

The logo for DeHacker, featuring a green square icon with a white 'D' and the word 'eHacker' in a green, sans-serif font.

**DeHacker**

Code Security Assessment

# BOUNDLESS NETWORK TOKEN

July 31th, 2024



# Contents

CONTENTS.....	1
SUMMARY.....	2
ISSUE CATEGORIES .....	3
OVERVIEW.....	4
PROJECT SUMMARY.....	4
VULNERABILITY SUMMARY .....	4
AUDIT SCOPE.....	5
FINDINGS.....	6
MAJOR.....	7
<b>BTB-02   Initial Token Distribution</b> .....	<b>7</b>
DESCRIPTION.....	7
RECOMMENDATION .....	7
MAJOR.....	8
<b>BTB-03   Centralization Risks</b> .....	<b>8</b>
DESCRIPTION .....	8
RECOMMENDATION .....	9
MAJOR.....	11
<b>BTB-04   Pausing Centralization Risks</b> .....	<b>11</b>
DESCRIPTION.....	11
RECOMMENDATION .....	12
MAJOR.....	14
<b>BTB-07   Withdrawal Centralization Risk</b> .....	<b>14</b>
DESCRIPTION.....	14
RECOMMENDATION .....	15
DISCLAIMER .....	17
APPENDIX.....	18
ABOUT .....	19



## Summary

DeHacker's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices. Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow/underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service/logical oversights
- Access control
- Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting



## Issue Categories

Every issue in this report was assigned a severity level from the following:

### **Critical severity issues**

A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.

### **Major severity issues**

A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.

### **Medium severity issues**

A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.

### **Minor severity issues**

A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.

### **Informational**

A vulnerability that has informational character but is not affecting any of the code.



# Overview

## Project Summary

<b>Project Name</b>	BOUNDLESS NETWORK TOKEN
<b>Platform</b>	Ethereum
<b>Website</b>	burritowallet.com/
<b>Type</b>	DeFi
<b>Language</b>	Solidity
<b>Codebase</b>	<a href="https://github.com/rotonda1/bun-token/tree/bc129f42c0be8181a8d0ad76e3642744a79af629">https://github.com/rotonda1/bun-token/tree/bc129f42c0be8181a8d0ad76e3642744a79af629</a>

## Vulnerability Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	Mitigated	Declined	Acknowledged	Partially Resolved	Resolved
Critical	0	0	0	0	0	0
Major	4	4	0	0	0	0
Medium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minor	0	0	0	0	0	0
Informational	0	0	0	0	0	0
Discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0



## Audit scope

---

ID	File	SHA256 Checksum
BTB	rotonda1/bun-token	d46cd5c47bc78f61d57aa30e2b54fc43020bd421d7df8400259c6b170d9d63ef
BTU	Rotonda1/bun-token	b8d0db5c27e15f87afb4a52d85b7c46e61b2e95736f1c9adbaa4091609127b93



## Findings

ID	Issue	Severity	Status
BTB-02	Initial Token Distribution	Major	Mitigated
BTB-03	Centralization Risks	Major	Mitigated
BTB-04	Pausing Centralization Risks	Major	Mitigated
BTB-07	Withdrawal Centralization Risk	Major	Mitigated



# MAJOR

## BTB-02 | Initial Token Distribution

Issue	Severity	Location	Status
Centralization	Major	contracts/BunToken.sol (bc129f42c0be8181a8d0ad76e3642744a79af629): 86	Mitigated

### Description

All of the **BUN** tokens are sent to the contract deployer. This is a centralization risk because the deployer can distribute tokens without obtaining the consensus of the community. Any compromise to these addresses may allow a hacker to steal and sell tokens on the market, resulting in severe damage to the project.

### Recommendation

It is recommended that the team be transparent regarding the initial token distribution process. The token distribution plan should be published in a public location that the community can access. The team should make efforts to restrict access to the private keys of the deployer account or EOAs. A multi-signature (2/3, 3/5) wallet can be used to prevent a single point of failure due to a private key compromise. Additionally, the team can lock up a portion of tokens, release them with a vesting schedule for long-term success, and de-anonymize the project team with a third-party KYC provider to create greater accountability.





# MAJOR

## BTB-03 | Centralization Risks

Issue	Severity	Location	Status
Centralization	Major	contracts/BunToken.sol (bc129f42c0be8181a8d0ad76e3642744a79af629): 51, 89, 94, 98, 102, 148, 160, 166, 175, 179	Mitigated

### Description

In the contract `BunToken` the role `DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE` has authority over the functions. Any compromise to the `DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE` account may allow the hacker to take advantage of this authority to grant the `DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE` , `PAUSER_ROLE` , `SYSTEM_ROLE` role.

