

## Homework 6

**Liu Huihang**

SA18017026

QQ: 184050473

MAIL: huihang@mail.ustc.edu.cn

**Problem 1** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  i.i.d  $\sim F$ ,  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  i.i.d  $\sim G$  and i.i.d within each sample, then

- (1) get the  $U$ -statistic  $U_n$  with kernel  $h(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = I(x_1 < y_1, x_2 < y_2)$ ,
- (2) get the limit distribution of  $U$ -statistic  $U_n$  with  $m + n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{m}{n+m} \rightarrow p \in (0, 1)$ ,
- (3) get the limit distribution of  $U$ -statistic  $U_n$  under null hypothesis  $H_0 : F = G$ .

**Solution**

(1) The kernel is  $h(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = I(x_1 < y_1, x_2 < y_2)$ , which is of order 2 in both  $x$  and  $y$ . The corresponding  $U$ -statistic is

$$U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2} \binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < k}^m \sum_{j < l}^n I(X_i < Y_j, X_k < Y_l)$$

(2) The projection of  $U - \theta$  onto the set of all functions of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i(X_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n l_j(Y_j)$  is given by

$$\hat{U} = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h_{1,0}(X_i) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h_{0,1}(Y_j),$$

where the functions  $h_{1,0}$  and  $h_{0,1}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,0}(x) &= Eh(x, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) - \theta, \\ h_{0,1}(y) &= Eh(X_1, X_2, y, Y_2) - \theta. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence  $\hat{U}$  is asymptotically normal by the central limit theorem. Then difference between  $\hat{U}$  and  $U_n - \theta$  is asymptotically negligible.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= Eh(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) \\ &= P(X_1 < Y_1)P(X_2 < Y_2) \\ &= (E[P(X_1 < y | Y_1 = y)])^2 \\ &= (E[F(Y_1)])^2 \\ &= \left( \int F(y) dG(y) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then calculate  $\zeta_{1,0}$  and  $\zeta_{0,1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{1,0} &= \text{cov}(h(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2), h(X_1, X_3, Y_3, Y_4)) \\
&= \text{cov}(I(X_1 < Y_1, X_2 < Y_2), I(X_1 < Y_3, X_3 < Y_4)) \\
&= E[I(X_1 < Y_1, X_2 < Y_2)I(X_1 < Y_3, X_3 < Y_4)] \\
&\quad - E[I(X_1 < Y_1, X_2 < Y_2)]E[I(X_1 < Y_3, X_3 < Y_4)] \\
&= E[I(X_1 < \min(Y_1, Y_3))I(X_2 < Y_2)I(X_3 < Y_4)] \\
&\quad - E[I(X_1 < Y_1)I(X_2 < Y_2)]E[I(X_1 < Y_3)I(X_3 < Y_4)] \\
&= P(X_1 < \min(Y_1, Y_3))(P(X_1 < Y_1))^2 - (P(X_1 < Y_1))^4 \\
&= \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^2 \int F(z)d(-G^2(z) + 2G(z)) - \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^4
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{0,1} &= \text{cov}(h(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2), h(X_3, X_4, Y_1, Y_3)) \\
&= \text{cov}(I(X_1 < Y_1, X_2 < Y_2), I(X_3 < Y_1, X_4 < Y_3)) \\
&= E[I(X_1 < Y_1, X_2 < Y_2)I(X_3 < Y_1, X_4 < Y_3)] \\
&\quad - E[I(X_1 < Y_1, X_2 < Y_2)]E[I(X_3 < Y_1, X_4 < Y_3)] \\
&= E[I(Y_1 > \max(X_1, X_3))I(Y_2 > X_2)I(Y_3 > X_4)] \\
&\quad - E[I(X_1 < Y_1)I(X_2 < Y_2)]E[I(X_3 < Y_1)I(X_4 < Y_3)] \\
&= P(Y_1 > \max(X_1, X_3))(P(X_1 < Y_1))^2 - (P(X_1 < Y_1))^4 \\
&= \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^2 \int F^2(z)dG(z) - \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^4
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{m+n}(U_n - \theta) \rightsquigarrow N\left(0, \frac{4\zeta_{1,0}}{p} + \frac{4\zeta_{0,1}}{1-p}\right)$$

where  $\theta = \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^2$ ,  $\zeta_{1,0} = \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^2 \int F(z)d(-G^2(z) + 2G(z)) - \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^4$  and  $\zeta_{0,1} = \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^2 \int F^2(z)dG(z) - \left( \int F(y)dG(y) \right)^4$ .

(3) Under  $H_0 : F = G$ ,  $\theta = 1/4$ ,  $\zeta_{1,0} = \frac{1}{4*12}$  and  $\zeta_{0,1} = \frac{1}{4*12}$ .

$$\sqrt{m+n}\left(U_n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \rightsquigarrow N\left(0, \frac{1}{12p} + \frac{1}{12(1-p)}\right)$$

■

**Problem 2** Suppose the distribution of  $X$  is symmetric about zero with variance  $\sigma^2 > 0$  and  $EX^4 < \infty$ , consider kernel  $h(x, y) = xy + (x^2 - \sigma^2)(y^2 - \sigma^2)$ , then

- (1) prove that the  $U$ -statistic  $U_n$  with kernel  $h(x, y)$  has a degeneracy of order 1,
- (2) get  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and orthogonal functions  $\Phi_1(x), \Phi_2(x)$ , such that  $h(x, y) = \lambda_1\varphi_1(x)\varphi_1(y) + \lambda_2\varphi_2(x)\varphi_2(y)$ ,
- (3) get the limit distribution of  $nU_n$ .

