### Solving Deeper Multilayer Perceptrons (MLPs)

Kate Farrahi

ECS Southampton

February 12, 2019

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Some of this lecture has been motivated by F. Fleuret's course EE-559 at EPFL.

► Vectorization helps improve the speed of your code by removing for loops

- Vectorization helps improve the speed of your code by removing for loops
- ► The idea is that we want to apply a function to every element in a vector

- Vectorization helps improve the speed of your code by removing for loops
- ► The idea is that we want to apply a function to every element in a vector
- ► The activation of the *j*th neuron in the *l*th layer is given by

$$a'_{j} = \sigma(\sum_{k} w'_{jk} a'_{k}^{-1} + b'_{j})$$
 (1)

- Vectorization helps improve the speed of your code by removing for loops
- ► The idea is that we want to apply a function to every element in a vector
- ▶ The activation of the *j*th neuron in the *l*th layer is given by

$$a_j^l = \sigma(\sum_k w_{jk}^l a_k^{l-1} + b_j^l) \tag{1}$$

▶ The model parameters can be represented in a matrix form by defining a weight matrix  $w^I$  for each layer, I and a bias vector,  $b^I$ 

- Vectorization helps improve the speed of your code by removing for loops
- ► The idea is that we want to apply a function to every element in a vector
- ▶ The activation of the *j*th neuron in the *l*th layer is given by

$$a_j^l = \sigma(\sum_k w_{jk}^l a_k^{l-1} + b_j^l) \tag{1}$$

- ▶ The model parameters can be represented in a matrix form by defining a weight matrix  $w^I$  for each layer, I and a bias vector,  $b^I$
- ▶ Vectorization can simplify our notation  $a^l = \sigma(w^l a^{l-1} + b^l)$

### Threshold Logic Unit

The first mathematical model for a neuron was the Threshold Logic Unit, with Boolean inputs and outputs:

$$f(x) = 1_{\{w \sum_{i} x_{i} + b \ge 0\}}$$
 (2)

In particular it can implement

$$or(u,v) = 1_{\{u+v-0.5 \ge 0\}} \qquad (w=1,b=-0.5) \ and (u,v) = 1_{\{u+v-1.5 \ge 0\}} \qquad (w=1,b=-1.5) \ not(u) = 1_{\{-u+0.5 \ge 0\}} \qquad (w=-1,b=0.5)^2$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>W. S. McCulloch and W. Pitts. A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. The bulletin of mathematical biophysics, 5(4):115-133, 1943

### Perceptron

The perceptron is very similar

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i} w_{i} x_{i} + b \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

but the inputs are real values and the weights can be different.

This model was originally motivated by biology, with  $w_i$  being the synaptic weights, and  $x_i$  and f firing rates.


It is a (very) crude biological model. <sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>F. Rosenblatt. The perceptron: A perceiving and recognizing automaton. Technical Report 85-460-1, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, 1957.

### Perceptron

To make things simpler we take responses  $\pm 1$ . Let

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



The perceptron classification rule boils down to

$$f(x) = \sigma(w \cdot x + b).$$


### Neuron

We can represent this "neuron" as follows: Value Parameter Operation

### Vectorized Perceptron Model

We can also use tensor operations, as in

$$f(x) = \sigma(w \cdot x + b).$$



### Learning Rule

Given a training set


$$(x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^D \times \{-1, 1\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N,$$

a very simple scheme to train such a linear operator for classification is the **perceptron algorithm:** 

- 1. Start with  $w^0 = 0$ ,
- 2. while  $\exists n_k$  s.t.  $y_{n_k}\left(w^k\cdot x_{n_k}\right)\leq 0$ , update  $w^{k+1}=w^k+y_{n_k}x_{n_k}$ .

The bias b can be introduced as one of the ws by adding a constant component to x equal to 1.

### Multilayer Perceptrons



Multiple layers of units

# Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)

We can define the MLP formally as,

$$\forall l = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$
  $a^{(l)} = \sigma(w^{(l)}a^{(l-1)} + b^{(l)})$ 

where 
$$a^{(0)} = x$$
, and  $f(x; w, b) = a^{(L)} = \hat{y}$ 

Note, we will define the weighted input term,  $z^{(I)}=w^{(I)}a^{(I-1)}+b^{(I)}$ , for the backpropagation derivation

#### Gradient Descent

repeat until convergence:

$$w \leftarrow w - \eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial w} \tag{3}$$

where w is a multidimensional vector representing all of the weights in the model and  $\eta$  is the learning rate.

In order to get Gradient Descent working in practice, we need to compute  $\frac{\partial J}{\partial w}$ . For neural networks, there are 2 stages to this computation, (1) the forward pass and (2) the backwards pass.

