LPS 31: Introduction to Inductive Logic	I		MEAN
Spring, 2018; $n = 22$	MEAN	St. Dev.	(All S.S.
			Courses)
TA was competent in course material	6.55	0.66	5.91
TA was able to make presentations clearly	6.48	0.73	5.85
TA was responsive to students	6.45	0.72	5.97
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	6.50	0.72	5.94
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	6.64	0.57	6.09
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	6.36	1.02	5.81
I would expect another course with this TA to be	6.64	0.57	5.84
General teaching effectiveness	6.50	0.84	5.63

## LING 3: Introduction to Linguistics

Zirva of introduction to zinguistics			l MEAN
Winter, 2018; $n = 75$	MEAN	St. Dev.	(All S.S.
			Courses)
TA was competent in course material	5.84	1.14	5.83
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.87	1.10	5.78
TA was responsive to students	5.93	1.14	5.94
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	5.95	1.15	5.89
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	6.07	1.11	6.11
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	5.74	1.36	5.74
I would expect another course with this TA to be	5.85	1.24	5.78
General teaching effectiveness	5.78	1.11	5.60

#### LING 51 / COGS 56: Acquisition of Language

Fall, 2017; $n = 35$	MEAN	St. Dev.	(All S.S. Courses)
TA was competent in course material	5.60	1.57	5.72
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.83	1.52	5.65
TA was responsive to students	5.66	1.57	5.83
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	5.45	1.63	5.77
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	5.50	1.61	5.98
I would expect another course with this TA to be	5.60	1.55	5.65
General teaching effectiveness	5.47	1.45	5.52

## LPS 31: Introduction to Inductive Logic

LPS 31: Introduction to Inductive Logic Spring, $2017$ ; $n = 26$			MEAN
Spring, 2017, $n = 20$	MEAN	St. Dev.	(All S.S.
	C 10	1 44	Courses)
TA was competent in course material	6.19	1.44	5.86
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.88	1.55	5.79
TA was responsive to students	6.16	1.46	5.93
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	6.08	1.47	5.89
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	6.26	1.45	6.06
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	5.87	1.75	5.74
I would expect another course with this TA to be	6.00	1.56	5.77
General teaching effectiveness	5.93	1.21	5.63

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Full}$  course evaluations available upon request

SELECTED COURSE EVALUATIONS (CONT'D)

7-	Among Best	4- (	ЭК	1-	Among Worst
----	------------	------	----	----	-------------

ECON 15A: Probability & Statistics for Economics I

Winter, 2017; $n = 49$	MEAN	St. Dev.	MEAN (All S.S. Courses)
TA was competent in course material	5.02	1.58	5.86
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.02	1.68	5.78
TA was responsive to students	5.31	1.75	5.96
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	5.06	1.69	5.90
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	5.73	1.55	6.15
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	4.90	1.92	5.72
I would expect another course with this TA to be	4.88	1.75	5.79
General teaching effectiveness	5.18	1.411	5.67

5- Very Much So	3- So-So	1-	Not At All
-----------------	----------	----	------------

# PHIL xx1: Critical Thinking

Spring, 2016; n = 34

5000, n = 54	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	4.68	0.53
Assignments were returned promptly	4.88	0.32
TA directed the tutorial well	4.56	0.95
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	4.56	0.91
Comments on written work were helpful	4.56	0.77
TA was well prepared	4.71	0.57
TA was easy to follow	4.47	0.81
TA answered questions helpfully	4.79	0.47
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	4.53	0.65
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	4.74	0.61
Overall (Tutorials)	4.53	$\boldsymbol{0.74}$
Overall (TA)	4.62	0.69

# PHIL xx1: Critical Thinking

Fall, 2015; n = 49

Fall, 2015; $n = 49$	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	4.37	0.87
Assignments were returned promptly	4.71	0.57
TA directed the tutorial well	4.55	0.76
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	4.39	0.88
Comments on written work were helpful	4.08	1.08
TA was well prepared	4.57	0.70
TA was easy to follow	4.29	0.97
TA answered questions helpfully	4.41	0.99
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	4.16	1.08
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	4.41	1.05
Overall (Tutorials)	4.42	<b>0.79</b>
Overall (TA)	4.44	0.98

### Selected Course **EVALUATIONS**

5	- Very Much So	3- S	o-So 1-	- Not At All

# PHIL xx1: Critical Thinking Spring, 2015; n = 37

~ FO,, ···	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	4.00	1.01
Assignments were returned promptly	4.69	0.57
TA directed the tutorial well	4.27	0.98
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	4.41	0.85
Comments on written work were helpful	3.73	1.06
TA was well prepared	4.35	0.91
TA was easy to follow	4.24	1.00
TA answered questions helpfully	4.30	0.90
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	4.32	0.99
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	4.41	0.94
Overall (Tutorials)	4.35	0.81
Overall (TA)	4.53	0.76

#### PHIL 120W: Introduction to Ethics

Fall, 2014; n = 30

	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	3.17	1.13
Assignments were returned promptly	4.30	0.74
TA directed the tutorial well	3.60	0.95
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	3.50	1.02
Comments on written work were helpful	3.73	0.96
TA was well prepared	4.03	0.84
TA was easy to follow	3.33	1.14
TA answered questions helpfully	3.57	1.12
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	3.20	1.01
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	3.48	1.28
Overall (Tutorials)	3.63	0.91
Overall (TA)	3.63	1.08