

22/04/15

FORECASTING

EXAM PAPER 2013

- Q1 A - require(fma) load fma package
- tsdisplay(eggs) display egg time series object w/ ACF and PACF
- HW() call Single Exponential Smoothing algorithm SSE
- DES SSE w/o

B First algorithm is better \Rightarrow lower SSE

$$SSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2} \quad \text{minimum cho for value of } \alpha$$

Could use MAPE: $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right|$

C SES Algorithm

Initiate $F_1 = y_1$ choose $0 < \alpha < 1$

Forecast: $F_{t+1} = F_t + \alpha (y_t - F_t)$

Until no more observation left then $F_{t+k} = F_{t+1} \quad \forall k \geq 1$

D It would not work \Rightarrow data has a trend

E Function tries multiple values for an ARIMA model with changing parameters p, d, q and selects the model with lowest AIC/BIC

F Differencing can help remove the trend in the data and give a stationary mean

G $(1-B)y_t = C + \epsilon_t$

H AIC or BIC which reward model fit but penalize the complexity of a model

- 2A Trend - Upward or downward - General upward trend
 Seasonality - Repeating wave - no obvious seasonality
 Error/noise

B $ARIMA(2, 1, 2) / (1, 0, 1)_4$

$$(1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2)(1 - \beta_1 B^4)(1 - B)^2(1 - B^4)^2 = c + (1 - \psi_1 B - \psi_2 B^2)(1 - \theta_1 B^4) \varepsilon_t$$

- C. $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
 ε_i and ε_j independent if $i \neq j$.

- D Yes, trend has been removed, now stationary in mean
 No spike on either ACF or B/PACF.

- E i Sure ts are not stationary in mean and variance
 Amplitude increasing over time indicative of non stationary mean
 Try a mathematical transform such as log of x_t or x_t^2 to make the variance stationary

- ii Yes, trend has been removed by ARIMA now
 Variance has been removed by log function, as shown by the residuals in the P/B.

- iii Use AIC or BIC
 - Reward a better fitting model
 - Penalize complexity of model
 - Choose lowest AIC or BIC value to select model

12/04/15.

FORECASTING EXAM PAPER 20B

3 A AR - autoregressive

B $y_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1}$

C We have to define ϕ_0, ϕ_1 the weights

$$\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j$ are independent if $i \neq j$.

D An AR(1) model is a linear regression model with two parameters
 $y_t = y_{t-1} \Rightarrow y_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$

E Can use least squares algorithm

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

$$\begin{matrix} y & x & \beta & \varepsilon_i \\ \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

F $y_{n+1} = \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 y_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}$
 $y_{n+1} = \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 y_n \pm 2$ where $s^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2}{n-3}$

G $y_{n+2} = \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 y_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+2}$
 $= \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 (\hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 y_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}) + \varepsilon_{n+2}$
 $= \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1^2 y_n + \hat{\phi}_1 \varepsilon_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+2}$
 $E[y_{n+2}] = \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1^2 y_n$

$$Var[y_{n+2}] = E[(\hat{\phi}_1 \varepsilon_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+2})^2] = \hat{\phi}_1^2 E[\varepsilon_{n+1}^2] + 2\hat{\phi}_1 E[\varepsilon_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2}] + E[\varepsilon_{n+2}^2]$$

$$= \hat{\phi}_1^2 s^2 + 0 + s^2$$

$$= \hat{\phi}_1^2 s^2 + s^2$$

$$y_{n+2} = y_{n+2} \pm 2\sqrt{(\hat{\phi}_1^2 + 1)s^2}$$

H. We know $y_{n+1} = \phi_0 + \phi_1 y_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}$
 $y_{n+2} = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \phi_0 + \phi_1^2 y_n + 2\phi_1 \varepsilon_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+2}$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+3} &= \phi_0 + \phi_1 (y_{n+2}) + \varepsilon_{n+3} \\ &= \phi_0 + \phi_1 (\phi_0 + \phi_1 y_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+2}) + \varepsilon_{n+3} \\ &= \phi_0 + \phi_1 (\phi_0 + \phi_1 (\phi_0 + \phi_1 y_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}) + \varepsilon_{n+2}) + \varepsilon_{n+3} \\ &= \underbrace{\phi_0 + \phi_1 \phi_0 + \phi_1^2 \phi_0 + \phi_1^3 y_n}_{\text{forecast}} + \underbrace{2\phi_1^2 \varepsilon_{n+1} + \phi_1 \varepsilon_{n+2} + \varepsilon_{n+3}}_{\text{error}} \end{aligned}$$

$$y_{n+k} = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \phi_0 + \phi_1^2 \phi_0 + \phi_1^3 y_n + 2\phi_1^2 \varepsilon_{n+1} + \phi_1 \varepsilon_{n+2} + \varepsilon_{n+3}$$

$$\Rightarrow y_{n+k} = \phi_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \phi_1^{i-1} \right) + \phi_1^k y_n + \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_1^{i-1} \varepsilon_{n+k-i+1}$$

$$\text{implying } y_{n+k} = \phi_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \phi_1^{i-1} \right) + \phi_1^k y_n + 2\phi_1^2 \varepsilon_{n+1} + \phi_1 \varepsilon_{n+2} + \varepsilon_{n+3}$$

i. Differencing would be beneficial within the data
 AR(1), removing the seasonality within the data

17/05/15.

203 FORECASTING PAPER

4 A require (fma) get package fma
LSD only plot present + aif and pacf.
Holtwinters (exp ...) SES model and return SSE
" DES and return SSE value

B SSE of SES was lower choose SES better fit.

C S HW would not work, downward trend but no seasonality

E. remove trend.

$$F. (1-B)Y_t = C + \epsilon_t$$

G AIC, BIC

Q5. a. trend seasonally error

A Upward trend, no strong season or variance

$$C. \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \epsilon_t \neq \epsilon_{t-1} \quad 1 \neq 1$$

D Yes, trend, seasonality remained

E. more strong in variance

i. Yes

ii AIC, BIC

bolgerde edie.