

# Bound on distance for NND

## If we want cross-covariance to be Matern

For the 4\*4 covariance matrix, the determinant first and second principal matrix is always bigger than zero. Now, the determinant of the whole matrix looks like-

$$\begin{aligned} f(d) &= 1 + e^{-2(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} + 4\sigma_{12}^2(e^{-(\phi_{12}+\phi_{11})d} + e^{-(\phi_{12}+\phi_{22})d}) + \sigma_{12}^4 + \sigma_{12}^4 e^{-4\phi_{12}d} \\ &\quad - e^{-2\phi_{11}d} - e^{-2\phi_{22}d} - 2(e^{-2\phi_{12}d} + 1)\sigma_{12}^2 e^{-(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} - 2\sigma_{12}^2 e^{-2\phi_{12}d} - 2\sigma_{12}^2 - 2\sigma_{12}^4 e^{-2\phi_{12}d} \\ &= (1 - \sigma_{12}^2)^2 + 4\sigma_{12}^2(e^{-(\phi_{12}+\phi_{11})d} + e^{-(\phi_{12}+\phi_{22})d}) + (e^{-(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} - \sigma_{12}^2 e^{-2\phi_{12}d})^2 \\ &\quad - e^{-2\phi_{11}d} - e^{-2\phi_{22}d} - 2\sigma_{12}^2 e^{-(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} - 2\sigma_{12}^2 e^{-2\phi_{12}d} - 2\sigma_{12}^4 e^{-2\phi_{12}d} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, you can see,  $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} f(d) = 1 - 2\sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_{12}^4 = (1 - \sigma_{12}^2)^2 > 0$

Now, as,  $0 < \sigma_{12}^2 < 1$ ,  $f(d) > (1 - \sigma_{12}^2)^2 - e^{-2\phi_{11}d} - e^{-2\phi_{22}d} - 2e^{-(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} - 2e^{-2\phi_{12}d} - 2e^{-2\phi_{12}d} = g(d)$ . \ Clearly,  $g(d)$  is strictly increasing function of  $d$  and  $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} g(d) = (1 - \sigma_{12}^2)^2 > 0$ .

For fixed  $\phi_{11}, \phi_{12}, \phi_{22}, \sigma_{12}$ , we can find  $d_0$  such that  $g(d) > 0 \forall d > d_0$ . And, as  $f(d) > g(d)$  always, we get,  $f(d) > 0 \forall d > d_0$ .

Now for practical purposes, if we can't estimate  $\sigma_{12}$  before, then we can assume  $0 < \sigma_{12}^2 < .975$ , say, then we can pick our favourite  $\phi_{12}$ , take  $g(d) = .025^2 - e^{-2\phi_{11}d} - e^{-2\phi_{22}d} - 2e^{-(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} - 2e^{-2\phi_{12}d} - 2e^{-2\phi_{12}d}$ . Observe that  $g(d)$  is now a known function of  $d$  as we have estimated  $\phi_{11}, \phi_{22}$  before and we can run numerical methods to find  $d_0$  now.

Now, for the third principal minor, the determinant looks like -

$$h(d) = 1 + 2\sigma_{12}^2 e^{-(\phi_{12}+\phi_{11})d} - \sigma_{12}^2 - \sigma_{12}^2 e^{-2\phi_{12}d} - e^{-2\phi_{11}d}$$

Now, as,  $0 < \sigma_{12}^2 < 1$ ,  $h(d) > (1 - \sigma_{12}^2) - e^{-2\phi_{12}d} - e^{-2\phi_{11}d} = m(d)$ , again  $m(d)$  is a strictly increasing function convergent to  $(1 - \sigma_{12}^2) > 0$ . Hence, we can find similar way like previous a  $d_1$  such that  $h(d) > 0 \forall d > d_1$ .

So, we take  $\hat{d} = \max(d_0, d_1)$  and we get  $f(d), h(d) > 0 \forall d > \hat{d}$ . And hence,  $\forall d > \hat{d}$ , the matrix is NND.

(Ideally, we should pick  $\phi_{12}$  such that  $d$  is minimum).

## If we don't care about cross-covariance being Matern

Let,  $u$  be the cross-covariance term which was  $e^{-\phi_{12}d}$  previously.

$$f(d) > (1 - \sigma_{12}^2)^2 - e^{-2\phi_{11}d} - e^{-2\phi_{22}d} - 2e^{-(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} - 4u^2 = g(d)$$

Then, for practical purposes we take  $g(d) = .025^2 - e^{-2\phi_{11}d} - e^{-2\phi_{22}d} - 2e^{-(\phi_{11}+\phi_{22})d} - 4u^2$ , then take  $u < (.025/4)$  and get  $d_0$  by finding root of  $g(d)$  i.e. solving  $.025^2 - 4u^2 = (e^{-\phi_{11}d} + e^{-\phi_{22}d})^2$ .

Similarly, take  $m(d) = .025 - u^2 - e^{-2\phi_{11}d}$ , we have already taken  $u < \sqrt{0.025}$ , so, we find  $d_1$  by solving  $.025 - u^2 = e^{-2\phi_{11}d}$ .

Then, we similarly find  $\hat{d}$ , observing a little bit closer, you can see that, we should take  $u = 0$  for the optimum solution of  $d$  here.