Lotito Davide Pio - 2022 1

Domain Name System

Enterprise Digital Infrastructure – Assignment #2

This is a series of screenshots and commands in bash used to answer all questions. This is a "support" PDF to the main project report, in which there are no screenshots and it is shorter.

Active Experiments:

The name server by default, in the /etc/resolv.conf file is: 127.0.0.53

To change the name server, edit the file above. Change it with the IP address (8.8.8.8) of the Google public name server. The same experiments are performed using Cloudflare's public DNS name server (1.1.1.1) to see if there are any differences.

Question 1

dig ercole.unipv.it dig @1.1.1.1 ercole.unipv.it

```
davide@davide-hp:~$ dig @1.1.1.1 ercole.unipv.it

; <<>> DiG 9.16.1-Ubuntu <<>> ercole.unipv.it

;; global options: +cmd
;; Got answer:
;; ->>HEADER<-- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 889
;; flags: qr rd ra; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 1, AUTHORITY: 0, ADDITIONAL: 1

;; OPT PSEUDOSECTION:
;; EDNS: version: 0, flags:; udp: 1220
;; COOKIE: 8765d68137415f6614bd568a6269771d838529f3dd7647ee (good)
;; QUESTION SECTION:
;ercole.unipv.it. IN A

;; ANSWER SECTION:
ercole.unipv.it. 300 IN A 193.204.34.13

;; Query time: 20 msec
;; SERVER: 8.8.8.8#53(8.8.8.8)
;; MHEN: mer apr 27 19:02:21 CEST 2022
;; MSG SIZE rcvd: 88

davide@davide-hp:~$ dig @1.1.1.1 ercole.unipv.it

; (1 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (1 server found)
; (1 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (1 server found)
; (1 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (5 server found)
; (6 server found)
; (1 server found)
; (1 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server found)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server found)
; (2 server)
; (3 server found)
; (4 server)
; (4 server)
; (5 server)
; (6 server)
; (8 server)
; (8 server)
; (9 s
```

dig www.sicurezzanazionale.gov.it dig @1.1.1.1 www.sicurezzanazionale.gov.it

```
cide@davide-hp:~$ dig www.sicurezzanazionale.gov.it

c<>> DiG 9.16.1-Ubuntu <<>> www.sicurezzanazionale.gov.it
global options: +cmd
Got answer:
->>HEADER<- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 13982
flags: qr rd ra; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 1, AUTHORITY: 0, ADDITIONAL: 1

OPT PSEUDOSECTION:
EDNS: version: 0, flags:; udp: 4096
QUESTION SECTION:
ww.sicurezzanazionale.gov.it. IN A

ANSWER SECTION:
v.sicurezzanazionale.gov.it. 2395 IN A

Query time: 4 msec
SERVER: 8.8.8.8#53(8.8.8.8)
WHEN: mer apr 27 19:03:16 CEST 2022
MSG SIZE rcvd: 74</pre>
```

The answers are not authoritative, because they do not come from the authoritative name server of the targets and in fact, as you can see from the photos in the *flags* section, there is no abbreviation *aa*.

Question 2

dig berkeley.edu -t MX dig @1.1.1.1 berkeley.edu -t MX

dig universitadipavia.it -t MX dig @1.1.1.1 universitadipavia.it -t MX

```
davide@davide-hp:-$ dig universitadipavia.it -t MX

; <>> DiG 9.16.1-Ubuntu <>> DiG 9.16.1-Ubuntu <>> Q1.1.1.1 universitadipavia.it -t MX

;; global options: +cmd
;; Got answer:
;; ->>HEADER<- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 4305
;; flags: qr rd ra; QUERY: 1, ANSMER: 7, AUTHORITY: 0, ADDITIONAL: 1
;; OPT PSEUDOSECTION:
;; EDNS: version: 0, flags:; udp: 1220
;; QCOXIEI: 76d-918065ca227873ed70f062697864d2a3ae5a6c11a192 (good)
;; QUESTION SECTION:
;universitadipavia.it. IN MX

;; ANSWER SECTION:
universitadipavia.it. 3600 IN MX 10 ASPMXS.COOGLEMAIL.COM.
universitadipavia.it. 3600 IN MX 10 ASPMXS.GOOGLEMAIL.COM.
universita
```

There are **five** servers that provide the mail service for *berkeley.edu*, while there are **seven** servers for *universitadipavia.it*. The servers used by the two universities are made available by Google and some of these (ALT1.ASPMX.L.GOOGLE.COM. - ALT2.ASPMX.L.GOOGLE.COM. - ASPMX.L.GOOGLE.COM.) are shared for the two universities. In fact, even checking with the *ping / dig* commands shows that the IP addresses match. Also note that the *berkeley.edu* domain uses DNSSEC to sign records.

