

# Chapter 12 Non-parametric Test

Daxiang Na

2022-10-25

## Contents

<b>1 Questions:</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 What is $V$ in the returned result of <code>wilcox.test</code> . . . . .	1
1.2 What is the definition of $T$ in <code>psignrank</code> ? . . . . .	1
<b>2 Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test (also known as Mann-Whitney U test)</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 Questions:

### 1.1 What is $V$ in the returned result of `wilcox.test`

### 1.2 What is the definition of $T$ in `psignrank`?

In the `psignrank` document(<https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/stats/versions/3.6.2/topics/SignRank>), the the Wilcoxon signed rank statistic is "the sum of the ranks of the absolute values  $x[i]$  for which  $x[i]$  is positive". I am wondering if  $T = T^+$  instead of  $T = \min(T^+, T^-)$ ? This appears to be correct when solving the example problem, where  $2*(1 - \text{psignrank}(75.5, n=14)) = 0.135$  but  $2*\text{psignrank}(29.5, n=14) \neq 0.135$

I therefore wonder if  $T = T^+$  should be the definition of `psignrank` in R.

Another question regarding this topic: when testing the two-tailed hypothesis, when should we use  $2*(1 - \text{psignrank}(T, n))$  and  $2*\text{psignrank}(T, n)$ ? i.e. what is the mean for the wilcoxon signed rank distribution? Is it  $n(n+1)/4$  as stated in the document?

## 2 Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test

- Only for paired sample.
- Evaluate the null hypothesis:  $Z_T = (T - \mu_T)/\sigma_T$
- Note:

$$\mu_T = 0$$

$$\sigma_T = \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}}$$

- When  $n$  is large enough ( $n > 12$ ), we get

$$Z_T \sim N(0, 1)$$

- calculate the probability of getting  $Z_T$  when  $\mu = 0$  is true.
- For two-sided test, follow what we do in the sampling distribution:
  - $2^*p$  when  $z < 0$
  - $2^*(1 - p)$  when  $z > 0$
- if  $n > 12$ , you can just apply CLT, the R code is: `wilcox.test(before, after, paired = T, exact = F, correct = F)`. `exact = F` determines if the statistics follow normal distribution (`exact = F`) or exact distribution (`exact = T`).
- If  $n \leq 12$ , we cannot use the normal approximation. In that case, we use `psignrank(T,n)` in R to calculate the exact distribution.
  - R requires  $T = T^+$  for this to work correctly!

## 3 Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test (also known as Mann-Whitney U test)

- nonparametric analog to the two-sample t-test
- get  $W_1$  and  $W_2$
- $W = \min(W_1, W_2)$
- $n_1$  = sample size with the smaller sum of ranks.
- $n_2$  = sample size with the larger sum of ranks.
- 

$$\mu_W = \frac{n_1(n_1 + n_2 + 1)}{2} \text{ and } \sigma_W = \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 + 1)}{12}}$$

- 
- $$z_W = \frac{W - \mu_W}{\sigma_W}$$

- $z_W \sim N(0, 1)$  when  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are large enough ( $n_1, n_2 > 10$ ).
  - in R: `wilcox.test(..., exact = F, correct = F, paired = F, alt = "")`
- When  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are very small (i.e. either is less than or equal to 10), we can use the exact distribution to calculate the p-values. In R: `pwilcox(Wobs, n1, n2)`
  - in this case,  $W_{obs} = W - n_1(n_1 + 1)/2$
  - `wilcox.test` also works when `exact = T`
- correct: correct the data with continuity correction