Complex Analysis David Cardozo

Nombre del curso: Complex Analysis

CÓDIGO DEL CURSO: MATE2211

Unidad académica: Departamento de Matemáticas

PERIODO ACADÉMICO: 201510 HORARIO: Lu y Ju, 8:00 a 9:50

Nombre Profesor(a) Principal: Paul Bressler

HORARIO Y LUGAR DE ATENCIÓN: Mo y 17:00 a 18:00, Office H-409

1 Organization of the course

• 3 Exams

• regular-weekly homework

Sometimes we will use the following alternative book: Complex Analysis, Gamelin

2 Complex Numbers

We want to solve a quadratic equation:

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$

so we first calculate the discriminant:

$$D = b^2 - 4ac$$

it is called that, because it differentiate solution types. We then compute:

$$r_{\pm} \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{D}}{2a}$$

In R squares are positives, but this poses a problem when D < 0. Meanwhile, let us observe that when D > 0 we get two solutions, and when we get D = 0

there is only one solution. So the main question is what to do when D<0. Without loss of generality we can assume that a=1

$$r_+ = -\frac{b}{2} + \sqrt{2}$$
$$r_- = -\frac{b}{2} - \sqrt{2}$$

the reason is that we want to be able write

$$p(x) = (x - r_+)(x - r_-)$$

expanding the product above

$$p(x) = x^2 - (r_+ + r_-)x + r_= r_-$$

so that D can be written as:

$$D = \operatorname{Sign}(D)|D|$$

Observe that we can take the definition of sign as above. But then, this give a suspect idea that there can exist a **not real number** that:

$$\pm(\sqrt{-1})^2 = -1$$

which is also a solution of the following equation:

$$x^2 + 1 = 0$$

This then motivate the following concept, does arithmetic follows natural with the inclusion:

$$z = a + b\sqrt{-1}$$

Observe the symmetry on choosing $\sqrt{-1}$ or $-\sqrt{-1}$. Which again motivates

$$\bar{z} = a - b\sqrt{-1}$$

As in the textbook i^n maps to 1, i, -1, -i with the trick $\mod 4$ Let us define then that addition comes naturally:

$$(a+b\sqrt{-1}) + (c+d\sqrt{-1}) = (a+d) + (b+d)\sqrt{-1}$$

Multiplication is a little bit tricky:

$$(a + b\sqrt{-1}) \times (c + d\sqrt{-1}) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{-1}$$

which comes natural by considering $(\sqrt{-1})^2$. Observe that the reals are embedded as:

$$a+0\sqrt{-1}$$

The operation of taking $z \to \bar{z}$ is called *Complex conjugation*, observe that it complies $\bar{z} \to z$, which will allow us to extract a, b as:

$$\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z}) := \operatorname{Re}(z) = a$$

and also

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(z-\bar{z}) := \operatorname{Im}(z) = b$$

our definition above can be then replaced by:

$$z = \operatorname{Re}(z) + \operatorname{Im}(z)\sqrt{-1}$$

The preceding equation proposes a big problem, since we have found another "strange" number:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} = x$$

or equivalently,

$$\sqrt{-1}x = 1$$

from which it can be solved by inspecting the table of exponentiation and we find:

$$x = -\sqrt{-1}$$

In literature we often define that $\sqrt{-1} = i$ A big question is that if $z \neq 0$, then there exist ω such that $w \cdot z = 1$, the answer is positive and it even has a stronger statement: with complex numbers we can solve any polynomial equation.

$$z = a + bi$$

$$w = x + iy$$

and we are looking $z \cdot w = 1$ so we get the system of equations

$$ax - by = 1$$

$$bx + ay = 0$$

and we can get information about the solution, via the determinant det $= a^2 + b^2$, and this is only zero if only if a = 0 and b = 0. Solution can be found for any method

Observe that if we have a complex number and its conjugate, the following relation holds:

$$z \cdot \bar{z} = a^2 + b^2$$

$$\frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} \cdot \bar{z}$$

$$\frac{1}{2+3i} = \frac{1}{13}(2-3i)$$

Observe that this recall Cramer's rule, since it has the form of $\frac{1}{det}$

The following problem that we are facing is the existence of:

$$z = a + bi$$
 $\exists w$ $w^2 = z$

if we let w = x + iy, and $w^2 = (x^2 - y^2) + i(2xy)$, we get the following system of equations.

