# Mechanized Logical Relations for Termination-Insensitive Noninterference

Technical Appendix

Simon O. Gregersen Johan Bay Amin Timany Lars Birkedal

Aarhus University

October 24, 2020

#### Abstract

This document presents a  $\lambda_{sec}$ , a standard ML-like language with higher-order heap equipped with an information-flow control type system featuring subtyping, recursive types, label polymorphism, existential types, and impredicative type polymorphism. We introduce a generalized theory of Modal Weakest Precondition predicates and construct a novel "'logical" logical-relations model of the type system in Iris, a state-of-the-art separation logic. Finally, we use the model to prove that the type system guarantees termination-insensitive noninterference.

### 1 Syntax and Semantics

**Definition 1.1** (Syntax and types).

```
x, y, z \in Var
                         \iota \in \mathit{Loc}
                          n \in \mathbb{N}
                        l, \zeta \in \mathcal{L}
                        \odot \quad ::= \quad + \mid - \mid * \mid = \mid <
\ell, pc \in Label_{\mathcal{L}} ::= \kappa \mid l \mid \ell \sqcup \ell
       	au \in LType ::= t^{\ell}
           t \in \mathit{Type} \quad ::= \quad \alpha \mid 1 \mid \mathbb{B} \mid \mathbb{N} \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \mid \tau \xrightarrow{\ell} \tau \mid \forall_{\ell} \alpha. \tau \mid \forall_{\ell} \kappa. \tau \mid \exists \alpha. \tau \mid \mu \alpha. \tau \mid \mathsf{ref}(\tau)
           | if e then e else e \mid (e,e) \mid \pi_i e \mid \mathsf{inj}_i e \mid \mathsf{match}\, e with \mathsf{inj}_i \Rightarrow e_i end
                                  |\operatorname{ref}(e)| !e | e \leftarrow e | \operatorname{fold} e | \operatorname{unfold} e | \operatorname{pack} e | \operatorname{unpack} e \operatorname{as} x \operatorname{in} e
             v \in Val ::= () \mid \mathsf{true} \mid \mathsf{false} \mid n \mid \lambda x. e \mid \Lambda e \mid \Lambda e \mid \mathsf{fold} \mid v \mid \mathsf{pack} \mid v \mid (v, v) \mid \mathsf{inj}_i \mid v \mid \iota
     K \in ECtx \quad ::= \quad - \mid K \circledcirc e \mid v \circledcirc K \mid \mathsf{if} \, K \, \mathsf{then} \, e \, \mathsf{else} \, e \mid (K,e) \mid (v,K) \mid \pi_1 \, K \mid \pi_2 \, K
                                  |\inf_{1} K | \inf_{2} K | \max_{1} K  with \inf_{i} \Rightarrow e_{i}  end |Ke| v K
                                  |\operatorname{ref}(K)| !K | K \leftarrow e | v \leftarrow K | \operatorname{fold} K | \operatorname{unfold} K | \operatorname{pack} K | \operatorname{unpack} K \operatorname{as} x \operatorname{in} e
                            \sigma \in Loc \xrightarrow{fin} Val
```

In addition to the given constructions we will write let  $x = e_1$  in  $e_2$  for the term  $(\lambda x. e_1) e_2$  and  $e_1; e_2$  for let  $_- = e_1$  in  $e_2$ .

The syntax of types is parameterized over a bounded join-semilattice  $\mathcal{L}$  where the induced ordering  $\sqsubseteq$  defines the security policy.  $\forall \ell \in \mathcal{K}$  denotes the type of label-polymorphic terms (over variable  $\kappa$ ) with the

corresponding term  $\Lambda$  e.  $\forall_{\ell}$   $\alpha$ .  $\tau$  denotes the type of type-polymorphic terms (over variable  $\alpha$ ) with the corresponding term  $\Lambda$  e. Both the two polymorphic types and the arrow type are annotated with a label  $\ell$  that in the type system will constitute a lower-bound on side-effects of the term.

#### **Definition 1.2** (Operational semantics).

The operational semantics are mostly standard and defined with a call-by-value, left-to-right evaluation strategy. We first define a head reduction relation,  $(\sigma,e) \to_h (\sigma,e')$ , which relates two pairs of a state and an expression. The head-step relation is lifted to a reduction relation  $(\sigma,e) \to (\sigma',e')$  using evaluation contexts.

