2016-06-14 Exam Exercises and Solutions

Exercises

1. Relational Algebra

Consider a database with the following schema, describing a manufacturer's operations:

PRODUCTS(<u>ID</u>, Description, UnitPrice)
WAREHOUSES(<u>ID</u>, Address)
STOCKS(<u>ProductID</u>, <u>WarehouseID</u>, Units)



STOCKS instances describe how many *products' units* are stocked and in which *warehouses*. When a product is stocked, its number of Units is always positive, that is Units ≥ 1 .

Write **relational algebra expressions** for the following queries:

- a. For each product whose stock is equal or larger than 10 units, in any warehouse, get both:
 - the product's data (ID, Description and UnitPrice)
 - the addresses of all the warehouses where at least 10 product units are stocked.
- b. Find the ID, Description and UnitPrice of the products that aren't stocked at all, anywhere.



You can load a sample RelaX dataset with the gist ID 126fcdb8c1bedc5080270dff5f642186

Solutions

1. Relational Algebra

Question (a)

Insight

We must first identify the relations which contain all the required data. As it turns out, we need all of them:

- STOCKS contains the number of stocked units for each product
- PRODUCTS holds the data for products details
- WAREHOUSES includes the addresses

The easiest query consists of:

- 1. joining the three relations together, via theta joins where appropriate
- 2. performing a **selection** on the resulting relation, by filtering those tuples whose Units are equal or higher than 10
- 3. using a **projection** to pick out the attribute values we require



PRODUCTS and **WAREHOUSES** both feature an **ID** attribute, although these identify tuples in different relations, with different meanings. It wouldn't make sense to perform a **natural join** between them.

Answer

The following expression identifies the desired data:

```
\mathbf{r} = (\sigma_{\text{Units}>10} \text{STOCKS}) \bowtie_{\text{WarehouseID}=\text{ID}} \text{WAREHOUSES} \bowtie_{\text{ProductID}=PRODUCTS} \text{PRODUCTS}
```

A less efficient alternative, due to more joins, could be:

```
\mathbf{r} = \sigma_{\text{Units}>10}(\text{WAREHOUSES} \bowtie_{\text{ID=WarehouseID}} \text{STOCKS} \bowtie_{\text{ProductID=PRODUCTS.ID}} \text{PRODUCTS})
```

We then need to select the relevant attributes, via a **projection** on **r**:

 $\Pi_{\mathrm{PRODUCTS.ID, Description, UnitPrice, Address}}(\mathbf{r})$

RelaX Code

```
r = σ Units >= 10 STOCKS \bowtie WarehouseID = ID WAREHOUSES \bowtie ProductID = PRODUCTS.ID PRODUCTS π PRODUCTS.ID, Description, UnitPrice, Address (r)
```

Question (b)

Insight

When tackling these queries **subtractions** are required. We find those tuples which *don't* meet our criteria and we remove them from the set of all the tuples.

In this case we don't need to query the WAREHOUSES relation, seeing as it contains no relevant data for our purposes.



Products that *aren't* stocked *don't appear* in STOCKS instances; there are no such tuples whose Units value is 0.

Answer

Let \mathbf{r} be the relation which includes the data of all those products we aren't interested in:

$$\mathbf{r} = \Pi_{\text{ID, Description, UnitPrice}}(PRODUCTS \bowtie_{\text{ID=ProductID}} STOCKS)$$

We are selecting **all** the tuples that match stocked products, referenced in STOCKS via the ProductID attribute. *Unstocked* products, absent from STOCKS, won't be included in the *join*.

We finally **subtract** the data of all stocked products, \mathbf{r} , from the set of all products (stocked and otherwise):

$$PRODUCTS - r$$



The initial **projection** ensures that the two relations' schemas are **compatible**, as required by the **subtraction**.

RelaX Code

PRODUCTS - π ID, Description, UnitPrice (PRODUCTS \bowtie ID = ProductID STOCKS)