: David Zrna made no record of this conversation anywhere. Nevertheless, after this request to David Zrna attached me to only ALEUT FEDERAL Projects, ONLY. Throughout this time period and anytime David Zrna spoke of my work he would say "you don't get along with "Contractors" – in spite of only being attached to ALEUT FEDERAL.

The retaliation that occurred, my descriptions of events and how that was twisted and how Federal employees collaborated with Prime Contractors and how it is hard to deny this collaborative malicious, criminal activity was planned with knowing and willful misconduct and more speaks loudly to the gross conspiracy of my civil rights. The agency has produced some documentation as a portion of one request for production and documents; My Personnel File where the Agency produced Memorandums produced by David Zrna, Troy Stoneking and Ronny Hunt. The documents are lacking authentication and sworn testimony; however, the agency has attested these Memorandum are part of my personnel file. The content is not only troubling and adds yet more stress having to relive these un acceptable events and treatment towards me. In an effort to present the true facts; which I will ultimately swear to as truth, has been provided.

EXHIBIT A

DAVID ZRNA 6/16/2022

Paragraph 1 – Numerous counseling sessions – I don't remember numerous; but I do remember the one in early April asking to please not attach me to ALEUT Federal project, and that only lead to ONLY be placed on ALEUT projects.

Paragraph 2 – I have not questioned anyone's authority on more than one occasion? These counseling sessions were more like informal chats pumping me for what I may know, did I know about the fraud? Again, the term CONTRACTORS – it was only one contractor and I never said they were lying. What I said is "I can't put my finger on it but something is going on here." All the while I already suspected theft, but I was not sure who all was involved. I never EVER came close to letting them think I was actually thinking they are stealing. I did that with great caution. Literally all I had to do was play dumb and it was as if they had to prove to themselves, I am really dumb. I even told them in all fairness; "I have raised more than one 5-year-olds," that's what they were like, kids seeing just how dumb the parent was and how easy it is to get away with something. Please!

Paragraph 3 – The repeated reference to Contractors (plural) is obvious. The Breach of Contract stuff is hilarious – how is a Construction Control Inspector merely saying nothing more than a hello be a breach of contract – Stealing and Fraud are a breach of contract. They did not want anyone talking to anyone or you will figure it out and figure out its falling in your lap. Projects did come to a slow halt; for instance, building 1555 (the Col. Office) because they were worried, I knew how they were carving on this project. Truth is carrying over jobs to multiple years was a tactic of cover up, but there were no schedules on any projects; a common tool shared in construction but also a layer of accountability. Tony Figueroa was the QA on this project before me and multiple disconnects were discovered by me and pointed out to Tony Figueroa. Then the Period of Performance (The Individual Contract for this Project through the JOC) passed with this project (not my fault) and for like 2 months there was no contract. Tony; whom is a good guy but also an unwitting victim said "according to Tonya Clooten, there is no contract and nothing we can do about it." Tony bought that and I knew better. Nevertheless, I was told to include this information on the Daily everyday by Tony whom had previously on this job – POP expired, no contract. I went by and checked the job everyday because it was an active construction project with normal hazards of a project. I had nothing to do with this delay.

EXHIBIT B

DAVID ZRNA 3/31/2022

Note: On the day in question here, I actually came in first thing in the morning and asked Steve if it was OK, I go out with him; I was still in training and was being told to go out with other QA but nobody wanted to go anywhere with me. I did not have a vehicle so I needed to ride with someone to get trained; only don't ask questions, don't speak.... During this time, I was dealing with something (exposure or maybe nerves) and had a rash; horrible and all over, face included and I heard every excuse. Are you contagious and son on. Anyhow, the office could be full of coworkers I would try to get connected with; then I go to the restroom and come back and everyone would be gone. I would look around and think, what happened while I was gone for two minutes; "fire drill maybe, I didn't hear it?"

Paragraph 1 – By now I had already had a peculiar exchange with Steve, him now deciding he did not want me around and he did not like working with me. He was really very rude towards me.

