

From: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

To: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Notice by Affidavit of Demand to Show Clean Hands and Federal Partnerships  
[Demand to Cease and Desist Enforcing Unconstitutional Covid Injection Mandates]  
[Declaration of Membership in Private Association and Private Status]**

**Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent**

Comes now Affiant, \_\_\_\_\_, (one of the People of the State of New Jersey as stated in its Constitution, **ARTICLE I-RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES** ), Sui Juris, in this Court of Record, giving you notice of the following claims and facts that you and your agents may provide due care;

Please take Notice that the People hold all power in this state and their Constitutional rights are being ignored by these company mandates. The rights of the people to determine their own personal choices are preserved by the U. S. Constitution and those rights are common to all the People across the nation regardless of no specific provision included in any single state constitution. See references below for authority held by the People:

**NEW JERSEY STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE I, RIGHTS AND PRIVELEGES**

**"2 a. All political power is inherent in the people. Government is instituted for the protection, security, and benefit of the people, and they have the right at all times to alter or reform the same, whenever the public good may require it."**

**U.S. Constitution Article IV, Section 2, p. 1**

**"The Citizens of each State shall be entitled to all Privileges and Immunities of Citizens in the several States."** [Emphasis by Highlight Added]

**US Constitution Bill of Rights Amendment X** [Emphasis by Highlight Added]

**"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."**

Please take Notice, Affiant, as one of the People, reminds the owner of this facility, that the **Executive Order 14042 and Executive Order 14043** documents issued on September 9, 2021, and that took effect for all new contracts with the government as of October 15, 2021 is: 1) not Constitutional because it infringes on **personal liberty** and **personal conscience** guaranteed in every state as well as the national Constitution; 2) clearly states over and over throughout the document available for viewing on the [www.federalregister.gov](http://www.federalregister.gov), this phrase, **"to the extent permitted by law"**; and 3) **no Law** can be passed by any governmental body that impinges on the freedoms guaranteed to the People. This is the reason no legislature and certainly not Congress has attempted to pass any laws regarding the forcing of these medical decisions on the

People who, in their Constitutions, guarantee to themselves the right to control their own safety which includes medical decisions about, “their physical existence”.

**NEW JERSEY STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE I, RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES**

**1. All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.**

**Executive Order 14042 Ensuring Adequate COVID Safety Protocols for Federal Government Contractors, Section 7: General Provisions.** “(a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect: . . . (b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to availability of appropriations.”

**Executive Order 14042 Ensuring Adequate COVID Safety Protocols for Federal Government Contractors, Section 2: Providing for Adequate COVID-19 Safety Protocols For Federal Contractors and Subcontractors.** “(d) Nothing in this order shall excuse noncompliance with any applicable State law or municipal ordinance establishing more protective safety protocols than those established under this order or with more protective Federal law, regulation, or agency instructions for contractor or subcontractor employees working at a Federal building or a federally controlled workplace.”

Please take further Notice, Affiant maintains, relative to the last two citations from E. O. 14042 above, that OSHA regulations being released are being challenged in the Courts by 13 plus state Attorneys General as violations of Constitutional rights of the People; that this Executive order violates HIPAA, EEOC, and GINA regulations in spite of its own provisions as detailed above to remain “consistent with applicable law...”; that fully informed consent is a requirement for participating in any experimental program (citations to follow); that harassing, coercing, forcing participation in such a program is also a violation of the U. S. Codes referenced below; that issuing such an Executive Order is an obvious violation of State Statutes regarding practicing medicine without a license, (State Statute citations to follow); and further this EO, while leaving acting government agents responsible for any harm or injury resulting from their policy directives against the rights of the employees, removes all federal officers from any damages or other litigation resulting from forced injections with its last provision of:

**Executive Order 14042 Ensuring Adequate COVID Safety Protocols for Federal Government Contractors, Section 7: General Provisions.** “(c) This order is not intended to and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable in law or at equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.”

