

Determining the state of thexas hold 'em in almost to real time

David Molin[†], Tomas Rosin Forsyth^{††}

Abstract—This paper will describe a method which finds and determines suit and rank of playing cards in an image, the image processing pipeline developed in this paper is developed in such a way that it should be possible to make it run on an mobile device in close to real time. The resulting pipeline managed to find and correctly classify images with an accuracy of 66%

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of automatically detecting suit and rank of playing cards based on a stream of images could potentially be used for several commercial or non-commercial applications. One such application could be to automatically determine the cards on the table for broadcasting live poker tournaments. Generally when attempting to match objects with a known template in images it is possible to use keypoint detectors such as SIFT [1] or ORB [2] and match these keypoints and doing a geometric consistency check through the use of RANSAC. However due to the fact that the keypoints on poker cards would correspond to the corners of the suit symbols (check expression TODO) the ratio test described in [1] would reject most of the matches as there are multiple of each suit symbol on each card. TODO

II. PRIOR WORK

Some prior work in this field has been done for example [3] used optical character recognition in order to determine the suit and rank of playing cards. In 2007 [7] used template matching of the symbols in the corners of the cards to determine the rank of playing cards. In the field of image processing there has been several papers published whihc describes methods which are possible to use for card recognition. In 95 Kiryati et. al. proposed a method to compute an approximate hough transform by only consider a subset of the points in the image[9]. In 2000 Matas et. al. made improvements to the probabilistic hough transform[8]. Keypoint and feature detection such as SIFT [1] and [2] has also been developed the last decade and these methods can be used to find instances of objects in an image. In 79 Nobuyuki Otsu developed a method to find a threshold which could separate bright portions of an image from dark portions[5].

III. METHOD

The process used in this paper for extracting the suit and rank of all cards in an image can be divided into two parts.

[†]dmolin@stanford.edu

^{††}tomfo@stanford.edu



Fig. 1. Outline of the process used for detecting cards in this paper

The first part is extracting position of the corners of all cards and then from this position extract a image of the card oriented in a upright position with a known size. The other part of the algorithm is to find the suit and rank from a image of a card placed in a upright position.

A. Extracting the card corners



Fig. 2. Pipeline for identifying the cards and finding their corners

First the assumption that the cards are considerably brighter than the background is made. This is usually true since poker cards are pieces of white paper and the table which poker is played on a green tablecloth, or in some cases on a wooden table. This motivates why it should be possible to extract the poker cards from the background by using Otsu's method[5].

Once Otsu's method has extracted a mask of the cards it is possible to extract the contours of the card by applying the method described in [6]. A card will have a contour consisting of 4 lines. Since playing cards are small and the distance to the playing cards are much larger than the size of the card there will be pairs of almost parallel lines for the contours of the cards. This structure can be used by finding the parallel lines by using a Hough transform. In practice the hough transform is too slow for almost real time applications, therefore the progressive probabilistic hough transform described in [8], This will give results similar to the one in the original Hough transform, but using less computation. From the hough transform it is possible to find the endpoints of long parallel lines. These points will usually correspond to the corners of the cards, some false positives might result from this but these can then be rejected at a later stage in the pipeline.

Once these corners are found it is trivial to find and apply an projective transformation which maps the cards to a default size.

TODO

Fig. 3. Pipeline for determining the rank and suit of a card

B. Finding rank and suit of a card

1) *Determining suit*: The color of the card can be extracted by comparing the red channel with some other color channel. For red cards such as diamonds and hearts the red channel will assume large values at all points on the card, this will not however be the case for black cards such as spades or clubs. This fact can then be used to determine if the suit of a card is red or black.

Since all cards have the suit symbol in the upper left corner it is possible to extract the suit by doing template matching in this region.

2) *determining if a card is a face or nonface card*: Furthermore it is possible to notice that the appearance of the face cards and nonface cards are quite different, the face cards consist of a large image suggesting that keypoint matching such as ORB or SIFT could be used to match these cards by comparing the extracted keypoints to the query card. This suggests that it should be a good idea to compute the rank for face cards and nonface cards in different ways.

In order to determine wheather a card is a face card or a nonface card the fact that face cards consist of one large connected blob, while nonface cards consist of several small blobs is used. Blobs are found by using Otsu's method. Then the size of the largest blob in the image is found. If this size is larger than some predetermined threshold the image is classified as a face card.

