Chapter 13: Analysis of Variance

DSCC 462 Computational Introduction to Statistics

> Anson Kahng Fall 2022

Plan for Today

- How do we compare sample means for more than two groups?
 - One-way ANOVA
- Motivating illustration:

Analysis of Variance: Motivation

- We previously discussed the use of t-tests for comparing sample means of two groups
- What if we want to compare sample means for more than two groups?
 - Use analysis of variance (ANOVA)

One-Way ANOVA: Motivating Example

- Suppose we are interested in the average weight of adult Americans, but we are looking at three different age groups
 - Group 1: 18-30 years old
 - Group 2: 31-50 years old
 - Group 3: Over 50 years old
- These three groups have means μ_1, μ_2 , and μ_3 , respectively
- We want to test the null hypothesis that the population means are identical
 - $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$
 - H_1 : At least one of the population means differs from one of the others

- ullet In general, we are interested in comparing k different populations
- ullet Assume the k populations are independent and normally distributed
- Draw a sample of size n_i from group i that has population mean μ_i and population variance σ_i^2
- For this sample, we get sample mean \overline{x}_i and sample variance s_i^2
- Note: The number of observations in each sample does not need to be the same

- Consider our weight example over the three age groups, and let's say we have the following data:
 - Group 1: $n_1 = 26$, $\overline{x}_1 = 151$, $s_1 = 8.9$
 - Group 2: $n_2 = 31$, $\bar{x}_2 = 174$, $s_2 = 11.4$
 - Group 3: $n_3 = 44$, $\overline{x}_3 = 162$, $s_3 = 9.9$
- Question: Are the average weights for the three age groups the same?

- We could answer this question by doing $\binom{3}{2} = 3$ different paired t-tests
 - Group 1 vs. Group 2, Group 1 vs. Group 3, and Group 2 vs. Group 3
- This may seem feasible for three groups, but as the number of groups increases, it quickly becomes infeasible
 - For instance, if we have k=10 groups, we need to do $\binom{10}{2}=45$ paired t-tests

- There is a more important problem, though: if we perform all possible twosample t-tests, we are likely to reach an incorrect conclusion!
- Suppose we do the three hypothesis tests for k=3 groups, each at a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$
- What's the probability of a type I error (rejecting the null hypothesis given that the null hypothesis is true)?

Pr(Reject
$$H_0$$
 given H_0 is true) = $1 - \text{Pr}(\text{Fail to reject in all three tests})$
= $1 - (1 - 0.05)^3$
= $1 - 0.857 = 0.143$

- The probability of rejecting the null hypothesis in at least one of these tests is higher than the α we use for each pairwise test
- In other words, if we know that the null hypothesis is true, then this type I error rate (0.143) is much higher than the desired standard (0.05)
- With one-way ANOVA, we are able to keep the desired significance level α , unlike if we perform multiple t-tests

- Key idea: One-way ANOVA is dependent on estimates of spread or dispersion
- "One-way" indicates that there is a single factor or characteristic that distinguishes the populations from each other
 - In our example, age is the distinguishing factor

One-Way ANOVA: Assumptions

- Three assumptions must hold:
 - Normality: Each group follows a normal distribution
 - Equal variances: Population variances for each group are equal
 - Independence: Observations are not correlated

Sources of Variation

- We assume that there is a common variance σ^2 for the populations
- There are two sources of variation in this ANOVA setup:
 - Within-group variability (s_w^2): Variation of the individual values around their population means
 - All groups are assumed to have the same variability
 - Between-group variability (s_b^2) : Variation of the population means around the grand (overall) mean
- When the variability within the k populations is small relative to the variability among their respective means, this suggests that the population means are indeed different
 - Intuition: tightly clustered and separated from each other

Sources of Variation

• Within groups: Variability of the individuals around their population means

$$s_w^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2 + \dots + (n_k - 1)s_k^2}{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k - k}$$
$$= \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2 + \dots + (n_k - 1)s_k^2}{n - k}$$

• Between groups: Variability of the population means around the grand (overall) mean

$$s_b^2 = \frac{n_1(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x})^2 + n_2(\overline{x}_2 - \overline{x})^2 + \dots + n_k(\overline{x}_k - \overline{x})^2}{k - 1}$$

• The grand mean, \bar{x} , is defined as

$$\overline{x} = \frac{n_1 \overline{x}_1 + n_2 \overline{x}_2 + \dots + n_k \overline{x}_k}{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k} = \frac{n_1 \overline{x}_1 + n_2 \overline{x}_2 + \dots + n_k \overline{x}_k}{n}.$$

- Recall that we are interested in the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k$
- Our proxy question: Do sample means vary around the grand mean *more* than the individual observations around the sample means?
 - I.e., is $s_b^2 > s_w^2$? (Recall that s_w^2 measures within-group variability, s_b^2 measures between-group variability)
- If the answer to this question is "yes", this provides evidence that the population means are different
- How can we compare s_w^2 and s_b^2 ?
 - Thinking back to variances, s_b^2/s_w^2 follows an F distribution

- To test the null hypothesis with a certain significance level α , se use the following test statistic: $F = s_h^2/s_w^2$
- Under the null hypothesis H_0 , both s_b^2 and s_w^2 estimate the true σ^2
- Thus, we expect F to be close to 1
- If a difference exists between population means, $s_b^2 > s_w^2$ and thus F will be larger than 1
 - If $s_b^2 \le s_w^2$, then there is no difference between population means

- Under H_0 , $F = s_b^2/s_w^2$ has an F distribution with k-1 degrees of freedom in the numerator and n-k degrees of freedom in the denominator
- If k = 2, this F-test reduces to a two-sample t-test