In the contract `BunToken` the role `PAUSER_ROLE` has authority over the functions. Any compromise to the `PAUSER_ROLE` account may allow the hacker to take advantage of this authority to pause the contract.

In the contract `BunToken` the role `SYSTEM_ROLE` has authority over the functions. Any compromise to the `SYSTEM_ROLE` account may allow the hacker to take advantage this authority lock tokens and revoke the lock.

In the contract `BunToken` the role `_owner` has authority over the functions. Any compromise to the `_owner` account may allow the hacker to take advantage of this authority to transfer ownership.

In the contract `LockedToken` the role `donor` has authority over the functions. Any compromise to the `donor` account may allow the hacker to take advantage of this authority to unlock the tokens and withdraw tokens.



## Description

---

In the contract `LockedToken` the role `system` has authority over the functions shown in the diagram below. Any compromise to the `system` account may allow the hacker to take advantage of this authority to revoke the lock and transfer tokens to the donor.

## Recommendation

---

The risk describes the current project design and potentially makes iterations to improve in the security operation and level of decentralization, which in most cases cannot be resolved entirely at the present stage. We advise the client to carefully manage the privileged account's private key to avoid any potential risks of being hacked. In general, we strongly recommend centralized privileges or roles in the protocol be improved via a decentralized mechanism or smart-contract-based accounts with enhanced security practices, e.g., multisignature wallets. Indicatively, here are some feasible suggestions that would also mitigate the potential risk at a different level in terms of short-term, long-term and permanent:

### Short Term:

Timelock and Multi sign ( $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{3}{5}$ ) combination mitigate by delaying the sensitive operation and avoiding a single point of key management failure.

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, e.g., 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;  
AND
- Assignment of privileged roles to multi-signature wallets to prevent a single point of failure due to the private key compromised;  
AND
- A medium/blog link for sharing the timelock contract and multi-signers addresses information with the public audience.



## Recommendation

---

### **Long Term:**

Timelock and DAO, the combination, mitigate by applying decentralization and transparency.

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, e.g., 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;  
AND
- Introduction of a DAO/governance/voting module to increase transparency and user involvement.  
AND
- A medium/blog link for sharing the timelock contract, multi-signers addresses, and DAO information with the public audience.

### **Permanent:**

Renouncing the ownership or removing the function can be considered fully resolved.

- Renounce the ownership and never claim back the privileged roles.  
OR
- Remove the risky functionality.



# MAJOR

## BTB-04 | Pausing Centralization Risks

Issue	Severity	Location	Status
Centralization	Major	contracts/BunToken.sol (bc129f42c0be8181a8d0ad76e36427 44a79af629): 98, 102	Mitigated

### Description

In the contract `BunToken`, the `PAUSER_ROLE` has the authority to update the status of the `_paused` and further pause/resume the functionality of the token transfers.

```
98 function pause() public onlyRole(PAUSER_ROLE) {
99     _pause();
100 }
101
102 function unpause() public onlyRole(PAUSER_ROLE) {
103     _unpause();
104 }
```

Any compromise to the private key of the `PAUSER_ROLE` may allow hackers to take advantage of this authority and allow/prevent user access to token transfer functionalities



## Recommendation

---

The risk describes the current project design and potentially makes iterations to improve in the security operation and level of decentralization, which in most cases cannot be resolved entirely at the present stage. We advise the client to carefully manage the privileged account's private key to avoid any potential risks of being hacked. In general, we strongly recommend centralized privileges or roles in the protocol be improved via a decentralized mechanism or smart-contract-based accounts with enhanced security practices, e.g., multi-signature wallets.

Indicatively, here are some feasible suggestions that would also mitigate the potential risk at a different level in terms of short-term, long-term and permanent:

### Short Term:

Timelock and Multi sign ( $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{3}{5}$ ) combination mitigate by delaying the sensitive operation and avoiding a single point of key management failure.

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, e.g., 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;  
AND
- Assignment of privileged roles to multi-signature wallets to prevent a single point of failure due to the private key compromised;  
AND
- A medium/blog link for sharing the timelock contract and multi-signers addresses information with the public audience.

### Long Term:

Timelock and DAO, the combination, mitigate by applying decentralization and transparency.

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, e.g., 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;  
AND
- Introduction of a DAO/governance/voting module to increase transparency and user involvement;  
AND
- A medium/blog link for sharing the timelock contract, multi-signers addresses, and DAO information with the public audience.



## Recommendation

---

Permanent:

Renouncing the ownership or removing the function can be considered fully resolved.

- Renounce the ownership and never claim back the privileged roles;
- OR
- Remove the risky functionality.



# MAJOR

## BTB-07 | Withdrawal Centralization Risk

Issue	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue, Centralization	Major	contracts/BunToken.sol (bc129f42c0be8181a8d0ad76e3642744a79af629): 51, 160	Mitigated

### Description

In the contract `LockedToken`, the `donor` or the `system` role has the authority to withdraw the beneficiary's locked tokens from the contract.

```
51 function revoke() public {
52     require(revocable, "L: not revocable");
53     require((msg.sender == donor) || (msg.sender == system),
54         "L: no permission");
55     uint256 amount = _token.balanceOf(address(this));
56     require(amount > 0, "L: no tokens");
57
58     _token.safeTransfer(donor, amount);
59     emit Revoke(donor, amount);
60 }
```

```
160 function revokeLockedToken(LockedToken _lockToken) public onlyRole(
161     SYSTEM_ROLE) {
162     _lockToken.revoke();
163 }
```

Any compromise to the account may allow a hacker to take advantage of this authority and withdraw the beneficiary's locked tokens.



## Recommendation

---

The risk describes the current project design and potentially makes iterations to improve in the security operation and level of decentralization, which in most cases cannot be resolved entirely at the present stage. We advise the client to carefully manage the privileged account's private key to avoid any potential risks of being hacked. In general, we strongly recommend centralized privileges or roles in the protocol be improved via a decentralized mechanism or smart-contract-based accounts with enhanced security practices, e.g., multisignature wallets. Indicatively, here are some feasible suggestions that would also mitigate the potential risk at a different level in terms of short-term, long-term and permanent:

### Short Term:

Timelock and Multi sign ( $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{3}{5}$ ) combination mitigate by delaying the sensitive operation and avoiding a single point of key management failure.

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, e.g., 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;  
AND
- Assignment of privileged roles to multi-signature wallets to prevent a single point of failure due to the private key compromised;  
AND
- A medium/blog link for sharing the timelock contract and multi-signers addresses information with the public audience.

### Long Term:

Timelock and DAO, the combination, mitigate by applying decentralization and transparency.

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, e.g., 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;  
AND
- Introduction of a DAO/governance/voting module to increase transparency and user involvement.  
AND
- A medium/blog link for sharing the timelock contract, multi-signers addresses, and DAO information with the public audience.





## Recommendation

---

Permanent:

Renouncing the ownership or removing the function can be considered fully resolved.

- Renounce the ownership and never claim back the privileged roles;
- OR
- Remove the risky functionality.



## Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate.

FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.



# Appendix

## Finding Categories

---

### **Centralization / Privilege**

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that act against the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles in combination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

### **Coding Style**

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated bytecode but rather comment on how to make the codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

### **Volatile Code**

Volatile Code findings refer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that may result in a vulnerability.

### **Logical Issue**

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on how block. timestamp works.

## Checksum Calculation Method

---

The "Checksum" field in the "Audit Scope" section is calculated as the SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 2 with digest size of 256 bits) digest of the content of each file hosted in the listed source repository under the specified commit.

The result is hexadecimal encoded and is the same as the output of the Linux "sha256sum" command against the target file.



## About

DeHacker is a team of auditors and white hat hackers who perform security audits and assessments. With decades of experience in security and distributed systems, our experts focus on the ins and outs of system security. Our services follow clear and prudent industry standards. Whether it's reviewing the smallest modifications or a new platform, we'll provide an in-depth security survey at every stage of your company's project. We provide comprehensive vulnerability reports and identify structural inefficiencies in smart contract code, combining high-end security research with a real-world attacker mindset to reduce risk and harden code.

### BLOCKCHAINS



Ethereum



Cosmos



Eos



Substrate

### TECH STACK



Python



Solidity



Rust



C++

### CONTACTS

<https://dehacker.io><https://twitter.com/dehackerio>[https://github.com/dehacker/audits\\_public](https://github.com/dehacker/audits_public)<https://t.me/dehackerio><https://blog.dehacker.io/>

The image features a dark background with a series of concentric circles in a light green color, centered around the text. The text "DeHacker" is written in a bold, sans-serif font, with the "De" in green and "Hacker" in yellow. The overall aesthetic is futuristic and tech-oriented.

**DeHacker**

July 31th 2024