The rest of this is a twisted re write of a bunch of events that are intended to conceal some real issues and that's Steve Emerson telling the group I was a mole. I heard him say it. I was walking into the restaurant late having gotten lost on the way to this restaurant. David Zrna may have said more but to the group he said I had him checked out with a buddy from the Pentagon "he is not a mole, or spy." Bazaar, all the way around how all of this is twisted and resown.

The unusual treatment towards me from Steve Emerson was beyond malicious and considered knowing and willful misconduct. It was as if he was literally told to mess with me and get a reaction, premeditated, calculated, willful mis-conduct. In fact, he actually became so comfortable with doing this, almost a year after me being terminated I saw Steve Emerson at my Sons College Graduation. It was such a great celebration day (5-6-2023) at the Carlson Center in Fairbanks Alaska; he had done so well and was graduating an Electrical Engineer and already had a job with the Department of Navy as a Nuclear Engineer. I was standing outside with him greeting friends at the Auditorium and realized I did not get a program. I went to walk back in to the Auditorium and get a program. I asked an usher where I could find one and up walks Steve Emerson mouthing off how stupid my question was; "more stupid Sherman questions," I ignored him and walked into the room I was pointed and when I went to leave, he was standing in the door blocking me. I told him to get out of my way. He turned around and started to walk away then stopped suddenly trying to make me run into him; trying to get a response. I told him exactly what I thought of him and his conduct and he realized he could not hide behind his Federal Employee David Zrna immunity status. That when his posture changed considerably. My son saw this and was also very surprised with his conduct. Steve is a bully and he was David Zrna bully.

EXHIBIT C

TROY STONEKING 6/16/2022

Paragraph 1 – I never made any comments about HARD HATS because the job had not even started. Apparently, ALEUT initiated this change (Unusual for a Prime Contractor to initiate any change on a SUBS AHA / Activity Hazard Analysis, especially one already submitted and accepted.) to try and get a negative response, which I did not do. I showed up the first day and asked the Forman Jeremy, whom I have known for years; what's the story on hard hats, and Jeremy said "ALEUT told us to not wear them." I thought this was odd but hey, it's a roof – there is nothing over us. I told Jeremy, that's cool, I certainly get it, but I would at least wear a cap, the sun is very intense. I did make note every day on Safety Deficiency for foot wear. The AHA said Safety Toe foot wear, These workers were wearing tennis shoes, cloth. I even told Tammy Sprauge that this was not even a big issue, there only really handling foam blocks up there, but the issue is the adhesive. They use a lot of adhesive and manually apply it with a sprayer. The cloth in the shoes gets soaked ultimately risking dermal exposure. The workers complained to me about having to use a ladder just to use the restroom; and I asked why a ladder. Jeremy tells me the crew got glue that was on their shoes; using the stairs, and spread it in the building when 3417 was reroofed by us last year. Nevertheless, the problem was poor shoe selection. It is not my problem; my job is to note things on my daily which I did and then let it go. I did just that. That's what happened there, ALEUT and Tanya Clooten wanted to start a problem and make it look like I made it the problem. Their efforts were knowing and willfully wrong and conspired with a direct intention to do harm to me; a federally protected employee. They had convinced Ronny I was trying to make him look bad and this is why every single thing they could smoke screen to Ronny, they did and carry it to Matt Scaffer. Matt

Schaffer was equally involved in the fraud and simply wanted it to appear to Roony I was doing something wrong. The unwitting victims here would ultimately be Ronny Hunt and Tammy Sprague.

Paragraph 2 – A Contractor had called, a PM; well, that's Ben Plumely; if this double hearsay really happened but even if it did, Ben Plumely and David Brown were working with these corrupt federal works to get me fired, the truth is, this is very near the time I pointed out to Ronnie Hunt the Dense Deck Disappeared. All premeditated malicious criminal behavior with knowing and willful misconduct.

Paragraph 3 – Contractors – sounds plural BUT IT IS NOT!