Question 3

dig www.japan.go.jp dig @1.1.1.1 www.japan.go.jp

The answers are not authoritative, because they do not come from the authoritative name server of the <u>Government of Japan</u> domain and in fact, as you can see from the photos in the *flags* section, there is no abbreviation *aa*. **Four** RRs are obtained: all of type A.

dig www.japan.go.jp +dnssec whois www.japan.go.jp

```
| Comparison of the companies of the com
```

Querying through dig, adding the flag +dnssec it results that the domain uses DNSSEC. Also with the whois command shows that the DNSSEC signature field is not empty.

Question 4

dig dell.com -t NS dig @1.1.1.1 dell.com -t NS

```
e@davide-hp:-$ dig dell.com -t NS

> DiG 9.16.1-Ubuntu <<>> dell.com -t NS

obal options: +cmd
t answer:

>HEADER<- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 14558
ags: qr rd ra; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 6, AUTHORITY: 0, ADDITIONAL: 1

T PSEUDOSECTION:
S: version: 0, flags:; udp: 512
ESTION SECTION:
.com. IN NS

SWER SECTION:
com. 600 IN NS ns5.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns4.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns3.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns6.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns1.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns1.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns2.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns2.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns1.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns2.us.dell.com.
com. 600 IN NS ns2.us.dell.com.
```

I execute a single query of type *ns* to obtain the domain names of the authoritative Name Servers of the <u>Dell</u> (USA) Company. There are **six** different name servers associated with them, which belong to the same domain: *us.dell.com*. I think that the name server called <*ns1.us.dell.com*> (143.166.82.251) is the primary one, because of its name.

In order to get these IP addresses I executed another query with the *dig* command and with the *-f* option.

dig -f names.txt

```
davide@davide-hp:~$ dig -f names.txt +short
143.166.224.11
143.166.224.3
143.166.83.13
143.166.82.252
143.166.82.251
143.166.224.235
```

whois dell.com

```
davide@davide-hp:~$ whois dell.com
  Domain Name: DELL.COM
  Registry Domain ID: 1978972_DOMAIN_COM-VRSN
  Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.safenames.net
  Registrar URL: http://www.safenames.net
  Updated Date: 2010 04 16721.36.512
  Creation Date: 1988-11-22T05:00:00Z
  Registry Expiry Date: 2024-11-21T05:00:00Z
  Registrar: SafeNames Ltd.
  Registrar Abuse Contact Email: abuse@safenames.net
  Registrar Abuse Contact Email: abuse@safenames.net
  Registrar Abuse Contact Phone: +44.1908200022
  Domain Status: clientDeleteProhibited https://icann.org/epp#clientDeleteProhibited
  Domain Status: clientUpdateProhibited https://icann.org/epp#clientTransferProhibited
  Domain Status: serverDeleteProhibited https://icann.org/epp#serverDeleteProhibited
  Domain Status: serverTransferProhibited https://icann.org/epp#serverTransferProhibited
  Domain Status: serverUpdateProhibited https://icann.org/epp#serverTransferProhibited
  Domain Status: serverUpdateProhibited https://icann.org/epp#serverUpdateProhibited
  Name Server: NS1.US.DELL.COM
  Name Server: NS3.US.DELL.COM
  Name Server: NS4.US.DELL.COM
  Name Server: NS5.US.DELL.COM
  Name Server: NS6.US.DELL.COM
  Name Server: NS6.US.DELC.COM
  Nam
```

nslookup -type=soa dell.com

The domain was registered by *SafeNames Ltd>* on 22-11-1988 and will expire on 21-11-2024. Notice how the result of the *whois* command shows six name servers associated with the domain. The output of this command also supports the hypothesis that the primary name server is: *Salus.dell.com>* (143.166.82.251). Using the *nslookup* command confirms the hypothesis that the primary name server is: *Salus.dell.com>*.

