

Chapter 7: Groups of Symmetry

Mphako-Banda



LEARNING OUTCOMES FOR THE LECTURE

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

- ♣ state and prove the cycle decomposition theorem
- ♣ compare the cyclic structure of permutations in S_n
- ♣ define a transposition
- ♣ write any permutation as a product of transpositions
- ♣ find the order of a permutation

Theorem (7.4.6 CYCLE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM)

If $\sigma \neq e$ is in S_n , then σ is the product of one or more disjoint cycles of length at least 2.

PROOF:

We prove the existence of decomposition by induction on $n \geq 2$ for $\sigma \in S_n$. (Assume the uniqueness).

It can be proved that this factorisation is unique up to the order of factors.

A If $n = 2$ then each permutation has length 2 since $S_2 = \{e, (1\ 2)\}$ and $\sigma \neq e$.

B If $n > 2$ assume result true for S_{n-1} .

C Let $\sigma \in S_n$. If σ fixes n then $\sigma(n) = n$ and so $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. By induction hypothesis σ is the product of disjoint cycles of length at least 2.

case 1 **2** Assume σ moves n and $\sigma(n) \neq n$. set $m = \sigma^{-1}(n)$ or $\sigma(m) = n$ with $m \neq n$.

Let $\gamma = (m\ n)$ where $\gamma^2 = e$.

Consider $\tau = \sigma\gamma$. Thus $\tau\gamma = \sigma\gamma^2 = \sigma$.

Moreover, $\tau(n) = \sigma\gamma(n) = \sigma(m) = n$.

Therefore $\tau \in S_{n-1}$ and so τ is the product of disjoint cycles in S_{n-1} of length at least 2 by induction hypothesis.

We consider 2 cases: CASE 1, $\tau(m) = m$ and CASE 2, $\tau(m) \neq m$ $\sigma = \tau\gamma$

CASE 1: $\tau(m) = m$ and $\tau(n) = n$ by above so τ fixes both m and n and τ disjoint from γ .

$\therefore \sigma = \tau\gamma$ is as required.

CASE 2: $\tau(m) \neq m$. Then m is moved by exactly one factor in the decomposition of τ .

say $\tau = \mu(m \ k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_r)$ where μ is the product of cycles that do not move $m \ k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_r$ and n

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = \tau\gamma &= \overbrace{\mu(m \ k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_r)}^{\tau} \underbrace{(m \ n)}_{\gamma} \\ &= \mu(m \ n \ k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_r) \cdot \end{aligned}$$

and σ has the required decomposition.

So by principle of induction, the statement is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example (7.4.7)

$|S_4| = 24$. List of elements

e ; $(1\ 2)$; $(1\ 2)(3\ 4)$; $(1\ 2\ 3)$;
 $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$ etc.

These are the types of decomposition.

Cyclic Structure

Definition (7.5.1)

*Two permutations have the same **cyclic structure** if when factored into disjoint cycles they have the same number of cycles of each length.*

Definition (7.5.2)

*A cycle of length 2 is called **a transposition**.*

Note 7.5.3 (i) $m, n \in X$, $\delta = (m \ n)$ is a transposition. $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ the $(1 \ 2)$, $(1 \ 3)$, $(2 \ 3)$ are transpositions.

Note $\delta^2 = e$ for all δ transposition and so $\delta = \delta^{-1}$.

Note 7.5.3 (ii) Let $\sigma = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2 &= (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)(1 \ 2)(3 \ 4) \\ &= (1 \ 2)(1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)(3 \ 4) = e.\end{aligned}$$

(by Theorem 7.4.3 if disjoint the permutations commute)
Since the transposition are disjoint and hence commute.
But σ is not a transposition.

You can start with any element, numbers
must appear in the same order.

In each product we have:

(first fourth)(first third)(first second)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{(first} & \text{second} & \text{third} & \text{fourth)} \\ (1 & 2 & 3 & 4) \end{array} = (1 \ 4)(1 \ 3)(1 \ 2)$$

$$(2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 1) = (2 \ 1)(2 \ 4)(2 \ 3)$$

$$(3 \ 4 \ 1 \ 2) = (3 \ 2)(3 \ 1)(3 \ 4)$$

$$(4 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3) = (4 \ 3)(4 \ 2)(4 \ 1)$$

The decomposition above is not unique!

(fourth third)(fourth second)(fourth first) also works...

$$\text{Eg. } (2 \ 1)(2 \ 4)(2 \ 3) = (3 \ 4 \ 1 \ 2)$$

Theorem (7.5.4)

Every cycle of length $r > 1$ is a product of $r - 1$ transpositions.

In fact

$$(k_1 \ k_2 \ \cdots \ k_{r-1} \ k_r) = (k_1 \ k_r)(k_1 \ k_{r-1}) \cdots (k_1 \ k_3)(k_1 \ k_2).$$

Lemma (7.5.5)

Let $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ be transpositions. If γ_1 moves k , transpositions δ_1 and λ_2 exist such that $\gamma_2\gamma_1 = \lambda_2\delta_1$, where δ_1 fixes k and λ_2 moves k .

Eg) $(1 \ 3)(2 \ 3) = (2 \ 3)(2 \ 1)$

1 moved in first factor

1 now moved in second factor

Lemma (7.5.6)

If the identity permutation e can be written as a product of $n \geq 3$ transpositions, then it can be written as a product of $n - 2$ transpositions.

Theorem (7.5.4 PARITY THEOREM)

If a permutation σ has two factorisations

$$\sigma = \gamma_n \gamma_{n-1} \cdots \gamma_2 \gamma_1 = \rho_m \rho_{m-1} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1$$

where each γ_i and ρ_j is a transposition, then both m and n are even or both are odd.

Order of permutation

Definition (7.6.1)

- 1 *An element α of S_n has order $r > 0$ if $\alpha^r = e$, and no smaller positive power of α is e .*
- 2 *The order of a k cycle is k .*
- 3 *The order of the product of disjoint cycles is the lcm of the orders of the cycles.*