

Code Security Assessment

ZERONE

Mar19th, 2023





Contents

| SUMMARY ISSUE CATEGORIES | 2 |
|--|-----|
| OVERVIEW | |
| Project Summary | |
| Vulnerability Summary | 4 |
| AUDIT SCOPE | 5 |
| FINDINGS | 6 |
| MAJOR | 7 |
| GLOBAL-01 CENTRALIZATION RELATED RISKS | 7 |
| DESCRIPTION | |
| RECOMMENDATION | 7 |
| MEDIUM | 8 |
| TFC-01 Logical Issue | 8 |
| DESCRIPTION | 8 |
| RECOMMENDATION | 9 |
| INFORMATIONAL | 10 |
| ODS-01 Redundant Code | 1 0 |
| DESCRIPTION | 10 |
| RECOMMENDATION | 10 |
| ODS-02 costly-loop | 11 |
| Description | |
| RECOMMENDATION | 12 |
| DISCLAIMER | 13 |
| APPENDIX | |
| ABOUT | 15 |



Summary

DeHacker's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices.

Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- . Timestamp dependence
- Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow/underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service/logical oversights
- Access control
- Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting



Issue Categories

Every issue in this report was assigned a severity level from the following:

Critical severity issues

A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.

Major severity issues

A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.

Medium severity issues

A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.

Minor severity issues

A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.

Informational

A vulnerability that has informational character but is not affecting any of the code.



Overview

Project Summary

| Project Name | ZERONE |
|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Platform | ARBITRUM |
| website | https://zero-ne.com/#/NewHome |
| Туре | Dex |
| Language | Solidity |

Vulnerability Summary

| Vulnerability Level | Total | Pending | Declined | Acknowledged | Partially Resolved | Resolved |
|------------------------|-------|---------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Critical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Major | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Medium | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Informational | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Discussion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



Audit scope

| ID | File | SHA256 Checksum | |
|-----|-----------------------------|--|--|
| TFC | PerpetualTradeA gent.sol | b2f4eb75079a8bee85da4ac321ffbea7929bf14893da2cd146a40a64160674ef | |
| ODS | SLPPeerpetual.sol | 3f8c6d2cc16e09e24567d9a933931678a2e3897db02fbc57c10135e354117bbc | |



Findings

| ID | Category | Severity | Status |
|-----------|------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| GLOBAL-01 | Centralization Related Risks | Major | Solved |
| TFC-01 | Logical Issue | Medium | Solved |
| ODS-01 | Redundant Code | Informational | Solved |
| ODS-02 | costly-loop | Informational | Solved |



Major

GLOBAL-01 | Centralization Related Risks

| Category | Severity | Location | Status |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|
| Centralization Related Risks | Major | | Solved |

Description

The owner of the PerpetualTradeAgent contract has the following permissions:

function setAddressParam()

The owner of the SLDPerpetual contract has the following permissions: function setParam()

The owner will have the ability to influence the operation results of the protocol.

Recommendation

This finding describes the level of decentralization of the project, and it is recommended to strengthen security and improve the degree of decentralization from the following aspects: It is recommended that privileged addresses use multi-signature wallet addresses. For modification operations that affect protocol operation stability and key business parameters, it is recommended to implement time locks.





Medium

TFC-01 | Logical Issue

| Calegory | Severity | Location | Status |
|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Logical | Medium | | Solved |
| Issue | | | |

Description

Line 636

```
function calDealPNL(
    ContractType _type,
    uint256 _currentPrice,
    uint256 _dealPrice,
   uint256 _amount,
    uint256 _willClose
) public pure returns (uint256 profit, uint256 loss) {
    if (_type == ContractType.LONG) {
        if (_currentPrice >= _dealPrice) {
            profit =
_amount.mul(_willClose).div(_amount).mul(_currentPrice.sub(_dealPrice)).div(PRI
CE_DECIMALS);
            loss = 0;
        } else {
            profit = 0;
            loss =
_amount.mul(_willClose).div(_amount).mul(_dealPrice.sub(_currentPrice)).div(PRI
CE_DECIMALS);
       }
    } else {
        if (_currentPrice <= _dealPrice) {</pre>
            //
                             profit = _amount
            //
                              .mul(PRICE_DECIMALS)
                              .div(_willClose)
            //
                              .mul(_amount)
            //
                              .mul(_dealPrice.sub(_currentPrice))
            //
                              .div(PRICE_DECIMALS)
                              .div(PRICE_DECIMALS);
            profit =
_amount.mul(_willClose).div(_amount).mul(_dealPrice.sub(_currentPrice)).div(PRI
CE_DECIMALS);
```



```
loss = 0;
        } else {
            profit = 0;
            //
                              loss = _amount
            //
                              .mul(PRICE_DECIMALS)
            //
                              .div(_willClose)
            //
                              .mul(_amount)
            //
                              .mul(_currentPrice.sub(_dealPrice))
                              .div(PRICE_DECIMALS)
            //
            //
                              .div(PRICE_DECIMALS);
            loss =
_amount.mul(_willClose).div(_amount).mul(_currentPrice.sub(_dealPrice)).div(PRI
CE_DECIMALS);
        }
    }
   require(profit == 0 || loss == 0, "PNL error");
}
```