Paragraph 4 – The fork lift story – this is almost funny to be so twisted. I arrived on the job site on the day in question here. Now everyone on the crew knows I like to say hi and great everyone; usually by name but I did not know this guy, but he seemed to know me and my past with A&A (the subcontractor) is good. Now he automatically begins to tell me about this hydraulic leak, mainly because he is proud of his approach to addressing the problem. In order to reach the top of the roof with this telescoping forklift he (the operator) had to get this machine up on the sidewalk to be close enough to the building, the front wheels wound hump up on the sidewalk. Well, it seems according to the operator the rental company had over filled the hydraulic tank (or rather exactly full at level when they came to fill the machine after fuel had been stolen) and when he humped the sidewalk it would run out the overflow. The operator noticed he was making a mess, put down cardboard (hence what he was proud of, and rightfully so – he did something) and called the mechanic. The mechanic shows up, before I show up and it is concluded it came out the overflow and it would stop once enough sloshed out. No worries, no lost time, and it was handled. When I got back to the office Troy starts asking me why I was talking to the Operator; Dave Brown saw me and complained to him I was questioning to Operator. I told Troy this whole thing is really blown up. I said “first off the forklift was not broke down and the mechanic did not have to work on it.” Immediately it turns into “Who are you to question the Contractor, call them a liar?” I am like “I can't believe this.” As diplomatically as I tried to explain this, and the operator stopped me – I am like what; and it keeps turning into you can't call the contractor a liar. THIS IS building 3415, where the dense deck had already disappeared. Go figure. This is also very near the day 6/16/2022, I pointed out to Ronnie Hunt the Dense Deck had disappeared; 11 days later I was terminated, less than 8 working hours after refusing to falsify documentation at Bldg. 1555.

EXHIBIT D

TROY STONEKING 4/4/2022 NOTE: It is relevant to the reader to understand how I discovered all of this fraud. I simply listened and watched their conduct; their line of questioning, probing me, sensitivity of topics and so on. To read this now and looking at the time line as well as the subject of the first paragraph, FAO. This batch of activity was managed by Matt Taylor (involved in this Fraud for more than one project) and had obviously been grossly neglected to years. I was very suspect of this neglect as all an FAO needed for work below a certain amount was a CMB manager signature; Matt Schaffer, David Zrna and so on and bingo you had funding, money to pay a contractor. To get the expenditure a completed 4283 form needed to be completed. Literally there was no accountability of this money because there was no follow up. I was asked to work on a few of these open files which had been open for 3 years, and I figured out the process quick and was moving through the files and cleaning this up when suddenly it was leave them alone. Now I would really wonder about this.

PARAGRAPH 1 – Troy had told me about it; the issue was incomplete files. I understood the process just fine. Likely that is what he was worried about.

PARAGRAPH 2 – To a degree I agree with his observation and this would come up in conversation with Troy. I never got the same answer twice. Each person; whom was supposed to be mentoring me, after all I was new, and by now only 59 days on the job, and nothing is really happening outside in the way of construction because everything is still really frozen up, so there was plenty of time to look at detail and such. Everything seemed like a lot of effort being made to keep accountability an impossible effort.

PARAGRAPH 3 – After realizing ALEUT FEDERAL was the prime JOC Contractor and I just left PATRICK CONSTRCTORS (Another ALEUT CORPORATION CO) along with learning from Ronnie that “ALEUT FEDERAL has not been in compliance for over a year on their contract because they did not have a safety person. Knowing I had plead to ALEUT CORPORATION for a job, any Job and I am a BOARD OF CERTIFIED SAFETY PROFESSIONALS certified SAFETY MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST (a preferred certification for SSHO Roles on FAR contracts) which the loss of Daniela (the ALEUT FEDERAL Safety Professional) was coincidentally about the same time I began to recognize a deterioration in the support of Quality and Safety Professionals (about 2018 / 2019). I was noticeably concerned where this could possibly go if these yahoos were allowed to retaliate against me because I had turned in the Company intended to slide in and replace them for the JOC in the future. A week later is when I actually reached out to the EAP and was encouraged to have a conversation about wanting accommodation to avoid further retaliation which was already impacting my PTSD condition with crippling anxiety; I was locking up bad. I wanted and needed this simple little \$61, 000.00 a year job. It had become impossible to get work in this small town in construction. Hearing the comments (lies about me) I simply wanted to be left out of it, just no trouble. I have spent over 40 years carving out a successful Safety and Quality career. The one key thing I learned early on is treat the workforce with dignity and respect and they willing produce very good results. Treat them with no respect and expect damaging results. So these comments and attitudes about me in this document and others is far from the truth.

