## Storage Takeover Challenge Solution

## Challenge Overview

This challenge tests your understanding of Stylus smart contract storage manipulation and initialization patterns.

#### Solution Steps

1. Add the following code to the VulnerableContract implementation:

```
pub fn exploit(&mut self) {
    // Reset the initialized flag to 0
    self.initialized.set(U256::from(0));

    // Call initialize to become the new owner
    self.initialize();
}
```

## **Key Concepts**

- The contract uses two storage slots:
  - Slot 0: owner (StorageU256)
  - Slot 1: initialized (StorageU256)
- The vulnerability lies in the initialization check
- By resetting the initialized flag, we can trigger reinitialization

#### Explanation

```
1. self.initialized.set(U256::from(0)) resets the initialization flag
```

- 2. self.initialize() sets us as the new owner since initialized is now 0
- 3. The contract's ownership is transferred to us through reinitialization

## **Testing**

- 1. Deploy the contract
- 2. Call the exploit function
- 3. Verify that msg.sender is now the owner

#### Prevention

To prevent this vulnerability:

- Use proper initialization patterns
- Add access controls to storage modifications
- Consider using OpenZeppelin's Initializable pattern

# Bridge Guardian Challenge

#### **Vulnerability Explanation**

The Bridge Guardian challenge tests your ability to implement secure cross-chain message validation. The key security considerations are:

- 1. Replay Protection: Messages must be processed only once
- 2. Signature Verification: Only valid signatures from authorized addresses should be accepted
- 3. State Management: Proper tracking of processed messages and total amounts

#### Solution Walkthrough

```
// First verify the message hasn't been processed
if self.processed_messages.get(&transfer.nonce) {
    revert("Transfer already processed");
}
// Verify signature
let message_hash = keccak256(&transfer);
if !verify_signature(message_hash, signature, self.owner.get()) {
    revert("Invalid signature");
}
// Mark message as processed
self.processed_messages.set(&transfer.nonce, true);
// Update total bridged amount
let new_total = self.total_bridged.get() + transfer.amount;
self.total_bridged.set(new_total);
// Emit transfer event
evm::log2(
    &[],
    &[
        topics::bridge_transfer(),
        transfer.from.into(),
        transfer.to.into()
);
```

The solution implements:

- Nonce tracking to prevent replay attacks
- Signature verification for authenticity
- Proper state updates
- · Event emission for tracking

# Double-Spend Detective Challenge

#### Vulnerability Explanation

The vulnerability lies in the order of operations in the transfer\_with\_callback function:

- 1. Balance check occurs before state update
- 2. External call happens before balance update
- 3. This creates a reentrancy vulnerability

## Solution Walkthrough

The vulnerable implementation:

```
let sender = msg::sender();
let sender_balance = self.balances.get(&sender);

// Vulnerable: Balance check before state update
if sender_balance < amount {
    revert("Insufficient balance");
}

// Vulnerable: Callback before state update
if callback != Address::zero() {
    external::call(callback, &[], 0.into());
}

// Update balances after callback (vulnerable to reentrancy)
self.balances.set(&sender, sender_balance - amount);
self.balances.set(&to, self.balances.get(&to) + amount);</pre>
```

To fix this:

- 1. Update state before external calls
- 2. Use reentrancy guards
- 3. Follow checks-effects-interactions pattern

## Access Control Anarchy Challenge

### Vulnerability Explanation

The vulnerability exists in the initialization logic:

- 1. Missing initialization check allows multiple initializations
- 2. No proper access control on initialization
- 3. Anyone can become admin by reinitializing

## Solution Walkthrough

The vulnerable implementation:

```
pub fn initialize(&mut self) {
    // Vulnerable: Missing initialization check
    self.roles.set((ADMIN_ROLE, msg::sender()), true);
    self.initialized.set(U256::from(1));
}
```

#### To exploit:

- 1. Call initialize() even if already initialized
- 2. Gain admin role
- 3. Access restricted functions

#### To fix:

- 1. Add initialization check
- 2. Use proper access control
- 3. Implement time-locks for sensitive operations

# Rainbow Wallet Integration

The project includes Rainbow Wallet integration for authentication and leaderboard tracking (Work in progress):

- 1. WalletConnect Component: Handles wallet connection and user authentication
- 2. Leaderboard Component: Displays top players and achievements
- 3. Smart Contract: Manages scores and achievements on Arbitrum

#### Usage

- 1. Connect your Rainbow Wallet
- 2. Complete challenges to earn points
- 3. Unlock achievements
- 4. View your ranking on the leaderboard

#### Security Considerations

- All score updates are handled on-chain
- Achievement validation is performed through smart contracts
- Leaderboard data is immutable and transparent