

MESA Thursday Labs

Companion Website

April 2024

1 MiniLab2 - Modelling the mass gainer

1.1 Science goal

In Minilab1, we explored the evolution of a stellar binary, with a particular focus on the mass donor (a.k.a the primary - initially more massive star). In this lab, we now turn our attention towards the other component in the binary, i.e., the mass gainer (a.k.a. the secondary - initially less massive star). The aim is to explore how binary interaction changes the appearance, structure (both surface and internal), and future evolution of the mass gainer. This accreted mass should also carry a substantial amount of angular momentum, which could also impact the star's properties (see, e.g., [1] for more information). In this lab, we will ignore the impact of the angular momentum carried by the accreted material on the mass gainer.

1.1.1 Bonus goal

As a homework exercise (if you run out of time during the main lab), you may also like to study the evolution of the binary once the primary turns into a compact object, which we assume to be a black hole. In such a case, the secondary could subsequently expand and dump its matter onto the black hole. This influences the properties of the black hole, like its mass and spin. In the bonus exercise, we will explore how these properties evolve as a function of the mass accretion rate.

1.2 Evolving the mass gainer as a single star

For computational ease, we will load the *saved accretor model* from the last (Minilab1) run and then evolve this model *as a single star*.

Task: To begin, first copy the necessary files required for Minilab2 from the following link

[Click here to access Minilab2](#)

You will have to download Minilab2 and extract the contents of the `evolve_accretor_star.zip` directory. Now go to the directory of Minilab1, and from there, copy the file named `accretor_final.mod` into the Minilab2 directory. This file contains the accretor's information from the previous run and will act as *an initial condition for the present run*. If your Minilab1 and Minilab2 are in the same base directory, then you could run the following command from the base directory in the terminal to perform the copy operation

```
cp -r ./Minilab1/evolve.both_stars/accretor_final.mod ./Minilab2/evolve_accretor_star/
```

If, for some reason, you last were not able to finish, then do not worry; we have already provided a pre-evolved copy of the accretor model in the `evolve_accretor_star` directory with the name `accretor_final.1.mod`. If you want to use this model, rename the file to `accretor_final.mod` to match the name included within `inlist_accretor`.

Q. Can you tell where in `inlist_accretor` is the pre-evolved accretor model being loaded?

```

All this and more are saved in the LOGS directory during the run.
load saved model accretor_final.mod

net name basic.net
set_initial_number_retries 0
set_cumulative_energy_error 0.0000000000000000D+00
kap_option gs98
kap_CO_option gs98_co
kap_lowT_option lowT_fa05_gs98
OMP_NUM_THREADS 4

```

step	lg_Tmax	Teff	lg_LH	lg_Lnuc	Mass	H_rich	H_cnr	N_cnr	Y_surf	eta_cnr	zones	retry
lg_dt_yrs	lg_Tcntr	lg_R	lg_L3a	lg_Lneu	lg_Mdot	He_core	He_cnr	O_cnr	Z_surf	gam_cnr	iters	
age_yrs	lg_Dcntr	lg_L	lg_LZ	lg_Lphoto	lg_Dsurf	CO_core	C_cnr	Ne_cnr	Z_cnr	v_div_cs	dt_limit	
474	7.559448	3.536E+04	4.744769	4.744769	18.653763	18.653763	0.415533	0.009731	0.655136	-6.271725	368	0
2.9091E+00	7.559448	0.798180	-26.856783	3.599824	-99.000000	0.000000	0.564631	0.003743	0.019471	0.015213	5	
1.8238E+07	0.674322	4.745109	-99.000000	-99.000000	-8.860336	0.000000	0.000200	0.002085	0.019836	0.000E+00	initial dt	
save LOGS/profile2.data for model 474												
475	7.560703	3.536E+04	4.708325	4.708325	18.653763	18.653763	0.415462	0.009784	0.655136	-6.273961	366	0
2.9883E+00	7.560703	0.794384	-26.786484	3.551837	-99.000000	0.000000	0.564695	0.003741	0.019471	0.015181	4	
1.8239E+07	0.675271	4.737419	-99.000000	-99.000000	-8.853512	0.000000	0.000156	0.002085	0.019844	0.000E+00	max increase	
png/Grid1_000475.png/png												
476	7.560659	3.523E+04	4.708590	4.708590	18.653763	18.653763	0.419857	0.009713	0.655136	-6.272426	364	0
3.0675E+00	7.560659	0.792587	-26.797875	3.551940	-99.000000	0.000000	0.560289	0.003826	0.019471	0.015116	5	
1.8240E+07	0.674525	4.727444	-99.000000	-99.000000	-8.845249	0.000000	0.000153	0.002085	0.019855	0.000E+00	max increase	
477	7.561279	3.539E+04	4.709668	4.709668	18.653763	18.653763	0.422875	0.009673	0.655136	-6.270727	362	0
3.1467E+00	7.561279	0.790590	-26.768657	3.550581	-99.000000	0.000000	0.557262	0.003883	0.019471	0.015064	5	
1.8242E+07	0.675277	4.731283	-99.000000	-99.000000	-8.847941	0.000000	0.000144	0.002085	0.019863	0.000E+00	max increase	
478	7.561338	3.548E+04	4.714262	4.714262	18.653763	18.653763	0.427435	0.009598	0.655136	-6.269182	362	0
3.2258E+00	7.561338	0.787863	-26.774779	3.555258	-99.000000	0.000000	0.552691	0.003971	0.019471	0.014996	5	
1.8243E+07	0.674646	4.730388	-99.000000	-99.000000	-8.846714	0.000000	0.000143	0.002085	0.019874	0.000E+00	max increase	