- Once F is calculated, we can calculate a p-value, p, based on the F distribution with degrees of freedom df1 and df2
 - Reject H_0 if $p \le \alpha$
- To get p-values in R (recall that we are only interested in the upper tail probability): 1-pf (F, df1, df2)
- Or, we can compare our test statistic to the critical value that cuts of the upper $\alpha \cdot 100\,\%$ of the F distribution with degrees of freedom df1 and df2

- Consider our weight example over the three age groups
- We are interested in comparing the mean weight for three age groups: 18-30 years old, 31-50 years old, and 51+ years old
- At the $\alpha=0.05$ significance level, we want to test H_0 : $\mu_1=\mu_2=\mu_3$ against H_1 : at least one of the age groups has an average weight that is different from at least one of the other age groups

- Recall our sample summary statistics:
 - Group 1: $n_1 = 26$, $\overline{x}_1 = 151$, $s_1 = 8.9$
 - Group 2: $n_2 = 31$, $\bar{x}_2 = 174$, $s_2 = 11.4$
 - Group 3: $n_3 = 44$, $\bar{x}_3 = 162$, $s_3 = 9.9$
- Using this information, we can calculate s_w^2 , s_b^2 , and our F-statistic

- Given $n_1 = 26$, $\overline{x}_1 = 151$, $s_1 = 8.9$, $n_2 = 31$, $\overline{x}_2 = 174$, $s_2 = 11.4$, and $n_3 = 44$, $\overline{x}_3 = 162$, $s_3 = 9.9$
- $s_w^2 =$

• $\bar{\chi} =$

• $s_h^2 =$

- Therefore, our test statistic is $F = s_h^2/s_w^2 =$
- For an F distribution with k-1= and n-k= degrees of freedom, we get a p-value of p=
- Conclusion:

ANOVA Table

Total

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Squares (MS)	F	P-value
Between (treatment)	$SSB = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\overline{x}_i - \overline{x})^2$	<i>k</i> – 1	$s_b^2 = \frac{SSB}{k-1}$	$\frac{s_b^2}{s_w^2}$	p
Within (error)	$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i - 1)s_i^2$	n-k	$s_w^2 = \frac{SSE}{n - k}$		

 $SST = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \overline{x})^2 \qquad n-1$

ANOVA Table for Example

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Squares (MS)	F	P-value
Between (treatment)	15041.754				
Within (error)	10093.51				
Total					

ANOVA

- Our null hypothesis is $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$
- Once ${\cal H}_0$ is rejected, we can conclude that the population means are not all equal
- However, we don't know exactly which means differ!
- We need to conduct additional tests to find where the differences are
- In this case, we are performing multiple comparisons

Multiple Comparisons

- Typically, we will be interested in comparing each pair of means individually
- Recall that in performing the $\binom{k}{2}$ possible two-sample t-tests, we increase our overall probability of committing a type I error
- We correct for this by being more conservative in the individual comparisons
- Make it more difficult to reject each individual comparison so that the overall significance level remains at α
 - We call this the familywise type I error, or $lpha_{FWE}$

Multiple Comparisons

- . Intuition: If we are performing $\binom{k}{2}$ tests, then we can separate α evenly between these tests
 - $\alpha^* = \frac{\alpha}{\binom{k}{2}}$ is the significance level for an individual comparison
- This is called the Bonferroni correction
- For instance, if we want $\alpha=0.05$ significance for k=5 populations, then each pairwise test should have significance level $\alpha^*=0.05/10=0.005$

Multiple Comparisons

- Consider the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j$ that compares populations i and j
- Suppose that we want to test this hypothesis with a significance level of $\alpha^* = \frac{\alpha}{\binom{k}{2}}$

Calculate test statistic
$$t_{ij} = \frac{\overline{x}_i - \overline{x}_j}{\sqrt{s_w^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}\right)}}$$

- This is the test statistic for a two-sample t-test
- But we estimate σ^2 based on all populations, not just populations i and j
- Under null hypothesis H_0 , t_{ij} has a t distribution with df = n-k
- Calculate the p-value, p, based on a t distribution with n-k degrees of freedom
- Reject H_0 if $p \le \alpha^*$

- Return to the weight by age group example
- We found that the population means were not all identical
- Now, we must compare each pair of age groups to see where the differences are

• Total of
$$\binom{k}{2} = \binom{3}{2} = 3$$
 comparisons

• Overall desired significance $\alpha = 0.05$

• $t_{12} =$

• t_{13} =

• t_{23} =

- From previous slide, we have $t_{12} = \ldots$, $t_{13} = \ldots$, and $t_{23} =$
- Calculating other parameters: $\alpha^* =$, df =
- Calculating p-values
 - $p_{12} =$
 - $p_{13} =$
 - $p_{23} =$

- Given these p-values, what conclusions can we draw?
 - Group 1 vs. Group 2:
 - Group 1 vs. Group 3:
 - Group 2 vs. Group 3:

- In this case, all three comparisons were found to be significant
- This does not always have to be the case
- Some populations may be the same whereas others are different
- Conclusions from ANOVA and multiple comparisons may contradict each other!
 - Significant ANOVA and no significant pairwise comparisons: Overly conservative pairwise comparisons test
 - Non-significant ANOVA but significant pairwise comparisons: Generally consider pairwise comparisons result valid

Multiple Comparisons: Other Methods

- Other testing procedures than the Bonferroni procedure exist
 - Often called post-hoc analysis methods
- Bonferroni is traditionally one of the most conservative measures and can suffer from lack of power
- Some others: Tukey, Newman-Keuls, Scheffee, Dunnett, etc.

ANOVA in R

- First, create an ANOVA object using the aov () function
 - Let Y be the continuous variable (e.g., weight) and X be the grouping variable (e.g., age ranges)
 - $modell=aov(Y\sim X)$
- Summarize using the anova() function
 - anova (model1)