Please take further Notice, Affiant warns that adopting these illegal and Unconstitutional mandates in order to benefit financially carries severe consequences for any entity or official public officer acting under Color of Law or in any other capacity who violates the Constitutional and Civil rights of any of the People. Any actor who enforces such directive, mandate or order is acting as an agent of the government and this status requires such person or entity to adhere to the Indiana State Oath of Office for public officers. See provisions related to Oath:

**NEW JERSEY STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE IV-LEGISLATIVE, SECTION II**

**“1. Members of the Legislature shall, before they enter on the duties of their respective offices, take and subscribe the following oath or affirmation: "I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of Senator (or member of the General Assembly) according to the best of my ability." Members-elect of the Senate or General Assembly are empowered to administer said oath or affirmation to each other.”**

**NEW JERSEY STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE IV-LEGISLATIVE, SECTION II**

**2. Every officer of the Legislature shall, before he enters upon his duties, take and subscribe the following oath or affirmation: "I do solemnly promise and swear (or affirm) that I will**

faithfully, impartially and justly perform all the duties of the office of ....., to the best of my ability and understanding; that I will carefully preserve all records, papers, writings, or property entrusted to me for safekeeping by virtue of my office, and make such disposition of the same as may be required by law."

Please take further Notice, Affiant declares that Covid related policy mandates are all Unconstitutional in that they impact our right of personal choice regardless of their, proven over the last year, lack of relevant effectiveness. The impact to the population that does adopt these policies is significant. See VAERS.gov for the most severe reports which include paralysis and death. Reports for and against the efficacy of these injections remains contradictory and alarming, while masking issues are more easily solved. What the people decide is a personal choice and cannot be dictated by anyone. New Jersey's Constitution clearly protects, in, the Peoples' right to "...life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness". Dictatorial edicts are not part of our Republican form of government and every Constitution contains provisions protecting the People. See Constitutional citations below that protect "rights of conscience" and of "liberty" from intrusion of any regulation, mandate, and/or executive order, of any kind in any situation:

**NEW JERSEY STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE I, RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES**

5. No person shall be denied the enjoyment of any civil or military right, nor be discriminated against in the exercise of any civil or military right, nor be segregated in the militia or in the public schools, because of religious principles, race, color, ancestry or national origin.

**NEW JERSEY STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE I, RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES**

"7. The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects,..."

**The Constitution of the State of Louisiana, Article I, Section 3:**

**Right to Individual Dignity.** "No person shall be denied the equal protection of the laws. No law shall discriminate against a person because of race or religious ideas, beliefs, or affiliations. No law shall arbitrarily, capriciously, or unreasonably discriminate against a person because of birth, age, sex, culture, physical condition, or political ideas or affiliations. Slavery and involuntary servitude are prohibited, except in the latter case as punishment for crime."

**Constitution of the State of Indiana, Article 1 – Bill of Rights, Section 37.** "There shall be neither slavery, nor involuntary servitude, within the State, otherwise than for the punishment of crimes, whereof the party shall have been duly convicted."

Please take further Notice, Affiant's research has revealed numerous federal programs that have been used in the past to generate income by unscrupulous legislators, other public officers and government contractors. These programs include the Social Security Act of 1935, the more recent Cares Act, the Highway Act, sections of Title 42 and now the Covid Relief efforts passed by the Congress. Some crimes against the People have been uncovered and prosecuted, while other unscrupulous actors have been forced to release children taken unlawfully from their homes. Through Covid relief packages to the states, counties, and CMS contracted healthcare facilities, the temptation to take advantage of the program will attract those who wish to enrich themselves. However, in a Supreme Court decision (**South Dakota v. Dole, 483 U.S. 203 (1987)**), the Congress was judged to have the right to place conditions on all allocations of federal funds. Those conditions placed on federal funds are as follows:

- the spending has to serve the general welfare,
- the condition placed on the state must be unambiguous,
- the condition has to relate to the particular federal program,
- unconstitutional action cannot be a contingency of receipt of the funds,

Please take further Notice, Affiant maintains that these conditions preclude forced compliance of any federal, state, and/or private entity with **Executive Order 14042 and 142043** due to the violation of Constitutional rights of the People. Any agent of the federal government offering these funds to states, counties, cities, universities, public or private schools, public and/or privately held companies and/or corporations, and/or any dedicated healthcare facility in exchange for a contract and/or agreement, and/or condition guaranteeing forced compliance by the employees of that facility or company is guilty of maladministration under the Constitutional provisions of each and every state and of the US Constitution.

Please take further Notice, Affiant additionally informs all such actors, due to the nature of this issue, federal statutes under the EEOC related to experimental procedures and medications, federal statutes protecting Civil Liberties and Constitutional Rights, federal statutes providing remedy to those of the People who are injured by their coerced compliance, federal Acts such as HIPAA, GINA, ADA; and finally state statutes for Indiana **exist to protect the public..**

**Constitution of the State of Indiana, Article 15, Section 4:** “Every person elected or appointed to any office under this Constitution, shall, before entering on the duties thereof, take an oath or affirmation, to support **the Constitution of this State, and of the United States**, and also an oath of office.”

