Lecture #19: Complexity and Orders of Growth, contd.

The Notation

- ullet Suppose that f is a one-parameter function on real numbers.
- O(f): functions that eventually grow no faster than f:
 - $g \in O(f)$ means that $|g(x)| \le C_q \cdot |f(x)|$ for all $x \ge M_q$
 - where C_q and M_q are constants, generally different for each g.
- $\Omega(f)$: functions that eventually grow at least as fast as f:
 - $g \in \Omega(f)$ means that $f \in O(g)$,
 - so that $|f(x)| \leq C_f |g(x)|$ for all $x > M_f$, and so
 - $-|g(x)| \ge \frac{1}{C_t}|f(x)|.$
- ullet $\Theta(f)$: functions that eventually grow as g grows:
 - $\Theta(f) = O(f) \cap \Omega(f)$, so that
 - $g\in\Theta(f)$ means that $\frac{1}{C_f}|f(x)|\leq |g(x)|\leq C_g\cdot |f(x)|$ for all sufficiently large x.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014 CS61A: Lecture #19 1


Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 2

The Notation (II)

- ullet So O(f), $\Omega(f)$, and $\Theta(f)$ are sets of functions.
- ullet If $E_1(x)$ and $E_2(x)$ are two expressions involving x, we usually abbreviate $\lambda x: E_1(x) \in O(\lambda x: E_2(x))$ as just $E_1(x) \in O(E_2(x))$. For example, $n+1 \in O(n^2)$.
- \bullet I write $f\in O(g)$ where others write f=O(g) , because the latter doesn't make sense.

Illustration




- \bullet Here, $f\in O(g)$ (p=2 , see blue line), even though f(x)>g(x). Likewise, $f\in \Omega(g)$ (p=1 , see red line), and therefore $f\in \Theta(g).$
- ullet That is, f(x) is eventually (for x>M=1) no more than proportional to g(x) and no less than proportional to g(x).

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 4

Illustration, contd.



CS61A: Lecture #19 3

ullet Here, $f'\in\Omega(g)$ (p=0.5), even though g(x)>f'(x) everywhere.

Other Uses of the Notation

 \bullet You may have seen $O(\cdot)$ notation in math, where we say things like

$$f(x) \in f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2}x^2 + O(x^3), \text{ for } 0 \le x < a.$$

• Adding or multiplying sets of functions produces sets of functions. The expression to the right of \in above means "the set of all functions g such that

$$g(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2}x^2 + h(x)$$

where $h(x) \in O(x^3)$."

 Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 6

Example: Linear Search

• Consider the following search function:

```
def near(L, x, delta):
"""True iff X differs from some member of sequence L by no
more than DELTA."""
for y in L:
    if abs(x-y) <= delta:
        return True
return False</pre>
```

- There's a lot here we don't know:
 - How long is sequence L?
 - Where in L is x (if it is)?
 - What kind of numbers are in ${\bf L}$ and how long do they take to compare?
 - How long do abs and subtract take?
 - How long does it take to create an iterator for L and how long does its _next_ operation take?
- So what can we meaningfully say about complexity of near?

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 7

What to Measure?

- If we want general answers, we have to introduce some "strategic vaqueness."
- Instead of looking at times, we can consider number of "operations." Which?
- The total time consists of
 - 1. Some fixed overhead to start the function and begin the loop.
 - 2. Per-iteration costs: subtraction, abs, _next_, <=
- 3. Some cost to end the loop.
- 4. Some cost to return.
- ullet So we can collect total operations into one "fixed-cost operation" (items 1, 3, 4), plus M_L "loop operations" (item 2), where M_L is the number of items in L up to and including the y that come within delta of x (or the length of L if no match).

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 8

What Does an "Operation" Cost?

- But these "operations" are of different kinds and complexities, so what do we really know?
- Assuming that each operation represents some range of possible minimum and maximum values (constants), we can say that

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\text{fixed_cost}} + M(L) \times \min_{\text{loop_cost}} \\ & \leq \\ & C_{\text{near}}(L) \\ & \leq \\ & \max_{\text{fixed_cost}} + M(L) \times \max_{\text{loop_cost}} \end{split}$$

where $C_{\mathrm{near}}(L)$ is the cost of near on list L, and M(L) is the number of items near must look at.

Best/Worst Cases

- We can simplify by not trying to give results for particular inputs, but instead giving summary results for all inputs of the same "size."
- Here, "size" depends on the problem: could be magnitude, length (of list), cardinality (of set), etc.
- Since we don't consider specific inputs, we have to be less precise.
- Typically, the figure of interest is the worst case over all inputs of the same size.
- Since $M(L) \le \text{len}(L)$, $C_{\text{near}}(L) \le \text{len}(L) \times \text{max_loop_cost.}$
- \bullet So if we let $C_{\rm wc}(N)$ mean "worst-case cost of near over all lists of size N ," we can conclude that

$$C_{wc}(N) \in O(N)$$

.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014 CS61A: Lecture #19 9

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 10

Best of the Worst

- \bullet But in addition, it's also clear that $C_{\mathrm{wc}}(N) \in \Omega(N).$
- \bullet So we can say, most concisely, $C_{\mathrm{wc}}(N) \in \Theta(N).$
- \bullet Generally, when a worst-case time is not $\Theta(\cdot)\text{, it indicates either that}$
 - We don't know (haven't proved) what the worst case really is, just put limits on it, or
 - Most often happens when we talk about the worst-case for a problem: "what's the worst case for the best possible algorithm?"
 - We know what the worst-case time is, but it's not an easy formula, so we settle for approximations that are easier to deal with.

Example: Nested Loop

 \bullet Last time, we saw the worst-case $C_{\mbox{ad}}(N)$ of the nested loop

```
for i, x in enumerate(L):
for j, y in enumerate(L, i+1): # Starts at i+1
    if x == y: return True
```

is $\Theta(N^2)$ (where N is the length of L).

