### Lecture 27: Anatomy of an Interpreter

### Interpreting Scheme

- Your project will have a structure similar to the calculator:
  - Split input into tokens, which are themselves Scheme values.
  - Parse the tokens into Scheme expressions, which are also Scheme values.
  - Evaluate the expressions to produce Scheme values.

 Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014

CS61A: Lecture #27 2

#### Evaluation

Evaluation breaks into cases:

 Numerals, strings, booleans, and the empty list "evaluate to themselves" (are "self-evaluating"):

```
3 ===> 3 () ===> () #t ===> #t "hello" ===> "hello"
```

[Here, E ==> V means "expression E evaluates to value V"]

 Symbols are evaluated in the current environment (a Python data structure that is internal to the interpreter).

```
(define x 3) ;; Sets x to 3 in the current environment x ==> 3
```

- Combinations (represented by Scheme pairs) are either
  - Special forms (like define or if), each of which is a special case:

```
(if (> 3 2) 1 (/ 2 0)) ===> 1
```

- or Function calls (+ 1 2) ===> 3

Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014

CS61A: Lecture #27 3

## Metacircularity: Scheme in Scheme

- Tokens, expressions, and the results of evaluating them are all Scheme values.
- We could represent environment frames as well with a Scheme data structure (an association list, as described in Lecture #26).
- At that point, it becomes evident we could easily write this Scheme interpreter in Scheme!
- As we'll see, an observation very much like this led to a major earthquake in 20th century mathematics—one that you'll actually be able to understand just from the content of this course!

Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 CS61A: Lecture #27 4

### Major Pieces

- read\_eval\_print\_loop is the main loop of the program, which takes
  over after initialization. It simply reads Scheme expressions from
  an input source, evaluates them, and (if required) prints them, catching errors and repeating the process until the input source is exhausted.
- tokenize\_lines in scheme\_tokens.py turns streams of characters into tokens. You don't have to write it, but you should understand it.
- scm\_read parses streams of tokens into Scheme expressions. It's a very simple example of a recursive-descent parser.
- The class Frame embodies environment frames. You fill in the method that creates local environments.
- scheme\_eval evaluates an expression.
- scheme\_apply Evaluates a call on a function once the arguments are evaluated.
- Basic (primitive) functions are implemented directly by the interpreter (in Python).

# Function Calls

- The idea here is a "mutually recursive dance" between two parties:
  - $scheme\_eval$ , which evaluates operator and operands, and
  - scheme\_apply, which applies functions to the resulting values.
- The interreter sees the expression

(f (+ 1 2) y)

(which is Scheme data). What does it do?

- First, it *identifies* this as a call: it's a non-empty list, and its first item is not "special" (like if).
- So it (recursively) evaluates f, (+ 1 2), and y, giving a function and two other values.
- $\bullet$  Now it calls the function with those arguments, using  ${\tt scheme\_apply}.$
- If f is a non-primitive function (defined via define in the same program), then apply will eventually have to evaluate its body...
- $\bullet \dots$  for which it uses  ${\tt scheme\_eval}.$
- And so it goes, back and forth between scheme\_eval and scheme\_apply
  until we get down to primitive functions and other base cases.

Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 CS61A: Lecture #27 6

Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 CS61A: Lecture #27 5

### Tail Recursion

• Consider

```
(define (list-ref L k)
   (if (= k 0) (car L) (list-ref (cdr L) (- k 1))))
```

- This is a tail-recursive call. According to Scheme semantics, it must work, regardless of the length of L—no "stack overflow" allowed.
- But if the interpreter naively calls scheme\_eval to evaluate the
  body, which calls scheme\_apply to make the recursive call, which
  calls scheme\_eval to evaluate the body..., there will be trouble!

 To handle tail recursion, you'll actually implement a slightly modified version of scheme\_eval, one which partially evaluates its argument, performing one "evaluation step."

Dealing With Tail Recursion

- Each evaluation step returns either a value (in which case, evaluation of the expression is done),
- or replaces a tail-recursive call and current environment with the the body of the function and that function's environment (and loops).

Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 C561A: Lecture #27 7 Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 C561A: Lecture #27 8

## Example

• Consider again the tail-recursive example:

- We want to evaluate (list-ref '(3 5 7) 2).
- Let's represent the state of an evaluation as a stack of "evaluation frames" (class Evaluation), each of which looks like this when partially evaluated:

Expression	Value	Environment
(list-ref (cdr L) (- n 1))		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals

or like this when fully evaluated:

(		
	7	L: (7), k: 0, alobals

Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 C561A: Lecture #27 9

Example: list-ref

```
(define (list-ref L k)
    (if (= k 0) (car L) (list-ref (cdr L) (- k 1))))
```

First, the call:

Expression	Value	Environment
(list-ref '(1 2 3) 2)		globals

After evaluating the quoted expression, we  $\it{replace}$  the call with the body:

Expression	Value	Environment
(if)		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals

Now evaluate the condition (recursively, in another  ${\tt Evaluation}$ ):

Expression	value	Environment
(= k 0)		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals
(if)		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals

**-** .

Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 CS61A: Lecture #27 10

# Example (contd.)

Expression	Value	Environment
(= k 0)		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals
(if)		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, alobals

Evaluate the primitive function call =:

Expression	Value	Environment
	#f	L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals
(if)		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals

Which causes us to replace the if with its "false" branch:

Expression	Value	Environment
(list-ref (cdr L) (- k 1))))		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals

# Example (contd.)

Expression	Value	Environment
(list-ref (cdr L) (- k 1))))		L: (3 5 7), k: 2, globals

After evaluating list-ref (to get a function),  $(cdr\ L)$ , and  $(-\ k\ 1)$  (recursively, each in its own Evaluation), we *replace* the call on list-ref with the body:

Expression	Value	Environment
(if)		L: (5 7), k: 1, globals

and so on. Thus, the stack of evaluations-in-progress does not keep arowing.

Last modified: Man Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 C561A: Lecture #27 11 Last modified: Man Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 C561A: Lecture #27 12

Handling Special Forms	
<ul> <li>In your project, the "special" forms (expressions that don't obey the usual evaluate-all-operands-and-call rule) all get handled by epony- mous functions (e.g., do_cond_form).</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Unlike scheme_apply, they exert explicit control over their operand's evaluation.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Some special forms can be rewritten into equivalent Scheme expressions that replace the original, but this is up to the implementor.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>In fact, full Scheme has macros (which you can add for extra credit), which are Scheme functions that produce Scheme expressions. To evaluate a macro call, an interpreter:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Calls the macro function without evaluating its operands ("quotes the operands"), getting back a Scheme expression.</li> <li>It then evaluates the expression that is returned.</li> </ul>	
• It is a powerful, but often messy, feature.	
Last modified: Mon Apr 7 13:57:29 2014 C561A: Lecture #27 13	