DeHacker

Code Security Assessment

UniCrypt

January 4th, 202**3**





Contents

CONTENTS	1
SUMMARY	2
ISSUE CATEGORIES	3
OVERVIEW	4
Project Summary	4
Vulnerability Summary	4
AUDIT SCOPE	5
FINDINGS	6
MEDIUM	7
MPV-01: Variable could be declared as `constant`	7
DESCRIPTION	7
Recommendation	7
Alleviation	7
MPV-02: Missing Emits Events	8
DESCRIPTION	8
Recommendation	8
Alleviation	8
MINOR	8
MPV-03: Lack of Input Validation	9
DESCRIPTION	
RECOMMENDATION	9
Alleviation	9
DISCLAIMER	10
APPENDIX	11
Finding Categories	12
Checksum Calculation Method	
ABOUT	12



Summary

DeHacker's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices. Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow/underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service/logical oversights
- Access control
- Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting



Issue Categories

Every issue in this report was assigned a severity level from the following:

Critical severity issues

A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.

Major severity issues

A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.

Medium severity issues

A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.

Minor severity issues

A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.

Informational

A vulnerability that has informational character but is not affecting any of the code.



Overview

Project Summary

Project Name	Unicrypt		
Platform	BSC		
website	https://linktr.ee/UNCX.Network		
Туре	DeFi		
Language	Solidity		

Vulnerability Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	Pending	Declined	Acknowledged	Partially Resolved	Resolved
Critical	0	0	0	0	0	0
Major	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medium	0	0	0	0	0	1
Minor	1	0	0	0	0	1
Informational	2	0	0	0	0	2
Discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0



Audit scope

ID	File	SHA256 Checksum		
MPV	MigratePancakeV1.sol	3a140023fd74161a14ded5ab8b75634fa39ece66d06eecf73635a916bcdbd0c6		



Findings

ID	Title	Category	Severity	Status
MPV-01	Variable could be declared as constant	Gas Optimizatic	Informational	Resolved
MPV-02	Missing Emits Events	Coding Style	Informational	Resolved
MPV-03	Lack of Input Validation	Gas Optimizatio	Minor	Resolved



Informational

MPV-01 | VARIABLE COULD BE DECLARED AS CONSTANT

Category	Category Severity Location		Status
Gas Optimization	Informational	MigratePancak eV1.sol: 42~43	Resolved

Description

Variables that never changed after assignment, can be declared as constant .V1_LOCKER;V2_LOCKER;ALLOWED_SLIPPAGE

Recommendation

We recommend declaring those variables as constant.

Alleviation

[Unicrypt]: The team addressed the issues in the private repository.



MPV-02 | Missing Emits Events

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Coding Style	Informational	MigratePancak eV1.sol: 55	Resolved

Description

The setSlippage() will modify the variable ALLOWED_SLIPPAGE. The missing event makes it difficult to the parameter changes. An event should be emitted for significant transactions as this.

Recommendation

We recommend emitting an event to log the update of onlyOwner in setSlippage .

Alleviation

[Unicrypt]: The team addressed the issues in the private repository.



MPV-03 | LACK OF INPUT VALIDATION

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Gas Optimization	Minor	MigratePancak eV1.sol: 55	Resolved

Description

In the setSlippage(), the input variable _slippage should sanitized with a given range of 5% or aboundary limit.

Recommendation

We recommend adding the range of the validation for _slippage .

```
function setSlippage(uint256 _slippage) external onlyOwner {
    require(_slippage > 0 && _slippage <= 5, "_slippage should be a value between 0 to

5")

ALLOWED_SLIPPAGE = _slippage;

}
</pre>
```

Alleviation

[Unicrypt]: The team addressed the issues in the private repository. The value of ALLOWED_SLIPPAGE is now required to be greater than 0% and less than or equal to 10% when setting a new value by callingfunction MigratePancakeV1. setSlippage().



Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and noninfringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate.

FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.



Appendix

Finding Categories

Centralization / Privilege

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that act against the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles in combination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

Coding Style

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated bytecode but rather comment on how to make the codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

Volatile Code

Volatile Code findings refer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that may result in a vulnerability.

Logical Issue

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on how block. timestamp works.

Checksum Calculation Method

The "Checksum" field in the "Audit Scope" section is calculated as the SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 2 with digest size of 256 bits) digest of the content of each file hosted in the listed source repository under the specified commit.

The result is hexadecimal encoded and is the same as the output of the Linux "sha256sum" command against the target file.



About

DeHacker is a team of auditors and white hat hackers who perform security audits and assessments. With decades of experience in security and distributed systems, our experts focus on the ins and outs of system security. Our services follow clear and prudent industry standards. Whether it's reviewing the smallest modifications or a new platform, we'll provide an in-depth security survey at every stage of your company's project. We provide comprehensive vulnerability reports and identify structural inefficiencies in smart contract code, combining high-end security research with a real-world attacker mindset to reduce risk and harden code.

BLOCKCHAIINS

Eos



Ethereum



Cosmos



Substrate

TECH STACK



Python





Rust



CONTACTS



https://twitter.com/dehackerio

https://github.com/dehacker/audits_public

https://t.me/dehackerio

https://blog.dehacker.io/