Question 5

dig @143.166.82.251 samsung.com -t NS dig @143.166.82.251 unipv.it -t NS

Lotito Davide Pio - 2022 6

Using the IP address of the primary name server of the American company <u>Dell</u>, both queries were rejected. Clearly this name server does not know and cannot resolve the given hostnames. In fact, querying this server with hostnames that are part of the domain, you can get an answer. Furthermore this name server is not a public name server, so it is legitimate that it does not make recursion queries to other name servers if requested from outside its domain. It is also not certain that this name server has enabled recursive queries.

Performance/auditing experiments:

Question 1

dnsping -s 8.8.8.8 -c 20 -t NS web.unipv.it dnsping -s 8.8.8.8 -c 20 -t MX web.unipv.it dnsping -s 8.8.8.8 -c 20 -t AAAA web.unipv.it dnsping -s 8.8.8.8 -c 20 web.unipv.it

```
—(kali⊛kali)-[~]
-$ dnsping -s 8.8.8.8 -c 20 -t NS web.unipv.it
dnsping DNS: 8.8.8.8:53, hostname: web.unipv.it, proto: UDP, rdatatype: NS, flags: RD
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=1 time=260.968 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=2 time=52.093 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=3 time=76.397 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=4 time=37.628 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=5 time=38.614 ms
     bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=6
bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=7
                                                                  time=87.519
time=61.310
      bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=8
bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=9
                                                                  time=60.170 ms
time=109.214 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=10
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=11
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=12
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=13
                                                                   time=40.539 ms
time=106.573 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=14
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=15
                                                                   time=97.900 ms
time=45.901 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=16
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=17
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=18
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=19
                                                                   time=58.008 ms
                                                                   time=61.741 ms
                                                                   time=34.720 ms
time=33.905 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=20
                                                                   time=47.602 ms
--- 8.8.8.8 dnsping statistics --- 20 requests transmitted, 20 responses received, 0% lost min=33.905 ms, avg=72.720 ms, max=260.968 ms, stddev=50.889 ms
```

The performance of the Google public name server is analyzed. The test was done by requesting 20 queries of type: NS / MX / AAAA / A, requested for the *unipv.it* domain. The results obtained are consistent with expectations. That is, in general, the RTT is higher when using a public name server than using the default one (assigned by the router). On the other

```
-t MX web.unipv.it
                    8.8.8.8
dnsping DNS: 8.8.8.53, hostname: web.unipv.it, proto: UDP, rdatatype: MX, flags: RD
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=1 time=71.627 ms
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=2 time=68.900 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=3
                                           time=180.531 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=4
bytes from 8.8.8: seq=5
                                           time=98.958 ms
                                           time=43.905
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=6
bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=7
bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=8
                                           time=62.570
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=9
bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=10
                                           time=36.103 ms
time=259.068 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=12
bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=13
                                           time=77.897
            from 8.8.8.8:
                                           time=36.357
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=15
                                           time=36.716
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=16
                                          time=40.728
                                           time=35.882
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=17
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=18
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=19
                                          time=61.905
                                           time=32.951
            from 8.8.8.8: seq=20
     8.8.8.8 dnsping statistics
20 requests transmitted, 20 responses received, 0% lost min=30.005 ms, avg=69.544 ms, max=259.068 ms, stddev=56.870 ms
```