$$a = x^2 - y^2$$
$$b = 2xy$$

Observe that 0 has a unique root. We denote the set of all complex numbers with \mathbb{C} and observe that we can take bijection beetwen C and \mathbb{R}^2

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{R} &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\longrightarrow (Re(z), Im(z)) \\ a + ib &\longleftarrow (a, b) \end{split}$$

Observe then that \mathbb{C} is a vector space over \mathbb{R} . Basis: 1, i. Now observe that we can take a geometric interpretation, given this two bijections, to the multiplication of a complex numbers. Side note: A linear map is a map that plays nice with arithmetic. an important characterization of linear maps, is that:

$$f(a) = a \cdot f(1)$$

and also

$$f\left(\binom{a}{b}\right) = a\binom{1}{0} + b\binom{0}{1}$$

and it can be easy characterized via:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{22} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now consider the following map:

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$$
$$w \rightarrowtail zw$$

where z = a + ib Again observe that we are only taking care of the values on the basis.

Example Suppose $A^2 = -I$, and det > 0, then there is an invertible matrix B such that $A = BJB^{-1}$. Returning to our previous point, we see that complex

numbers are embedded nicely on 2×2 matrices with real coefficients. So that the span 1 and j is a 4 dimensional vector space over R. Finally, we conclude that multiplication on \mathbb{C} is a matrix multiplication.

When we look a close view on \mathbb{R}^2 along with the normal dot product, we have the **Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality**: Let us then define:

$$|\vec{a}|^2 = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a}$$

and proof is left to the reader:

$$|\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}| \le |\vec{a}| |\vec{b}|$$

3 Remarks from last time

$$\mathbb{C} \to \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$A + IB \to a + bJ$$

$$J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that:

$$Z = a + ib \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us observe that in $\mathbb{R}2$ we have the dot product for which:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} > 0$$

it is good as concept of measure of distance. Let us observe the analogue on complex numbers:

$$z = a + ib$$

$$w = c + id \implies \bar{w} = c - id$$

so that

$$z\bar{w} = (ac + bd) + (bc - ad)$$

for which the left parenthesis of the RHS is real and the other imaginary. Observe also:

$$|z|^2 = a^2 + b^2 \ge 0$$

and if $z \neq 0$ we define:

$$z"-1 = \frac{1}{|z|}\bar{z}$$

Cauchy-Schawrz

Suppose we know the properties of the dot product:

$$\left| \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \right| \leq \left| \vec{a} \right| \left| \vec{b} \right|$$

Example

In \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \cdot \langle b_1, \dots b_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^n a_i b_i$$

$$\leq (\sum_i a_i)^{/frac12} (\sum_i b_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Example The functions that are square integrables on I = [0, 1] for which we have:

 $\left| \int_{0}^{1} f g dx \right| \leq \left| \int f dx \right| \left| \int g dx \right|$

Proof Let recall that for parameterizing the line in beetwen \vec{a} and \vec{b} we could use the convex combination.

$$t\vec{b} + (1-t)\vec{a} \quad 0 \le t \le 1$$

$$\left| t\vec{b} + (1-t)\vec{a} \right|^2 > 0$$

We expand and we get: It is the same trick of consider a quadratic without root $a + \lambda b$. Geometrical Consideration: For two independent vectors, the line that pass through them do not pass on the origin.

4 C-S Consequences

The triangle inequality

$$\left| \vec{a + b} \right| \le |\vec{a}| + \left| \vec{b} \right|$$

the proof is given by considering the expansion of:

$$\left| \vec{a} + \vec{b} \right|$$

and the inequality: (Check!)

Definition of Angles

$$\cos(\theta) := \frac{\left| \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \right|}{\left| \vec{a} \right| \left| \vec{b} \right|}$$

5 Special (2×2) matrices

Scalar:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda I$$

 \mathbf{A} - 2x2matricies A is orthogonal iff

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = (A\vec{a}) \cdot (A\vec{b})$$

iff Preserves lengths, and angles.

Consider the matrix of rotation θ , and consider two rotations α y β , and the sum of these two, it will given then the following matrix. Observe that these shows explicitly the formulas of sum and sine cosine.