# 2 Type System

**Definition 2.1** (Label-ordering with free variables).

Definition 2.2 (Subtyping).

$$\begin{array}{lll} & & & \frac{\text{S-refl}}{\text{FV}(t) \subseteq \Xi} & \frac{\text{S-trans}}{\Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash t_1 <: \, t_2} & \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash t_2 <: \, t_3 \\ \hline \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash t_1 <: \, t_3 & \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash t_1 <: \, t_3 \\ \hline \text{S-ARROW} & \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \, \tau_1 & \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \, \tau_2' & \Psi \vdash \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \\ \hline \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\ell_1}{\to} \tau_2 <: \, \tau_1' \stackrel{\ell_2}{\to} \tau_2' & \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_1 & \Xi, \alpha \,|\, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \, \tau_2 \\ \hline \Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash \forall_{\ell_1} \,\alpha. \,\tau_1 <: \, \forall_{\ell_2} \,\alpha. \,\tau_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{S-IFORALL} \\ \underline{\Psi,\kappa \vdash \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_1} \qquad \Xi \, | \, \Psi,\kappa \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \\ \hline \Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \forall \ell_1 \, \kappa. \, \tau_1 <: \forall \ell_2 \, \kappa. \, \tau_2 \\ \hline \underline{\Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \\ \hline \underline{\Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \\ \hline \Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2' \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{S-PROD} \\ \underline{\Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \\ \hline \underline{\Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2' \\ \hline \underline{\Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: t_2 \\ \hline \Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash t_1 \in \ell_2 \qquad \Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash t_1 <: t_2 \\ \hline \underline{\Xi \, | \, \Psi \vdash t_1 \ell_1 <: t_2 \ell_2} \end{array}$$

Definition 2.3 (Protected-at).

$$t^{\ell'} \searrow \ell \triangleq \ell \sqsubset \ell'$$

### Definition 2.4 (Typing).

$$\begin{split} \frac{ \text{T-pack}}{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau[t/\alpha]} \\ & \Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \operatorname{pack} e : (\exists \alpha. \tau)^{\perp} \end{split} \\ \text{T-unpack} \\ \underline{\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell} \qquad \Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \operatorname{pack} e_1 : (\exists \alpha. \tau')^{\ell} \qquad \Xi, \alpha \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \\ & \Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \operatorname{unpack} e_1 \operatorname{as} x \operatorname{in} e_2 : \tau \\ & \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau} \qquad \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc \\ & \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \operatorname{ref}(e) : \operatorname{ref}(\tau)^{\perp}} \end{split} \\ \text{T-store} \qquad \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \operatorname{ref}(\tau)^{\ell}} \qquad \Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \qquad \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc \sqcup \ell \\ & \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 \leftarrow e_2 : 1^{\perp}} \end{split} \\ \text{T-load} \qquad \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \operatorname{ref}(e_1) : \operatorname{ref}(\tau)^{\ell}} \qquad \Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau < : \tau' \qquad \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell \\ & \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \operatorname{ref}(e_1) : \operatorname{ref}(\tau)^{\ell}} \qquad \Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau < : \tau' \qquad \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell \\ & \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau'} \qquad \Psi \vdash pc \sqsubseteq pc' \qquad \Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau' < : \tau \\ & \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc'} e : \tau'} \qquad \Psi \vdash pc \sqsubseteq pc' \qquad \Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau' < : \tau \\ & \underline{\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau} \qquad \Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \Gamma' >_{pc} e : \tau \end{split}$$

## 3 Modal Weakest Precondition (MWP)

We refer to the Coq formalization for details not described in this document. Note that the MWP-theory is implicitly parameterized over a suitable language with expressions  $e \in Expr$ , values  $v \in Val$ , a stepping relation  $(e, \sigma_1) \to (e_2, \sigma_2)$ , and a state interpretation  $S : State \to iProp$ .