**Constitution of the State of Indiana, Article I, Section 8:**

“The mode of administering an oath or affirmation, shall be such as may be most consistent with, and binding upon, the conscience of the person, to whom such oath or affirmation may be administered.”

Please take further Notice, Affiant directs attention to the Constitutional provisions dealing with the issue of conflict of interest and that of ethical behavior that apply to all government actors. As designated officers of this state, all government actors are prohibited from profiting by **forcing slavery and indentured servitude** upon the People of New Jersey. There is a long, detailed ethics document preventing New Jersey legislators from misusing their position at [www.njleg.state.nj.us/ethics/code\\_ethics.asp](http://www.njleg.state.nj.us/ethics/code_ethics.asp). And another document can be viewed at [www.njleg.state.nj.us/ethics/statutes.asp?T=COIL](http://www.njleg.state.nj.us/ethics/statutes.asp?T=COIL), detailing conflict of interest statutes. This means that any public or private schools and/or universities, public and/or privately held companies and/or corporations, and/or any dedicated healthcare facility that is acting as an agent for the federal and or state government, county or municipality is bound by these restrictions.

**The Constitution of the State of Louisiana, Article III, Section 9: Conflict of Interest**

“Legislative office is a public trust, and **every effort to realize personal gain** through official conduct is a violation of that trust. The legislature shall enact a code of ethics **prohibiting conflict** between public duty and private interests of members of the legislature.”

Please take further Notice, Affiant warns that participation in an experimental program such as the federal Covid-19 injection effort, violates government regulations in which the **U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Title 45 CFR part 46**, sets forth ethical guidelines for biomedical research and state that it is forbidden to **coerce, influence or force any human being to take an experimental medical treatment** and that **fully informed consent is mandatory** even when they volunteer. New Jersey has a statute on consent for experimental medications and procedures: Universal Citation: [NJ Rev Stat § 26:14-4 \(2013\)](#) details the conditions fully informed consent must be obtained under. This document requires complete disclosure of all

risks, affects, adverse effects which at this time for this injection are still evolving. See legal precedent concerned with consent and federal Food and Drug Statute below:

Zinerman v. Burch, 494 U.S. 113 (1990) Supreme Court Justice Blackmun noted that Florida's law explicitly requires the patient to give "express and informed consent. . ."

Federal Food and Drug and Cosmetic Administration Title 21, §360-bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(III):  
"Employers are required to inform employees: (III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product and of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks." [Emphasis Added by Highlight]

Please take further Notice, Affiant declares that adoption of the Presidential Executive Orders 14042 and 14043 and/or related governor's orders violates the Peoples' Constitutional Rights both state and federal and also violates federal Laws; these statutes carry stiff financial costs for entities who violate Constitutional rights of the "People" as well as full civil liability for injury sustained as a result, regardless of its FDA status. See references below:

Title 42 U.S. Code §1983. Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights.

"Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, . . ."

Color of Law

"The appearance or semblance, without substance, of legal right. Misuse of power, possessed by virtue of state law and made possible only because wrongdoer is clothed with authority of state, is action taken under "color of law." (Blacks Law Dictionary 5th Edition)

<https://statecodesfiles.justia.com/us/2011/title-18/part-i/chapter-13/section-241/document.pdf>

2011 U.S. Criminal Code, Title 18, Crimes and Criminal Procedure Part 1-CRIMES

(§§1 - 2725) Chapter 13-CIVIL RIGHTS ( §§ 241 - 249) Section 24(Amended in 1994 to include fines) 2: Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law "Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation or custom, willfully subjects any inhabitant of any state, territory, or district to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or Laws of the United States. . .shall be fined not more than \$1,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both and if death results shall be subject to imprisonment for any term of years or for life."

Title 42 U. S. C. Section 1983:

"When two or more persons conspire to use force, intimidation or threat or to injure a person, and deprive them of their rights and privileges as a United States Citizen, the party injured may have an action for the recovery of damages, occasioned by such injury or deprivation, against any one or more of the combination."

