Iris: Higher-Order Concurrent Separation Logic

Lecture 12: The Authoritative Resource Algebra: Concurrent Counter Modules

Lars Birkedal

Aarhus University, Denmark

November 30, 2017

Overview

Earlier:

- ▶ Operational Semantics of $\lambda_{\rm ref,conc}$
 - e, $(h,e) \leadsto (h,e')$, and $(h,\mathcal{E}) \to (h',\mathcal{E}')$
- Basic Logic of Resources
 - $I \hookrightarrow V, P * Q, P \twoheadrightarrow Q, \Gamma \mid P \vdash Q$
- Basic Separation Logic
 - ▶ {*P*} *e* {*v*.*Q*} : Prop, isList *I xs*, ADTs, foldr
- Later (▷) and Persistent (□) Modalities.
- Concurrency Intro, Invariants and Ghost State
- CAS and Spin Locks.

Today:

- Proof patterns for concurrency
- ► Key Points:
 - ► Authoritative Resource Algebra.
 - ▶ Fractions to track concurrent ownership.

A Recurring Specification and Proof Pattern

- Wish to consider situation where several threads operate on shared state.
- ► Each thread has a *partial view* or *fragmental view* of the shared state.
- ▶ There is an invariant governing the shared state.
- ► The invariant keeps track of what the actual state is, hence it tracks the *authoritative view* of the shared state.

Example: Counter Module

- Counter module with three methods:
 - newCounter for creating a fresh counter,
 - incr for increasing the value of the counter,
 - read for reading the current value of the counter.
- Abstract predicate is Counter (v, n): v is a counter whose current value is n.
- ightharpoonup is Counter(v, n) should be persistent, so different threads can access the counter simultaneously.
- ▶ Hence isCounter(v, n) cannot state that n is *exactly* the value of the counter, but only its lower bound.

Counter Implementation

► The newCounter method creates the counter: a location containing the counter value.

$$newCounter() = ref(0)$$

▶ The incr method increases the value of the counter by 1. Since $\ell \leftarrow ! \ell + 1$ is not an atomic operation we use a cas loop:

$$\operatorname{rec\,incr}(\ell) = \operatorname{let} n = \operatorname{!} \ell \operatorname{in}$$

$$\operatorname{let} m = n + 1 \operatorname{in}$$

$$\operatorname{if} \operatorname{cas}(\ell, n, m) \operatorname{then}() \operatorname{else\,incr} \ell$$

▶ The read method simply reads the value

read
$$\ell = ! \ell$$
.

Authoritative and Fragmental Views

- ▶ We will use an invariant to keep track of the shared state of the module, the value of the counter.
- ► The invariant will have the *authoritative view* of the value of the counter, a ghost assertion:

$$|\bullet m|^{\gamma}$$

Intuitively, this is the correct, true, value of the counter.

► Each thread will have a *fragmental view* of the value of the counter, captured by a ghost assertion:

Intuitively, this is a lower bound of the correct, true, value of the counter.

Authoritative and Fragmental Views

- ▶ We will use an invariant to keep track of the shared state of the module, the value of the counter.
- ► The invariant will have the *authoritative view* of the value of the counter, a ghost assertion:

$$\bullet m$$

Intuitively, this is the correct, true, value of the counter.

► Each thread will have a *fragmental view* of the value of the counter, captured by a ghost assertion:

$$[\circ n]^{\gamma}$$

Intuitively, this is a lower bound of the correct, true, value of the counter.

Define abstract predicate by

$$\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma) = \left[\underbrace{\circ n!}^{\gamma} * \exists \iota. \left[\exists m. \, \ell \mapsto m * \underbrace{\bullet m!}^{\gamma} \right]^{\iota} \right]$$

RA requirements

$$|\circ n| = \circ n \tag{1}$$

$$\bullet \ m \cdot \circ n \in \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow m \geq n \tag{2}$$

$$\bullet m \cdot \circ n \leadsto \bullet (m+1) \cdot \circ (n+1) \tag{3}$$

- a fragmental view should be duplicable (several threads may share the same fragmental view, i.e., several threads may agree that the lower bound of counter is n, say)
- 2. the fragmental view is a lower bound of the true value
- 3. if we own both the authoriative view and a fragmental view, then we may update them (so we can only update a fragmental view, if we also update the authoritative view!)

