# Liu Sicen

### Paper mechanics, spelling, grammar, style and related issues

For annotated bibliography part, I do not think it is a good idea to quote the whole reference twice on both annotated bibliography part and reference part, a simple reference number like [1] will be better.

### Readability of proposal including logic, flow, writing style, appropriateness toaudience

No issue

The proposal is well-structured with a logical flow, clear writing style, and appropriate tone for the intended audience.

### Connection to research topic and proposal purpose

No issue

A good connection to software quality. Many related aspects are mentioned like quality models, artefacts and creating software design.

### Contents Missing

No issue

Teaching topics, actionable process and recommendations are explicitly mentioned. Well explanation on annotated bibliography.

# Long Victor

### Paper mechanics, spelling, grammar, style and related issues

No explicit outline for the whole article which looks unstructured

### Readability of proposal including logic, flow, writing style, appropriateness toaudience

No issue

I like the way the author is telling FHIR. The problems and solutions are very clear to readers. And the content is understandable for ordinal reader without any medical software working experience.

### Connection to research topic and proposal purpose

No issue

Many specific terms that we learnt in class are mentioned. The author has connected the advantages of FHIR to axioms of translation and axioms of comprehension.

### Contents Missing

The contents are specific on medical health data. I think a reflection to general software evaluation may be better.

# Pang Yumeng

### Paper mechanics, spelling, grammar, style and related issues

No issue

This paper gives a good example on how a proposal could be structured. The information are very tight and clean.

### Readability of proposal including logic, flow, writing style, appropriateness toaudience

No issue

The logic is very clear since it has a good structure. However, I may not like the way of the author on how to present an unknown idea to readers. Everything was too structured and separated that there is no main story that could connect everything together. Even though, it is still good for reader to understand.

### Connection to research topic and proposal purpose

Although explicitly justified relevancy to course materials, this article shows not much connection to software design evaluation.

### Contents Missing

No issue

All the required contents are included int this paper. The content and structure is perfect.