hand, the high standard error value obtained was less expected. There are RTT values around 30ms but also values that touch 300ms.

```
20 -t AAAA web.unipv.it
dnsping DNS: 8.8.8.8:53, hostname: web.unipv.it, proto: UDP, rdatatype: AAAA, flags: RD
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=1
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=2
                                    time=44.709 ms
time=59.880 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=3
                                     time=39.068 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=4
                                     time=35.699
88 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=5
                                     time=38.337
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=6
                                     time=59.174 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=7
                                     time=56.820 ms
          from 8.8.8.8: seq=8
                                     time=130.194 ms
   bytes
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=9
                                     time=39.596 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=10 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=11
                                     time=106.441 ms
                                     time=35.736 ms
time=30.004 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=12
                                     time=54.974 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=13
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=14
                                     time=43.486
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=15
                                     time=40.955 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=16
                                     time=30.954 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=17
88
                                     time=42.070 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=18
                                     time=108.630 ms
   bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=19
88 bytes from 8.8.8: seq=20
                                    time=28.378 ms
--- 8.8.8.8 dnsping statistics ---
20 requests transmitted, 20 responses received, 0% lost
min=28.378 ms, avg=53.579 ms, max=130.194 ms, stddev=28.359 ms
```

```
(kali⊕kali)-[~]
 —$ dnsping -s 8.8.8.8 -c 20 web.unipv.it
dnsping DNS: 8.8.8.8:53, hostname: web.unipv.it, proto: UDP, rdatatype: A, flags: RD
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=1 time=53.257 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=2 time=35.287 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=3 time=34.154 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=4 time=36.882 ms
                                   time=38.851 ms
time=80.256 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=5
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=6
                                   time=60.045 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=7
                                   time=34.366 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=8
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=9
                                    time=26.901 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=10 time=296.235 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=11 time=34.971 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=12 time=33.513 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=13 time=33.207 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=14 time=34.363 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=15 time=30.973 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=16 time=38.910 ms
  bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=17
                                    time=32.718 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=18 time=91.684 ms
                                    time=28.738 ms
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=19
57 bytes from 8.8.8.8: seq=20
                                    time=31.056 ms
  - 8.8.8.8 dnsping statistics -
20 requests transmitted, 20 responses received, 0% lost
min=26.901 ms, avg=54.318 ms, max=296.235 ms, stddev=59.464 ms
```

It is possible to see that the response time changes by varying the type of query. In general, type A queries (in this case smaller size) take less time. All the other three types tested have very similar times.

Finally it must be said that the results obtained were taken in a single moment, therefore their validity is limited. The analysis should be repeated several times to collect data closer to reality.

Question 2

dnseval -f list-NS -t NS -c 20 berkeley.edu dnseval -f list-NS -t MX -c 20 berkeley.edu dnseval -f list-NS -t A -c 20 berkeley.edu

The public name servers that I have chosen to test for this part are the following, note that the geographical location is also shown, useful for considerations:

139.134.2.190	Australia
8.8.8.8	USA
64.6.64.6	USA
62.149.128.2	Italy
200.221.11.101	Brazil
82.103.129.72	Denmark

For each name server 50 queries were made, of type A / MX / NS. The hostnames used are the following:

- web.unipv.it
- australia.gov.au
- fbi.gov
- verizion.com
- berkeley.edu

(kali⊗ kali)-[~ \$ dnseval -f <u>lis</u> server		50 web.unip	v.it max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72	351.433 43.744 60.542 50.720 265.851 80.144	320.234 25.964 46.290 37.128 238.248 64.013	980.675 82.025 156.426 81.172 523.538 138.715	92.084 10.509 23.257 7.757 53.170 19.143	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %4	283 215 297 297 288 298	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR
(kali⊗ kali)-[~ \$ dnseval -f <u>lis</u> server		c 50 web.uni min(ms)	pv.it max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72 (kali® kali)-[~ \$ dnseval -f lis	<u>t-NS</u> -t NS -			63.351 16.104 11.753 7.580 46.561 25.262	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %4	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR
server 139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72	avg(ms) 352.343 33.132 62.573 68.476 261.646 77.645	min(ms) 320.147 26.123 48.002 42.894 237.926 64.718	max(ms) 1151.736 52.916 102.244 96.840 487.588 125.200	115.657 6.739 13.858 6.172 47.068 14.703	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %4	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR

