

09/04/16

## ERP

- Enterprise resource planning, sold as offering integration, standardisation, flexibility, simplification and industry best practice.
- Started from MRP and processes such as production planning, master scheduling, material planning.
- Driven by the growth of ERP - Fashion, globalisation, incompatible data, business process re-engineering, Y2K problem, the euro, client/server technology, cost of custom building, incompatible systems, legacy systems.

### Costs, benefits, risk and tradeoff

- Many of initial reasons for ERP are no longer there.
- Expected benefits: streamlining or elimination of processes, incorporation of best practices, integration, integrity (consistent data stored once), ease of maintenance, ability to roll out new functionality, links to supply chain management, improved control, better management.
- The actual benefits are different, top reasons are: availability of information, increased interaction and integration, improved data reliability, better informed decision making.
- 2% said they did not achieve any benefits. More than half of companies are not realising the major benefits of the system.
- ERP implementation is high risk: large scale, degree of change required, time scale, costs, lack of experience - generally something you only do once.
- Typical costs - large company 50-500m, 30m for software, 200m for consultancy, million in hardware. Time: 4-6 years.
- Medium sized implementation today 5-10m and 2 years.
- Disaster if goes wrong: H&M ended 150m, SAP were sued. Other disasters: Nike, H&M, Unilever.

### ERP Implementation Problems

- 61% took longer than expected, 75% exceed budget, 60% fail to realise more than half of the expected benefits.
- 40% experience problems at go live stage. 32% of executives dissatisfied with implementation.
- 39% of employees dissatisfied with implementation.

- Extended failure cost to date: us \$1.2 billion, 6.2 billion worldwide
- ERP system can cost up to 7% of annual sales. Large time and cost overrun

10/04/16

- Major Challenges: Lack of staffing resources, internal resistance to change the old process, lack of top management commitment, lack of overall vision, unrealistic time frame, unrealistic budget.
- Complaints: Software too expensive, upgrades are too quick, don't get real business insight from the system, Software not adapting quick enough, system too hard to use
- Low satisfaction all round

- Costs - dependent on: Degree of customization, rate of upgrade, cost of upgrade, complexity of industry, changes in the industry.

#### Players And Market

- Process industries, non-manufacturing sectors, smaller companies, government
- SAP (24%) and Oracle have largest market share. Market dominance: most of fortune top 10 in 2002 use SAP.
- SAP has functions for: sales, distributions, production planning, quality planning, plant maintenance, HR, accounting, control management accounting, treasury, industry solution.
- The power of process  $\Rightarrow$  purchase ordering processing - origination, control (structured transmission and content (invoicing))
- Goods inward processing - planning/scheduling, receipt checking, error management, acceptance
- Checking what we have ordered, right location, quality till by date etc.
- The more we know the better the customer's - i.e. no need for checking things as we know they're right.
- In retail - some processes - forecasting, purchase orders, picking, distribution, supplier performance

## ERP II

Role - Value chain participation / e-commerce enabled

Domain - All sectors / segments

Function - Cross industry, industry sector, and specific industry process

Process - External, connected

Architecture - Web-based, open component-based / modular

Data - Internally and externally published and subscribed.

- Part of continuing move to address the problem of unintegrated systems
- Part of general movement towards packaged software
- One way to address lack of standardisation in a process
- Attempted to overcome problem of fragmented data
- Expanded into many other sectors, current global market in order of 25 billion

Claims to offer single solution but:

- Often tradeoff between pros and cons of customisation v. packaged software
- Question of best of breed and the extent of modification
- ERP became most popular important software app of 1990's and remains the largest system in orgs today
- Very large, expensive and implementation is high risk
- claim best practice, but validity can be disputed
- Cloud computing and SaaS company are changing the possibilities

## Business Process Re-engineering BPR

- Adequate BPR is one of the most cited CSFs in ERP implementation
- Comprehensive BPR is related with the alignment between business processes, ERP decisions made and associated best practices
- BPR has been popularised in recent years as the most important technique for restructuring business operations to achieve improvement



- BPR originated in 1980s as large enterprises began to explore the potential impact of computers on the efficiency and effectiveness of their business processes
- In the early 1990s BPR had an explosive dissemination
- BPR is 'the fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of business processes to achieve dramatic improvements in critical, contemporary measures of performance, such as cost, quality, service and speed'
- Why use BPR: improve inefficient business processes, to be industry leader, to re-organise business process and to improve current industry position
- The benefits from BPR arise from combination of organisational change with information and information technology

### BPR and ERP

- ERP systems pave the way for bpr since the implementation of ERP systems requires examination of many business processes - but clear which actually need to be changed
- Some organisations use ERP to promote bpr while others are driven into bpr during the implementation of an ERP system
- Four step process - choose a process, understand it to the extent needed, redesign it and implement the change
- Usually focus on two dimensions of the change - magnitude of change and scale of the change effort involved

- Managers are worried in redesigning their business in order to align the ERP system and the current business processes
- Understanding the existing business processes is one of the key elements of ERP implementation
- Implementing an ERP system involves re-engineering the existing business processes to the best business process standard
- The organisational strategy outlines 'what' to do
- BPR outlines how you want to do it
- ERP outlines 'with what'? of a system ERP is a tool to help change business