Consider the following computation $cos(15\alpha)$

Definition 1. Conformal preserves angles (but not conserve lengths)

Remark: Orthogonal transformations preserve angles, and scalar conserve angles. Conformal are complex numbers. via matrices.

$$z = |z|$$

6 Class 03

Notation

$$z, w \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$x, yin\mathbb{R}$$
 \mathbb{C}

$$t \in \mathbb{R}$$

For this section all functions are well defined that is univalued and all functions are defined on an open set.

Definition 2. U is an open set if:

$$\forall x \in U \exists \epsilon > 0 \ s.t \ |y - x| < \epsilon \implies y \in U$$

Observe that for Ahlfors \sqrt{x} is not a function.

Definition 3. The function f(x) "has a limit" A as $x \to a$ we write:

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = A$$

If
$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta_{\epsilon} > 0$$
 such that $|x - a| < \delta_{\epsilon} \implies |f(x) - A| < \epsilon$

There are variance if A is an infinite the definitions will change accordingly.

Lemma 1. $f(x) = \sin(x)$ Let show that $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = 0$

Proof. Assuming given $\epsilon > 0$, need to find $\delta_{\epsilon} > 0$ s.t $x \in (-\delta_{\epsilon}, \delta_{\epsilon})$ imples $\sin(x) \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

For any
$$\epsilon > 0$$
 take $\delta_{\epsilon} := \epsilon$

Definition 4. A function f(x) is continuous at x = a if:

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(a)$$

Example $\sin(x)$ is continuous at x = 0

Proposition 1. Let $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, $a, A \in \mathbb{C}$, then:

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = A$$

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(\bar{x}) = \bar{A}$$

$$\lim_{x \to a} \text{Re}(f(x)) = \text{Re}(A)$$

$$\lim_{x \to a} \text{Im}(f(x)) = \text{Im}(A)$$

A continuous function f(x) is a function that is continuous at any x for which it is defined. So that for example $\frac{1}{x}$ is continuous.

Definition 5.

$$f'(a) := \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$$

, the difference quotient. f(x) is differentiable at x = a if f'(a) exists. If so,

$$f(x) \approx f(a) + (x - a)f'(a) + O((x - a))$$

Observe that the first two terms are the equation of the tangent. Observe that:

$$\frac{\epsilon(x-1)}{x-a} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to 0$$

Observe that if $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, let us take $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if f is differentiable at $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ then for (x, y) near (a, b), we have:

$$f(x,y) = f(a,b)) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(a,b)(x-a) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a,b)(y-b) + o(||(x,y)-(a,b)||)$$

Let $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is \mathbb{C} is differentiable at z = a if:

$$f'(z) := \lim_{z \to a} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a}$$

exists. So observe:

$$f(z) = f(a) + f'(z)(z - a) + o()$$

Proposition 2. Let us show that $f(z) = z^n$ is Complex differentiable for z = a, for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$

Proof.

$$f'(a) = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a}$$

let $\xi = z - a$ so that

$$f'(a) = \lim_{\xi \to 0} \frac{(a+\xi)^n - a^n}{\xi}$$

and expanding using Newton binomial theorem. (and cancelling $\xi \neq 0$)

$$f'(a) = \lim_{\xi \to 0} (na^{n-1} + \text{ things that have xi})$$

so that:

$$f'(a) = na^{n-1}$$

implies

$$f'(z) = nz^{n-1}$$

Now for a pathological example. Let us take f(z) = Re(z) is not complex differentiable at any point. (Also imaginary part of Z is not complex differentiable)

$$f'(a) = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a}$$

again using $\xi = z - a$

$$f'(a) = \lim_{\xi \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(a+\xi) - \operatorname{Re}(a)}{\xi}$$

so that:

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(\xi\right)}{\xi}$$

Observe that if $\xi = t \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\xi\right)/\xi = \frac{t}{t} = 1$$

Now, observe that if $\xi = it \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(\xi\right)}{\xi} = \frac{0}{t} = 0$$

Definition 6. A Complex function f(z) and $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is **analytic** or(holomorphic) at z if f'(z) exists (the complex derivative)

Theorem 1. Main thm f(z) is analytic at z = a if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\inf} c_n (z-a)^n \text{ for } |z-a| < \epsilon$$

