

2009 MATHS SL

Max $3x_1 + 2.96x_2 + 2.6x_3$

		x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	
(constraint)	value	3	2.96	2.6	0	0	0	
s_1	0	0.4	0.5	0.72	1	0	0	30 $\frac{20}{0.5} = 60$
s_2	0	0.2	0.1	0.66	0	1	0	20 $\frac{20}{0.1} = 200$
s_3	0	<u>1</u>	1	0.8	0	0	1	40 $\frac{40}{1} = 40$
Z		0	0	0	0	0	0	
C _j -Z _j		<u>3</u>	2.96	2.6	0	0	0	

x_1 in, s_3 out: least

$$r_2 = 0.2r_3 \quad r_1 = 0.4r_3$$

		x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	
(constraint)	value	3	2.96	2.6	0	0	0	
s_1	0	0	0.1	0.4	1	0	-0.4	14 $\frac{14}{0.1} = 140$
s_2	0	0	-0.1	<u>0.5</u>	0	1	-0.2	12 $\frac{12}{0.1} = 120$
x_1	3	1	1	0.8	0	0	1	40 $\frac{40}{0.8} = 50$
Z		3	3	2.4	0	0	3	120
C _j -Z _j		0	-0.04	<u>0.2</u>	0	0	-3	

x_3 in s_2 out: least $r_2 \div 0.5 \quad r_3 = 0.8r_2 \quad r_1 = 0.4r_2$

		x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	
(constraint)	value	3	2.96	2.6	0	0	0	
s_1	0	0	0.18	0	1	-0.8	-0.24	4.4
x_3	2.6	0	-0.2	1	0	2	-0.4	24
x_1	3	1	1.16	0	0	-16	1.82	20.8
Z		3	2.96	2.6	0	0.4	2.92	124.8
C _j -Z _j		0	0	0	0	-0.4	-2.92	

Optimal has been reached when values in $E_j - Z_j$ row are ≤ 0 and all rhs are positive

There may be many other optimal basic solutions

Can obtain a basic feasible solution by setting x_1, x_2, x_3 to a non-zero value

For alternate optimal basic solution the $C_j - Z_j$ row will equal zero for one or more non-basic variables

x_2 has value of 0, and it can be introduced

Bring in x_2 into solution x_1 leave 2000 or 17.5 .

Check largest positive value in $G-Z$ row. Here it is $3/6$.
Calculate ratios for U_3 for U_3 will be chosen.

0.5	2	0	0	4.5	8	0
2	0	0	15.0	100	0	0

	0	2	0	2.5	2.5	8	100	100
HH	H=0-	8.5	1	0	0.5	0	0	2
HH	H=0-	5	0	1	5.0	0	2.5	2
8.05	581	4-	0	0	0.1	1	2	1
61.51	12.5	4.5	0	0.5	2.5	2	25	2
	2.5-	10.0-	0	0	0	0	25.5	2