## ERP mtd.

### Examples of ICT used to re-design processes

- Geneva Pharmaceuticals - SAP R3 implementation
- One of the largest generic drug manufacturers, headquartered in California
- Margins are extremely low in the generic sector, continuous pressure to reduce costs
- Majority of existing data typed manually and loaded into financial system
- Need for duplicate data entry was eliminated with the first implementation of SAP
- Number of planning activities performed by an individual was doubled
- SAP roles were streamlined, standardized and consolidated so that the same person could perform more value added activities
- Since R3 eliminated the need for data rekeying and validating, the portion of the inventory control unit that dealt with data entry and error checking was disbanded and employees were transferred elsewhere
- Phase 2 implementation was to re-design the demand side processes, such as marketing, orders, customer fulfilment and service and to implement the re-organised process using R3
- An iterative process was employed to identify and eliminate activities that did not add value and to generate alternative process flows
- Goal was to map the baseline of existing processes, identify bottlenecks and problem areas and thereby to create reengineered process
- 13 different improvement areas identified and grouped into 4 broader
- Elaborate models were constructed for each of 4 areas to improve company policy initiatives (product definition, customer dispute resolution, pricing strategy and service level)
- If a customer placed an unexpected order or requested a change in existing order, the manufacturing unit was unable to adjust their production plan accordingly

- Such decisions had to be made on an ad-hoc basis
- Forecasting took 20 days, 10 of which were spent on data manipulation etc.
- New implementation of SAP available to promise to keep customer

### Problems That Occur During Process Redesign

- **DEFINING EXISTING PROCESS** - SAP implementation required some 10000 configuration decisions in order to assemble a working end-to-end process flow. If these processes are not well defined and documented prior to implementation, these thousands of configuration decisions will be made in a vacuum by SAP consultants.
- **BEST PRACTICE IS A FARSE** - sound good in theory but in reality they are simply best practices for how any particular ERP vendors software works, rather than for your operation.
- **TECHNICAL RISK** - BPR projects based on the use of IT. However, it may so happen that the IT solutions oriented for process re-engineering are either not available or don't work to satisfaction.
- **POLITICAL RISK** - Very often the BPR initiative fails to support and are finally given up. This is due to lack of commitment of the top management either due to change in leadership or due to change in perception. The process re-engineering projects lose the budgetary or personnel support and are finally given up. There is also the dimension of acceptability by the end user and operational staff who may resist change.

- **ORGANISATIONAL RESISTANCE** - conceptual clarity and compelling business case relate to the top management.

However, many BPR projects encounter organisational resistance.

- This resistance is faced from the organisational units whose scale of operation or even existence are threatened by BPR projects.
- Also a clash of culture as employees are used to doing things their way and resist the change.



15/4/16 ERP

7

- Very often, the projects require cooperation among different cross functional units which may be difficult to achieve.
- Managers resist change under the belief that it may jeopardise their job or relative authority and status within the organisation.
- The behaviour of people is based on individual personality and norms or by group to which they belong.
- There is a common perception that the resistance comes mainly from operative level.
- The resistance to change comes from senior management level also, when they find their experience and skills are no longer needed or their authority being replaced.
- The reluctant (4) of status or redundancy of expertise is the reason why the top management pays lip service to change but continue in their old behaviour.
- In contrast, staff level resistance is mainly for denial of role and job removal.

16/4/16 - Organizational resistance can be overcome in different ways - benchmarking exercises which can focus on performance shortfalls and support a case for significant change in operations.

- Or use 'Formal planning challenges' - seek response of individual functional units for planning challenges.

- CUSTOMER ACCEPTANCE - organisational and financial barriers can be overcome, by multiple tests of BPR relies with acceptance by customers for whom these initiatives are meant.

- PROBLEMS RELATIVE TO A CULTURE OF CHANGE

- LACK OF TRAINING AND EDUCATION

- PROBLEMS RELATIVE TO COMMITMENT, SUPPORT AND LEADERSHIP

### ERP CUSTOMISE OR NOT?

- (Chomte):
- Resistance to change - don't want to modify existing process
  - Unique business process - ERP system needs to be customised to match existing processes which are unique to the company
  - Functional misfit, niche production or point solution
  - Overlapping functions

- project motivation
- Political implications

Dele Culture :-

- Cheaper
- Less worried on IT
- Best practice
- Company more efficient because of BPR
- Quicker implementation, easier system upgrades

### HERSHEY'S ERP FAILURE

- The importance of timing and scheduling
- System failed in 1999 - Business process and system issues caused operational paralysis, leading to a 14% drop in quarterly profits and an 8% decline in share price.
- Recommended a 48 month implementation, demanded it in 20
- To meet aggressive scheduling demand, implementation team had to cut corners on critical system testing phases.
- Opted for big bang approach
- Project cost around 100m
- The system rollout proved costly (expensive)
- Product inventory started to pile up, by the end of Sep 2000, inventories were 75% more than previous year.
- Share price plunged 8% in one day
- > over squeezing implementation schedule
- > by bang approach instead of testing - nature of sequential system testing for expansion
- Situation - when companies are organized into separate business units that have almost no alignment with other units. They don't share the same strategy, plan, goal or insight about customers. Result is that marketing can never manage everything it needs to know for maximum productivity (culture, regulation and cross selling)
- Reduced employee productivity, loss of real time visibility, increased customer churn, integration complexity and cost