Please take further Notice, Affiant declares that mandating, pressuring, harassing, coercing, and finally demanding such injections as a response to an Unconstitutional unlawful Executive Order from an individual with no medical training and in defiance of the factual science is still malpractice and/or contributory negligence, and New Jersey has personal injury laws on the books, however, the federal statutes are very clear, on liability for injury from violation of Constitutionally secured, protected and God-given rights to bodily sovereignty. Affiant's Constitutional right to religious freedom does not have to be defended or enumerated; it is a right of privacy and a deprivation of Affiant's Constitutional rights. See references below for description of Constitutional rights involved:

Indiana Constitution, Article 1, Bill of Rights, Section 2 "All people shall be secured in the natural right to worship ALMIGHTY GOD, according to the dictates of their own conscience."



**The Constitution of Pennsylvania, Article I, § 3. Religious freedom.**

**“All men have a natural and indefeasible right to worship Almighty God according to the dictates of their own consciences; . . . no human authority can, in any case whatever, control or interfere with the rights of conscience, and . . .”**

Please take further Notice, Affiant is warning \_\_\_\_\_, that there is now a contract between Affiant and a **Private Membership Association** to provide certain services and no actions done are open to the public or functioning in Commerce; and no longer under any government purview or Commercial statutes. The PMA and Affiant share a belief in Almighty God, and in personal control over one's health based on that ideology. No government agent has been granted authority over the People's health determinations. Therefore you are prohibited from using any Commerce related power, nor are you able to make any claims of working in the advancement of public health.

Please take further Notice, Affiant demands the owner, and/or agents managing \_\_\_\_\_ facility must provide, in **a sworn affidavit**, under penalty of perjury, the Constitutional authority being used to Trespass against the Peoples' rights by: 1) mandating experimental Covid-19 injections as a requirement for continued employment including documentation; and 2) denying Constitutional choices and rights regarding creeds, religious objections, personal choice and previous exposure and recovery from the disease; and further must provide the Constitutional authority used to accept federal monies for such purposes, including the funding totals involved.

Please take further Notice that Affiant warns the owner, and/or agent managers of \_\_\_\_\_ facility that they have three (3) days to respond, point by point as listed above, to this Affidavit. Further, such testimony must also be by sworn affidavit under penalty of perjury. If that legal and binding document, listing the exact Constitutional authority used to Trample on the People's rights as detailed above, and the exact financial benefit gained for doing so is not received within three (3) days, this silence represents the following: 1) tacit agreement that all parties involved who approved the adoption and implementation of these federal directive criteria, Trespassed against the Constitutional rights of the People; 2) tacit agreement that this violation of the Peoples' Constitutional rights includes liability for physical and financial injury sustained as a result of either yielding or resisting the pressure to comply with this Covid-19 injection policy; and 3) tacit agreement that accepting federal monies to Trample the Rights of the People also violates(ed) Affiant's Constitutional Rights in the private as a member of a PMA as well as Civil Rights under federal statutes.

Please take final Notice, Affiant demands restitution in the amount of \$250,000,000 as the penalty to be paid per encroachment against Affiant's Constitutional and Civil rights regardless of Trespasser. If no response is received in the form of an affidavit sworn under penalty of perjury within 3 (three) days showing the Constitutional provisions authorizing this Trampling on the People's rights, such silence will be construed to represent tacit agreement to all of the above mentioned facts, point for point and also tacit agreement that **this affidavit can be used as evidence** of 1) **malfeasance and maladministration**; 2) **liability and admission of criminal behavior** resulting in injury; 3) deprivation of Civil Rights; 4) tacit agreement when applicable of

accepting and/or applying for funding requiring compliance with federal injection policy; and further this silence represents tacit agreement that no court shall re-examine this matter; that the courts shall accept the above several agreements listed, regardless of the Trespasser, as truth and law. Affiant agrees to arbitration by an arbitrator of Affiant's choice.

---

**Verification**

I hereby declare, certify, subscribe and affirm that under the lawful laws of the United States of America and by the provisions of 28 USC § 1746 that all of the above and foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed in the State of \_\_\_\_\_ on this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ in the Year of  
Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty-one

Autograph Affiant: \_\_\_\_\_

**Notary as JURAT CERTIFICATE**

\_\_\_\_\_ State }

\_\_\_\_\_ County }

On this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2021 (date) before me, \_\_\_\_\_ a  
Notary Public, personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_ (Name of  
Affiant), who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the man/woman whose name is  
sworn and subscribed to the within instrument and has autograph(s) on the instrument the man/woman  
executed, the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the state of \_\_\_\_\_  
that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand: Signature of Notary/Jurat: \_\_\_\_\_

Seal/Stamp