#### MATH 690: Topics in Probablity Theory

September 29, 2017

# Dimension Reduction

Lecturer: Xiuyuan Chenq Scribes: Dev Dabke and Andrew Cho

# 1 Introduction

The lecture covered

- A wrap up of *Topic 2: Dimension Reduction* by discussing convergence of eignemaps when p(x) is not uniform
- The start of *Topic 3: Clustering*

# 2 Dimension Reduction

Recall the convergence of eigenmap

$$L_{n,\epsilon} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} L_{\epsilon} \xrightarrow{\Sigma \to 0} L$$

$$L_{\epsilon} f \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} L f \qquad \text{for each } f$$

This is a point-wise convergence operator and doesn't necessarily mean uniform convergence. Rather, what we need is a convergence of the spectrum eig  $L_{\Sigma} \to \text{eig } L$ . In essence, we seek  $\sup ||L_{\Sigma}f - Lf|| \to 0$  where  $f \in C^2(M)$ ,  $||f||_2^2 = 1$ , and  $\int f(x)^2 dp(x) = 1$ . Unfortunately, these last two conditions are not always true. [BN03]

#### Definition 2.1: Heat Kernel

Where  $t = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ 

$$L_t = \frac{I_{\alpha} - H_t}{t} + R_t$$
$$H_t f(x) = u(x, t)$$

where the function u has constraints

$$u_t = -\Delta_M u$$
$$u(x,0) = f(x)$$

As a result, we have that the residual  $||R_t||$  can be controlled properly which implies that  $\operatorname{eig} L_t = \operatorname{eig} \left( \frac{I_d - H_t}{t} \right)$  and  $H_t f = e^{-t\Delta_M} f$ 

#### Remark 2.1: Exponential ODE

$$y'(t) = -at \implies y = e^{-at}y(0).$$

Additionally

$$\frac{1 - e^{-t\lambda_k}}{t} \xrightarrow{t \to 0} \lambda_k$$

Anyways, note that

$$H_t f = e^{-t\Delta_M} f$$

such that  $\Delta_M : \{\lambda_k, \psi_k\}_k$  and that  $H_t : \{e^{-t\lambda_k}, \psi_k\}_k$  such that  $k = 1, \dots, d$ .

## Remark 2.2: p(x) Uniformity

When p(x) is not uniform, then

$$L_{n,\epsilon} \to L_{FK}$$

where  $L_{FK}f = \Delta_M f - \nabla u \dot{\nabla} f$ . and that

$$p(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}u(x)}$$
$$u(x) = -2\log p(x)$$

by Fokker-Planck.

We have to perform a "correction" of density by defining a Weight Matrix W such that  $W_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$ .

### Definition 2.2: Affinity Matrix

Let

$$d_i = \sum_j k(x_i, x_j)$$

$$\widetilde{k}(x, y) = \frac{k(x, y)}{\sqrt{d(x)}\sqrt{d(y)}}$$

$$d(x) = \int_M k(x, y)p(y)dy$$

where d is the degree function.

In practice, we cannot take a continuous integral, so instead we compute

$$d_R(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n k(x, x_j) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} d(x)$$

and we let

$$\widetilde{W_{ij}} = \frac{W_{ij}}{\sqrt{d(x)}\sqrt{d(y)}}$$

and so consider the eigenmap from  $\widetilde{W}$  instead of W.

### Theorem 2.1: Convergence of L

Given the matrix  $\widetilde{L}_{rw} = I - \widetilde{D}^{-1}\widetilde{W}$  where

$$\widetilde{D}_{ij} = \sum_{j} \widetilde{W}_{ij}$$

then

$$\widetilde{L}_{n,\epsilon} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \Delta_M$$

### Proof 2.1: Convergence of L, Theorem 2.1

The proof is omitted, but as hint, note that  $\epsilon \to 0$ ,  $d_{\epsilon}(x) \approx p(x) \cdot \text{constant}$ .

Additionally, we can generalize this to a graph Laplacian with any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The corrected kernel  $\widetilde{k}$  above uses  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, we write

$$\widetilde{L}_{\alpha} = \frac{W_{ij}}{d_i^{\alpha} d_j^{\alpha}}$$

Recall that  $k_{\epsilon}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{2\epsilon}}$  and  $d_{\epsilon}(x) = \int_M k_{\epsilon}(x,y) p(y) dy \approx p(x)$ .

# 3 Topic 3: Clustering

We start the discussion of our third topic on clustering by defining what the problem of clustering is.

Problem: given  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , find clusters. These clusters may or may not have labels (supervised vs. unsupervised learning). There are many possible definitions and models of clusters. For example, we will consider two possible cases:

- 1. given data points
- 2. given graph, affinity matrix W is  $n \times n$  where  $W_{ij}$  is the similarity of node i and j

#### 3.1 Case 1: With Data Points

We will consider a better and precise formulation of "clusters" using a scheme of "hard membership."

#### Definition 3.1: Cluster

Given  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , find a partition of the vertices  $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  into disjoint subsets  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \ldots, C_k\}$  such that

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C$$

where "disjoint" means  $C_l \cap C_{l'} = \{\emptyset\} \iff l \neq l'$ .

We say that each  $C_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  cluster.

### Remark 3.1: Soft Membership

We can also consider some idea of "soft membership." In this case, we have some probability profile over each node such that  $\mathbb{P}(\text{node } i \in C_l) = p_{i,l}$  with the constraint that  $\forall i, \sum_{l=1}^k p_{il} = 1$ 

#### Definition 3.2: k-means

We use the following algorithm [Llo82]

- 1. Seeding: Randomly generate "centroids"  $\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k\} = \mu$
- 2. Assignment:  $\forall i$  assign  $x_i$  to the closest centroid in  $\mu$  and this gives a partition  $\mathcal{C}$
- 3. Update of  $\mu$ : for  $l=1,\ldots,k$  we compute an updated  $\mu'_l$  where we let

$$\mu_l' = \frac{1}{|C_l|} \sum_{i \in C_l} x_i$$

and  $|C_l|$  is "the cardinal number of the set  $C_l$ ."

After step 3, we repeat step 2-3 until we reach the stopping condition:  $\|\mu_{\text{NEW}} - \mu_{\text{OLD}}\| < \delta$  for some tolerance level  $\delta$ .

#### Theorem 3.1: Optimality of k-means

The process in Definition 3.2 solves the objective function

$$\underset{\mu,C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{i \in C_l} ||x_i - \mu_l||^2$$

#### Remark 3.2: k-means and k-medians

The squared  $L^2$  norm  $||x_i - \mu_l||_2^2$  gives the formulation of k-means. If using the (unsquared)  $L^1$  norm  $||x_i - \mu_l||_1$ , it leads to the objective function of k-medians. One can also remove the square, that is, using  $||x_i - \mu_l||_2$  instead of  $||x_i - \mu_l||_2^2$ , which is a mixed  $L^2$ - $L^1$  norm.

# References

- [BN03] Mikhail Belkin and Partha Niyogi. Laplacian eigenmaps for dimensionality reduction and data representation. *Neural Comput.*, 15(6):1373–1396, June 2003.
- [Llo82] S. Lloyd. Least squares quantization in pcm. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(2):129–137, March 1982.