

Travis LaCroix | CURRICULUM VITAE

CONTACT INFORMATION	✉ 740 Social Science Tower University of California, Irvine Department of Logic and Philosophy of Science 3151 Social Sciences Plaza Irvine, CA 92697-5100	✉ tlacroix@uci.edu 🌐 travislacroix.github.io 🌐 uci.academia.edu/tlacroix
CITIZENSHIP	Canada	
APPOINTMENTS	Mila (Québec Artificial Intelligence Institute) , Montréal, Québec CAN Visiting Researcher / Research Assistant, July 2018 – June 2020 Supervisor: Yoshua Bengio Concordia University , Montréal, Québec CAN Coordinator, <i>Social Justice Centre</i> , October 2019 – June 2020	
EDUCATION	University of California, Irvine , Irvine, California USA Ph.D, Philosophy, June 2020 (Expected). GPA: 3.97/4.00 <i>Department of Logic and Philosophy of Science</i> Dissertation: “Complex Signals: Modularity, Reflexivity, & Hierarchical Structure” Committee: Jeffrey Barrett (Chair) Brian Skyrms Simon Huttegger Cailin O’Connor M.A., Social Science, March 2018 <i>Institute for Mathematical Behavioral Science</i> Simon Fraser University , Burnaby, British Columbia CAN M.A., Philosophy, April 2016. GPA: 4.05/4.33 Thesis Topic: “On Signalling Games and Their Models” Committee: Nicolas Fillion (Chair) Matt DeVos University of British Columbia , Vancouver, British Columbia CAN B.A. (Hons.), Philosophy; English Literature, April 2014. GPA: 81.5/100 First-class standing Camosun College , Victoria, British Columbia CAN A.A., English, April 2011. GPA: 8.14/9	

PUBLICATIONS

Refereed Journal Articles

2. LaCroix, Travis. 2019. "Evolutionary Explanations of Simple Communication: Signalling Games & Their Models." *Journal for General Philosophy of Science / Zeitschrift für allgemeine Wissenschaftstheorie* (Forthcoming).
doi.org/10.1007/s10838-019-09481-7
1. LaCroix, Travis. 2018. "On Salience and Signaling in Sender-Receiver Games: Partial Pooling, Learning, and Focal Points." *Synthese* (Forthcoming).
doi.org/10.1007/s11229-018-1766-z

ARTICLES

Papers Under Review

(Draft available upon request)

Revise & Resubmit Decision Received

"Communicative Bottlenecks Lead to Maximal Information Transfer"
"Epistemology and the Structure of Language" (w/ J. A. Barrett)
"Using Logic to Learn More Logic"

Under Review

"The Correction Game"
"Dynamics of Retraction in Epistemic Networks" (w/ C. O'Connor & A. Geil)
"If Gradualism is the Correct Approach to Language Origins..."
"Polysemy, Role Asymmetry & the Evolution of Compositional Signals"
"Power by Association" (w/ C. O'Connor)
"Selfish Emergent Communication" (w/ M. Noukhovitch, A. Lazaridou, A. Courville)
"What Russell Can Denote"

Selected Working Papers

(Draft available upon request)

"(Apparent) Coincidences and the Grain of Explanation"
"Learning from Learning Machines" (w/ Y. Bengio)
"Reference by Proxy and Truth-in-a-Model"
"Saltationism v. Gradualism"

CONFERENCE
PRESENTATIONS

Refereed Talks

10. "Learning from Learning Machines" (w/Yoshua Bengio)
Canadian Society for Epistemology
Montréal, Québec, 14–16 November 2019.
9. "Accounting For Role-Asymmetries In the Evolution of Compositional Signals"
Canadian Philosophical Association
Vancouver, Canada, 1–4 June 2019.
8. "Using Logic to Evolve More Logic: Composing Logical Operators via Self-Assembly"
Society for Exact Philosophy
Toronto, Canada, 17–19 May 2019.

