Further Notes on the Leibniz Controversy

Author: Isaac Newton

Source: MS Add. 9597/2/18/95, Cambridge University Library, Cambridge, UK

Published online: February 2013

<95r>

Whereas a Libel dated 7 June 1713 was pretended to be written to M^r Leibnitz at his request, & was inserted into another Libel dated 29 July 1713, & both of them were by the procurement of M^r Leibnitz published without the names of the authors or printer or place in Germany where they were printed & were dispersed by M^r Leibnitz & his correspondents; & whereas the said Libel of 7 June 1713 is newly reprinted in the Novelles Litterair{es} at the Hague under the title of a Letter written by M^r John Bernoulli of Basil: these are to give notice that the true author of that Letter, speaks of M^r John Bernoulli as distinct from himself in these words [quemadmodum ab eminente quodam Mathematico dudum notatum est] which words are fraudulently omitted in the Novelles Litteraires. The aforesaid two Libells are both of them written in the style of M^r Leibnitz. And if he pretends that he did not write them himself, he knows the authors, & it lies upon him to discover his confederates. It lies upon M^r Bernoulli also to clear himself from being the author of the Letter here laid to his charge, or else to justify it against the Answer made to it by D^r John Keill.