

# Object Iteration

 <https://github.com/learn-co-curriculum/phase-0-intro-to-js-2-object-iteration>  <https://github.com/learn-co-curriculum/phase-0-intro-to-js-2-object-iteration/issues/new>

## Learning Goals

- Explain the difference between looping and iteration.
- Iterate over arrays with the `for...of` statement.
- Enumerate an object's properties with the `for...in` statement.

## Introduction

When we create a `for` loop to loop over an array, we base the loop's condition off of the `.length` of the array. This works, but it's a lot of syntactic cruft to remember:

```
for (let i = 0; i < array.length; i++) {  
  // Loop body  
}
```

The problem is that we're using a *looping* construct to perform *iteration*.

## Looping vs. Iteration

There's a pretty fine line separating the concepts of *looping* and *iteration*, and only the truly pedantic will call you out if you use one in place of the other.

Looping is the process of executing a set of statements **repeatedly until a condition is met**. It's great for when we want to do something a specific number of times ( `for` loop) or unlimited times until the condition is met ( `while` or `do while` loop).

Iteration is the process of executing a set of statements **once for each element in a collection**. We can accomplish this with a `for` loop:

```
let myArray = ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f', 'g'];

for (let i = 0; i < myArray.length; i++) {
  console.log(myArray[i]);
}
```

or with a **while** loop:

```
let myArray = ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f', 'g'];

let j = 0;

while (j < myArray.length) {
  console.log(myArray[j++]);
}
```

but neither is very pretty. The **for...of** statement gives us a better way.

## for...of

Using **for...of** , the code above becomes:

```
const myArray = ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f', 'g'];

for (const element of myArray) {
  console.log(element);
}
```

Using a construct that is specifically meant for iteration results in much cleaner code: there's no initialization of a counter, no condition, no incrementing the counter, and no bracket notation to access elements in the array ( **myArray[i]** ).

## const vs. let

As you might've noticed, `for...of` allows us to use `const` instead of `let`. In `for` and `while` statements, `let` is required because we are incrementing a counter variable. The incrementing process involves taking the counter's current value, adding `1` to it, and then assigning that new value to the variable. That reassignment precludes us from using our beloved `const`, which cannot be reassigned.

Delightfully, the `for...of` statement involves no such reassignment. On each trip into the loop body (which is a *block* — note the curly braces), we assign the next element in the collection to a **new** `element` variable. Upon reaching the end of the block, the block-scoped variable vanishes, and we return to the top. Then we repeat the process, assigning the next element in the collection to a **new** `element` variable.

## Iterating over... strings?

A string is effectively an ordered collection (like an array) of characters, which `for...of` is more than happy to iterate over:

```
for (const char of 'Hello, world!') {  
  console.log(char);  
}
```

```
// LOG: H  
// LOG: e  
// LOG: l  
// LOG: l  
// LOG: o  
// LOG: ,  
// LOG:  
// LOG: w  
// LOG: o  
// LOG: r  
// LOG: l  
// LOG: d  
// LOG: !
```

## Usage

Use a `for...of` statement anytime you want to iterate over an array.

## Iterating over objects

The `for...in` statement is similar to `for...of` ; it's generally used for iterating over the properties in an object. The statement follows this syntax:

```
for (const [KEY] in [OBJECT]) {  
  // Code in the statement body  
}
```

The `for...in` statement iterates over the properties in an object, but it doesn't pass the entire property into the block. Instead, it only passes in the *keys*:

```
const address = {  
  street1: '11 Broadway',  
  street2: '2nd Floor',  
  city: 'New York',  
  state: 'NY',  
  zipCode: '10004',  
};  
  
for (const key in address) {  
  console.log(key);  
}  
  
// LOG: street1  
// LOG: street2  
// LOG: city  
// LOG: state  
// LOG: zipCode
```

Accessing the object's values is as simple as combining the passed-in key with the *bracket operator*:

```
const address = {
  street1: '11 Broadway',
  street2: '2nd Floor',
  city: 'New York',
  state: 'NY',
  zipCode: '10004'
};

for (const key in address) {
  console.log(address[key]);
}

// LOG: 11 Broadway
// LOG: 2nd Floor
// LOG: New York
// LOG: NY
// LOG: 10004
```

## But... but I want to use the dot operator!

Can you think of why the bracket operator is required? Let's see what happens when we use the *dot operator*:

```
const address = {
  street1: '11 Broadway',
  street2: '2nd Floor',
  city: 'New York',
  state: 'NY',
  zipCode: '10004'
};
```

```
for (const key in address) {  
  console.log(address.key);  
}
```

```
// LOG: undefined  
// LOG: undefined  
// LOG: undefined  
// LOG: undefined  
// LOG: undefined
```

The `for...in` statement iterates over the five properties in `address`, successively passing in the object's keys. However, inside the statement body we're trying to access `address.key`. If you recall from the lesson on objects, variables don't work with the dot operator because it treats the variable name as a literal key — that is, `address.key` is trying to access the property on `address` with a key of `key`. Since there is no `key` property in `address`, it returns `undefined`. To prove this, let's add a `key` property to `address`:

```
address.key = "Let's have a 'key' key!";
```


```
for (const key in address) {  
  console.log(address.key);  
}
```

```
// LOG: Let's have a 'key' key!  
// LOG: Let's have a 'key' key!  
// LOG: Let's have a 'key' key!  
// LOG: Let's have a 'key' key!  
// LOG: Let's have a 'key' key!  
// LOG: Let's have a 'key' key!
```

## Usage

Use a `for...in` statement whenever you want to enumerate the properties of an object.

## for...in and order

Because **arrays are objects**, `for...in` will work with arrays. In fact, because `for...of` was added to JavaScript later than `for...in`, you might see older code that uses `for...in` to iterate over arrays. However, as a general rule, **don't use `for...in` with arrays**. When iterating over an array, an **ordered** collection, we would expect the elements in the array to be dealt with **in order**. However, because of how `for...in` works under the hood, there's no guarantee of order. From the [MDN documentation](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/for...in)  (<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/for...in>):

A `for...in` loop iterates over the properties of an object in an **arbitrary order** ... one cannot depend on the seeming orderliness of iteration, at least in a cross-browser setting.

What this means is that, with `for...in`, different browsers might iterate over the same object's properties in different orders. That's not cool! Cross-browser consistency is very important. A lot of progress has been made towards standardizing the behavior of `for...in` across all major browsers, but there's still no reason to use `for...in` with arrays when we have the wonderfully consistent `for...of` tailor-made for the job.

## Resources

- [MDN — `for...of`](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/for...of)  (<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/for...of>)
- [MDN — `for...in`](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/for...in)  (<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/for...in>)