# Structure of paper reviews

Follow the guidelines in sections 1-4 of "Reading a Computer Science Research Paper" by Philip W. L. Fong" to write your review. Write your reviews using a word-processor, and ensure that they are grammatically and syntactically correct. Your reviews should have the following structure.

Review of the paper "TITLE" by "AUTHORS".

Reviewer: NAME

### I. Comprehension.

Summarize the

- motivation and problem considered,
- innovations/contributions made,
- methods/arguments used or developed, and
- conclusions of the paper.

## II. Critique.

Discuss 2-3 important points of disagreement with the paper. Carefully articulate your point of view, and provide support with appropriate technical/logical arguments and evidence.

- 1<sup>st</sup> point
- 2<sup>nd</sup> point
- 3<sup>rd</sup> point (optional)

### III. Synthesis.

Propose 1-2 directions that the work can be further extended. Provide arguments to support your proposal. [eg motivate the proposal, discuss the significance of the contributions of the extension if successful, outline methods/approaches you would use to materialize your proposal]

- 1<sup>st</sup> proposal
- 2<sup>nd</sup> proposal (optional)

Grading rubric for paper reviews			
Review section and their items	#pages	Weight	Characteristics
I. Comprehension  O Motivation and problem statement 8%  O Contributions 8%  O Methodology/techniques 16%  O Conclusions 8%	2	40%	Concise, informative, accurate, covers key elements, insightful, coherent, logical structure and flow, accessible, and grammar/syntax.
<ul> <li>II. Critique</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> point</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> point</li> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> point (optional)</li> </ul>	1	30%	Concise, accurate, sound (justified/supported), significant, coherent, , logical structure and flow, accessible, and grammar/syntax.
<ul> <li>III. Synthesis</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> proposal</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> proposal (optional)</li> </ul>	1	30%	Concise, informative, insightful, logically structured, innovative, sound, coherent, and grammar/syntax.

# Scoring of items

- Flawless [10 pts],
- Few minor flaws [8-9 pts],
- A major but non-fatal flaw or multiple minor flaws [6-7 pts]
- Multiple major flaws [1-5 pts],
- Helpless [0 pts].