

Homework 1

*Student Name: David Chen**Computing ID: dzc5ta*

- You will need to submit your solutions in PDF to UVA-Collab.
1.
 - a. False. DFS can be lucky and find a solution faster than A* sometimes.
 - b. True. Its a bad heuristic but it's still admissible because it always underestimates the true cost to the goal.
 - c. False. A* search can be used for path finding. A robot could use A* search to solve a maze.
 - d. True. Breadth-first search is complete if the tree is finite.
 - e. False. If there is a direct path, the rook could move in 1 step but the Manhattan Distance could be 8.
 2. If the node in the tree is very deep and on the left most branch.
 3.
 - a. True. Breadth-first search is uniform-cost search with all costs equal to 1.
 - b. True. The evaluation function for the best-first search would be always prioritize the left most fringe first.
 - c. True. Uniform-cost search is A* search with Heuristics $h(n) = 0$.
 4. If a heuristic is admissible then, $0 \leq h(x) \leq h^*(x)$ holds. If it is consistent, then $h(x) \leq c(x, x') + h(x')$.

Proof:

We have: $h(x) \leq c(x, x') + h(x')$.

We need to prove: $0 \leq h(x) \leq h^*(x)$.

Base case: $h(\text{goal}) \leq c(\text{goal}, \text{goal}) + h(\text{goal})$. The cost of getting to the goal from the goal is 0, which means $h(\text{goal})$ is also 0.

Let X_1 be the a node that connects to the goal. Let X_2 be a node that connects to X_1 , that is not the goal. Let X_3 be a node that connects to X_2 , and so on.

$$\begin{aligned} h(X_1) &\leq c(X_1, \text{goal}) + h(\text{goal}) \\ h(X_1) &\leq c(X_1, \text{goal}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $c(X_1, \text{goal}) = h^*(X_1)$, $0 \leq h(X_1) \leq h^*(X_1)$.

Assume: $0 \leq h(X_n) \leq h^*(X_n)$.

Prove: $0 \leq h(X_{n+1}) \leq h^*(X_{n+1})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
h(X_n) &\leq h^*(X_n) \\
h(X_{n+1}) &\leq c(X_n, X_{n+1}) + h(X_n) \\
h^*(X_{n+1}) - h^*(X_n) &= c(X_{n+1}, X_n) \\
h(X_{n+1}) &\leq h^*(X_{n+1}) - h^*(X_n) + h(X_n) \\
h(X_{n+1}) + h(X_n) &\leq h^*(X_n) + h^*(X_{n+1}) - h^*(X_n) + h(X_n) \\
h(X_{n+1}) &\leq h^*(X_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

We have shown, through induction, that if a heuristic is consistent, it must also be admissible.

■

If you have a perfect heuristic with some missing values that are filled with 0s, the 0s will cause inconsistency.