### Backpropagation: The Forward Pass

We need to compute the multilayer perceptron outputs  $y_k$  in order to compute the cost function J(w).

$$a^{(1)} = f(w^{(1)}x + b^{(1)}) \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{y} = a^{(2)} = f(w^{(2)}a^{(1)} + b^{(2)}) \tag{5}$$

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradient of the cost function with respect to each of the weights. Let us consider the quadratic cost function as follows:

$$J(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} (t_k - a_k^{(2)})^2$$
 (6)

To compute the weight updates, we compute the derivative of the cost function with respect to each weight. The derivative of J with respect to the weights at the output layer can be computed as follows:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_k^{(2)}} \frac{\partial a_k^{(2)}}{\partial z_k^{(2)}} \frac{\partial z_k^{(2)}}{\partial w_{kj}^{(2)}}$$
(7)

Let us assume the quadratic cost function and the sigmoid activation function.

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial a_k^{(2)}} = -(t_k - a_k^{(2)}) \tag{8}$$

If we assume a sigmoid activation function then  $a_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z_k^{(2)}}}$ 

$$\frac{\partial a_k^{(2)}}{\partial z_k^{(2)}} = a_k^{(2)} (1 - a_k^{(2)}) \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_k(2)}{\partial w_k^{(2)}} = a_k^{(2)} \tag{10}$$

Since  $z_k^{(2)} = \sum_{i} w_{ki}^{(2)} a_k^{(1)}$  therefore,

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{k:}^{(2)}} = -(t_k - a_k^{(2)}) a_k^{(2)} (1 - a_k^{(2)}) a_k^{(1)} \tag{11}$$

To compute the weight updates with respect to the input layer:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ji}^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial a_k^{(1)}} \frac{\partial a_k^{(1)}}{\partial z_k^{(1)}} \frac{\partial z_k^{(1)}}{\partial w_{ji}^{(1)}}$$
(12)

Again, this is the derivative of the output of the neuron w.r.t. the sigmoid activation function. Since  $a_k^{(1)} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z_k^{(1)}}}$ , therefore

$$\frac{\partial a_k^{(1)}}{\partial z_k^{(1)}} = a_k^{(1)} (1 - a_k^{(1)}) \tag{13}$$

Since 
$$z_k^{(1)} = \sum_i x_i w_{ji}^{(1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_k^{(1)}}{\partial w_{ji}^{(1)}} = x_i$$
(14)

Again, we can work out these three partial derivatives as follows:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial a_k^{(1)}} = \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{\partial J_{y_k}}{\partial a_k^{(1)}} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{\partial J_{y_k}}{\partial a_k^{(2)}} \frac{\partial a_k^{(2)}}{z_k^{(2)}} \frac{\partial z_k^{(2)}}{\partial a_k^{(1)}}\right) \tag{15}$$

where  $\frac{\partial z_k^{(2)}}{\partial a_k^{(1)}} = w_{kj}^{(2)}$  since  $z_k^{(2)} = \sum_j w_{kj}^{(2)} a_k^{(1)}$ , however, this time we take the derivative with respect to  $a_k^{(1)}$  instead of  $w_{kj}^{(2)}$ .

▶ We define the error of the neuron *j* in layer *l* by

$$\delta_j^l = \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_i^l} \tag{16}$$

▶ We define the error of the neuron *j* in layer *l* by

$$\delta_j^I = \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_i^I} \tag{16}$$

$$\delta^L = \Delta_a J \odot \sigma'(z^L) \tag{17}$$

▶ We define the error of the neuron *j* in layer *l* by

$$\delta_j^l = \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_i^l} \tag{16}$$

$$\delta^{L} = \Delta_{a} J \odot \sigma'(z^{L}) \tag{17}$$

$$\delta^{I} = ((w^{I+1})^{T} \delta^{I+1}) \odot \sigma^{I}(z^{L})$$
(18)

▶ We define the error of the neuron *j* in layer *l* by

$$\delta_j^I = \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_i^I} \tag{16}$$

$$\delta^{L} = \Delta_{a} J \odot \sigma'(z^{L}) \tag{17}$$

$$\delta^{I} = ((w^{I+1})^{T} \delta^{I+1}) \odot \sigma^{I}(z^{L})$$
(18)

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ik}^I} = a_k^{I-1} \delta_j^I \tag{19}$$

ightharpoonup We define the error of the neuron j in layer l by

$$\delta_j^I = \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_i^I} \tag{16}$$

$$\delta^L = \Delta_a J \odot \sigma'(z^L) \tag{17}$$

$$\delta^{l} = ((w^{l+1})^{T} \delta^{l+1}) \odot \sigma^{\prime}(z^{L})$$
 (18)

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{jk}^I} = a_k^{I-1} \delta_j^I \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial b_i^l} = \delta_j^l \tag{20}$$

- ▶ For the case of a MSE cost function: