

Code Security Assessment

Bad Idea Al

Dec 2 2 n d , 2023





# Contents

CONTENTS	1
SUMMARY	2
ISSUE CATEGORIES	3
OVERVIEW	4
Project Summary	4
VULNERABILITY SUMMARY	4
AUDIT SCOPE	5
FINDINGS	6
MAJOR	7
BAD-01 INITIAL TOKEN DISTRIBUTION	7
DESCRIPTION	7
RECOMMENDATION	7
INFORMATIONAL	8
BAD-02 BIG NUMBERS COULD BE WRITTEN IN A CLEARER WAY	8
Description	8
RECOMMENDATION	8
DISCLAIMER	9
APPENDIX	10
ΔROUT.	11



# Summary

DeHacker's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices.

Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- . Timestamp dependence
- . Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow/underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service/logical oversights
- Access control
- . Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting



# **Issue Categories**

Every issue in this report was assigned a severity level from the following:

### Critical severity issues

A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.

### Major severity issues

A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.

### Medium severity issues

A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.

### Minor severity issues

A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.

#### Informational

A vulnerability that has informational character but is not affecting any of the code.



# Overview

## Project Summary

Project Name	Bad Idea Al	
Platform	Ethereum	
Website	https://www.badidea.ai/	
Туре	Al	
Language	Solidity	

## Vulnerability Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	Pending	Mitigated	Acknowledged	Partially Resolved	Resolved
Critical	0	0	0	0	0	0
Major	1	0	1	0	0	0
Medium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minor	0	0	0	0	0	0
Informational	1	0	0	1	0	0
Discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0



## Audit scope

ID	File	SHA256 Checksum
BAD	contracts/badai.sol	2c2b61b79f5ad90c311ef6196cb73762b b83352b8afad49c023e5df8033caf15



# **Findings**

ID	Category	Severity	Status	
BAD-01	Centralization	Major	Mitigated	
BAD-02	Coding Style	Informational	Acknowledged	



## INFORMATIONAL

#### **BAD-01 INITIAL TOKEN DISTRIBUTION**

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Centralization	Major	contracts/badai .sol: 9	Mitigated

### Description

All of the BAD tokens are sent to the contract deployer or one or several externally-owned account (EOA) addresses. This is a centralization risk because the deployer or the owner(s) of the EOAs can distribute tokens without obtaining the consensusof the community. Any compromise to these addresses may allow a hacker to steal and sell tokens on the market, resultingin severe damage to the project.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended that the team be transparent regarding the initial token distribution process. The token distribution planshould be published in a public location that the community can access. The team should make efforts to restrict access tothe private keys of the deployer account or EOAs. A multi-signature (, ) wallet can be used to prevent a single point offailure due to a private key compromise. Additionally, the team can lock up a portion of tokens, release them with a vestingschedule for long-term success, and deanonymize the project team with a third-party KYC provider to create greateraccountability.



# **MAJOR**

### BAD-02 BIG NUMBERS COULD BE WRITTEN IN A CLEARER WAY

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Coding Style	Informational	contracts/badai .sol: 9	Acknowledged

### Description

The big numbers linked are hard to read, for example 8310410598973273110117 makes it hard to identify the order ofmagnitude of the number, decreasing the readability of the code.

### Recommendation

We recommend rewriting all the big numbers in a clearer way, for example the previous number could be rewritten as 8\_310\_410\_598\_973\_273\_110\_117.



# **Disclaimer**

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate.

FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.



# **Appendix**

### **Finding Categories**

#### **Centralization / Privilege**

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that act against the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles in combination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

#### **Coding Style**

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated bytecode but rather comment on how to make the codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

#### **Volatile Code**

Volatile Code findings refer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that may result in a vulnerability.

#### **Logical Issue**

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on how block. timestamp works.

#### Checksum Calculation Method

The "Checksum" field in the "Audit Scope" section is calculated as the SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 2 with digest size of 256 bits) digest of the content of each file hosted in the listed source repository under the specified commit.

The result is hexadecimal encoded and is the same as the output of the Linux "sha256sum" command against the target file.



# **About**

DeHacker is a team of auditors and white hat hackers who perform security audits and assessments. With decades of experience in security and distributed systems, our experts focus on the ins and outs of system security. Our services follow clear and prudent industry standards. Whether it's reviewing the smallest modifications or a new platform, we'll provide an in-depth security survey at every stage of your company's project. We provide comprehensive vulnerability reports and identify structural inefficiencies in smart contract code, combining high-end security research with a real-world attacker mindset to reduce risk and harden code.

#### **BLOCKCHAIINS**

### **♦**

Ethereum



Cosmos

Substrate



Python

**TECH STACK** 



Solidity



Rust



C++

#### **CONTACTS**

https://dehacker.io

https://twitter.com/dehackerio

https://github.com/dehacker/audits\_public

https://t.me/dehackerio

https://blog.dehacker.io/