PARAGRAPH 4

OK; sounds almost scripted.

EXHIBIT E

RONNY HUNT 6/13/2023

PARAGRAPH 1

I suppose to a degree I would agree. By now it was obvious Dave Brown and Ben Plumley were willing to say anything, do anything to try and get a response they could blow up, twist up, and I simply would not engage; yes, I would not say anything and just walk away. I know this must have frustrated them to no end because they really said and did some ridiculous stuff to get me to respond and nope, but I just wouldn't do it; not even a dumb look, nothing.

PARAGRAPH 2

This is an interesting spin on what really happened. The day described I arrived at Bldg. 3415 (where the Dense Deck did the Houdini) and here is Dave Brown walking in too. So, from time to time I have to use a rescue in haler for a little COPD thing, and I see this guy whom I know is already going out of his way to

cause trouble and I decide to stop and relax get centered and calm and use my inhaler, then start up the 3 flights of stairs to the roof of the barrack. About the time we hit the third floor Dave says; "Man I am getting too old for this." Now, I am standing there choosing my word very carefully because I know if he can twist it, he will, but honestly, he is not worth a shit at his job, he certainly can't be trusted and its pretty obvious they really did not like me; after all the signature (mine) they wanted for the DENSE DECK prep, they never got. I look at Dave Brown square in the eye and said "I don't know what to tell you man, maybe it's time to think about doing something else," and walked out onto the roof. By the time I got back to the office Ronnie had already been called by Dave Brown, "insulted." Ronny Hunt says to me "How could you say something like that?" David Zrna was called by Ben Plumley, it was crazy. So; (here is where it really gets weirder, by now I have found out from Matt Taylor that Ronny Hunt came to CMB from the HR Department, so I tell Ronnie, "So Ronny, what I told Dave Brown was wrong, you being former HR, you tell me what a suitable response would have been?" "Agree? Tell him "He is no good at his job anyway, you may as well," or "maybe you should just jump off the roof when we get out there and end it now?" or really tell the BIG lie and tell him "He should stay," you tell me Ronnie? "Come on Ronny lay some of that HR Kung Fu on me." I suspect the questions to him passed right by because he was set on finding issue. He yields to David Zrna – he is the perfect unwitting fall guy, Ronny Hunt. After all Ronny was being awarded performance awards like every other month. All part of the smoke screens in place with these fraudulent conspirators was very good.

PARAGRAPH 3

Two people, both completely oblivious of this fraud and how they were being used not only here but all over.

PARAGRAPH 4

It could be that Jeremy the Foreman with A&A caught Dave Brown point blank lying to me and it was reeling in Jeremy into this lie and Jeremy called him on it right in front of me. Keep in mind, it was ALEUT FEDERAL up to no good here.

What is not here is any description of the last 8 hours of employment at Building 1555 when I refused on 6/24/2022 to fraudulently complete paperwork and then terminated 8 hours later. Not one word of the last alleged event before my termination.

As stated in 28 U.S Code 1746 Unsworn Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury

Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form:

(1) If executed without the United States: "I, **SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ**, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (8-3-2023). Sherman Shelby Startz

(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (8-3-2023).

Sherman Shelby Startz



mission
eaut
ship
aries
ILITIES
logy
mental
n Support
duction

TRACT VEHICLES

TARS III

RS

ACT

• •

.com

We Are One

Ataqan Akun

Exceeding Customer
Expectations.
Delivering Exceptional Value.
Unparalleled Customer Service.



Exceeding Customer Expectations. Delivering Exceptional Value. Unparalleled Customer Service.

• • •

Aleut Federal, LLC is **The Aleut Corporation's** entity for managing federal services, offering a portfolio of subsidiaries that are Small Business Administration (SBA) certified as 8(a), small disadvantaged, and/or small business. As an **Alaska Native Corporation (ANC)**, we have a long history of proven performance for our customers, spanning an array of services in the following areas: Technology, Environmental, Construction, and Infrastructure.