**Definition 3.1** (MWP). Let  $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, BindCond)$  where

$$\begin{array}{c} A,B:\mathit{Type} \\ \mathsf{M}:A\to\mathit{Masks}\to\mathbb{N}\to(B\to\mathit{iProp})\to\mathit{iProp} \\ \mathsf{BindCond}:A\to A\to(B\to A)\to(B\to B\to B)\to\mathsf{Prop} \end{array}$$

with  $a \in A$  and  $\mathcal{E} \in Masks$  then

$$\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \ e \ \{\Phi\} \triangleq \forall \sigma_1, \sigma_2, v, n. \ (e, \sigma_1) \to^n (v, \sigma_2) \twoheadrightarrow S(\sigma_1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{E}:n}^a (\lambda b. \ \Phi(v, n, b) \ast S(\sigma_2)).$$

When omitting the mask  $\mathcal{E}$  we assume it as the largest possible mask  $\top$ .

**Definition 3.2** (MWP validity). A modality  $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$  is *valid* if

$$\forall a, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}', n, \Phi, \Psi. \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \Rightarrow \forall b. \Phi(b) \twoheadrightarrow \Psi(b) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\Phi) \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E}';n}(\Psi) \qquad \text{(monotone)}$$

$$\forall a, \mathcal{E}, n, \Phi. \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};0}(\Phi) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\Phi) \qquad \text{(introducable)}$$

$$\forall a, a', f, g, \mathcal{E}, n, m, \Phi. \mathsf{BindCond}(a, a', f, g) \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathsf{M}^{a'}_{\mathcal{E},n}(\lambda b. \mathsf{M}^{f(b)}_{\mathcal{E};m}(\lambda b'. \Phi(g(b,b')))) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E},n+m}(\Phi) \qquad \text{(binding)}$$

**Lemma 3.3** (M validity). Given a valid modality  $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, BindCond)$  then

$$\frac{\text{MWP-intro}}{\forall v, n. \, \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{E};n}^a(\lambda b. \, \varPhi(v, n, b))} \quad e \text{ executes purely} \\ \frac{\forall v, n. \, \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{E};n}^a(\lambda b. \, \varPhi(v, n, b))}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\varPhi\}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{MWP-mono}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Psi\}} \\ \frac{\forall v, n, b. \, \varPhi(v, n, b) \twoheadrightarrow \Psi(v, n, b) \quad \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Psi\}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \quad \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\varPhi\}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\varPhi\}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{BindCond}(a,a',f,g) \qquad \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a'} \, e \, \Big\{ v,n,b. \, \, \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};f(b)} \, K[v] \, \{w,m,b'. \, \varPhi(w,n+m,g(b,b'))\} \Big\}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, K[e] \, \{\varPhi\}}$$

**Definition 3.4** (Atomic shift).  $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, BindCond)$  supports atomic shifts at a if

$$\forall \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, n, \Phi. \ n \leq 1 \Rightarrow {}^{\mathcal{E}_1} \biguplus^{\mathcal{E}_2} \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E}_2;n}(\lambda b. \ {}^{\mathcal{E}_2} \biguplus^{\mathcal{E}_1} \Phi(b)) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E}_1;n}(\Phi)$$

**Definition 3.5** (Atomic Operation).

$$atomic(e) \triangleq \forall \sigma, \sigma', e'. (\sigma, e) \rightarrow (\sigma', e') \Rightarrow e' \in Val$$

**Definition 3.6** (Reducible Operation).

reducible
$$(e, \sigma) \triangleq \exists e', \sigma'. (\sigma, e) \rightarrow (\sigma', e')$$

**Lemma 3.7** (MWP Atomic Step). Given  $\mathcal{M}$  that supports atomic shifts at a then

$$\frac{\text{MWP-ATOMIC}}{\overset{\mathcal{E}}{\boxminus}\overset{\mathcal{E}'}{\bowtie} \text{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} e\left\{v,n,b.\overset{\mathcal{E}'}{\Rrightarrow}^{\mathcal{E}}\varPhi(v,n,b)\right\} \qquad \text{atomic}(e)}{\text{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} e\left\{\varPhi\right\}}$$