7. “Using Logic to Evolve More Logic: Composing Logical Operators via Self-Assembly”
American Philosophical Association, Pacific Division
Vancouver, Canada, 17–20 April 2019.
6. “Less is More: Degrees of Compositionality for Complex Signals”
Philosophy of Science Association
Seattle, USA, 1–4 November 2018. *Symposium Contribution.*
5. “Reference by Proxy and Truth-in-a-Model”
Western Canadian Philosophical Association
Calgary, Canada, 26–28 October 2018.
4. “On The Role of Power in the Evolution of Inequitable Norms” (w/Cailin O’Connor)
L’Association Canadienne de Philosophie
Montréal, Québec, 4–7 June 2018.
3. “On The Role of Power in the Evolution of Inequitable Norms” (w/Cailin O’Connor)
Latin American Association for Analytic Philosophy, and
Colombian Conference on Logic, Epistemology, and Philosophy of Science
Villa de Leyva, Colombia, 16–18 May 2018.
2. “On Salience and Signaling in Sender-Receiver Games”
Western Canadian Philosophical Association
Regina, Canada, 13–15 October 2017.
1. “Signaling Games & Their Models”
Colombian Conference on Logic, Epistemology, & Philosophy of Science
Bogotá, Colombia, 17–19 February 2016.

Commentor

3. “A Pragmatic-Semiotic Defence of Bivalent Logic” CPA/ACP 2019 Annual Congress,
University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, 1–4 June 2019.
2. “Responsibility for Saying and Asserting” (Henry Schiller) CPA/ACP 2018 Annual
Congress, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Canada, 4–7 June 2018.
1. “Simplicity and A Priori Probability Principles” (Noa Latham) CPA/ACP 2018 An-
nual Congress, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Canada, 4–7 June 2018.

ACADEMIC EXPERIENCE

Teaching Assistant

University of California, Irvine

Inductive Logic, Simon Huttegger	Spring 2018
Department of Logic and Philosophy of Science (31)	
Department of Philosophy (31)	
Introduction to Linguistics, Arunima Choudhury	Winter 2018
Department of Linguistics (3)	
Acquisition of Language, Lisa Pearl	Fall 2017
Department of Linguistics (51)	
Department of Cognitive Sciences (56)	

Inductive Logic, Simon Huttegger	Spring 2017
Department of Logic and Philosophy of Science (31)	
Department of Philosophy (31)	
Probability and Statistics for Economics I, Kent Johnson	Winter 2017
Department of Economics (15A)	
<i>Simon Fraser University</i>	
Critical Thinking (PHIL xx1), Jillian McIntosh	Spring 2016
Critical Thinking (PHIL xx1), Jillian McIntosh	Fall 2015
Critical Thinking (PHIL xx1), Jillian McIntosh	Spring 2015
Introduction to Ethics (PHIL 120W), Evan Tiffany	Fall 2014

Guest Lectures

University of California, Irvine

“Language and Cognition” *Acquisition of Language* (Linguistics/Psychology), 1 Dec. 2017.

Research Assistant

University of California, Irvine

Social Dynamics and Diversity in Epistemic Communities
Cailin O’Connor (NSF Grant 1535139) 2017 – 2019

Simon Fraser University

Constructing Questions for Critical Thinking
Jillian McIntosh Spring 2015

University of British Columbia

Aristotle’s Earlier Logic
John Woods Summer 2014

GRANTS AND FELLOWSHIPS

Research Awards

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Joseph-Armand Bombardier Canada Graduate Scholarships, Simon Fraser University (CAD 17,500), 2015-2016

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Joseph-Armand Bombardier Canada Graduate Scholarships, University of British Columbia (CAD 17,500), DECLINED, 2014-2015

Academic Awards

University of California, Irvine

Justine Lambert Graduate Prize in the Foundations of Science, for “On Salience and Signaling: Partial Pooling, Learning, and Focal Points”, University of California, Irvine (USD 1000), 2018.

Social Science Merit Fellowship (USD 257,818), 2016–2022

Simon Fraser University

Graduate Fellowship (CAD 6250), 2015

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Referee. The Vancouver Summer Philosophy Conference, 2019.

Symposium Organiser. “Evolutionary Explanations of Compositional Communication” Philosophy of Science Association 2018 Biennial Meeting, November, 2018.

Chair. The Vancouver Summer Philosophy Conference (19–23 August 2018, Vancouver, CAN); F-SEW: Formal Social Epistemology Workshop (25–26 May 2018, Irvine, USA); The Western Canadian Philosophical Association 54th Annual Meeting (13–15 October 2017, Regina, CAN); The Ninetieth Annual Meeting of the American Philosophical Association, Pacific Division (30 March – 3 April 2016, San Francisco, USA).