Cauchy-Riemann equations

Let us take $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ and rewritten as f(z=x+iy)=u(x,y)+iv(x,y) $u,v\in RR$ and assume f'(z) exist as a complex derivative. Equivalently,

$$f'(z) = \lim_{\eta \to 0} \frac{f(z+\eta) - f(z)}{\eta} \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C}$$

then the above is equivalently, to the two reformulations:

$$\lim_{\eta \in \mathbb{R} = h \to 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(h)}{h}$$

and has to be equal to:

$$\lim_{\eta \in i\mathbb{R}, \eta = ik \to 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(z + ik) - f(z)}{ik}$$

so that with u, v

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{u(x+h,y)+iv(x+h,y)-u(x,y)-iv(x,y)}{h}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,y)+i\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,y)$$

and for the second part:

$$\lim_{k\to 0}\frac{u(x,y+k)+iv(x,y+k)-u(x,y)-iv(x,y+k)}{ik}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,y)-i\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x,y)$$

and since them are the manifestation if the same limit, we have the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$

For remembering, check that the function f(z) = z is complex differentiable. If we are calculating the derivative (computing stuff):

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$

now let us observe:

$$|f'(z)|^2 = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^2$$

and this is the Jacobian.

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Which is the Jacobian.

Assume u, v have continuous 2nd partial derivatives, so that the mix exist are equal

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial x}$$

then u.v are harmoni, that is:

$$\Delta u = 0$$
$$\Delta v = 0$$

where Δ is the laplace operator:

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$$

and that solves:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y} = 0$$

Observe that last time we conclude that:

Theorem 2. if f'(z) exists then it satisfies the Cauchy Riemman Equations, and if a function f(x,y) = u(x,y)+iv(x,y), u,v has continous first order partial derivatives and Cuachy-Rieman equations holds then f'(z) exists.

Proof. Let
$$z=x+iy$$
 and and increment $deltaz=h+ik$, so that $u(z+\delta z)=u(x+h,x+h)=u(x,y)+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,y)k+\epsilon_1$, so that $\frac{\epsilon_{1,2}}{h+ik}\to 0$. As also, $v(x+\delta z)=v(x,y)+\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}$... same as above. So that we observe that

We take note that the above proof clearly demonstrate that no matter which curve we use to approach to 0 the limit is going to be the same.

Theorem 3. If f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y) is analytic [and u, v has contious second order derivatives], then $\delta u = 0$ (the Laplacian) $\delta v = 0$

Proof. let us observe
$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) = 0.$$

Definition 7. Two harmonics functions u(x,y), v(x,y) are said to be harmonic conjugate if f(x,y) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y) is an analytic function.

Exercise: Find an harmonic conjugate to $u(x,y) = x^2 - y^2$

Recall that we can use the Cauchy-Rieman Equations so that: we find:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 2x$$
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 2y$$

Solve then we have:

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - y^2 + 2xiy$$

that obvious is that:

$$f(z) = z^2$$

For the next section we use the following notation f'(z) is the complex derivative if it exists, it can be written $\frac{df}{dz}$ and we introduce another different thing: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$ and this somehow equals to:

$$" = "\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z}$$

and it is equal:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$

so that we define:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$

and with this the Cauchy-Riemman can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$$

and that:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$$

As an example: with this notation this becomes:

$$f(x,y) \le f(z,\bar{z})$$

which in humans terms is:

$$f(z,\bar{z}) = 2\operatorname{Re}(z^2)$$

and it has some sense.

Example Given u(x,y), find a f(z) analytic, such that u(x,y) is the real

part of f(z), is the same part as $u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f(x+iy) + f(x+iy) \right)$ Leap of faith: $x = \frac{z}{2}, y = \frac{z}{2i}$ where z is a complex variable. This is motivated by the fact:

$$u(\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2i}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(f(z)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(f(z) + \bar{f(0)}\right)$$

Any hiw, it gives us the formula:

$$f(z) = 2u\left(\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2i}\right) - \bar{f(0)}$$

And this solves the main problem, which is as mystical as we see.

Polynomial

A polynomial $f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z_n$ $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$, tenemos entonces $(z^n)'$ exists and z^n analytic, implies that: f'(z) exists for all polynomials.