RA definition

- ▶ Carrier: $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{N}_{\perp, \top} \times \mathbb{N}$ where $\mathbb{N}_{\perp, \top}$ is the naturals with two additional elements \perp and \top .
 - ▶ Idea: for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, write m for (m, 0) and $\circ n$ for (\bot, n) .
- Operation:

$$(x,n)\cdot(y,m) = egin{cases} (y,\max(n,m)) & \text{if } x = \bot \\ (x,\max(n,m)) & \text{if } y = \bot \\ (\top,\max(n,m)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Unit: $(\bot, 0)$.
- Validity

$$V = \{(x, n) \mid x = \bot \lor x \in \mathbb{N} \land x \ge n\}.$$

Core

$$|(x,n)|=(\bot,n).$$

 \blacktriangleright $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}, |\cdot|)$ is a unital resource algebra.

RA definition

- ▶ For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, write m for (m, 0) and $\circ n$ for (\bot, n) .
- ► Then the required properties hold.

Checking required properties: example

Let us check $\bullet m \cdot \circ n \rightsquigarrow \bullet (m+1) \cdot \circ (n+1)$:

- ► First, recall that
 - $m \cdot \circ n = (m, 0) \cdot (\perp, n) = (m, n)$, and
 - $(m+1) \cdot \circ (n+1) = (m+1,0) \cdot (\bot, n+1) = (m+1, n+1).$
- ightharpoonup TS, for all (x, y),

$$(m,n)\cdot(x,y)\in\mathcal{V}\Rightarrow(m+1,n+1)\cdot(x,y)\in\mathcal{V}.$$

- ▶ So suppose $(m, n) \cdot (x, y) \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $x = \bot$, and $(m, n) \cdot (x, y) = (m, \max(n, y))$ and $\max(n, y) \le m$.
- ▶ But then also $\max(n+1,y) \le m+1$ and hence $(m+1,\max(n+1,y)) = (m+1,n+1) \cdot (x,y) \in \mathcal{V}$, as required.

Counter Specification and Client

Exercise: Show the following specifications:

```
{True} newCounter() {u.\exists \gamma. isCounter(u, 0, \gamma)} \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. {isCounter(v, n, \gamma)} read v {u.u \ge n} \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. {isCounter(v, n, \gamma)} incr v {u.u = () * isCounter(<math>v, n + 1, \gamma)}
```

Let e be the program

$$let c = newCounter() in (incr c|| incr c); read c.$$

Show the following specification for e.

$$\{\mathsf{True}\}\ e\ \{v.v \ge 2\}.$$

A More Precise Spec?

- ► For the example program *e* above, we know operationally that the final value will be 2.
- ▶ However, we cannot prove that with out spec, since isCounter is freely duplicable:
 - we do not track whether other threads are using the counter.
- ▶ Now we will show how to use *fractions* to keep track of concurrent ownership.

Fractions to track concurrent ownership of counter

- ▶ Add fraction *q* to the abstract isCounter predicate:
 - ▶ Intuition: If a thread has ownership of isCounter(ℓ , n, γ , q), then
 - ▶ the contribution of this thread to the actual counter value is n, and
 - if q = 1, then this thread is the sole owner, otherwise (q < 1) we have fragmental ownership.
- Specification: (note two specs for read):

```
 \begin{split} & \{\mathsf{True}\} \ \mathsf{newCounter}() \ \{u.\exists \gamma. \ \mathsf{isCounter}(u,0,\gamma,1)\} \\ & \forall p.\ \forall \gamma.\ \forall v.\ \forall n.\ \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v,n,\gamma,p)\} \ \mathsf{read}\ v \ \{u.u \geq n\} \\ & \forall \gamma.\ \forall v.\ \forall n.\ \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v,n,\gamma,1)\} \ \mathsf{read}\ v \ \{u.u = n\} \\ & \forall p.\ \forall \gamma.\ \forall v.\ \forall n.\ \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v,n,\gamma,p)\} \ \mathsf{incr}\ v \ \{u.u = () * \mathsf{isCounter}(v,n+1,\gamma,p)\} \end{split}
```

▶ isCounter is not persistent anymore; instead we have:

```
\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n+k, \gamma, p+q) \dashv \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma, p) * \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, k, \gamma, q).
```

