

SHERMAN S. STARTZ v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
Docket # SF-315H-22-0532-I-1  
MOTION TO OBJECT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT / MOTION TO DISMISS  
Summary Page

**Case Title :** SHERMAN S. STARTZ v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

**Docket Number :** SF-315H-22-0532-I-1

**Pleading Title :** MOTION TO OBJECT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT / MOTION TO DISMISS

**Filer's Name :** Sherman S. Startz

**Filer's Pleading Role :** Appellant

**Details about the supporting documentation**

N/A

## Table of Contents

Pleading Interview .....	3
Certificate of Service .....	5

SHERMAN S. STARTZ v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
Docket # SF-315H-22-0532-I-1  
MOTION TO OBJECT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT / MOTION TO DISMISS  
Online Interview

1. Would you like to enter the text online or upload a file containing the pleading?

Enter Online

---

2. Please enter text of your pleading.

COMES NOW SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ in RESPONSE TO SUMMARY JUDGEMENT -- BE IT KNOWN THIS IS A MOTION TO OBJECT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND MOTION TO DISMISS MERIT A MOTION TO OBJECT IS BEING PRESENTED TO THE COURT ON THE FOLLOWING GROUND RESPONDENT SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ ARGUEMENT -- 1) RESPONDENT SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ, Has asked the courts indulgence in allowing an extension to the 30 day response to prove "Jurisdiction." 2) COUNCEL FAILED TO PROVIDE RESPONDENT SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ the benefit of CIVIL RIGHTS 18 U.S.C. 241, 242 and applicable 245 as it applies to this situation a) Conspiracy Against Rights, 18 U.S.C. Â§ 241 Civil Rights Conspiracy Statute - Section 241 makes it unlawful for two or more persons to agree to injure, threaten, or intimidate an individual in the free exercise or enjoyment of his or her constitutionally protected rights. b) Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law, 18 U.S.C. Â§ 242 Deprivation of Rights under the Color of Law - Section 242 makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, including acts done by federal, state, or local officials within their lawful authority, as well as acts done beyond that authority, if they are done while the official is purporting to or pretending to act in the performance of his or her official duties. c) Violent Interference with Federally Protected Rights, 18 U.S.C. Â§ 245 (Civil Rights Act of 1968) Hate Crimes: Criminal Interference with Federally Protected Rights - Section 3631 makes it a crime to use, or threaten to use force to willfully interfere with any person because of race, color, religion, or national origin and because the person is participating in a federally protected activity, such as public education, employment, jury service, travel, or the enjoyment of public accommodations, or helping another person to do so. In Closing; RECONDENT SHERMAN SHELBY STARTZ begs the court for leniency on my form and manner.

---

3. Does your pleading assert facts that you know from your personal knowledge?

Yes

---

4. Do you declare, under penalty of perjury, that the facts stated in this pleading are true and correct?

Yes

---

## **Certificate Of Service**

e-Appeal has handled service of the assembled pleading to MSPB and all of the Parties.

Following is the list of the Parties in the case:

Name & Address	Documents	Method of Service
MSPB: Western Regional Office	MOTION TO OBJECT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT / MOTION TO DISMISS	e-Appeal / e-Mail
Charles Eiser Agency Representative	MOTION TO OBJECT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT / MOTION TO DISMISS	e-Appeal / e-Mail
Pacific Region Agency Representative	MOTION TO OBJECT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT / MOTION TO DISMISS	e-Appeal / e-Mail