**Definition 3.8** (M splitting). Let  $\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2 : Masks \to iProp \to iProp$  be two modalities indexed by masks. M can be split into  $(\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2)$ , written  $SplitsInto(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2, a)$ , if

$$\forall \mathcal{E}, n, \Phi. \ \mathbb{M}_{1}(\mathcal{E}) \left( \mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathcal{E}) \left( \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{E};n}^{a}(\Phi) \right) \right) \vdash \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{E};n+1}^{a}(\Phi)$$

$$\forall \mathcal{E}, P, Q. \ P \twoheadrightarrow Q \vdash \mathbb{M}_{1}(\mathcal{E})(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{1}(\mathcal{E})(Q)$$

$$\forall \mathcal{E}, P, Q. \ P \twoheadrightarrow Q \vdash \mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathcal{E})(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathcal{E})(Q)$$

**Lemma 3.9** (Lifting). Let  $a \in A$  and M a modality with  $SplitsInto(M; \mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2, a)$  then

MWP-LIFT-STEP

$$\underbrace{ \frac{e_1 \not\in \mathit{Val} \qquad \forall \sigma_1. \ S(\sigma_1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{M}_1(\mathcal{E}) \left( \forall \sigma_2, e_2. \ (e, \sigma_1) \rightarrow (e_2, \sigma_2) \twoheadrightarrow}{\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{E}) \left( S(\sigma_2) \ast \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}; a} \ e_2 \left\{ v, n, b. \ \varPhi(v, n+1, b) \right\} \right) \right)}_{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}; a} \ e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\}} }$$

**Definition 3.10** (MWP instance: Unary update). Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\rightleftharpoons} \triangleq (1, 1, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$  where

$$\mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) \triangleq \Longrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}} \varPhi()$$
 
$$\mathsf{BindCond}(a,a',f,g) \triangleq \lambda_{-}, g = id$$

**Lemma 3.11** (Properties of  $\mathcal{M}_{\rightleftharpoons}$ ).

- 1.  $\mathcal{M}_{\bowtie}$  defines a valid modality.
- 2.  $\mathcal{M}_{\Longrightarrow}$  supports atomic shifts.
- 3.  $SplitsInto(M; {}^{\mathcal{E}} \trianglerighteq^{\emptyset}, {}^{\emptyset} \trianglerighteq^{\mathcal{E}}).$

Lemma 3.12 (Unary update MWP always supports atomic shifts).

$$\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\Longrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\Longrightarrow}\operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}}\overset{e}{\Longrightarrow}e\left\{v,n,b.\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\Longrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\Longrightarrow}\varPhi(v,n,b)\right\}\twoheadrightarrow\operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}}\overset{e}{\Longrightarrow}e\left\{\varPhi\right\}$$

**Definition 3.13** (MWP instance: Unary step-update). Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\Longrightarrow} \triangleq (1, 1, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$  where

$$\mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) \triangleq ({}^{\mathcal{E}} | \!\!\! \Longrightarrow^\emptyset \rhd^\emptyset | \!\!\! \Longrightarrow^\mathcal{E})^n | \!\!\! \Longrightarrow_\mathcal{E} \varPhi()$$
 
$$\mathsf{BindCond}(a,a',f,g) \triangleq \lambda_-, g = id$$

**Lemma 3.14** (Properties of  $\mathcal{M}_{\rightleftharpoons \triangleright}$ ).

1.  $\mathcal{M}_{\Longrightarrow}$  defines a valid modality.

- 2.  $\mathcal{M}_{\Longrightarrow}$  supports atomic shifts.
- 3.  $SplitsInto(M; {}^{\mathcal{E}} \bowtie^{\emptyset} \triangleright, {}^{\emptyset} \bowtie^{\mathcal{E}}).$

**Definition 3.15** (MWP instance: Binary update). Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \models} \triangleq (Expr, Val \times \mathbb{N}, M, BindCond)$  where

$$\mathsf{M}^e_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) \triangleq \mathsf{mwp}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow}_{\mathcal{E}} \ e\left\{w,m.\ \varPhi(w,m)\right\}$$
 
$$\mathsf{BindCond}(e_1,e_2,f,g) \triangleq \exists K.\ e_1 = K[e_2] \land \ g = \lambda(v_1,n_1), (v_2,n_2).(v_2,n_1+n_2) \land \forall v,k.\ f(v,k) = K[v].$$

**Lemma 3.16** (Properties of  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \boxminus}$ ).

- 1.  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \Rightarrow}$  defines a valid modality.
- 2.  $\forall a. SplitsInto(\mathsf{M}; \overset{\mathcal{E}}{\rightleftharpoons} \overset{\emptyset}{,} \overset{\emptyset}{\rightleftharpoons} \overset{\mathcal{E}}{\rightleftharpoons}, a).$

**Fact 3.17** (Unfolding MWP with  $\mathcal{M}_{\times p}$ ). By unfolding the definition of MWP instantiated with  $\mathcal{M}_{p}$  we get:

Lemma 3.18 (Unary update MWP implies binary update MWP).