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra: For any polynomial f(z) of deg > 1has at least 1 complex root. Suppose p(z) is a polynomial of degree $nn \alpha$ any \mathbb{C} number. So we observe that we have $P(z)=(z-\alpha)P_1(z)+(r\in\mathbb{C})$. By Bezout's Theorem we have that:

$$P(\alpha) = 0 \implies r = 0$$

So, step by step we have just shown that we decrease the degree of the polynomial minus 1.

Terminology let $P(z) = (z - \beta_1)^{h_1} \dots (z - \beta_m)^{h_m} h_j$ is the **multiplicity** of the root β_i

Theorem 4. Lucas If all zeros of a polynomial P(z) in a half-plane H, then all zeros of P'(z) also line in H

Corolary 1. All zeros of P'(z) are contained in the minimum convex polygon containing zeros of P(z).

Rational functions

We denote then by rational functions of the form:

$$\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$$

a typical member of the set of rational functions:

$$R(z) = \frac{a_0 + \ldots + a_n z^n}{b_0 + \ldots b_m z^m}$$

we can extend this function in the form of:

$$R(z): \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$$

and we consider $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ is called the extended complex plane. and we can extend also:

$$R(z): \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$$

So that:

$$R(\infty) = \lim_{|z| \to \infty} R(z)$$

observe that this has inside a theorem that no matter form where you closes to infinity so that is either: $0, \infty, \frac{a_n}{b_m}$ the last case holds if and only if: n=m Neighborhood of zero & Neighborhoods of Infinite

Without loss of generality p, Q do not have common zeros.

Definition 8. If α is a root of multiplicity h of P(z), the α os said to be a zero of order h of R(z)

Definition 9. If β is a root of multiplicity k of Q(z) then β is said to be a pole of order h of R(z)

In the extended complex plane the total order of all poles is equal to the total order of roots.

Observe that we meant that f (for the real case variable) that f admits a linear approximation at (near) a.

$$f(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) = f(\vec{a}) + \text{ a linear function of } (\vec{h})$$

more formally, we define the function of h as an error term. $\epsilon \vec{h}$, Important conditions on ϵ : "decreases faster than linear as $\vec{h} \to \vec{0}$ "

so that we want:

$$\frac{\left|\epsilon(\vec{h})\right|}{\left|\vec{h}\right|} \to 0$$

Linear functions are continuous. Take f(x) = 3x, say $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then f(x) is continuous at a

Prove continuity of common functions. x

Review from the last time. Observe that :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

and that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)}$$

Suppose that we want to try this with this function:

$$f(x,y) = f(\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z},\frac{1}{2i}),(z-\bar{z})) = g(z,\bar{z})$$

we have the following chracterizaton that if f satisfy cauchy riemman if and only if $\partial \bar{z} = 0$ that is, is independent of \bar{z} .

Suppose f = u + iv, and we have that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u + iv)$$

so that: is an homogenoeus system:

$$\frac{1}{2}((u_x - v_y)) + i(u_y + v_x)$$

We now consider the following rational functions: P, Q polynomial, we consider now:

$$R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$$

Assume reduced fraction (no common root). "Extended complex plane" The Riemman Sphere.

we read "a is closed to ∞ " to be $\frac{1}{a}$ is closed to 0.

we know to send \mathbb{C} is send to extended bar Riemman Sphere.

Observe that since the same rules for differentiation holds:

$$R'(z) = \frac{P'(z)Q(z) - P(z)Q'(z)}{Q^{2}(z)}$$

not reduced also a natural forme, same poles as \mathbb{R} .

order of Q is the same order of the same.

so observe that we can express that:

$$R(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots a_n z^n}{b_0 + b_1 \dots b_m z^m}$$

and we can change in the following form:

$$R_1(\omega = \frac{1}{z}) \frac{a_0 + a_1 w}{\text{do it algebraically}}$$

Polling out the following

$$=\omega^{k-m}\frac{a_0\dots}{a_1\dots}$$

do for this cases:

we conclude that

Linear fractional transformations, of the whole sphere,

We take polynomial and plug /

Partial fractions

$$R = \frac{P}{Q}$$

with no common factors. let us denote $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct zeros of Q so that:

$$R(z) = G^{\text{new}}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} G_i(\frac{1}{z - \beta_i})$$

 G^{new}, G_i are polynomials.

Observe that at ∞ , what we do is to apply the division algorithm, so that we have:

$$P = A \cdot z \cdot Q + B$$