**Solution**

(1) Firstly, we obtain U-statistic  $U_n$ ,

$$U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j}^n X_i X_j + (X_i^2 - \sigma^2)(X_j^2 - \sigma^2)$$

with  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d and  $E[X_i] = \mu = 0, E[X_i^3] = 0$ .

Then, we obtain  $\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= E[h(X_1, X_2)] \\ &= E[X_1 X_2 + (X_1^2 - \sigma^2)(X_2^2 - \sigma^2)] \\ &= \mu^2 + \mu^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $U_n$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu^2 + \mu^4$ .

To prove the U-statistic  $U_n$  with kernel  $h(x, y)$  has a degeneracy of order 1, it's sufficient to show that  $\zeta_1 = 0$  and  $\zeta_2 > 0$ .

Since  $h_1(x) = E[xX_2 + (x^2 - \sigma^2)(X_2^2 - \sigma^2)] = x\mu + (x^2 - \sigma^2)\mu^2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= \text{var}[h_1(X_1)] = \mu^2(\text{var}(X_1 + \mu(X_1^2 - \sigma^2))) = 0 \\ \zeta_2 &= \text{var}[h(X_1, X_2)] = \text{var}[X_1 X_2 + (X_1^2 - \sigma^2)(X_2^2 - \sigma^2)] \\ &= \sigma^4 + (E[X_1^4] - \sigma^4)^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that the degeneracy is of order 1.

(2) We take  $A(x_1, x_2) = h(x_1, x_2) - \theta$ , where  $\theta = Eh(X_1, X_2) = 0$ . For  $k = 1, 2$ ,

$$E[A(x, X_2)\phi_k(X_2)] = \lambda_k \phi_k(x). \quad (1)$$

We choose  $\phi_1(x) = x/\sigma, \phi_2(x) = (x^2 - \sigma^2)/\sqrt{EX^4 - \sigma^4}$ , which satisfy  $E\phi_j(X_1) = 0$  and

$$E\phi_j(X_1)\phi_k(X_1) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = k \\ 0, & j \neq k \end{cases}$$

So  $\phi_1$  is orthogonal with  $\phi_2$ . Thus  $\lambda_1 = \sigma^2, \lambda_2 = EX^4 - \sigma^4$  by (1).

(3)  $U_n$  is the U-statistic associated with a symmetric kernel of degree 2, degeneracy of order 1 and expectation 0. Then

$$nU_n \rightsquigarrow \sigma^2(Z_1^2 - 1) + (EX^4 - \sigma^4)(Z_2^2 - 1),$$

where  $Z_1, Z_2$  are independent  $N(0, 1)$ . ■

**Problem 3 (Prove the Hoeffding decomposition in page 13)** *Let's consider the following U-statistic of order 2,*

$$U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} h(X_i, X_j)$$

The Hoeffding decomposition is:

$$U_n = U + \frac{2}{n} \sum_i h_1(X_i) + \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} h_2(X_i, X_j)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U &= EU_n = Eh(X_1, X_2), \\ h_1(x) &= Eh(x, X_2) - U, \\ h_2(x, y) &= h(x, y) - h_1(x) - h_1(y) - U. \end{aligned}$$

### Solution

According to Problem 4 in the following, we can obtain the Hoeffding decomposition directly,

$$U_n = \sum_{c=0}^2 \sum_{|A|=c} \sum_{B \subset \{1, c\}} P_A U_n$$

Additional, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\emptyset h(X_1, X_2) &= Eh(X_1, X_2), \\ P_{[1]} h(X_1, X_2) &= E(h(X_1, X_2)|X_1) - Eh(X_1, X_2), \\ P_{[1,2]} h(X_1, X_2) &= E(h(X_1, X_2)|X_1, X_2) - E(h(X_1, X_2)|X_1) - E(h(X_1, X_2)|X_2) + Eh(X_1, X_2). \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\emptyset U_n &= Eh, \\ P_{[1]} U_n &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} P_{[1]} h(X_i, X_j), \\ &= \frac{n-1}{\binom{n}{2}} P_{[1]} h(X_1, X_2) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} (Eh(X_1, X_2) - Eh), \\ P_{[1,2]} U_n &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} P_{[1,2]} h(X_i, X_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} P_{[1,2]} h(X_1, X_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$U_n = P_\emptyset U_n + \sum_i P_{[i]} U_n + \sum_{i < j} P_{[i,j]} U_n$$

which leads to

$$U_n = U + \frac{2}{n} \sum_i h_1(X_1) + \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} h_2(X_1, X_j)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U &= Eh(X_1, X_2), \\ h_1(x) &= Eh(x, X_2) - U, \\ h_2(x, y) &= h(x, y) - h_1(x) - h_1(y) - U. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 4 (Prove the  $T$  decomposition in page 12)** *If  $T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is permutation symmetric and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed, then the Hoffding decomposition of  $T$  can be simplified to*

$$T = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} g_r(X_i : i \in A),$$

where

$$g_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{B \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{r-|B|} E(T | x_i \in B, X_i \notin B).$$

**Solution**

By the theorem in slide page 8, the projection of  $T$  onto  $H_A$  is given by

$$P_A T = \sum_{B \subset A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} E(T | X_i : i \in B).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} P_A T, \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} \sum_{B \subset A} (-1)^{r-|B|} E(T | X_i : i \in B), \end{aligned}$$

because  $T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is permutation symmetric, we have

$$T = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} \sum_{B \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{r-|B|} E(T | X_i : i \in B).$$

This leads to the assertion.

*(Actually, with some simple deduction, I know that we can obtain the conclusion according to permutation symmetric, if any, I cannot write any other details. Please, help me improve the solution if I left something important.)*

■