Figure 1: An example of the terminal output for Minilab2.

1.3 Evolution of the mass gainer

Now, let us continue the evolution of the accretor star from where we left it in Minilab1. For this, you will need to execute the below commands in your terminal, given that you are already present in the `evolve.accretor_star` directory

```

./mk
./rn

```

If all went as planned, then you should see a terminal window that should be similar to the one shown in Fig. 1. Additionally, you should see a `pgstar` plot (similar to Fig. 2) popping up on your screen that shows the real-time evolution of the star. The output shown in this plot depends on the user's requirements and can be modified at will. These modifications can be performed by changing the file `inlist_pgstar`

Task: While the model evolves, carefully watch the evolution of the accretor star (especially the **Abundance-Power-Mixing** subplot and the Kippenhahn diagram. A brief description of these subplots is given below. We will later compare this model to that of a single star to explore key differences between the two.

Abundance-Power-Mixing plot: As the name suggests, the top subplot in the plot shows the abundance of various chemical species within the star with the total abundance normalized to one. The middle subplot shows the regions where nuclear fusion is taking place within the star. It also shows what elements are being fused in these regions. The bottom subplot shows the various types of diffusive mixing processes taking place within the star.

Kippenhahn plot: This diagram is used to visualize the internal structure and evolution of a star. It displays information such as convective borders, sites of nuclear energy generation, and sites of shell burning. The **cyan** regions indicate convective areas, and the **red** regions indicate the regions where nuclear burning is taking place. The white regions show the convective regions where overshooting is taking place, and the **grey** regions indicate semi-convection. The latter occurs in regions where neither pure convection nor pure radiation is efficient enough to transport energy effectively. The **cooling** region refers to a region where the temperature is decreasing over time. The **grey** line shows the total mass boundary of the star M_{total} , while the **green** line shows the mass boundary of the helium core M_{He} .




Figure 2: A sample plot showing a snapshot of the evolution of the accretor star.

1.4 Single star versus binary star directory

Before we proceed further, it would be worthwhile to explore the primary differences between the contents of the previous lab directory and this lab. In the last lab, we evolved both stars. As such, we had two `inlists` (one each for the primary and the secondary star). These inlists contained the parameters that were relevant for each star. In addition, there was an inlist called `inlist_project`, which contained the binary parameters, e.g., the period of the binary and the initial mass of each star in the binary, etc. Meanwhile, the files contained in the Minilab2 directory are shown in Fig. 3.

As mentioned above, to see the above files in your terminal, you need to run the `tree` command. You will notice that here, we only have one main inlist named `inlist_accretor`, which contains the parameters we need to set for evolving the accretor star. Although we would stress that this is not necessary, and you are free to break this one inlist into many sub-inlists. As an example, see the files located in the directory `$MESA_DIR/star/test_suite/ccsn-IIp`. Additionally, you will see that the `src` directory for the accretor star (i.e., evolved as a single star) no longer contains the `run_binary_extras.f90` file - as we are not evolving a binary model anymore.

1.5 Making a movie from the pgstar output

The `pgstar` output shows the evolution of the star in real time. But what if we would like to see the evolution of the model at a later time? The `pgstar` output is also saved in the `Minilab2_png` directory.

Task: Perhaps the best way to access the information contained in these `png` files is to make a movie out of them. The MESA SDK includes an `ffmpeg` encoder and a script named `images_to_movie.sh` that allows users to create movies from `png` files. To do this, execute the following command in your terminal from within the Minilab2 directory

```
images_to_movie 'png/*.png' movie_accretor_star.mp4
```

This will create a movie out of the `png` files and save it with the name `movie_accretor_star.mp4`.




Figure 3: The contents of the `evolve_accretor_star` directory

1.6 Does the accretor evolve differently than a single star with the same initial mass?

Although we have evolved the accretor as a single star, it would be instructive to check how this differs from the evolution of a single star that never interacted with a companion. Intuitively, we know that the accretor star gained mass through Roche Lobe overflow and that this mass had a somewhat different chemical composition than the accretor star's surface. This is because the primary already has substantial helium on its surface during the later stage of mass transfer.

