# Lecture 28: Scheme and Interpretation

Last modified: Mon Apr 2 14:15:38 2012

## Controlling Function Evaluation

- The standard function apply has the effect of allowing one to construct and evaluate function calls.
- To call a function, one generally needs to know how many arguments it takes, and then wire that into the call expression, as in f(x,y) you may not know what precise function f is, but you must know how many arguments it takes.
- In Lisp (and Scheme) the function apply handles this:

```
(define L '(1 2 3))
   (apply + L) ===> (+ 1 2 3) ===> 6
```

 More recently, we see these in other programming languages. In Python, one can write f(\*L) for (apply f L).

#### Another classic: map

- Ignore that this is actually built-in.
- The obvious way goes like this:

```
;;; Assumes f is a one-argument function and L is the
;;; list (x1 \ldots xn). Returns the list ((f x1) \ldots (f xn)).
(define (map1 f L) ;; map1 to distinguish from full map.
    (if (null? L) '()
        (cons (f (car L)) (map1 f (cdr L)))))
```

- Two problems:
  - 1. Not tail recursive. [Hint: reverse is built in].
  - 2. How to do the full version: (map f L1 ... Lm), where we compute ((f (car L1) ... (car Lm)) ...)?

```
;;; Assumes f is a k-argument function and L is a non-empty list
;;; of equal-length lists, L1...Ln. Returns the list
;;; ((f x11 x21 ...) ... (f x1n ...)), (xij is item j of list i).
(define (map f . L) ;; Like Python's def map(f, *L)
```

#### Solution: Tail-Recursive Map1

#### Solution: Full Map

• Non-tail-recursive:

• Tail-recursive:

#### Eval

- From early on, Lisp systems have used the fact that programs simply data that is processed by an evaluator.
- The eval function has been in Lisp for some time.
- It treats its argument as a Lisp expression and evaluates it.
- E.g., (eval (list + 1 2)) produces 3.
- Only recently added to Scheme officially (since version 5), perhaps in part because it is a little more difficult to define in Scheme than in original Lisp.
- One difficulty is that original Lisp was dynamically scoped, but Scheme (like Python) is statically scoped.

### Static and Dynamic Scoping

- The scope rules are the rules governing what names (identifiers) mean at each point in a program.
- We've been using environment diagrams to describe the rules for Python (which are essentially identical to Scheme).
- But in original Lisp, scoping was dynamic.
- Example (using classic Lisp notation):

```
(defun f (x); Like (define (f x) ...) in Scheme
     (g))
(defun g ()
     (* x 2))
(setq x 3) ;; Like set! and also defines x at outer level.
(g) ;; ===> 6
(f 2) ;; ===> 4
;; ===> 6
```

 That is, the meaning of x depends on the most recent and still active definition of x, even where the reference to x is not nested inside the defining function.

## Eval and Scoping

- Dynamic scoping made eval easy to define: interpret any variables according to their "current binding."
- But eval in Scheme behaves like normal functions, it would not have access to the current binding at the place it is called.
- To make it definable (without tricks) in Scheme, one must add a parameter to eval to convey the desired environment.
- In the fifth revision of Scheme, one had the choice of indicating an empty environment and the standard, builtin environment.
- Our STk interpreter goes its own way:
  - (eval E) evaluates in the global environment.
  - (eval E (the-environment)) evaluates in the current environment.
  - (eval E (procedure-environment f)) evaluates in the environment pointed to by function f.