ABOUT US

The benefits of working with
an
Alaska Native Corporation.



mission
eaut
ship
aries

ILITIES

logy

mental

Support

uction

TRACT VEHICLES

TARS III

RS

ACT

• •

.com

The benefits of working with an Alaska Native Corporation.




Aleut Federal has several entities that are 8(a) certified Alaska Native Corporations (ANC). Unlike other 8(a) companies that are owned by individual business owners, we have the ability to receive sole-source awards up to \$100 million without justification and unlimited with justification. These awards cannot be protested, helping you as the customer expedite procurements to accomplish mission-critical services.

THE BENEFITS

Capabilities

Capabilities



ession
eaut
ship
aries

ILITIES

logy
mental
n Support
duction

TRACT VEHICLES

TARS III

RS

ACT



.com

Aleut Federal offers a wide range of services to help clients achieve great results. Consistently delivering exceptional value and exceeding customer expectations is one of many benefits to partnering with Aleut Federal, LLC. Click the links below to explore the main capabilities.



Technology

Helping customers deliver their critical services while reimagining and redefining their mission.

[LEARN MORE](#)



Environmental

Delivering services and solutions to improve the environment in which we live.

[LEARN MORE](#)



Mission Support

Providing wide-ranging services for operations support and facilities operations and maintenance.

[LEARN MORE](#)



Construction

Providing construction services specializing in services with focus on safety, and collaboration.

[LEARN MORE](#)



Session	Technology	Environmental	Mission Support	Construction
Aleut	Helping customers deliver their critical services while reimagining and redefining their mission.	Delivering services and solutions to improve the environment in which we live.	Providing wide-ranging services for operations support and facilities operations and maintenance.	Providing construction services specializing in services with focus on safety, and collaboration.
aries	LEARN MORE	LEARN MORE	LEARN MORE	LEARN MORE
ILITIES				
logy				
mental				
Support				
duction				
RACT VEHICLES				
TARS III				
RS				
ACT				
• •				
i.com				



Aleut Careers

Aleut Careers



At Aleut Federal, we embrace the understanding that employees do their best work when they're excited, encouraged, and engaged. We invest in you by learning your strengths, measures of success, and goals to find the perfect fit – allowing you to springboard beyond a typical “job” and into a career you’re excited about! Our culture nurtures the strength of our workforce through mentorship and coaching, providing opportunities for growth, and competitive benefits. We support and encourage diversity, inclusion, and accountability at every level.



CAREERS

Let's Partner Together

Let's Partner Together



Aleut Federal – We Are One

Contact us to learn more about our capabilities and the benefits of working with an Alaska Native Corporation (ANC).

CONTACT US



Headquarters

12355 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite
300
Reston, VA 20191-3497

Western Region

5775 Mark Dabling Blvd., Suite
105
Colorado Springs, CO 80919-
2240

Southeastern Reg

667 Emory Valley Road
Oak Ridge, TN 37830-1



Headquarters


12355 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 300
Reston, VA 20191-3497

Western Region

5775 Mark Dabling Blvd., Suite 105
Colorado Springs, CO 80919-2240

Southeastern Region

667 Emory Valley Road



mission
eaut
ship
aries
ILITIES
ology
mental
n Support
duction
TRACT VEHICLES
TARS III
RS
ACT
• 
l.com

Aleut Federal – We Are One

Oak Ridge, TN 37830-7762

Aleut Federal | © Copyright 2020 – 2023 | All Rights Reserved | Designed by **NG Media**



ssion
eut
ship
aries

ILITIES

logy
mental
n Support
duction

TRACT VEHICLES

TARS III

RS

ACT

• 

.com

Certificate Of Service

e-Appeal has handled service of the assembled pleading to MSPB and all of the Parties.

Following is the list of the Parties in the case:

Name & Address	Documents	Method of Service
MSPB: Western Regional Office	MOTION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE	e-Appeal / e-Mail
Charles Eiser Agency Representative	MOTION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE	e-Appeal / e-Mail
Pacific Region Agency Representative	MOTION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE	e-Appeal / e-Mail