(kali⊛kali)-[~	1							
\$ dnseval -f lis		50 australi	a.gov.au					
server	avg(ms)	min(ms)	max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190	337.268	321.707	432.806	17.523	%0	3583	QR RD RA	NOERROR
8.8.8.8	55.019	26.850	264.366	34.752	%0	3598	QR RD RA	NOERROR
64.6.64.6	83.629	46.669	883.306	122.698	%0	3597	QR RD RA	NOERROR
62.149.128.2	50.477	35.523	100.734	11.494	%0	3597	QR RD RA	NOERROR
200.221.11.101	263.424	241.763	455.845	39.966	%0	3587	QR RD RA	NOERROR
82.103.129.72	86.279	63.704	255.181	40.967	%4	3598	QR RD RA	NOERROR
┌──(kali⊛kali)-[~								
└\$ dnseval -f <u>lis</u>			.					
server	avg(ms)	min(ms)	max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190	335.895	322.281	457.078	18.391	%0	N/A	OR RD RA	NOERROR
8.8.8.8	39.191	27.047	96.121	17.107	%0	N/A	QR RD RA	NOERROR
64.6.64.6	59.917	46.876	121.888	15.727	%0	N/A	OR RD RA	NOERROR
62.149.128.2	50.212	39.258	86.043	9.057	%0	N/A	OR RD RA	NOERROR
200.221.11.101	262.575	237.056	384.329	25.270	%0	N/A	QR RD RA	NOERROR
82.103.129.72	75.694	62.940	117.577	12.007	%4	N/A	QR RD RA	NOERROR
┌──(kali⊛kali)-[~								
└\$ dnseval -f <u>lis</u>	<u>t-NS</u> -t NS -	c 50 austral	ia.gov.au					
server	avg(ms)	min(ms)	max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190	342.082	322.152	474.109	24.608	%0	383	QR RD RA	NOERROR
8.8.8.8	43.323	25.634	251.538	38.765	%0	21598	QR RD RA	NOERROR
64.6.64.6	64.219	48.578	120.578	15.689	%0	43197	QR RD RA	NOERROR
62.149.128.2	50.779	37.000	100.428	9.484	%0	86397	QR RD RA	NOERROR
200.221.11.101	260.006	240.718	383.699	25.714	%0	172787	QR RD RA	NOERROR
82.103.129.72	76.083	62.476	118.820	11.855	%4	172799	QR RD RA	NOERROR

	-							
(kali ⊛ kali)-[~] \$ dnseval -f list		50 vorizon	com					
server	avg(ms)	min(ms)	max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72	341.315 34.649 63.127 49.751 265.615 77.044	323.724 26.479 47.939 36.722 237.345 62.333	376.713 56.069 111.278 71.012 505.879 99.916	15.113 7.771 12.558 7.692 41.733 10.200	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0	584 599 596 28 392 599	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR
(kali⊛ kali)-[~ \$ dnseval -f list server				stddev(ms)		ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72	336.752 35.242 56.134 48.124 263.750 75.738	321.575 26.433 47.083 35.717 239.816 63.094	364.995 52.073 75.776 69.232 367.576 99.627	7.045 8.215 6.936 7.141 25.364 9.658	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %4	583 598 597 598 586 599	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR
(kali⊗kali)-[~; dnseval -f <u>list</u> server		c 50 verizon min(ms)	.com max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72	333.806 37.881 58.405 49.266 261.187 78.698	322.217 27.269 47.422 36.825 239.912 62.736	404.946 54.840 76.099 75.099 395.400 102.599	12.977 7.809 7.231 6.628 26.167 11.484	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0	3477 3598 3597 27 3588 3598	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR

(kali⊛kali)-[^ \$ dnseval -f lis server		50 fbi.gov min(ms)	max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72 (kali® kali)-[~		322.144 26.300 47.939 37.427 237.988 62.511	453.433 100.999 135.366 88.788 578.547 289.117	19.758 14.166 19.081 8.501 61.102 49.165	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %4	103 118 117 117 108 298	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR
└\$ dnseval -f <u>lis</u> server	<u>t-NS</u> -t MX - avg(ms)	c 50 fbi.gov min(ms)	max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72 (kali® kali)-[^ \$ dnseval -f lis	<u>t-NS</u> -t NS -			25.923 16.124 14.611 12.259 27.725 9.947	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %4	103 118 117 117 108 298	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR
139.134.2.190 8.8.8.8 64.6.64.6 62.149.128.2 200.221.11.101 82.103.129.72	avg(ms) 349.118 37.580 62.997 49.473 261.617 77.796	321.899 26.208 47.579 35.921 237.910 62.433	max(ms) 467.521 90.518 94.493 75.332 386.371 112.103	24.852 13.383 10.468 7.165 25.788 14.052	%0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %0 %4	583 598 597 598 588 598	QR RD RA	NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR NOERROR