SPECIALIZED TRAINING

University of California, Irvine

Sex Offense Prevention Training Fall 2017

Teaching Assistant Professional Development Program Fall 2016

Simon Fraser University

TA/TM Day: Teaching Orientation Program 2014–2016

LANGUAGES

English (native), French (intermediate)

TECHNICAL CAPACITIES

Mathematics:

Ordinary / Partial Differential Equations, Linear Algebra, Multivariate / Vector Calculus

Logic:

Set Theory, Modal Logic, Predicate Logic

Programming Languages:

Python, Java, Javascript

Markup:

LaTeX, HTML, CSS

Computation and Graphing:

R, MatLab, Excel

AFFILIATIONS

Canadian Philosophical Association

Philosophy of Science Association

American Philosophical Association

Society for Exact Philosophy

REFERENCES

✧ *Dissertation Committee* ✧

Dr. Jeffrey A. Barrett

Chancellor's Professor

Social Science Tower 765
Logic and Philosophy of Science
School of Social Sciences
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, CA, 92697-5100, USA

☎ +1 (949) 824-6491

✉ jabarret@uci.edu

Dr. Brian Skyrms

Distinguished Professor

Social Science Tower 767
Logic and Philosophy of Science
School of Social Sciences
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, CA, 92697-5100, USA

☎ +1 (949) 824-6495

✉ bskyrms@uci.edu

Dr. Simon Huttegger

Professor

Social Science Tower 785
Logic and Philosophy of Science
School of Social Sciences
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, CA, 92697-5100, USA

☎ +1 (949) 824-3220

✉ shuttegg@uci.edu

Dr. Cailin O'Connor

Associate Professor

Social Science Tower 793
Logic and Philosophy of Science
School of Social Sciences
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, CA, 92697-5100, USA

☎ +1 (949) 824-3954

✉ cailino@uci.edu

✧ *External References* ✧

Dr. Joshua Armstrong

Assistant Professor

350 Dodd Hall
Department of Philosophy
University of California, Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1451, USA

☎ +1 (310) 825-7596

✉ jarmstrong@humnet.ucla.edu

Dr. Nicolas Fillion

Assistant Professor

WMC 4614
Department of Philosophy
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, BC, V5A-1S6, Canada

☎ +1 (778) 782-4855

✉ nfillion@sfu.ca

Dr. Holly Andersen

Associate Professor

WMC 5611
Department of Philosophy
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, BC, V5A-1S6, Canada

☎ +1 (778) 782-9025

✉ holly_andersen@sfu.ca

Dr. Christopher Mole

Associate Professor

Buchanan E 369
Department of Philosophy
The University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC, V6T-1Z1, Canada

☎ +1 (604) 827-0027

✉ cmole@ubc.ca

SEMINARS AND GRADUATE COURSEWORK	(† = Directed Study, * = Audit)				
<i>Epistemology & Philosophy of Language</i>	Bayesian Epistemology	S. Huttegger	UCI	2018	
	Information Theory	C. O'Connor	UCI	2017	
	Metasemantics	O. Simchen	UBC	2015	
	Language at the Interface	A. Atkins	SFU	2015	
	Recent Perspectives on the <i>A Priori</i> *	P. Hanson	SFU	2015	
	Errors of Reasoning	J. Woods	UBC	2014	
<i>Decision & Game Theory</i>	Social Dynamics	B. Skyrms	UCI	2016-18	
	Evolution and Learning in Games	J. Carvalho	UCI	2017	
	Evolutionary Game Theory	S. Huttegger	UCI	2016	
	Decision Theory and Game Theory	N. Fillion	SFU	2014	
<i>Natural & Aritifical Intelligence</i>	Adv. Topics in Computing Systems*	D. Precup	McGill	2019	
	Fundamentals of Machine Learning*	I. Mitliagkas	UdeM	2018	
	Deep Learning†		UCI	2018	
	Reinforcement Learning	R. Dechter	UCI	2018	
	Intro to Artificial Intelligence	K. Kask	UCI	2017	
	Conscious Systems	K. Saberi	UCI	2017	
<i>Logic & Philosophy of Logic</i>	Undecidability and Incompleteness	K. Johnson	UCI	2017	
	Metalogic	K. Wehmeier	UCI	2017	
	Set Theory	S. Walsh	UCI	2016	
	Modal Logic	S. Walsh	UCI	2016	
	Hypergraphs and Philosophy	R. Jennings	SFU	2014	
<i>Mathematics & Philosophy of Mathematics</i>	Mathematical & Computational Bio	G. A. E. Ruiz	UCI	2017	
	Philosophy of Set Theory	P. Maddy	UCI	2016	
	History of Analysis	T. Archibald	SFU	2016	
<i>History of Philosophy</i>	Frege, Russell, Wittgenstein	J. Heis	UCI	2017	
	Hume's Treatise	K. Schafer	UCI	2017	
	Leibniz and Berkeley*	D. Heide	SFU	2016	
	Descartes	L. Shapiro	SFU	2015	
<i>Ethics</i>	Direction of Moral Duties	A. Zylberman	SFU	2016	
	Responsibility & Excuse	E. Tiffany	SFU	2015	
	Pro-Seminar	E. Tiffany	SFU	2014	
<i>Professional Training</i>	Professional Development	D. Pritchard	UCI	2019	
	University Teaching	M. McBride	UCI	2018	
	University Teaching	M. McBride	UCI	2017	

