CSC236 Exam Review

Notes from CSC236 Lecture 12

Alexander He Meng

Typed on November 27, 2024

Question #1

Consider a program that takes an array of intervals `intervals` where `intervals[i]` = [$\texttt{start}_i$, $\texttt{end}_i$] and returns an optimal schedule:

```
def optimalschedule(intervals):
    sort intervals by the end times
    S = []
    f = -infty
    for i in [1, ..., n]:
        if start_i >= f:
            S.append([start_i, end_i])
            f = end_i
    return S
```

Definitions, Notes, and Examples:

- An **optimal schedule** is a subarray of `intervals` in which all the intervals are non-overlapping, and the subarray has the maximum possible size.
- $[1, 2]$ and $[2, 3]$ are non-overlapping.
- There may be multiple optimal schedules for an arbitrary array of intervals.
- All optimal schedules have the same size.
- In general, `intervals` $= [[\texttt{start}_1, \texttt{end}_1], \ldots, [\texttt{start}_n, \texttt{end}_n]]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\texttt{start}_i, \texttt{end}_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$.
- The length of `intervals` is at least 1 (`intervals` is non-empty).
- If S is the subarray (in the program) at the j^{th} iteration and there exists some optimal schedule Opt such that $[\texttt{start}_i, \texttt{end}_i] \in Opt \iff [\texttt{start}_i, \texttt{end}_i] \in S$, then S is looking good.
- Let S be the subarray on the j^{th} iteration of the program. Define the predicate, $P(S)$: S is looking good.

<u>Claim:</u> something

Proof.
proofgoeshere

□

<u>Claim:</u> something

Proof.
proofgoeshere

□

<u>Claim:</u> something

Proof.
proofgoeshere

□

<u>Claim:</u> something

Proof.
proofgoeshere

□

<u>Claim:</u> something

Proof.
proofgoeshere

□

Question #2

Prove that $f(n) = \lceil \sqrt(n) \rceil - \lfloor \sqrt(n) - 4 \rfloor$ is asymptotically constant (i.e. $\Theta(1)$).

Proof.
By definition, if x and y are arbitrary real numbers, then

$$(x \leq \lceil x \rceil < x + 1)$$

and

$$(y - 1 < \lfloor y \rfloor \leq y).$$

Rewrite the second inequality as $-y \leq -\lfloor y \rfloor < -(y - 1)$.

By adding the two inequalities, it follows that $x - y \leq \lceil x \rceil - \lfloor y \rfloor < x + 1 - (y - 1) = x - y + 2$.

Let $x = \sqrt{n}$ and $y = \sqrt{n} - 4$, for arbitrary natural n.
Then, $\lceil x \rceil - \lfloor y \rfloor = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - \lfloor \sqrt{n} - 4 \rfloor = f(n)$. As well, $x - y = \cancel{\sqrt{n}} - (\cancel{\sqrt{n}} - 4) = 4$.

This means $x - y \leq \lceil x \rceil - \lfloor y \rfloor < x - y + 2 \implies 4 \leq f(n) < 4 + 2 \implies 4 \leq f(n) < 6$.

Let $n_0 = 0, c = 4, d = 6$. Let $g(n) = 1$.
Notice that $4 \leq f(n) < 6 \implies cg(n) \leq f(n) \leq dg(n)$, for all $n \geq n_0 = 0$ with $c = 4, d = 6$.

Therefore, $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \implies f(n) \in \Theta(1)$. Indeed, $f(n)$ is asymptotically constant.

□

Steps to show that a DFA does not accept a language

1. Show that there exists $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\hat{\delta}(q_0, x) = \hat{\delta}(q_0, y)$.
2. Show that there exists $z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $xz \in L \iff yz \notin L$.
3. Clarify the contradiction that $\hat{\delta}(q_0, xz) = \hat{\delta}(q_0, yz) \implies \hat{\delta}(q_0, xz) \in L$.

Question #3

Prove that $L = \{a^{n^2} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is **not** a regular language.

Proof.
Assume, for contradiction, that $L = \{a^{n^2} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is regular. Then there exists a deterministic finite automata (DFA) $\mathcal{D} = \{Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F\}$ that accepts L.
Let $|Q| = k$, where k is the number of states in $\mathcal{D}$.