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, \models} \, e_1 \left\{ v, n. \, \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, \models} \, e_2 \left\{ w, m. \, \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \, \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\, \times \, \models} \, ; e_2} \, e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \\ & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, \models} \, e_2 \left\{ w, m. \, \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, \models} \, e_1 \left\{ v, n. \, \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \, \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\, \times \, \models} \, ; e_2} \, e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.19 (Binary update MWP always supports shifts).

$$\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\Longrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\bowtie}\operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\boxminus};e_{2}}e_{1}\left\{v,n,b.\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\Longrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\bowtie}\varPhi(v,n,b)\right\}\twoheadrightarrow\operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}_{X}\boxminus};e_{2}}e_{1}\left\{\varPhi\right\}$$

**Definition 3.20** (MWP instance: Binary step-update). Let  $\mathcal{M}_I \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 1, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$  where

$$\mathsf{M}^m_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) \triangleq ({}^{\mathcal{E}} {\biguplus}^{\emptyset} {\,\vartriangleright\,}^{\emptyset} {\biguplus}^{\mathcal{E}})^{n+m} {\biguplus}_{\mathcal{E}} \varPhi()$$
 
$$\mathsf{BindCond}(n,m,f,g) \triangleq m \leq n \wedge \forall x, f(x) = n - m \wedge \lambda_{-}, g = id.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \Rightarrow \triangleright} \triangleq (Expr, Val \times \mathbb{N}, M, BindCond)$  where

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}^e_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) &\triangleq \mathsf{mwp}^{\mathcal{M}_I;n}_{\mathcal{E}} \, e \, \{w,m. \, \varPhi(w,m)\} \\ \mathsf{BindCond}(e_1,e_2,f,g) &\triangleq \exists K. \, e_1 = K[e_2] \wedge \, g = \lambda(v_1,n_1), (v_2,n_2).(v_2,n_1+n_2) \wedge \\ \forall v,k. \, f(v,k) = K[v]. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 3.21** (Properties of  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \Longrightarrow \triangleright}$ ).

- 1.  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \Longrightarrow \triangleright}$  is a valid MWP-modality.
- 2.  $\forall a. SplitsInto(\mathsf{M}; \overset{\mathcal{E}}{\rightleftharpoons} ) \triangleright, \overset{\emptyset}{\rightleftharpoons} \overset{\mathcal{E}}{\rightleftharpoons}, a).$

**Fact 3.22** (Unfolding MWP with  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \not \models \triangleright}$ ). By unfolding the definition of MWP instantiated  $\mathcal{M}_{\times \not \models \triangleright}$  we get:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times \Rrightarrow \flat};e_2} e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} &= \forall \sigma_1, \sigma_1', v, n. \left( e_1, \sigma_1 \right) \to^n \left( v, \sigma_1' \right) - \ast S_1(\sigma_1) - \ast \\ &\qquad \qquad \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{E};n}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times \oiint \flat};e_2} (\lambda X. \ \varPhi(v, n, X) \ast S_1(\sigma_1')) \\ &= \forall \sigma_1, \sigma_1', v, n. \left( e_1, \sigma_1 \right) \to^n \left( v, \sigma_1' \right) - \ast S_1(\sigma_1) - \ast \\ &\qquad \qquad \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_I;n} \ e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \ast S_1(\sigma_1') \right\} \\ &= \forall \sigma_1, \sigma_1', v, n. \left( e_1, \sigma_1 \right) \to^n \left( v, \sigma_1' \right) - \ast S_1(\sigma_1) - \ast \\ &\qquad \qquad \forall \sigma_2, \sigma_2', w, m. \left( e_2, \sigma_2 \right) \to^m \left( w, \sigma_2' \right) - \ast S_2(\sigma_2) - \ast \\ &\qquad \qquad \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{E};m}^{\mathcal{M}_I;n} \left( (\lambda X. \ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \ast S_1(\sigma_1') \ast S_2(\sigma_2')) \right) \\ &= \forall \sigma_1, \sigma_1', v, n. \left( e_1, \sigma_1 \right) \to^n \left( v, \sigma_1' \right) - \ast S_1(\sigma_1) - \ast \\ &\qquad \qquad \forall \sigma_2, \sigma_2', w, m. \left( e_2, \sigma_2 \right) \to^m \left( w, \sigma_2' \right) - \ast S_2(\sigma_2) - \ast \\ &\qquad \qquad ( \mathcal{E} \biguplus^{\emptyset} \triangleright^{\emptyset} \biguplus^{\mathcal{E}} )^{n+m} \biguplus_{\mathcal{E}} \left( \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \ast S_1(\sigma_1') \ast S_2(\sigma_2') \right) \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.23 (Unary step-update MWP implies binary step-update MWP).