Last updated: September 28, 2019

SELECTED COURSE ¹
EVALUATIONS

7- Among Best	4- OK	1- Among Worst
---------------	-------	----------------

LPS 31: Introduction to Inductive Logic

Spring, 2018; $n = 22$

	MEAN	St. Dev.	MEAN (All S.S. Courses)
TA was competent in course material	6.55	0.66	5.91
TA was able to make presentations clearly	6.48	0.73	5.85
TA was responsive to students	6.45	0.72	5.97
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	6.50	0.72	5.94
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	6.64	0.57	6.09
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	6.36	1.02	5.81
I would expect another course with this TA to be	6.64	0.57	5.84
General teaching effectiveness	6.50	0.84	5.63

LING 3: Introduction to Linguistics

Winter, 2018; $n = 75$

	MEAN	St. Dev.	MEAN (All S.S. Courses)
TA was competent in course material	5.84	1.14	5.83
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.87	1.10	5.78
TA was responsive to students	5.93	1.14	5.94
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	5.95	1.15	5.89
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	6.07	1.11	6.11
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	5.74	1.36	5.74
I would expect another course with this TA to be	5.85	1.24	5.78
General teaching effectiveness	5.78	1.11	5.60

LING 51 / COGS 56: Acquisition of Language

Fall, 2017; $n = 35$

	MEAN	St. Dev.	MEAN (All S.S. Courses)
TA was competent in course material	5.60	1.57	5.72
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.83	1.52	5.65
TA was responsive to students	5.66	1.57	5.83
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	5.45	1.63	5.77
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	5.50	1.61	5.98
I would expect another course with this TA to be	5.60	1.55	5.65
General teaching effectiveness	5.47	1.45	5.52

LPS 31: Introduction to Inductive Logic

Spring, 2017; $n = 26$

	MEAN	St. Dev.	MEAN (All S.S. Courses)
TA was competent in course material	6.19	1.44	5.86
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.88	1.55	5.79
TA was responsive to students	6.16	1.46	5.93
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	6.08	1.47	5.89
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	6.26	1.45	6.06
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	5.87	1.75	5.74
I would expect another course with this TA to be	6.00	1.56	5.77
General teaching effectiveness	5.93	1.21	5.63

¹Full course evaluations available upon request

SELECTED COURSE
EVALUATIONS
(CONT'D)

7- Among Best	4- OK	1- Among Worst
---------------	-------	----------------

ECON 15A: Probability & Statistics for Economics I

Winter, 2017; $n = 49$

	MEAN	St. Dev.	MEAN (All S.S. Courses)
TA was competent in course material	5.02	1.58	5.86
TA was able to make presentations clearly	5.02	1.68	5.78
TA was responsive to students	5.31	1.75	5.96
TA was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material	5.06	1.69	5.90
TA was present and on time for discussion sections and office hours	5.73	1.55	6.15
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course	4.90	1.92	5.72
I would expect another course with this TA to be	4.88	1.75	5.79
General teaching effectiveness	5.18	1.411	5.67

5- Very Much So	3- So-So	1- Not At All
-----------------	----------	---------------

PHIL xx1: Critical Thinking

Spring, 2016; $n = 34$

	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	4.68	0.53
Assignments were returned promptly	4.88	0.32
TA directed the tutorial well	4.56	0.95
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	4.56	0.91
Comments on written work were helpful	4.56	0.77
TA was well prepared	4.71	0.57
TA was easy to follow	4.47	0.81
TA answered questions helpfully	4.79	0.47
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	4.53	0.65
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	4.74	0.61
Overall (Tutorials)	4.53	0.74
Overall (TA)	4.62	0.69

