## **Subject-Level Insights Analysis Report**

## 1. Which subject shows the highest proficiency rates for elementary/middle school students?

Subject with Highest Proficiency Rate: Math

Proficiency Rate: 48.31%

Math outperformed both ELA and Science, indicating stronger performance among elementary/middle school students in this subject.

## 2. Are there subjects where a significant percentage of students consistently score at Level 1?

• Subjects with High Level 1 Scores: None

Threshold for Significant Level 1 Scores: >30% scoring at Level 1

The data indicates that no subject has a significant percentage of students consistently scoring at Level 1, suggesting balanced performance at higher levels.

## 3. How do proficiency rates in Science compare to Math and ELA in middle school?

• Middle School Proficiency Rates:

o Math: 90.08%

o ELA: 43.36%

Science: 38.71%

Math shows exceptional performance in middle school, significantly outpacing ELA and Science, which are comparatively similar but lower.

## 4. What are the top- and bottom-performing subjects in the Regents Exams?

• Top Performing Subject: Regents Common Core English Language Arts

o Proficiency Rate: 76.44%

Bottom Performing Subject: Regents Common Core Geometry

Proficiency Rate: 56.09%

These results highlight notable disparities in performance across subjects in Regents Exams, with English Language Arts showing strong performance and Geometry requiring improvement.

# 5. Is there a significant difference in performance between Math Regents and ELA Regents?

Math Regents Proficiency: NaN% (missing or invalid data)

ELA Regents Proficiency: 76.44%

• Difference: NaN%

The analysis for Math Regents failed due to missing or invalid proficiency data in the dataset. This needs to be addressed by validating and cleaning the PER\_PROF column for Math Regents data.

## **Key Observations**

#### 1. Math Dominance:

 Math consistently performs well across middle school levels, achieving the highest proficiency rate.

#### 2. Regents Exam Gaps:

• Significant differences in performance between top- and bottom-performing Regents subjects (e.g., English vs. Geometry).

#### 3. Data Issues:

 Missing data in Math Regents proficiency rates undermines the ability to compare subjects fully.

## Recommendations

## 1. Targeted Support:

 Focus on improving Science and ELA proficiency in middle school and address Geometry gaps in Regents Exams.

#### 2. Data Validation:

o Investigate and address missing or invalid data in Math Regents assessments to enable comprehensive analysis.

### 3. Leverage Success in Math:

o Identify effective strategies in Math instruction that can be applied to Science and ELA.