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \times \Rrightarrow \flat} : e_2 \left\{ e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \right\} \\ & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \times \Rrightarrow \flat} : e_2 \left\{ e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \right\} \end{split}$$

**Lemma 3.24** (Double atomicity of binary step-update MWP). If  $atomic(e_1)$  and  $atomic(e_2)$  then

$$\stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\Longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\Longrightarrow} \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathcal{M}_\times \Longrightarrow \flat} \stackrel{e_2}{\rightleftharpoons} e_1 \left\{ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathcal{M}_\times \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\Longrightarrow} \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathcal{M}_\times \Longrightarrow \flat} \stackrel{e_2}{\rightleftharpoons} e_1 \left\{ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\Longrightarrow} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\Longrightarrow} \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\Longrightarrow} \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\Longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\Longrightarrow} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mw}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mw}_{\mathcal{E}$$

Lemma 3.25 (Binary update MWP implies binary step-update MWP). Let

$$\operatorname{reduces}(e, S, \mathcal{E}) \triangleq \forall \sigma. S(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathcal{E}}{\Longrightarrow} \bullet \operatorname{reducible}(e, \sigma).$$

Then

**Theorem 3.26** (Adequacy of binary step-update MWP). Let  $\varphi$  be a first-order predicate over values. Suppose

$$\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times \Rrightarrow \triangleright}; e_2} e_1 \left\{ \varphi \right\}$$

is derivable. Given  $S_1(\sigma_1)$  and  $S_2(\sigma_2)$ , if we have  $(\sigma_1, e_1) \to^{n_1} (\sigma_1, v_1)$  and  $(\sigma_2, e_2) \to^{n_2} (\sigma'_2, v_2)$  then  $\varphi(v_1, n_1, v_2, n_2)$  holds at the meta-level.

### 3.1 Language-level lemmas

By instantiating the MWP-theory with  $\lambda_{sec}$  and state interpretation  $\lambda \sigma \cdot \left[ \bullet \sigma \right]^{\gamma}$  with  $\iota \hookrightarrow v \triangleq \left[ \circ \left[ \iota \mapsto v \right] \right]^{\gamma}$  for modelling the heap we get the following lemmas for interaction with the heap.

**Lemma 3.27** (Properties of unary update MWP with  $\lambda_{sec}$ ).

1. 
$$\forall \iota. \iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q \iota \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \mapsto} \mathsf{ref}(v) \{v. Q\}$$

2. 
$$\iota \hookrightarrow v * (\iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q v) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}} \vDash ! \iota \{v. Q\}$$

3. 
$$\iota \hookrightarrow v * (\iota \hookrightarrow w \twoheadrightarrow Q()) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}} \models \iota \leftarrow w \{v. Q\}$$

**Lemma 3.28** (Properties of unary step-taking update MWP with  $\lambda_{sec}$ ).

1. 
$$\triangleright \forall \iota. \iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q \iota \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \triangleright} \mathsf{ref}(v) \{v. Q\}$$

$$2. \ \triangleright \iota \hookrightarrow v * \triangleright (\iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q \ v) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} \ ! \ \iota \ \{v. \ Q\}$$

$$3. \ \, \triangleright \iota \hookrightarrow v * \triangleright (\iota \hookrightarrow w \twoheadrightarrow Q \ ()) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} \ \iota \leftarrow w \ \{v. \ Q\}$$

# 4 Logical Relations

The binary value relation is an Iris relation of type  $Rel \triangleq Val \times Val \rightarrow iProp_{\square}$ . Similarly, the unary value relation is an Iris predicate of type  $Pred \triangleq Val \rightarrow iProp_{\square}$ .

