Lecture #10: Abstractions: From Function to Data

Announcements:

- Watch Piazza, home page for news concerning review on Monday.
- If you haven't responded to the Welcome Survey in HW#1, please do so. We're about 200 responses shy.
- Quiz results. Out of 3 questions: 18% got 3, 46% got 2, 36% got 1, and 9% got 0.
- Please talk to your TA if you got 0 or did not turn in the guiz (or get a response).
- Project due Thursday (13 Feb) at midnight (11:59+).
- Test #1 Tuesday night 8-10PM in rooms to be announced (watch Piazza).
- DSP students: You'll get mail about an alternative location. Your test will overlap the main test time.
- Alternative test time: Wednesday morning at 9AM (TBA). Please see us if you can't make that time.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014

CS61A: Lecture #10 1

Separation of Concerns

- The sierpinski routine used triangle.
- To write sierpinski, I needed only to know:
 - The syntactic specification of triangle: its name and number of arguments (given by its def header), and
 - Its semantic specification: what a call does or means (given by its documentation comment).
- I did not need to know how triangle works or who else calls it.
- Likewise, triangle does not need to know
 - where its arguments come from,
 - who calls it, or
 - what use is made of its return value or side effects.
- There is a separation of concerns between these functions.
- This is a fundamental concept in software engineering: organize programs so that you can work on one thing at a time in isolation.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 2

Names

Semantically, names are arbitrary; to the reader, they are part of the documentation.

Bad:	Better:	
number true_false	dice_rolls pigged_out	Names convey meaning or purpose to the programmer (not to the machine).
d	dice, die	Function names should convey their value (abs, sqrt) or effect (print)
helper	take_turn, find_repeat	
		Use the documentation comments of
do_stuff	rescale_figure	functions to elaborate where necessary, to indicate the types of argu-
random obscenity	report_error	ments and return values, and to indicate assumptions or limitations on the arguments.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 3

Function Comments

Comments on a function should suffice to tell the reader everything needed to use it.

```
Rather than
```

```
def largest(L):
   """Find the largest value"""
   k = 0
   for i in range(1, len(L)):
      if L[i] > L[k]:
          k = i
   return k
```

Use

```
def largest(L):
    """Return the index of the largest
   value in L."""
   for i in range(1, len(L)):
       if L[i] > L[k]:
           k = i
   return k
```

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 201-CS61A: Lecture #10 4

Names and Comments

• I generally limit comments to

I, I, O

- Docstrings on functions (or later, on classes)

k, m, n

- Comments and documentation at the beginning of a module describing its purpose, conventions, authorship, copyright permis-
- Comment names of significant constants.
- Avoid internal comments: they indicate places where you could make a function shorter or use a better name:

```
Rather than
# Compute the discriminant
                              discriminant = b**2 - 4*a*c
d = b**2 - 4*a*c
```

Refactoring

- Your comments can suggest to you that things are getting too big, or that a function is doing to much.
- When that happens, it is time to refactor: break functions up into more coherent pieces.
- Consider the function:

```
def print_averages(grade_book, out):
    """Compute the average scores for each student in
    GRADE_BOOK and prints on OUT."""
```

- What if we just want to know the averages?
- What if we also want a different format, including other information?
- Makes more sense, e.g., to have a get_averages function, and a more general print routine that will print any information about students.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 5 Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014

CS61A: Lecture #10 6

Unit Testing

- The docstring tests that you execute with python3 -m doctest are examples of *unit tests*.
- That is, tests on the smallest testable units of your program (functions).
- Test-driven development refers to the practice of creating tests ahead of implementation.
- Don't wait for your program to be finished to test it.
- The doctest Python module makes it possible to run all your tests cumulatively, watching for inadvertant errors and tracking how much still needs to be done.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014

CS61A: Lecture #10 7

Decorators

 You've seen functions on functions. They can also be used for testing or debugging:

```
def trace1(fn):
    """Return a function equivalent to FN, a one-argument
    function, that also prints trace output."""

def traced(x):
    print('Calling', fn, 'on argument', x)
    return fn(x)
return traced
```

• To use this:

```
def triple(x):
    return 3*x
triple = trace1(triple)
```

• Or, more conveniently, do the equivalent with Python's decorators:

```
@trace1
def triple(x):
    return 3*x
```

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014

CS61A: Lecture #10 8

Abstract Data Types

- An Abstract Data Type (or ADT) consists of
 - A set (domain) of possible values.
 - A set of operations on those values.
- ADTs are conceptual: a given programming language may or may not have constructs specifically designed for ADT definition, but programmers can choose to organize their programs as collections of ADTs in any case.
- We call them "abstract" because they abstract a particular behavior, which we document without being specific about what the values really consist of (their internal representations.)