(kali⊛kali)-[~ s dnseval -f lis		50 borkolov	, odu					
server	avg(ms)	min(ms)	max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190	341.917	320.577	531.408	31.473	%0	283	QR RD RA	NOERROR
8.8.8.8	53.854	26.931	210.883	55.019	%0	297	QR RD RA	NOERROR
64.6.64.6	170.412	56.613	226.749	79.987	%14	300	QR RD RA	NOERROR
62.149.128.2	55.127	36.870	219.798	35.041	%0	297	QR RD RA	NOERROR
200.221.11.101	264.086	243.127	472.822	40.475	%0	287	QR RD RA	NOERROR
82.103.129.72	81.738	64.098	246.089	39.251	%4	298	QR RD RA	NOERROR
(kali⊗kali)-[~ \$ dnseval -f <u>lis</u> server		c 50 berkele min(ms)	ey.edu max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190	340.099	320.144	521.034	28.701	%0	255	QR RD RA	NOERROR
8.8.8.8	50.577	25.681	225.595	52.782	%0	269	QR RD RA	NOERROR
64.6.64.6	72.448	47.431	233.063	44.951	%0	267	QR RD RA	NOERROR
62.149.128.2	54.267	37.106	225.481	35.112	%0	268	QR RD RA	NOERROR
200.221.11.101	269.396	239.262	534.875	54.773	%0	259	QR RD RA	NOERROR
82.103.129.72	86.138	66.975	250.121	39.299	%4	298	QR RD RA	NOERROR
(kali⊛kali)-[~ \$ dnseval -f <u>lis</u> server		c 50 berkele min(ms)	ey.edu max(ms)	stddev(ms)	lost(%)	ttl	flags	response
139.134.2.190	334.899	320.741	353.020	6.839	%0	9298	QR RD RA	NOERROR
8.8.8.8	50.562	26.498	210.708	51.678	%0	10798	QR RD RA	NOERROR
64.6.64.6	57.410	46.990	85.677	7.301	%0	8935	QR RD RA	NOERROR
62.149.128.2	48.539	37.362	60.955	5.627	%0	9300	QR RD RA	NOERROR
200.221.11.101	255.562	245.607	272.766	6.038	%0	9288	QR RD RA	NOERROR
82.103.129.72	80.869	63.424	244.517	38.902	%4	10799	QR RD RA	NOERROR

What the data shows is that the times obtained vary greatly depending on the name server used, as might be expected. It is clear that performance is greatly influenced by the geographic location of the name server, in fact for the same query a name server in Australia takes up to six times the time of a name server in Italy. With the same name server, I expected a big time difference based on the type of query requested. In reality the results obtained go against this expectation, there are small variations in times.

Question 3

The public name servers that I have chosen to test for this part are the following, note that the geographical location is also shown, useful for considerations:

139.134.2.190	Australia
8.8.8.8	USA
64.6.64.6	USA
200.221.11.101	Brazil
82.103.129.72	Denmark

sudo dnstraceroute -x -a -s 139.134.2.190 unipv.it

The results thus obtained are consistent with those expected. There are some things in common with all name servers used, for example in hops 5/6/7 routers obscure responses to this type of traffic. This being a common behavior with every query run, I can imagine that it is related to my ISP. The same consideration can be made for all 10 first hops, they are all in common. Regardless of the name server used. In particular, all the queries are in my ISP's network at least up to hop 11, some of these even up to hop 13. Hop number 11 varies each time, but is always within the ISP's network.

