

# COMS 3003A

## HW 5

DMITRY SHKATOV

22 March, 2024

**Watching:**  
**Videos for week 5 (see Moodle).**

- (1) **In this question, we're looking at bijections, injections, and surjections. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ , then the *composition* of  $f$  and  $g$  is the function  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  defined by**

$$(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) \quad \text{whenever } x \in X.$$

- (a) **Prove that a composition of bijections is a bijection.**

Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are bijections. Hence  $f$  and  $g$  are both surjections and injections.

First, we show that  $g \circ f$  is an injection. We need to show that  $x_1 \neq x_2$  implies  $(g \circ f)(x_1) \neq (g \circ f)(x_2)$ , for every  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Suppose that  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since  $f$  is an injection,  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ . Since  $g$  is an injection,  $g(f(x_1)) \neq g(f(x_2))$ , i.e.,  $(g \circ f)(x_1) \neq (g \circ f)(x_2)$ .

Next, we show that  $g \circ f$  is a surjection. We need to show that, for every  $z \in Z$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $(g \circ f)(x) = z$ . Pick an arbitrary  $z \in Z$ . Since  $g$  is a surjection, there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $g(y) = z$ . Since  $f$  is a surjection and  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Then,  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = g(y) = z$ . Since  $z$  is an arbitrary element of  $Z$ , the statement follows.

- (b) **Prove that a composition of injections is an injection.**

The proof is a verbatim repetition of the first half of the proof of (a).

- (c) **What can we say about surjections?**

The proof is a verbatim repetition of the second half of the proof of (a).

- (2) **Prove that the set of prime numbers is countable.**

**Proof #1:** Since every listable set is countable, it is enough to produce an infinite list of prime numbers. Take a list of all natural number and remove from it all the numbers that are not prime. This gives us a required list.

**Proof #2:** Every prime number can be represented in the finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Since the set of strings of a finite alphabet is countable, the set of primes is countable.

- (3) **Prove that the set of points on the real plane that have integer coordinates is countable.**

**Proof #1:** Notice that we can traverse the real plane so that we pass through every point with integer coordinates—for example, by visiting points in this order:  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(0, -1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$ ,  $(-1, 0)$ ,  $(-1, 1)$ ,  $(-1, 2)$ ,  $(0, 2)$ ,  $(1, 2)$ , etc. Thus, the set of points with integer coordinates is listable; hence, it is countable.

**Proof #2:** Every point of the real plane with integer coordinates can be represented in the finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, ), (, -, , \}$ . Since the set of strings of a finite alphabet is countable, the set of points of the real plane with integer coordinates is countable.

- (4) **Prove that the set of Turing machines is countable.**

As we have seen, every Turing machine can be represented as a binary string. We have also seen that the set of binary strings is countable. Hence, the set of Turing machines is countable.

- (5) **We have shown in lecture that, for every set  $X$ , the set of Boolean-valued functions with domain  $X$  is strictly larger than  $X$ . Prove, using this fact and (4), that there exist undecidable decision problems.**

A decision problem is a function from  $\{0, 1\}^*$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ . By our result, the set  $P$  of all decision problems is strictly larger than the set  $\{0, 1\}^*$  itself, i.e.  $|\{0, 1\}^*| < |P|$ . On the other hand, the set of Turing machines that are deciders has the same cardinality as  $\{0, 1\}^*$ , since both are countable sets; i.e.,  $|D| = |\{0, 1\}^*|$ . Therefore,

$$|D| < |P|. \quad (*)$$

On the other hand, if every decision problem were solvable by a Turing machine, then the set of deciders would have to be at least as large as the set of problems. To see this, define a function  $f: D \rightarrow P$  so that, if  $M \in D$ , then  $f(M)$  is the problem that  $M$  solves. If every problem is solvable by some machine, then  $f$  is a surjection, which means that  $|P| \leq |D|$ . This, however, contradicts  $(*)$ . Therefore, it's impossible for every decision problem to be solvable by a Turing machine.

- (6) **Prove that the set of all subsets of a set  $X$  is strictly larger than  $X$  itself.**

Let  $2^X$  be the set of all Boolean-valued functions with domain  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  be the set of all subsets of  $X$ . We know that  $|X| < |2^X|$ . To prove that  $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ , it would be enough to show that  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |2^X|$ . For that, we need a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $2^X$ . Such a bijection does exist: define  $f: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow 2^X$  so that, if  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $f(Y)$  is the function  $g \in 2^X$  such that  $g(x) = 1$  if, and only if,  $x \in Y$ .

To show that  $f$  is a bijection, we need to show that  $Y_1 \neq Y_2$  implies  $f(Y_1) \neq f(Y_2)$  and that, for every  $g \in 2^X$ , there exists  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $f(Y) = g$ . First, suppose that  $Y_1 \neq Y_2$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in Y_1$  and  $x \notin Y_2$  (or vice versa, in which case the argument is symmetrical). Then, by definition of  $g$ , both  $f(Y_1)(x) = 1$  and  $f(Y_2)(x) = 0$ , which implies that  $f(Y_1) \neq f(Y_2)$ . Second, suppose that  $g \in 2^X$ . Define  $Y_g = \{x \in X \mid g(x) = 1\}$ . Then,  $f(Y_g) = g$ , by definition of  $f$ . Thus,  $f$  is a bijection, and hence  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |2^X| > |X|$ .

- (7) **As we have seen, every Turing machine can be represented as a binary string. Thus, every Turing machine can be input to a Turing machine with a binary input alphabet. Which questions do you think we might like to ask about Turing machines that we might want to be answered by Turing machines?**

Some examples:

- Does a TM halt on all inputs?
- Does a TM halt on at least one input?
- Does a TM halt on the empty string?
- Does a TM accept any input?

- (8) What kind of questions we might like to ask Turing machines about themselves? I.e., think of a meaningful question we might pose to a Turing machine  $M$  about  $M$ .

Some examples:

- Does you halt on all inputs?
- Does you halt on at least one input?
- Does you halt on the empty string?
- Does you accept any input?
- Do you accept yourself?