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014 CS61A: Lecture #19 11

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 12

Example: A Tricky Nested Loop

 \bullet What can we say about $C_{\hbox{\it iu}}(N)$, the worst-case cost of this function (assume pred counts as one constant-time operation):

```
def is_unduplicated(L, pred):
"""True iff the first x in L such that pred(x) is not
a duplicate. Also true if there is no x with pred(x)."""
i = 0
while i < len(L):
    x = L[i]
    i += 1
    if pred(x):
        while i < len(L):
            if x == L[i]:
                  return False
    i += 1
return True</pre>
```

• ? In this case, despite the nested loop, we read each element of L at most once. So $C_{\mathrm{in}}(N) \in \Theta(N)$.

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 13

Some Useful Properties

In the following, K, k, K_i , and k_i are constants, and $N \ge 0$.

- $\bullet \ \Theta(K_0N + K_1) = \Theta(N)$
- $\bullet \ \Theta(N^k + N^{k-1}) = \Theta(N^k)$
- $|N^k| \le |N^k + N^{k-1}| \le 2N^k \text{ for } N > 1.$
- $\bullet \; \Theta(|f(N)| + |g(N)|) = \Theta(\max(|f(N)|, |g(N)|))$
- $\Theta(\log_a N) = \Theta(\log_b N)$
- $ho \, \log_a N = \log_a b \cdot \log_b N$. (As a result, we usually use $\log_2 N = \lg N$ for all logarithms.)
- $\bullet \ \Theta(f(N) + g(N)) \neq \Theta(\max(f(N), g(N)))$
- ightharpoonup Consider f(N) = -g(N).
- \bullet $O(N^{k_1}) \subset O(k_2^N)$, if $k_2 > 1$.
 - $\rhd \lg N^{k_1} = k_1 \lg N \text{, } \lg k_2^N = (\lg k_2) N \text{, and } k_1 \lg N < \tfrac{k_1}{k_2} \cdot k_2 \cdot N \text{ for } N > 0.$

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 14

Fast Growth

 Here's a bad way to see if a sequence appears (consecutively) in another sequence:

```
def is_substring(sub, seq):
"""True iff SUB[0], SUB[1], ... appear consecutively in sequence SEQ."""
if len(sub) == 0 or sub == seq:
    return True
elif len(sub) > len(seq):
    return False
else:
    return is_substring(sub, seq[1:]) or is_substring(sub, seq[:-1])
```

- Suppose we count the number of times is_substring is called.
- Then time depends only on D=len(seq)-len(sub).
- \bullet Define $C_{\mbox{is}}(D)$ = worst-case time to compute is_substring.
- \bullet Looking at cases: $D \leq 0$ and D > 0:

$$C_{\mathbf{is}}(D) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } D \leq 0 \\ 2C_{\mathbf{is}}(D-1) + 1, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 15

Fast Growth (II)

To solve:

$$C_{\textbf{is}}(D) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} 1, & \text{if } D \leq 0 \\ 2C_{\textbf{is}}(D-1) + 1, & \text{otherwise}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

• Expand repeatedly:

$$\begin{split} C_{\mathbf{iS}}(D) &= 2C_{\mathbf{iS}}(D-1)+1 \\ &= 2(2C_{\mathbf{iS}}(D-2)+1)+1 \\ &= 2(2(2(\dots(D(0)+1)+1)+\dots+1)+1)+1 \\ &= 2(2(2(\dots(1+1)+1)+\dots+1)+1)+1 \\ &= 2^D+2^{D-1}+\dots+1 \\ &= 2^{D+1}-1 \\ &\in O(2^D) \end{split}$$

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 16

Slow Growth

• A perhaps-familiar technique:

```
def binary_search(L, x):
"""Return True iff X occurs in sorted list L."""
low, high = 0, len(L)
while low < high:
    m = (low + high) // 2
    if x < L[m]: high = m
    if x > L[m]: low = m+1
    else: return True
return False
```

 \bullet The value of high-low is halved on each iteration, starting from N , the length of L , so counting loop iterations in the worst case:

$$C_{\text{bs}}(N) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &0, \\ &1 + C_{\text{bs}}(N/2), \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned} \right. \text{ if } N \leq 0;$$

So

$$C_{\textbf{bs}}(N) = 1 + C_{\textbf{bs}}(N/2) = 1 + 1 + C_{\textbf{bs}}(N/4) = \dots \in \Theta(\lg N)$$

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014 CS61A: Lecture #19 17

Some Intuition on Meaning of Growth

- How big a problem can you solve in a given time?
- ullet In the following table, left column shows time in microseconds to solve a given problem as a function of problem size N (assuming perfect scaling and that problem size 1 takes $1\mu sec$).
- Entries show the *size of problem* that can be solved in a second, hour, month (31 days), and century, for various relationships between time required and problem size.
- ullet N= problem size

Time (μ sec) for	Max N Possible in			
problem size N	1 second	1 hour	1 month	1 century
-lg N	10^{300000}	$10^{1000000000}$	$10^{8 \cdot 10^{11}}$	$10^{9\cdot 10^{14}}$
\overline{N}	10^{6}	$3.6 \cdot 10^{9}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{12}$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{15}$
$N \lg N$	63000	$1.3 \cdot 10^{8}$	$7.4 \cdot 10^{10}$	$6.9 \cdot 10^{13}$
N^2	1000	60000	$1.6 \cdot 10^{6}$	$5.6 \cdot 10^{7}$
N^3	100	1500	14000	150000
2^N	20	32	41	51

Last modified: Tue Mar 18 16:17:51 2014

CS61A: Lecture #19 18