Starting with hop 12 the behavior changes significantly depending on the specified server. Sometimes this is an exchange point, while other times it's still a router within my ISP's network.

```
-(kali⊕kali)-[~]
 -$ sudo dnstraceroute -x -a -s 8.8.8.8 unipv.it
dnstraceroute DNS: 8.8.8.8:53, hostname: unipv.it, rdatatype: A
             10.0.2.2 (10.0.2.2) 1.330 ms
1
             _gateway (192.168.75.166) 3.767 ms 192.168.60.82 (192.168.60.82) 243.534 ms
3
4
             192.168.60.82 (192.168.60.82) 79.703 ms
5
6
             192.168.0.69 (192.168.0.69) 30.718 ms
7
8
             10.178.86.49 (10.178.86.49) 81.967 ms
9
             83.224.40.186 (83.224.40.186) 40.947 ms
10
             83.224.40.185 (83.224.40.185) 34.057 ms
             83.224.46.233 (83.224.46.233) 33.164 ms
11
             216.239.49.41 (216.239.49.41) [AS15169 GOOGLE, US] 42.086 ms
12
13
             142.251.235.179 (142.251.235.179) [AS15169 GOOGLE, US] 31.324 ms
             dns.google (8.8.8.8) [AS15169 GOOGLE, US] 44.644 ms
14
    -(kali⊛kali)-[~]
 <u>sudo</u> dnstraceroute -x -a -s 64.6.64.6 unipv.it
dnstraceroute DNS: 64.6.64.6:53, hostname: unipv.it, rdatatype: A
           10.0.2.2 (10.0.2.2) 1.066 ms
2
3
4
           _gateway (192.168.75.166) 6.236 ms
           192.168.60.82 (192.168.60.82) 253.313 ms
           192.168.60.82 (192.168.60.82) 31.297 ms
5
6
           192.168.0.69 (192.168.0.69) 43.074 ms
8
           10.178.86.49 (10.178.86.49) 70.373 ms
9
           83.224.40.186 (83.224.40.186) 43.933 ms
10
           83.224.40.185 (83.224.40.185) 42.353 ms
11
           185.210.48.137 (185.210.48.137) 62.430 ms
12
           lag17.fr4.mrs1.llnw.net (87.248.216.248) [AS22822 LLNW, US] 47.501 ms
13
           p1-4.fr3.mrs1.llnw.net (178.79.236.9) [AS22822 LLNW, US] 52.344 ms
14
           lag19.fr3.toj1.llnw.net (87.248.220.11) [AS22822 LLNW, US] 52.951 ms
15
           uldns.p1-8-10g.fr3.toj1.llnw.net (95.140.224.29) [AS22822 LLNW, US] 65.003 ms
16
           rec1pubns1.ultradns.net (64.6.64.6) [AS397213 ULTRADNS, US] 111.655 ms
$ sudo dnstraceroute -x -a -s 200.221.11.101 unipv.it dnstraceroute DNS: 200.221.11.101:53, hostname: unipv.it, rdatatype: A 1 10.0.2.2 (10.0.2.2) 1.321 ms 2 gateway (192.168.75.166) 7.429 ms 3 192.168.60.82 (192.168.60.82) 306.411 ms 4 192.168.60.82 (192.168.60.82) 62.099 ms
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
       10.178.86.49 (10.178.86.49) 34.584 ms
83.224.40.186 (83.224.40.186) 53.655 ms
83.224.40.185 (83.224.40.185) 70.188 ms
83.100-xcr1.mlb.cw.net (195.59.1.85) [AS1273 CW Vodafone Group PLC, EU] 62.389 ms
823-xcr1.mrx.cw.net (195.2.31.118) [AS1273 CW Vodafone Group PLC, EU] 38.171 ms
836762-gw-xcr1.mrx.cw.net (195.2.29.182) [AS1273 CW Vodafone Group PLC, EU] 41.718 ms
```

im-brasil.sanpaolo8.spa.seabone.net (195.22.219.33) [AS6762 SEABONE-NET TELECOM ITALIA SPARKLE S.p.A., IT] 289.857 ms 26.252.40.189.isp.timbrasil.com.br (189.40.252.26) [AS26615 TIM SA, BR] 250.672 ms 186.234.29.38 (186.234.29.38) [AS7162 Universo Online S.A., BR] 251.799 ms 200-147-26-38.static.uol.com.br (200.147.26.38) [AS7162 Universo Online S.A., BR] 425.232 ms ravel.uol.com.br (200.221.11.101) [AS7162 Universo Online S.A., BR] 272.105 ms [*] No expert hint available for this trace