PHIL xx1: Critical Thinking

Fall, 2015; $n = 49$

	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	4.37	0.87
Assignments were returned promptly	4.71	0.57
TA directed the tutorial well	4.55	0.76
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	4.39	0.88
Comments on written work were helpful	4.08	1.08
TA was well prepared	4.57	0.70
TA was easy to follow	4.29	0.97
TA answered questions helpfully	4.41	0.99
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	4.16	1.08
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	4.41	1.05
Overall (Tutorials)	4.42	0.79
Overall (TA)	4.44	0.98

SELECTED COURSE
EVALUATIONS

5- Very Much So	3- So-So	1- Not At All
-----------------	----------	---------------

PHIL xx1: Critical Thinking

Spring, 2015; $n = 37$

	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	4.00	1.01
Assignments were returned promptly	4.69	0.57
TA directed the tutorial well	4.27	0.98
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	4.41	0.85
Comments on written work were helpful	3.73	1.06
TA was well prepared	4.35	0.91
TA was easy to follow	4.24	1.00
TA answered questions helpfully	4.30	0.90
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	4.32	0.99
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	4.41	0.94
Overall (Tutorials)	4.35	0.81
Overall (TA)	4.53	0.76

PHIL 120W: Introduction to Ethics

Fall, 2014; $n = 30$

	MEAN	St. Dev.
The grading was fair	3.17	1.13
Assignments were returned promptly	4.30	0.74
TA directed the tutorial well	3.60	0.95
Tutorials were helpful in clarifying course material	3.50	1.02
Comments on written work were helpful	3.73	0.96
TA was well prepared	4.03	0.84
TA was easy to follow	3.33	1.14
TA answered questions helpfully	3.57	1.12
TA was aware when students did not understand the material	3.20	1.01
TA had a positive attitude toward thoughtful disagreement	3.48	1.28
Overall (Tutorials)	3.63	0.91
Overall (TA)	3.63	1.08

DISSERTATION
ABSTRACT

Communication is found everywhere in nature; however, language is often taken to be unique to humans. Two questions immediately arise: *What fundamentally distinguishes language from simple systems of communication?* *How did language evolve?* My dissertation suggests answers to these questions by providing a novel way of understanding the evolution of complex communicative dispositions. I show how simple communication systems themselves might compose to create more complex systems. This view prioritises the *reflexivity* of language as the correct explanatory target for bridging the gap between ubiquitous animal communication and distinctively human language.

This work challenges the dominant view concerning the evolution of language, which attempts to resolve this explanatory gap by demonstrating how complex syntax evolved. One key difference between communication and language that researchers often point to is the *generative capacity* of languages: with a finite vocabulary and a finite set of grammatical rules, natural languages allow for the production of an unlimited number of unique expressions. This is often referred to as the *Principle of Compositionality*: the meaning of a complex expression is a function of the meanings of its parts and how they are combined. Simple communication systems that arise in nature lack this unbounded character.

I argue that this focus on syntax is misplaced. On the one hand, such accounts fail to maintain sensitivity to empirical data regarding evolutionary precursors—insofar as genuinely compositional syntax is rare or nonexistent in nature. On the other hand, a system of communication is either compositional, or it is not—I argue that there is no room for so-called *protocompositionality*, so these explanations run afoul of the gradualist assumptions in which they are couched.

In contrast, my account prioritises the reflexivity of natural language—the ability to use language to talk about language—as an alternative explanatory target, since this also constitutes a fundamental difference between language and communication. Furthermore, reflexivity has salient precursors in simple communication systems so that it can account for empirical data, it offers a genuine gradualist perspective, and it can give rise to hierarchical compositional structures. Thus, complex syntax is a *byproduct*, rather than a target, on my view.

I argue that what drives the emergence of complex communication systems is a process of *modular composition*, whereby independently evolved communicative dispositions combine to create more complex dispositions. This process of modular composition depends on reflexivity. Once some complexity is exhibited, at a small scale, it may lead to a ‘feedback loop’ between communication and cognition that gives rise to the complexity we see in natural language. This further serves to connect parallel research in the evolution of language and cognitive systems.