

# Draft response from Newton to John Collins's letter of 5 July 1671

**Author:** Isaac Newton

**Source:** MS Add. 3977.9, ff. 2r-2v, Cambridge University Library, Cambridge, UK

**Published online:** September 2012

<2r>

Sir

I purposed to have given you a visit at the late solemnity of our Chancellors creation; but I was prevented in that Journey by the suddain surprisall of a fit of sicknesse, which (God bee thanked) I have now recovered. But since I am prevented from making a verball acknowledgment of your undeservd favors, I must bee yet contented to do it in writing. In which respect I find by your last letter, that I still become more your debtor both for the care you take about my concernes & for Borrellius de motionibus. The last winter I reveiwd the introduction & made some few additions to it. & partly upon D<sup>r</sup> Barro{ws} instigation, I began to new methodise the discourse of infinite series, designing to illustrate it with such problems as may (some of them perhaps) be more acceptable then the invention of working by such series. But being suddeinly diverted by some buisness I have not yet had leisure to return to thos{e} thoughts & I feare I shall not before winter. But since you informe me there needs no hast I hope I may get into the humour of completing them before the impression of the introduction because if I must helpe to fill up its title page I had rather annex something which I may call my owne & which may bee acceptable to Artists, as well as the other to Tyro's.

There haveing some things past betweene us concerning musically progressions, & as I remember you desiring me to communicate something which I had hinted to you about it, which I then had not (nor have yet) adjusted to practise: I shall in its stead offer you something else which I think more to the purpose.

Any musically progression  $\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{a}{b+c} \cdot \frac{a}{b+2c} \cdot \frac{a}{b+3c} \cdot \frac{a}{b+4c} \dots$  &c being propounded whose last terme is  $\frac{a}{d}$ . Suppose e is a meane proportion twixt  $b - \frac{1}{2}c$  &  $d - \frac{1}{2}c$  or any integrall or broken number that it is convenient by guesse which differs not considerably from it. suppose it intercede the limits  $\sqrt{bd}$  &  $\sqrt{b-c} \times \sqrt{d-c}$  very near it. & this proportion will give you the summe of all the termes very nearely.

As the Logarithm  $\frac{e+\frac{1}{2}c}{e-\frac{1}{2}c}$  to the logarithm of  $\frac{d+\frac{1}{2}c}{b-\frac{1}{2}c}$ , so is  $\frac{a}{e}$  to the desired summe.

Examp suppose the progression bee  $\frac{100}{5} \cdot \frac{100}{6} \cdot \frac{100}{7} \cdot \frac{100}{8} \cdot \frac{100}{9} \cdot \frac{100}{10} \dots$ . Then is  $a = 100$   $b = 5$ .  $c = 1$ .  $d = 10$ . &  $6\frac{1}{2}$  intercedes  $\{\frac{2b-c \times d + \frac{1}{2}c}{b+d}\}$  &  $\sqrt{b - \frac{c}{2} \times d + \frac{c}{2}}$  (that is  $\{ \frac{6}{10} \text{ \& } \sqrt{50} \}$ ) which I therefore put for e. And work as follows.

$$\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 2 \ 25 \\ \hline 94,5 \\ 15 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r} 47,25 \\ 1,75 \\ 1 \ 41 \\ 34 \end{array} \quad \left( \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 7 \\ - \ 12 \end{array} \right)$$

<2v>

|  |                  |          |                              |          |                      |
|--|------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| $\frac{e+\frac{1}{2}c}{e-\frac{1}{2}c} = \frac{7}{6}$                        | its log. is      | 0,066917 | & The Log of that Logarithm, | 4,825731 | , subtract           |
| $\frac{d+\frac{1}{2}c}{b-\frac{1}{2}c} = \frac{10\frac{1}{2}}{4\frac{1}{2}}$ | its log. is      | 0,367977 | & The Log: of that Logarithm | 5,565820 | } add.               |
| $\frac{a}{e} = \frac{100}{6\frac{1}{2}}$                                     | its logarithm is |          |                              | 1,187087 |                      |
|  |                  |          |                              | -----    |                      |
|  |                  |          |                              | 1,927176 | the result, which is |
| the Logarith of the desired aggregate  |                  |          |                              | 84   562 |                      |

The same by adding the severall termes together will bee found more justly to bee 84 | 5636

But note that were there more termes inserted into the progression, (as suppose it was  $\frac{100}{5} \cdot \frac{100}{5\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{100}{6} \cdot \frac{100}{6\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{100}{7} \cdot \&c$  ) the rule would still more approach to truth.

Secondly that the difference of the denominators ought to be

|  |         |            |           |
|--|---------|------------|-----------|
| $\frac{1}{x^3} \cdot \frac{1}{2xx} - \frac{1}{2yy} \cdot y - x \quad :: \quad \frac{1}{2zz} - \frac{1}{2zz+4oz} \cdot o \cdot$ | 61 . 71 | 4,5 . 10,5 | 3010300   |
| $\frac{oyy-oxx}{2xxyy} = \frac{y-x \times oz}{z^4+2oz^3}$  |         | 47,25      | 1.3064250 |
| $yy - xx \times z^3 = y - x \times 2xxyy$  |         |            | 2.0423786 |
| $z^3 = \frac{2xxyy}{y+x}$  |         |            | 3,6498436 |
|  |         |            | 2 4637523 |
|  |         |            | 82125     |

|             |                   |   |          |
|-------------|-------------------|---|----------|
|             | 0731              |   | 6746275  |
| 0,0659285 . | 4,81907965        |   | 18512583 |
| 0,3679767 . | 5,56582007        | } | 17853298 |
|             | 1,1804561         |   | 7198     |
|             |                   |   | 659285   |
|             | <u>6,74627617</u> |   |          |
| 84566       | 1,92719652        |   |          |
| 845674      | 1,92720302        |   |          |
| 566         |                   |   |          |

To M<sup>r</sup> Isaac  
 Newton fellow of  
 Trinity Colledge  
 In  
 Cambridge