Iris: Higher-Order Concurrent Separation Logic

Lecture 1: Introduction and Operational Semantics of $\lambda_{\rm ref,conc}$

Lars Birkedal

Aarhus University, Denmark

November 10, 2017

Overview

Today:

- ► Course Introduction
- lacktriangle Operational Semantics of $\lambda_{
 m ref,conc}$

Introduction: goals of this course

- ► Formal verification of programs written in realistic programming languages
 - verification can mean many things, depending on which properties we try to verify
 - the properties we focus on include full functional correctness, so properties are rich / deep
- ▶ We focus on techniques that scale to concurrent higher-order imperative programs
 - ▶ important in practise
 - hard to reason about, especially modularly

Applications

- Verification of challenging concurrent libraries whose correctness is critical (interactively, in the Coq proof assisistant)
- ▶ Foundation for semi-automated tools, such as Caper
- Framework for expressing and proving invariants captured by type systems.
 - ▶ ML types, runST, type-and-effect systems, Rust, . . .

Projects

► After this course, you can do projects related to above applications, *e.g.*, using our Coq implementation of Iris.

Iris

- ► A framework for higher-order concurrent separation logic
- Applicable to many different programming languages (see http://iris-project.org for examples)
- In this course: we fix a particular higher-order concurrent imperative programming language, called $\lambda_{\rm ref,conc}$.
- ▶ Now: syntax and operational semantics of $\lambda_{\rm ref,conc}$.

Syntax, I

```
x, y, f \in Var
                \ell \in Loc
               \odot ::= + | - | * | = | < | · · ·
Val
           v ::= () \mid \mathsf{true} \mid \mathsf{false} \mid n \mid \ell \mid (v, v) \mid \mathsf{inj}_1 v \mid \mathsf{inj}_2 v \mid \mathsf{rec} f(x) = e
         e ::= x \mid n \mid e \otimes e \mid () \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e \mid \ell
Exp
                              (e,e) \mid \pi_1 e \mid \pi_2 e \mid \inf_1 e \mid \inf_2 e
                               match e with inj, x \Rightarrow e \mid inj_2 y \Rightarrow e end
                             |\operatorname{ref}(e)| ! e | e \leftarrow e | \operatorname{cas}(e, e, e) | \operatorname{fork} \{e\}
```

Syntax, II

Pure reduction

$$v \odot v' \overset{\mathrm{pure}}{\leadsto} v''$$
 if $v'' = v \odot v'$ if true then e_1 else $e_2 \overset{\mathrm{pure}}{\leadsto} e_1$ if false then e_1 else $e_2 \overset{\mathrm{pure}}{\leadsto} e_2$ $\pi_i \left(v_1, v_2 \right) \overset{\mathrm{pure}}{\leadsto} v_i$ match $\mathrm{inj}_i \ v \ \mathrm{with} \ \mathrm{inj}_1 \ x_1 \Rightarrow e_1 \ | \ \mathrm{inj}_2 \ x_2 \Rightarrow e_2 \ \mathrm{end} \overset{\mathrm{pure}}{\leadsto} e_i [v/x_i]$ $(\mathrm{rec} \ f(x) = e) \ v \overset{\mathrm{pure}}{\leadsto} e[(\mathrm{rec} \ f(x) = e)/f, v/x]$

Per-thread one-step reduction

$$(h,e) \leadsto (h,e')$$
 if $e \stackrel{\mathrm{pure}}{\leadsto} e'$ $(h,\mathrm{ref}(v)) \leadsto (h[\ell \mapsto v],\ell)$ if $\ell \not\in \mathrm{dom}(h)$ $(h,!\,\ell) \leadsto (h,h(\ell))$ if $\ell \in \mathrm{dom}(h)$ $(h,\ell \leftarrow v) \leadsto (h[\ell \mapsto v],())$ if $\ell \in \mathrm{dom}(h)$ $(h,\mathrm{cas}(\ell,v_1,v_2)) \leadsto (h[\ell \mapsto v_2],\mathrm{true})$ if $h(\ell) = v_1$ $(h,\mathrm{cas}(\ell,v_1,v_2)) \leadsto (h,\mathrm{false})$ if $h(\ell) \neq v_1$

Configuation reduction

$$\frac{(h,e) \rightsquigarrow (h',e')}{(h,\mathcal{E}[i \mapsto E[e]]) \rightarrow (h',\mathcal{E}[i \mapsto E[e']])}$$
$$\frac{j \notin \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}) \cup \{i\}}{(h,\mathcal{E}[i \mapsto E[\text{fork } \{e\}]]) \rightarrow (h,\mathcal{E}[i \mapsto E[()][j \mapsto e]])}$$