

Corrected account of the total  
bullion brought into the Scottish  
Mint during the recoinage, with a  
covering letter containing  
apologies for errors in the  
previous account and a repeated  
enquiry about the allowance for  
waste.

**Author:** Hercules Scott  
**Source:** MINT 19/3/58-9, National Archives, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, UK

---

<58r>

Account of the Recoynage

1709

Charge	pd wt	s	d	gr
Publick Bullion Imported by the Bank --	104357"	4"	0"	5
Bullion Imported by Merchants ----	148"	0"	14"	20
Supplee Bought and Entered in the Books --	129"	5"	1"	22
	104634"	9"	16"	23
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~			
Discharge	pd wt	s	d	g
Mr Scott Accounted for in Coyned money --	104165"	10"	13"	0

Bullion Charged on the monies which they allow in pairt of their payments – – –	}	203 <sup>l</sup>	00 <sup>s</sup>	00 <sup>d</sup>	19
Remains of Bullion lying by Mr Scott at g{illeg}ing in his abreviat which is to be delivered to Mr Allardes	}	31 <sup>l</sup>	9 <sup>s</sup>	12 <sup>d</sup>	2
		104400 <sup>l</sup>	8 <sup>s</sup>	5 <sup>d</sup>	21
		~~~~~			
		pd w <sup>t</sup>			
The whole Bullion Imported as above – – –		104634 <sup>l</sup>	9 <sup>s</sup>	16 <sup>d</sup>	23
The Bullion Accomted for as above – –		104400 <sup>l</sup>	8 <sup>s</sup>	5 <sup>d</sup>	21
Deficiency by Waste as per Mint Books & abreviat		234 <sup>l</sup>	1 <sup>s</sup>	11 <sup>d</sup>	2
		~~~~~			
		pd wt			
Bullion Controverted in Mr Scotts Custody – –		140 <sup>l</sup>	0 <sup>s</sup>	0 <sup>d</sup>	0
Of which to be delivered to Mr Allardes as per the above Accountt of Discharge – – –	}	31 <sup>l</sup>	9 <sup>s</sup>	12 <sup>d</sup>	2
The Remains Controverted is – – –		108 <sup>l</sup>	2 <sup>s</sup>	7 <sup>d</sup>	22
		~~~~~			
		pd wt			
Deficiency by Waste per Mint bokos & abreviat as above		234 <sup>l</sup>	1 <sup>s</sup>	11 <sup>d</sup>	2
If the Controverted Bullion should be delivered to Mr Allardes		108 <sup>l</sup>	2 <sup>s</sup>	7 <sup>d</sup>	22
In that {Caice} there will be only Wasted on the whole		125 <sup>l</sup>	11 <sup>s</sup>	3 <sup>d</sup>	4
		~ ~ – – – –			

The Question is whether upon such a Recoynage a Waste of 234<sup>pd</sup> 1<sup>l</sup> 11<sup>s</sup> 2<sup>d</sup> be Rationall

Or if it can be though that the Waste can only amount to 125<sup>pd</sup> 11<sup>l</sup> 3<sup>s</sup> 4<sup>d</sup> which is Humbly submitted to your Iugement

<59r>

Edinbr 28 August 1712

Much Honored

Sir

I am favoured with a letter from Mr Fauquier of the 23d And am Extreably ashamed I should have given you So much trouble through inadvertency for which I humbly beg pardon I perceive in the Accountt of the

Recoynage last sent I have set down 104200 pd in place of 104400 And as to the 140 pd of Bullion in my brothers Custodie there is 31<sup>pd</sup> 9<sup>dw</sup> 12<sup>wt</sup> 2<sup>g</sup> Really belongs to Mr Allardes And the Remainder which is 108<sup>pd</sup> 2<sup>dw</sup> 7<sup>wt</sup> 22<sup>g</sup> what is controverted Now I trouble you to peruse the full abstract which I have done out to a very graine according to the Mint books and Abbreviat given in by my brother at finishing the Recoynage and after the sweep was 3 tymes searched Mr Allardes friends make debate only because my brother had more {silver} lying by him then Mr Allardes can demand by the state of th{e accounts} I humbly intreat your Opinion in the matter and refer to my last {letter} consider the quantity refined and other Reasons which necessarily occasion a Waste I am with all duty full Respect

Much Honored

Sir

Your most Humble & most

Obedient Servant

Hercules Scott

<59v>

The Much Honored

Sir Isaack Newton  
at his logeing in St Martin=Stre{et}  
near Leycester-field

London

---