Data Structures

- The simplest ADTs are not particularly abstract: they are a collection of data values and their behavior consists entirely of selecting or modifying those individual data values.
- We sometimes use the term data structure for these, although the terminology is not exactly firm.
- Example: A tuple is a sequence of values. It is entirely defined by

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 C561A: Lecture #10 9

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 10

Rational Numbers

• The book uses "rational number" as an example of an ADT:

```
def make_rat(n, d):
    """The rational number N/D, assuming N, D are integers, D!=0"""

def add_rat(x, y):
    """The sum of rational numbers X and Y."""

def mul_rat(x, y):
    """The product of rational numbers X and Y."""

def numer(r):
    """The numerator of rational number R."""

def denom(r):
    """The denominator of rational number R."""
```

- \bullet These definitions pretend that x, y, and r really are rational numbers.
- But from this point of view, numer and denom are problematic. Why?

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 11

Rational Numbers

- \bullet Problem is that "the numerator (denominator) of $r^{\prime\prime}$ is not well-defined for a rational number.
- If make_rat really produced rational numbers, then make_rat(2, 4) and make_rat(1, 2) ought to be identical. So should make_rat(1, -1) and make_rat(-1, 1).
- So a better specification would be

```
def numer(r):
    """The numerator of rational number R in lowest terms."""
def denom(r):
    """The denominator of rational number R in lowest terms.
    Always positive."""
```

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 12

Representing Rationals (I)

- The obvious representation is as a pair of integers.
- Suppose we define

```
def make_rat(n, d):
    """Rational number N/D, assuming N, D are integers, D!=0"""
    return (n, d)
```

• From elementary-school math, we can then write

```
def add_rat(x, y):
    """The sum of rational numbers X and Y."""
    (xn, xd), (yn, yd) = x, y
    return (xn * yd + yn * xd, xd * yd) BAD STYLE?

def mul_rat(x, y):
    """The product of rational numbers X and Y."""
    (xn, xd), (yn, yd) = x, y
    return (xn * yn, xd * yd) BAD STYLE?
```

• What about numer and denom?

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014

CS61A: Lecture #10 13

CS61A: Lecture #10 17

Use the Abstraction!

Better:

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 14

Implementing numer and denom (I)

```
from fractions import gcd
# fractions.gcd(a,b), for b!=0, computes the largest integer in
# absolute value that evenly divides both a and b and has
# the sign of b. (Not quite the "official" gcd function)

def numer(r):
    """The numerator of rational number R in lowest terms."""
    n, d = r
    return n // gcd(n, d)

def denom(r):
    """The denominator of rational number R in lowest terms.
    Always positive."""
    n, d = r
    return d // gcd(n, d)
```

t modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 15

Representing Rationals (II)

- But the preceding implementation is problematic:
 - Each call to denom or numer has to recompute a value.
 - Intermediate values can get quite large.
- Suggests that we always keep rationals in lowest terms.
- How does the implementation change?

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 16

Updated Implementation

```
from fractions import gcd

def make_rat(n, d):
    g = gcd(n, d)
    return n//g, d//g

def numer(r):
    return r[0]

def denom(r):
    return r[1]
```

• What happens to add_rat and mul_rat?

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014

 Ans: They do not change! The use of the make_rat abstraction makes it unnecessary.

Implementing Tuples (If You Had To)

- Using "data structure" to mean "unabstract ADT" is fuzzy.
- Even tuples need to be represented.
- Python has a built-in implementation, inaccessible to the user.
- They do this for speed, but we can get the same *effect* with what we already have: functions.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014 CS61A: Lecture #10 18

Data Structures via Dispatching

```
def make_rat(n, d):
    """A function, r, representing the rational number N/D.
    r(0) is the numerator and r(1)>0 the denominator (in lowest
   terms)."""
   g = gcd(n, d)
   n, d = n // g, d // g
   def result(key):
        if key == 0:
            return n
        else:
            return d
    return result
def numer(r):
   return r(0)
def denom(r):
   return r(1)
Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014
```

- We say that the function result dispatches on the value of key.
- The tuple in the previous representation is now replaced by the environment frame created by a call to make_rat.

CS61A: Lecture #10 19

```
Frames
Global frame
                                                                                  func gcd(a, b)
       return b
                                                                             func make rat(n, d)
                                                                   make_rat
   def make_rat(n, d):
                                                                                   func result(key) [parent=f1]
                                                                   two_thirds
      q = qcd(n, d)
      n, d = n // g, d // g
                                                            f1: make_rat
      def result(key):
         if key == 0:
return n
else:
return d
11
     return result
   two_thirds = make_rat(4, 6)
                                                            result [parent=f1]
18 two_thirds(0)
   << First | < Back | Step 21 of 21 | Forward > Last >>
 Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014
                                                                             CS61A: Lecture #10 20
```

Discussion

- You'll sometimes see key described as a message and this technique called message-passing, (but your current instructor hates this terminology.)
- If we had persisted in defining add_rat and mul_rat using unpacking, as originally (see slide 7), we'd now have to rewrite them.
- But by using numer and denom in add_rat and mul_rat (slide 8), we have avoided having to touch them after this change in representation.
- The general lesson:

Try to confine each design decision in your program to as few places as possible.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:11:23 2014

CS61A: Lecture #10 21