Since L contains strings of the form a^{n^2}, choose $w = a^{j^2}$, where j is large enough such that $j^2 > k$. Clearly $w \in L$.

As the DFA processes w, which has $j^2 > k$ symbols, it must visit more states then there are in Q. By the Pigeonhole Principle, at least one state must repeat.
Namely, while processing w, there exist integers α and β such that:

$$\hat{\delta}(s, a^{\alpha}) = \hat{\delta}(s, a^{\alpha+\beta}),$$

where $\beta \geq 1$.
This means that after reading the first α symbols, the DFA enters some state q, and reading β additional symbols loops back to q.

Because $\mathcal{D}$ accepts $w = a^{j^2}$, it follows that:

$$\hat{\delta}(s, a^{j^2}) \in L.$$

Now consider the strings $a^{j^2+\beta}$, $a^{j^2+2\beta}$, and so on. Since the DFA loops at state q, adding multiples of β symbols to w does not change the final state.
Therefore:

$$\hat{\delta}(s, a^{j^2+\beta}) \in L \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\delta}(s, a^{j^2+2\beta}) \in L$$

Thus, the DFA also accepts these strings.

Recall that with k states processing the first $k + 1$ symbols of w must cause a state to repeat (the Pigeonhole Principle).

- Let α be the number of symbols leading up to the first occurrence of a repeated state q.
- Let β be the number of states causing the DFA to loop back to q.
- Let γ account for any remaining symbols to reach the end of $w = a^{j^2}$.

Thus, $j^2 = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$. Note that $\hat{\delta}(s, a^{\alpha}) = \hat{\delta}(q, a^{\beta}) = q$; denote this as *Corollary 1.*

It is now possible to show explicitly the strings which the DFA accepts.
Consider that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\delta}(s, a^{j^2+\beta}) &= \hat{\delta}(s, a^{(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)+\beta}) \\
&= \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(s, a^{\alpha}), a^{\beta+\beta+\gamma}) \\
&= \hat{\delta}(q, a^{\beta+\beta+\gamma}), \text{ by } \textit{Corollary 1} \\
&= \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, a^{\beta}), a^{\beta+\gamma}) \\
&= \hat{\delta}(q, a^{\beta+\gamma}), \text{ by } \textit{Corollary 1} \\
&= \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(s, a^{\alpha}), a^{\beta+\gamma}), \text{ by } \textit{Corollary 1} \\
&= \hat{\delta}(s, a^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}) \\
&= \hat{\delta}(s, a^{j^2})
\end{aligned}$$

This equivalence shows that $\mathcal{D}$ accepts $w = a^{j^2+\beta}$. By continually applying *Corollary 1* in the same argument, $\mathcal{D}$ also accepts $a^{j^2+2\beta}, a^{j^2+3\beta}$.

However, notice that one of $a^{j^2+2\beta}, a^{j^2+3\beta}$ is not a square and, thus, not a member of L. Yet, $\mathcal{D}$ accepts both strings. This is a contradiction.

Therefore, $L = \{a^{n^2} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ must not be regular.

$\square$

Here's an alternative proof by using the ***Pumping Lemma****.*

Proof.
To show that $L = \{a^{n^2} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not a regular language, show:

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+)(\exists w = xyz \in L)(\exists i \in \mathbb{N}^+)(|y| \geq 1 \wedge |xy| \leq n+1 \wedge xy^i z \notin L).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ be arbitrary.
Notice that $|w| \geq n$. Choose arbitrary $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ where xy represents the start ($\leq n+1$) of w. This makes y the part in which state-looping occurs.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Choose $w = a^{b^2}$, where $b^2 > n$.

Now, write $w = xyz$.

Notice that $y = a^i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.

Add some proof here to show that...

It follows that either $xyy^2 = a^{n^2+i} \notin L$ or $xyyy^2 = a^{n^2+2i} \notin L$.

Therefore, $L = \{a^{n^2} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not a regular language.

$\square$

Question #4

Prove that $L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is **not** a regular language.

Proof.
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $w = 0^{n+300}1^{n+300}$

Only case: $y = 0$.

Then, $w = 0^{n+300}1^{n+300} \notin L$.

$\square$