3) *classifying face cards*: When doing keypoint matching for the face cards some issues might arise for the ratio test in [1] since the bottom half of a face cards is a mirrored copy of the top half and therefore each feature would have a corresponding feature from the mirrored part of the card. This should however not be too much of an issue even if ignored and can be solved by instead of using the third closest feature for the ratio test instead of the second closes feature.

4) *classifying nonface cards*: For nonface cards keypoints mathcing would be unsuitable due to the fact that keypoints would mainly be found for the suit symbols and these occur several times on each card and therefore the mathes would not be good. Instead it is possbile to count the number of blobs on each nonface card. Each blob will correspond to one suit symbol. In order to supress noise the blobs would have to be larger than a given threshold, otherwise the small symbols in the corner of the cards as well as small blobs created by noise would contribute to the rank of the card. The blobs are found by applying otsu's method on the blue channel of the cards.

IV. RESULTS

A. Test set

IMAGES OF THE TEST SET TODO

The method for determining the suit and rank of the cards described in method is in this section applied to a test set of 20 images, each containing 3 cards taken in an indoor environment with conditions similar to the ones for a real pokertable. In other words clutter will be present and the images will not be close ups of poker cards taken from above. Some photographs will include motion blur as this will generally be present when a mobile device is used for determining cards in real time.

Detected class	Real class	
	Card	Non card
	Card	Non card
Card	54	0
NonCard	6	-

TABLE I

CONFUSION MATRIX OF THE CARDS DETECTED BY THE ALGORITHM

The confusion matrix shows that the results for detecting the cards is good.

using the method described earlier on the test set the accuracy for suit detection is 83% for all of the detected cards. The main problem in suit detection seems to be that clubs are detected as spaces, This happened in 7 out of the 9 cases where the suit was incorrectly detected. The correct rank is detected for 92.5% of the detected cards. The detected rank was usually off by one to the correct rank of the card. The accuracy of the whole pipeline is 66%

CARDS & IMAGES INCorectly CLASSIFIED IMAGES
COMMENTS ON the incorrectly classified images
CARDS & IMAGES Corectly CLASSIFIED IMAGES
COMMENTS ON the correctly classified images
TODO

V. DISCUSSION

As can be seen in the results section this approach gives pretty good results. Although they are slightly worse than the results in [3] which had a accuracy of 93%, however it is hard to compare these results directly since this paper did not use the same test set as[3]. In order to be able to use a card detector in practice it should have a accuracy which is $> 98\%$. For lower accuracies than this errors will be so common that it is necessary to have a human for correcting the error of the card analyzer. Although the error of this approach is too high for practical applications it might be possible to improve the results to an acceptable rate by increasing the accuracy of the corners detection step in the algorithm and the template matching step.

A. Future work

Future work could include to find a way to make this method work with occluded cards and for cases where the cards are placed on top of each other. It should also be possible to improve the results by making a better corner detector as the corners are not perfectly detected by the current pipeline which means that the recognition step gets a slightly warped card as an input. One of the major reasons for why the suit detection was bad was that clubs was often identified as spades and if a better method for discriminating inbetween spades and clubs

the results would improve significantly. Since the cards used in the test set were reflective some cards in the test set had specular highlights, this problem is similar to occlusion since it was not possible to determine the actual colors of the cards at these points.

VI. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] Lowe, David G. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints." *International journal of computer vision* 60.2 (2004): 91-110.
- [2] Rublee, Ethan, et al. "ORB: an efficient alternative to SIFT or SURF." *Computer Vision (ICCV), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2011.
- [3] Martins, Paulo, Lus Paulo Reis, and Lus Tefilo. "Poker vision: playing cards and chips identification based on image processing." *Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011. 436-443.
- [4] Matas, Jiri, Charles Galambos, and Josef Kittler. "Robust detection of lines using the progressive probabilistic hough transform." *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 78.1 (2000): 119-137.
- [5] Otsu, Nobuyuki. "A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms." *Automatica* 11.285-296 (1975): 23-27.
- [6] Suzuki, Satoshi. "Topological structural analysis of digitized binary images by border following." *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing* 30.1 (1985): 32-46.
- [7] Zheng, Chunhui, and Richard Green. "Playing card recognition using rotational invariant template matching." *Proc. of Image and Vision Computing New Zealand*. 2007.
- [8] Matas, Jiri, Charles Galambos, and Josef Kittler. "Robust detection of lines using the progressive probabilistic hough transform." *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 78.1 (2000): 119-137.
- [9] Kiryati, Nahum, Yuval Eldar, and Alfred M. Bruckstein. "A probabilistic Hough transform." *Pattern recognition* 24.4 (1991): 303-316.