Authoritative Resource Algebra Construction $Auth(\mathcal{M})$

- ▶ Given a *unital* RA $(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon, \mathcal{V}, |\cdot|)$, let AUTH (\mathcal{M}) be RA with
 - ▶ Carrier: $\mathcal{M}_{\perp, \top} \times \mathcal{M}$
 - Operation:

$$(x,a)\cdot(y,b)= egin{cases} (y,a\cdot b) & ext{if } x=ot \ (x,a\cdot b) & ext{if } y=ot \ (op,a\cdot b) & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Core:

$$|(x,a)|_{\text{AUTH}(\mathcal{M})} = (\perp,|a|)$$

Valid elements:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{AUTH}(\mathcal{M})} = \left\{ (x, a) \mid x = \bot \land a \in \mathcal{V} \lor x \in \mathcal{M} \land x \in \mathcal{V} \land a \preccurlyeq x \right\}$$

▶ We write • m for (m, ε) and $\circ n$ for (\bot, n) .

Properties of $Auth(\mathcal{M})$

- ▶ $Auth(\mathcal{M})$ is unital with unit (\bot, ε) , where ε is the unit of \mathcal{M}
- $lackbox{} \bullet x \cdot \bullet y \not\in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{AUTH}(\mathcal{M})}$ for any x and y

- ightharpoonup if $x \cdot z$ is valid in \mathcal{M} then

$$\bullet x \cdot \circ y \leadsto \bullet (x \cdot z) \cdot \circ (y \cdot z)$$

in $Auth(\mathcal{M})$

(Exercise!)

▶ Remark: The RA we used earlier for the counter is $Auth(N_{max})$, where N_{max} is the RA with carrier the natural number and operation the maximum, core the identity function and all elements valid.

Verifying the more precise spec

▶ New def'n of representation predicate:

$$\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma, p) = \left[\circ (p, n) \right]^{\gamma} * \exists \iota. \, \exists m. \, \ell \mapsto m * \left[\bullet (1, m) \right]^{\gamma} \right]^{\iota}.$$

- ▶ Idea: invariant stores the exact value of the counter, hence the fraction is 1.
- ► Fragment $[\circ (p,n)]^{\gamma}$ connects the actual value of the counter to the value known to a particular thread.
- ▶ Thus, to be able to read the exact value of the counter when p is 1 we need the property that if \bullet $(1, m) \cdot \circ (1, n)$ is valid then n = m.
- ▶ Further, need that if \bullet $(1, m) \cdot \circ (p, n)$ is valid then $m \ge n$.
- ► Finally, wish isCounter(ℓ , n + k, γ , p + q) $\dashv \vdash$ isCounter(ℓ , n, γ , p) * isCounter(ℓ , k, γ , q).

Verifying the more precise spec: choice of RA

- ▶ Achieve the above by using $AUTH((\mathbb{Q}_{01} \times \mathbb{N})_?)$, where
 - $ightharpoonup \mathbb{Q}_{01}$ is the RA of fractions.
 - ▶ N is the resource algebra of natural numbers with *addition* as the operation, and every element is valid,
 - $(\mathbb{Q}_{01} \times \mathbb{N})_{?}$ is the option RA on the product of the two previous ones.
- Properties:

 - ▶ if \bullet $(1, m) \cdot \circ (p, n)$ is valid then $n \leq m$ and $p \leq 1$
 - ▶ if $(1, m) \cdot \circ (1, n)$ is valid then n = m
 - $\bullet (1,m) \cdot \circ (p,n) \leadsto \bullet (1,m+1) \cdot \circ (p,n+1).$

Verifying the more precise spec

With isCounter defined as shown above, we get

$$\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n+k, \gamma, p+q) \dashv \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma, p) * \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, k, \gamma, q).$$

and

```
{True} newCounter() {u.\exists \gamma. isCounter(u, 0, \gamma, 1)}

\forall p. \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. {isCounter(v, n, \gamma, p)} read v {u.u \ge n}

\forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. {isCounter(v, n, \gamma, 1)} read v {u.u = n}

\forall p. \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. {isCounter(v, n, \gamma, p)} incr v {u.u = () * isCounter(<math>v, n + 1, \gamma, p)}
```

Let e be the program

let
$$c = \text{newCounter}()$$
 in (incr c || incr c); read c .

Now one can use the above spec to show:

$$\{\text{True}\}\ e\ \{v.v=2\}.$$