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I.

II.

III.

Comprehension.

Summarize the

* •  motivation and problem considered,
* •  innovations/contributions made,
* •  methods/arguments used or developed, and
* •  conclusions of the paper. Critique.

Discuss 2-3 important points of disagreement with the paper. Carefully articulate your point of view, and provide support with appropriate technical/logical arguments and evidence.

* •  1st point
* •  2nd point
* •  3rd point (optional) Synthesis.

Propose 1-2 directions that the work can be further extended. Provide arguments to support your proposal. [eg motivate the proposal, discuss the significance of the contributions of the extension if successful, outline methods/approaches you would use to materialize your proposal]

* •  1st proposal
* •  2nd proposal (optional)