

Multipipes: Exploring Disjunctive Classifications in Hyperpipes

[In an exciting manner]^{*}

Aaron Riesbeck
West Virginia University
100 Address Lane
Morgantown, WV 26505
ariesbeck@theriac.org

Adam Brady
West Virginia University
100 Fake St.
Morgantown, WV 26505
adam.m.brady@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper explores classification with disjunctive sets using a modified form of HyperPipes called MultiPipes. Rather than apply HyperPipes to its intended sparse datasets, we find that its application to non-sparse, many-class datasets typically results in several tied classification scores which we then union into a disjunction. This union presents interesting possibilities in its high accuracy in containing the target class. Although we initially cannot predict single classes, we find that these disjunctions often eliminate large portions of possible classes. Essentially we aren't certain what the class is, but we are very certain of what the class is not. The rest of the paper explores two alternative strategies with MultiPipes. The first involves methods of reducing the disjunctive sets to single classifications. The second considers growing the disjunctive sets to optimize the accuracy of containment vs. set size.

Keywords

HyperPipes, disjoint sets, L^AT_EX, multiple classes, indecisive learners

1. INTRODUCTION TO HYPERPIPES

Background on hyperpipes, description of algorithm, benefits, trade-offs, relevant applications (sparse datasets)

1.1 Pseudocode for hyperpipes

Pseudocode!

1.2 The Problem with HyperPipes

On non-sparse datasets you get lots of ties, bra'h.

^{*}A full version of this paper is available as *Author's Guide to Preparing ACM SIG Proceedings Using L^AT_EX2_ε and BibT_EX* at www.acm.org/eaddress.htm

1.3 Patching HyperPipes

Plumbing reference

Explain appending fix

2. NARROWING VS. CLASSIFYING

Why narrow when you can classify?

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Description of results

- incremental learning
- batch learning
- weighted distance
- centroids via overlap
- increasing alpha

3.1 Disjoint Learning

nb vs. multipipes on >1 dataset (incremental) nb vs. multipipes on >1 dataset (batch) (see menzies.us/iccle/?nb chart for dataset scores comparison)

size of sets returned relative to number of total classes

3.2 Breaking the Ties

Description of weighted distance measure

graph of weighted distance classification accuracy

Description of centroid acquisition from overlap

graph of centroid learning results

3.3 Casting a wider net

Description of alpha value

Purpose of alpha value for expanding class set

Results of expanding alpha (graph)

Analysis of growth in enclosure with alpha changes

4. PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS

WE CONCLUDE

4.1 References

Generated by bibtex from your .bib file. Run latex, then bibtex, then latex twice (to resolve references) to create the .bbl file. Insert that .bbl file into the .tex source file and comment out the command `\thebibliography`.