Both the unary and binary logical relation is implicitly quantified over a lattice  $\mathcal L$  and an observer/attacker label  $\zeta$ . The environment  $\rho: Lvar \to \mathcal L$  maps label variables to semantic labels from  $\mathcal L$  and  $\Theta$  is a semantic type environment for type variables, as is usual for interpretations of languages with parametric polymorphism. However, for every type variable we keep both a binary relation and two unary relations, one for each of the two sides:

$$\Theta: \mathit{Tvar} \to \mathit{Rel} \times \mathit{Pred} \times \mathit{Pred}.$$

We use  $\Theta_L$ ,  $\Theta_R$ :  $Tvar \to Pred$  as shorthand for  $\pi_2 \circ \Theta$  and  $\pi_3 \circ \Theta$ , respectively, where  $\pi_i(x)$  denotes the ith projection of x. We will use

$$\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}} \, e_1 \sim e_2 \, \{v, w. \, Q\}$$

as shorthand for  $\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times} \Rrightarrow \triangleright; e_2} e_1 \{v, \_, (w, \_). \ Q\}.$ 

**Definition 4.1** (Label interpretation).

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket . \ : \ (Lvar \to \mathcal{L}) \to Label_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathcal{L} \\ \llbracket \kappa \rrbracket_{\rho} &\triangleq \rho(\kappa) \\ \llbracket \ell \rrbracket_{\rho} &\triangleq l \\ \llbracket \ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2 \rrbracket_{\rho} &\triangleq \llbracket \ell_1 \rrbracket_{\rho} \sqcup \llbracket \ell_2 \rrbracket_{\rho} \end{split}$$

**Definition 4.2** (Unary value interpretation).

$$\mathcal{R}(\Delta,\rho,\iota,\ell,\mathcal{N}) \triangleq \begin{cases} \Box \forall \mathcal{E}.\mathcal{N}^{\uparrow} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \\ \left( \mathcal{E}_{\rightleftharpoons} \mathcal{E} \backslash \mathcal{N}^{\uparrow} \triangleright \left( \exists w.\, \iota \mapsto_{i} w * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(w) * \\ \left( ((\triangleright \iota \mapsto_{i} w * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(w)) \mathcal{E} \backslash \mathcal{N}^{\uparrow} \Longrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}} \mathsf{True} \right) \right) \right) & \text{if } \llbracket \ell \rrbracket_{\rho} \sqsubseteq \zeta \end{cases}$$

$$\Box \forall \mathcal{E}.\mathcal{N}^{\uparrow} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \\ \left( \mathcal{E}_{\rightleftharpoons} \mathcal{E} \backslash \mathcal{N}^{\uparrow} \triangleright \left( \exists w.\, \iota \mapsto_{i} w * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(w) * \\ \left( ((\triangleright \exists w'.\, \iota \mapsto_{i} w' * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(w')) \mathcal{E} \backslash \mathcal{N}^{\uparrow} \Longrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}} \mathsf{True} \right) \right) & \text{if } \llbracket \ell \rrbracket_{\rho} \not\sqsubseteq \zeta \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket t^{\ell} \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(v) \triangleq \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(v)$$

**Definition 4.3** (Unary expression interpretation).

$$\mathcal{E}_{pc} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(e) \triangleq \llbracket pc \rrbracket_{\rho} \not\sqsubseteq \zeta \Rightarrow \mathsf{mwp}^{\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \flat} e \{ \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho} \}$$

**Definition 4.4** (Unary environment interpretation).

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(\epsilon) &\triangleq \mathsf{True} \\ \mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma, x : \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(\overrightarrow{v}w) &\triangleq \mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(\overrightarrow{v}) * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(w) \end{split}$$

**Definition 4.5** (Unary semantic typing).

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vDash_{pc} e : \tau \triangleq \Box \begin{pmatrix} \forall \Delta, \rho, \overrightarrow{v}. \operatorname{dom}(\Xi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) * \operatorname{dom}(\Psi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\rho) - * \\ \mathcal{G}\llbracket\Gamma\rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(\overrightarrow{v}) - * \mathcal{E}_{pc}\llbracket\tau\rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(e[\overrightarrow{v}/\overrightarrow{x}]) \end{pmatrix}$$

**Lemma 4.6** (Unary semantic subtyping). If  $dom(\Xi) \subseteq dom(\Delta)$  and  $dom(\Psi) \subseteq dom(\rho)$  then

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}^{\rho}(v) \twoheadrightarrow \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}^{\rho}(v)$$

Theorem 4.7 (Unary fundamental theorem).

