

Description Logics Extending \mathcal{ALC}

Extending \mathcal{ALC}

We discuss the extension of \mathcal{ALC} by

- qualified number restrictions;
- inverse roles;
- transitive roles;
- roles inclusions;
- nominals.

Extending \mathcal{ALC} by Qualified Number Restrictions

Qualified number restrictions: if C is a concept, r a role, and n a number, then

$$(\leq n \ r.C), \quad (\geq n \ r.C)$$

are concepts. If \mathcal{S} is a set, then we denote by $|\mathcal{S}|$ the number of its elements.

The interpretation of qualified number restrictions is given by

- $(\leq n \ r.C)^{\mathcal{I}} = \{x \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \mid |\{y \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \mid (x, y) \in r^{\mathcal{I}} \text{ and } y \in C^{\mathcal{I}}\}| \leq n \}$
- $(\geq n \ r.C)^{\mathcal{I}} = \{x \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \mid |\{y \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \mid (x, y) \in r^{\mathcal{I}} \text{ and } y \in C^{\mathcal{I}}\}| \geq n \}$

Examples

- $(\geq 3 \ \text{hasChild.Male})$ is the class of all objects having at least three children who are male.
- $(\leq 2 \ \text{hasChild.Male})$ is the class of all objects having at most two children who are male.

Extending \mathcal{ALC}

We have seen **unqualified** number restrictions in DL-Lite. Recall that unqualified number restrictions are of the form

- $(\leq n r T)$, and do not admit qualifications using an arbitrary concept C .

DL-Lite does not admit such qualifications because terminological reasoning would become ExpTime-hard.

Extending \mathcal{ALC} by inverse roles

Inverse roles: If r is a role name, then r^- is a role, called the inverse of r . The interpretation of inverse roles is given by

- $(r^-)^{\mathcal{I}} = \{(y, x) \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \mid (x, y) \in r^{\mathcal{I}}\}.$

r^- can occur in all places in which the role name r can occur.

Examples

- $\exists \text{has_child}^-.\text{Gardener}$ is the class of all objects having a parent who is a gardener.
- $(\geq 3 \text{parent}^-.\text{Gardener})$ is the class of all objects having at least three children who are gardeners.

We have seen inverse roles in DL-Lite. There are no inverse roles in \mathcal{EL} . In fact, adding inverse roles to \mathcal{EL} would make reasoning ExpTime-hard.

Extending \mathcal{ALC} by transitive roles and role hierarchies

Transitive roles: One can add $\text{transitive}(r)$ to a TBox to state that the relation r is transitive. Thus,

传递性

- $\mathcal{I} \models \text{transitive}(r)$ if, and only if, $r^{\mathcal{I}}$ is transitive, i.e., for all $x, y, z \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ such that $(x, y) \in r^{\mathcal{I}}$ and $(y, z) \in r^{\mathcal{I}}$ we have $(x, z) \in r^{\mathcal{I}}$.

Examples

- The role “is part of” is often regarded as transitive.

Role hierarchies: one can add a role inclusion $r \sqsubseteq s$ to a TBox to state that r is included in s . Thus,

- $\mathcal{I} \models r \sqsubseteq s$ iff $r^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq s^{\mathcal{I}}$.

Example:

- $\text{hasSon} \sqsubseteq \text{hasChild}$

Extending \mathcal{ALC} by Nominals

Sometimes we want to use concepts/classes consisting of exactly one object or a finite set of objects. To enable the construction of such concepts, \mathcal{ALC} has been extended by nominals.

Nominals: We use a, b , etc. to denote individual names. Individual names denote elements of the domain of interpretations. They are names for individual objects (not for classes or relations). Thus, we extend interpretations \mathcal{I} to interpret individual names by setting $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, b^{\mathcal{I}} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, etc.

For every individual name a , we call $\{a\}$ a nominal. For individual names a_1, \dots, a_n , we call $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ a nominal set.

In every interpretation \mathcal{I} :

- $\{a\}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{a^{\mathcal{I}}\};$
- $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{a_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, a_n^{\mathcal{I}}\}.$

狼是 concept name
灰太狼是狼的一个实例
狼 {灰太狼} 是一个 nominal

Extending \mathcal{ALC}

In \mathcal{ALC} extended with nominals we can use the expressions $\{a\}$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ as concepts.

Examples:

individual
 \uparrow *name*
 $\exists r.C \sim C \text{ 必须集合}$

- $\exists \text{citizen_of}.\{\text{France}\}$ (citizens of France).
- $\exists \text{citizen_of}.\{\text{France}, \text{Ireland}\}$ (citizens of France or Ireland).
- $\exists \text{has_colour}.\{\text{Green}\}$ (all green objects).
- $\exists \text{student_of}.\{\text{Liverpool_University}\}$ (students of Liverpool University).
- One can also define the concept **Colour** by giving a list of all colours:

$$\text{Colour} \equiv \{\text{red}, \text{yellow}, \dots, \text{green}\}$$

and give a value restriction for the role **has_colour** by

$$\top \sqsubseteq \forall \text{has_colour}.\text{Colour}.$$

The expressive Description Logics *SHOIQ*

The extension of *ALC* with the constructors

- qualified number restrictions,
- inverse roles,
- role hierarchies,
- transitive roles,
- and nominals

is called *SHOIQ*. It is the underlying description logic of the Web Ontology Language OWL-DL we will discuss later. Standard reasoning systems (FACT, RACER, Pellet) for *SHOIQ* are based on tableau procedures similar to the one discussed for *ALC*. Similar to *ALC*, terminological reasoning in *SHOIQ* is decidable, but not tractable (it is ExpTime hard).