Calculation of `profit` and `loss`: `_amount.mul(_willClose).div(_amount)`. There is redundancy in the mathematical operation here. Or is there a logical issue?

Recommendation

Optimize the calculation formula and pay attention to the order of multiplication and division operations to prevent loss of precision.



Informational

ODS-01 | Informational Informational

| Category | Severity | Location | Status |
|-------------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| Redundant Code | Informational | | Solved |

Description

Line 363

```
function updateUserAsset(address _taker, int256 amount, int256 available,
uint256 margin, uint256 locked) public onlyKeeper {
   AccountInfo storage account = userAccount[_taker];
   if (amount >= 0) {
      account.depositAmount = uint256(amount);
   }
   if (available >= 0) {
      account.availableAmount = uint256(available);
   }
   if (margin >= 0) {
      account.marginAmount = uint256(margin);
   }
   if (locked >= 0) {
      account.orderLocked = uint256(locked);
   }
}
```

`margin`, `locked` are of type `uint256` and are always greater than 0, so checking if they are greater than 0 is redundant.

Recommendation

Remove the conditional statement here.



ODS-02 | costly-loop

| Całegory | Severity | Location | Status |
|-------------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| Redundant Code | Informational | | Solved |

Description

Line 313

Line 68

```
modifier onlyKeeper() {
    require(keeperMap[msg.sender] || msg.sender == localCaller, "caller is not
perpetual keeper");
    _;
    localCaller = address(0x0);
}
```

Line 346

```
function cancelOrders(uint256[] memory _orderIDs) public {
   for (uint256 i = 0; i < _orderIDs.length; i++) {
      localCaller = msg.sender;
      cancelOrder(_orderIDs[i], msg.sender, false);
   }
}</pre>
```



```
function cancelOrder(uint256 _orderID, address payable _taker, bool _status)
public onlyKeeper {
    uint256 locked = orderBook.cancelOrder(_orderID, _taker, _status);
    if (locked > 0) {
        AccountInfo storage account = userAccount[_taker];
        account.availableAmount = account.availableAmount.add(locked);
        account.orderLocked = account.orderLocked.sub(locked);
    }
}
```

Setting localCaller multiple times in a loop results in unnecessary gas waste.

Recommendation

Optimized the setting method for localCaller to reduce unnecessary gas waste.



Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate.

FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.



Appendix

Finding Categories

Centralization / Privilege

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that act against the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles in combination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

Coding Style

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated bytecode but rather comment on how to make the codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

Volatile Code

Volatile Code findings refer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that may result in a vulnerability.

Logical Issue

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on how block. timestamp works.

Checksum Calculation Method

The "Checksum" field in the "Audit Scope" section is calculated as the SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 2 with digest size of 256 bits) digest of the content of each file hosted in the listed source repository under the specified commit.

The result is hexadecimal encoded and is the same as the output of the Linux "sha256sum" command against the target file.



About

DeHacker is a team of auditors and white hat hackers who perform security audits and assessments. With decades of experience in security and distributed systems, our experts focus on the ins and outs of system security. Our services follow clear and prudent industry standards. Whether it's reviewing the smallest modifications or a new platform, we'll provide an in-depth security survey at every stage of your company's project. We provide comprehensive vulnerability reports and identify structural inefficiencies in smart contract code, combining high-end security research with a real-world attacker mindset to reduce risk and harden code.

BLOCKCHAIINS



Ethereum



Cosmos



Substrate



Python



Solidity



Rust

TECH STACK



C++

CONTACTS

https://dehacker.io

https://twitter.com/dehackerio

https://github.com/dehacker/audits_public

https://t.me/dehackerio

https://blog.dehacker.io/