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{nc} e : \tau \Rightarrow \Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vDash_{nc} e : \tau$$

**Definition 4.8** (Binary value interpretation).

Definition 4.9 (Binary expression interpretation).

$$\mathcal{E}[\![\tau]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}(e,e') \triangleq \mathsf{mwp}\,e_1 \sim e_2\,\{[\![\tau]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}\}$$

**Definition 4.10** (Binary environment interpretation).

$$\mathcal{G} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta}(\epsilon, \epsilon) \triangleq \mathsf{True}$$

$$\mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma, x : \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta}(\overrightarrow{v_1} w_1, \overrightarrow{v_2} w_2) \triangleq \mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta}(\overrightarrow{v_1}, \overrightarrow{v_2}) * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta}(w_1, w_2)$$

**Definition 4.11** (Binary environment coherence).

$$Coh(\Theta) \triangleq \underset{(\varPhi, \varPhi_{\mathsf{L}}, \varPhi_{\mathsf{R}}) \in \Theta}{\bigstar} \Box \left( \forall v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}}. \varPhi(v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}}) \twoheadrightarrow \varPhi_{\mathsf{L}}(v_{\mathsf{L}}) \ast \varPhi_{\mathsf{R}}(v_{\mathsf{R}}) \right)$$

Definition 4.12 (Binary semantic typing).

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vDash e_{\mathsf{L}} \approx_{\zeta} e_{\mathsf{R}} : \tau \triangleq \Box \begin{pmatrix} \forall \Theta, \rho, \overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{L}}}, \overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{R}}}. \operatorname{dom}(\Xi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\Theta) * \operatorname{dom}(\Psi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\rho) - * \\ \operatorname{Coh}(\Theta) * \mathcal{G}[\![\Gamma]\!]_{\Theta}^{\rho}(\overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{L}}}, \overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{R}}}) - * \mathcal{E}[\![\tau]\!]_{\Theta}^{\rho}(e_{\mathsf{L}}[\overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{L}}}/\overrightarrow{x}], e_{\mathsf{R}}[\overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{R}}}/\overrightarrow{x}]) \end{pmatrix}$$

**Lemma 4.13** (Binary semantic subtyping). If  $dom(\Xi) \subseteq dom(\Theta)$  and  $dom(\Psi) \subseteq dom(\rho)$  then

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}^{\rho}(v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}}) \twoheadrightarrow \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}^{\rho}(v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}})$$

Lemma 4.14 (Binary-unary subsumption).

$$Coh(\Theta) * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta}(v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}}) - \!\!\!* \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta_{\mathsf{L}}}(v_{\mathsf{L}}) * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta_{\mathsf{R}}}(v_{\mathsf{R}})$$

Theorem 4.15 (Binary fundamental theorem).

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \Rightarrow \Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vDash e \approx_{\zeta} e : \tau$$

**Theorem 4.16** (Termination-Insensitive Noninterference). Let  $\top$  and  $\bot$  be labels drawn from a join-semilattice such that  $\bot \sqsubseteq \zeta$  and  $\top \not\sqsubseteq \zeta$ . If

$$\cdot \mid \cdot \mid x : \mathbb{B}^{\top} \vdash_{\perp} e : \mathbb{B}^{\perp},$$

$$\cdot \mid \cdot \mid \cdot \vdash_{\perp} v_{1} : \mathbb{B}^{\top}, and \cdot \mid \cdot \mid \cdot \vdash_{\perp} v_{2} : \mathbb{B}^{\top}$$

then

$$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \to^* (\sigma_1, v_1') \land (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \to^* (\sigma_2, v_2') \Rightarrow v_1' = v_2'.$$