1	PARKER DANIELS KIBORT	
2	Andrew Parker (028314)	
3	888 Colwell Building 123 Third Street North	
	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401	
4	parker@parkerdk.com	
5	Telephone: (612) 355-4100 Facsimile: (612) 355-4101	
6	OLSEN LAW, P.C.	
7	Kurt Olsen (D.C. Bar No. 445279)*	
8	1250 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 700	
9	Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 408-7025	
	ko@olsenlawpc.com	
10	* Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	
11	Alan M. Dershowitz (MA Bar No. 121200)#	
12	1575 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138	
13	# To be admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	UNITED STATES I DISTRICT O	
16	Kari Lake; Mark Finchem,	No. 2:22-cv-00677-JJT
17	Plaintiffs,	
18	V.	
		PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE
19	Kathleen Hobbs, as Arizona Secretary of State; Bill Gates; Clint Hickman; Jack Sellers;	TO FILE THEIR MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
20	Thomas Galvin; and Steve Gallardo, in their	SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT
21	capacity as members of the Maricopa County	Excess of the tride livin
22	Board of Supervisors; Rex Scott; Matt Heinz; Sharon Bronson; Steve Christy; Adelita	(Honorable John J. Tuchi)
23	Grijalva, in their capacity as members of the	
24	Pima County Board of Supervisors,	
	Defendants.	
25		

16 17

15

19 20

18

21 22

23 24

25 26

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.2(e), Plaintiffs Kari Lake and Mark Finchem ("Plaintiffs"), hereby move for an order granting leave to file a motion for preliminary injunction and supporting memorandum of law that does not exceed 35 pages. Under Local Rule 7.2(e), unless otherwise permitted by the Court, the current page limit for such a motion is 17 pages. Plaintiffs have endeavored to draft their motion and memorandum as succinctly as possible. Under the current page limit, however, Plaintiffs are unable to present their arguments in sufficient detail to provide this Court and Defendants with the complete factual and legal basis for their motion.

Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction and supporting memorandum involves a constitutional challenge to the use of electronic voting machines in the upcoming election. Such election challenges call for this Court to engage in an "intense[ly] factual inquiry[,]" Gonzalez v. Arizona, 485 F.3d 1041, 1050 (9th Cir. 2007) that bears on systems used to cast, tabulate, and verify millions of votes expected to be cast by Arizona voters in the upcoming election. See also Ariz. Democratic Party v. Hobbs, 18 F.4th 1179, 1199 (U.S. 9th Cir. 2021); Feldman v. Reagan, 843 F.3d 366, 387 (9th Cir. 2016).

In order to fully present its argument for a preliminary injunction, Plaintiffs' motion and supporting memorandum must describe in sufficient detail the Plaintiffs' challenge to the use of electronic voting machines. This will require Plaintiffs to set forth the constitutional requirements applicable to the Defendants and the ways in which the use of electronic voting machines fail to meet those requirements. Further, Plaintiffs' motion and supporting memorandum must set forth the irreparable harm that Plaintiffs will face if the use of electronic voting machines is not enjoined, as well as the impact that an injunction will have on Defendants and the public as a whole. To this end, Plaintiffs will file five supporting declarations from experts in the field of election systems and cybersecurity. Proper treatment of these issues by Plaintiffs will require them to exceed the standard page limit.

The reliability and security of electronic voting machines are matters of great

1 significance to the nation as a whole, as evidenced by the robust public debate that surrounded 2 their use for a number of years. It is all the more critical, therefore, to have as complete an 3 argument as possible in this matter. Granting Plaintiffs' request will not prejudice Defendants. Should this motion be 4 5 granted, Plaintiffs will not oppose a similar request by Defendants for leave to file a response 6 with a comparable number of pages. 7 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant its Motion 8 for Leave to File its Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Supporting Memorandum in 9 Excess of the Page Limit. 10 11 DATED: June 8, 2022. PARKER DANIELS KIBORT LLC 12 By /s/ Andrew D. Parker Andrew D. Parker (AZ Bar No. 028314) 13 888 Colwell Building 14 123 N. Third Street Minneapolis, MN 55401 15 Telephone: (612) 355-4100 16 Facsimile: (612) 355-4101 parker@parkerdk.com 17 18 **OLSEN LAW, P.C.** 19 By /s/ Kurt Olsen 20 Kurt Olsen (D.C. Bar No. 445279)* 21 1250 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 22 Telephone: (202) 408-7025 23 ko@olsenlawpc.com 24 * Admitted Pro Hac Vice 25

By /s/ Alan M. Dershowitz Alan M. Dershowitz (MA Bar No. 121200)# 1575 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 # To be admitted *Pro Hac Vice* Counsel for Plaintiffs Kari Lake and Mark Finchem

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE that on June 8, 2022, I electronically certify transmitted hereby foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the CM/ECF registrants on record. /s/ Andrew D. Parker