

Code Security Assessment

Bifrost

Dec 12nd, 2023





Contents

CONTENTS	
SUMMARY	2
ISSUE CATEGORIES	3
OVERVIEW	
Project Summary	
Vulnerability Summary	4
AUDIT SCOPE	5
FINDINGS	7
MEDIUM	7
BCK-01 No AccountNonce Update	7
DESCRIPTION	
RECOMMENDATION	7
INFORMATIONAL	8
BCK-02 Unknown Implementations	8
DESCRIPTION	
RECOMMENDATION	
INFORMATIONAL	 9
BCK-03 Naming Inconsistency	9
DESCRIPTION	
RECOMMENDATION	9
DISCLAIMER	
APPENDIX	
AROUT	10



Summary

DeHacker's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices.

Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- . Timestamp dependence
- Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow/underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service/logical oversights
- Access control
- . Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting



Issue Categories

Every issue in this report was assigned a severity level from the following:

Critical severity issues

A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.

Major severity issues

A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.

Medium severity issues

A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.

Minor severity issues

A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.

Informational

A vulnerability that has informational character but is not affecting any of the code.



Overview

Project Summary

Project Name	Bifrost		
Platform	Substrate		
Website	https://www.bifi.finance/en		
Туре	DeFi		
Language	Rust		

Vulnerability Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	Pending	Declined	Acknowledged	Partially Resolved	Resolved
Critical	0	0	0	0	0	0
Major	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medium	1	0	0	1	0	0
Minor	0	0	0	0	0	0
Informational	2	0	0	2	0	О
Discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0



Audit scope

ID	File	SHA256 Checksum		
		118d265722f808ddecc34de50590e78f9		
BCP	lib.rs	937487a6c7da7c3a811fc5dadcacf5a		



Findings

ID	Category	Severity	Status
BCK-01	Logical Issue	Medium	Acknowledged
BCK-02	Volatile Code	Informational	Acknowledged
BCK-03	Logical Issue	Informational	Acknowledged



MEDIUM

BCK-O1 | No AccountNonce Update

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	Medium	lib.rs: 328	Acknowledged

Description

The specification for the function set_balance as described in the comments requires that if the Existential Deposit condition is not met (i.e the net balance for the account should be at least as muchas the existential_deposit value), the balance is not updated and the Account Nonce is changed. The function code ensures that balance is not updated, but fails to update the account nonce in case wipeout (the boolean variable evaluating the Existential Deposit condition) holds.

Recommendation

We advise the team to either revise the function specification to not update account nonce (which is notpreferred) or update the function code to modify account nonce when wipeout holds.



INFORMATIONAL

BCK-02 | Unknown Implementations

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Volatile Code	Informational	lib.rs: 317	Acknowledged

Description

Function set_balance , depends on proper implementation of destruction/drop of Imbalance trait(PositiveImbalance , NegativeImbalance), so extra care has to be taken with this part if droppingImbalance brings back right totals.

Recommendation

We understand that the business logic of this protocol requires interaction with these functions. Weencourage the team to constantly monitor the statuses of 3rd parties to mitigate the side effects whenunexpected activities are observed.



INFORMATIONAL

BCK-03 | Naming Inconsistency

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	Informational	lib.rs: 419	Acknowledged

Description

The comments for the specification of the transfer_all() function mention a variable transferable $_$ balance , which is expected to store the value of the user's transferable balance. However, the code refers to this variable as the reducible_balance .

Recommendation

We advise the team to update the variable name in the comments or the code to ensure consistency.



Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate.

FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.



Appendix

Finding Categories

Centralization / Privilege

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that act against the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles in combination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

Coding Style

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated bytecode but rather comment on how to make the codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

Volatile Code

Volatile Code findings refer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that may result in a vulnerability.

Logical Issue

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on how block. timestamp works.

Checksum Calculation Method

The "Checksum" field in the "Audit Scope" section is calculated as the SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 2 with digest size of 256 bits) digest of the content of each file hosted in the listed source repository under the specified commit.

The result is hexadecimal encoded and is the same as the output of the Linux "sha256sum" command against the target file.



About

DeHacker is a team of auditors and white hat hackers who perform security audits and assessments. With decades of experience in security and distributed systems, our experts focus on the ins and outs of system security. Our services follow clear and prudent industry standards. Whether it's reviewing the smallest modifications or a new platform, we'll provide an in-depth security survey at every stage of your company's project. We provide comprehensive vulnerability reports and identify structural inefficiencies in smart contract code, combining high-end security research with a real-world attacker mindset to reduce risk and harden code.

BLOCKCHAIINS



Ethereum



Cosmos



Substrate





Python



Solidity



Rust



CONTACTS

https://dehacker.io

https://twitter.com/dehackerio

https://github.com/dehacker/audits_public

https://t.me/dehackerio

https://blog.dehacker.io/