Task: In this section, the goal would be to evolve a single star with the same initial mass as the accretor star (i.e., the mass of the accretor post mass transfer). Then, we will compare the structure and evolution of the accretor with that of a single star. To begin, download the necessary files required to evolve a single star from the following link.

[Click here to access the single star model for Minilab2](#)

Download the `evolve_single_star` directory, extract its content and in the Minilab2 directory. You will notice that this directory has the same structure as the `evolve_accretor_star` directory in Minilab2. However, the names of the `inlists` have been modified to show that we are now explicitly evolving a single star. Apart from some minor changes - that you can see by comparing the `inlist_accretor` to `inlist_single_star` - the rest of the directory is the same.

Q. What is the mass of the accretor at the end of the mass transfer phase (or when the model is terminated) in Minilab1?

Ans.

To evolve the single star, first, you will need to set the mass of the single star equal to the mass of the accretor star. This has already been done in the downloaded directory. To run the model, you will need to execute the following commands in your terminal (given that you are already present in the right directory).

```

./mk
./rn

```

Like the last run, you should again see similar activity on your monitor. For example, Fig. 4 shows a snapshot of the star's evolution plotted using `pgstar`.




Figure 4: A snapshot of the single star's evolution.

Q. What difference do you notice between the accretor's evolution versus that of a single star?

Hint: Perhaps the easiest way is to first make a movie of the output for both the stars using the previously explained method. Once you have the movie for both the stars, run them side by side and compare.

Ans. In case you were not able to make a movie, you can excess pre-computed movies in the **Minilab2** directory. During the initial stages, you should be able to see that the accretor has a much larger helium abundance on its surface compared to the single star. Over time, this composition evolves, and in the end, both stars have similar surface compositions. There is also a considerable difference between the internal evolution of the two stars, as seen in the Kippenhahn diagram. During the initial phase, the burning zones of the single star extend to larger mass coordinates than that of the accretor.

1.7 Bonus - Evolving the secondary alongside a black hole

Although to save computation we approximated the accretor's evolution as if it was an isolated star, ideally, we would like to evolve the star in a binary system. This section is devoted to that.

While initially, there would not be much difference in the evolution, once the accretor begins to expand, it might fill its Roche Lobe and initiate a phase of mass transfer on what was earlier a primary star. This will strip the secondary of its surface material and expose its inner core. Moreover, the primary star would have long disappeared, and only a compact remnant would be left behind. As such, we will approximate the primary star as a point mass, i.e., only the gravitational influence of the primary will be important to us. The primary star - which is now modeled as a black hole - would feed on the mass dumped by the secondary and *would act as a source of strong X-ray radiation*.

1.7.1 The black hole's evolution

The accreted mass would cause the properties of the black hole to change. The no-hair theorems suggest that the only relevant parameters of an astrophysical black hole that fully determine its

property are its mass M and angular momentum J . So, our task is to see how M and J evolve with time. Using M, J , we can define another parameter called the *dimensionless Kerr spin parameter* of the black hole as

$$a_{\text{BH}} = \frac{Jc}{GM^2}, \quad (1)$$

where c, G is the speed of light and Newton's gravitational constant, respectively. The usefulness of this parameter is evident from the fact that for astrophysical black holes $a_{\text{BH}} \in [0, 1)$ (e.g., [2]). A value of $a_{\text{BH}} \geq 1$ implies a violation of the *cosmic censorship conjecture* [3].

Let us assume that the infalling matter has sufficient AM to at least circularize outside the (innermost stable circular orbit) ISCO of the black hole from where it is directly accreted. Then the change in J of the mass accreting black hole is $dJ/dm = j_{\text{isco}}$ where dm is the rest mass of the matter being accreted. Similarly, the change in M is $dM/dm = E_{\text{isco}}/c^2$, where E_{isco} is the specific energy of a particle at ISCO. The evolution of the spin parameter due to accretion can be obtained by differentiating Eq. 1 equation w.r.t. m , resulting in

$$\frac{da_{\text{BH}}}{d \ln m} = \frac{c^3}{GM} \frac{j_{\text{isco}}}{E_{\text{isco}}} - 2a_{\text{BH}}. \quad (2)$$

One can then solve Eq. 2 for a_{BH} . For an initially non-rotating black hole with mass M_0 and final mass M this solution can be found by integrating Eq. 2 and is given by [4, 2]

$$a_{\text{BH}} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{M_0}{M} \left[4 - \sqrt{18 \frac{M_0^2}{M^2} - 2} \right] & \text{if } M \leq \sqrt{6} M_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } M > \sqrt{6} M_0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Task: Now we are going to evolve the secondary from Minilab1 next to a black hole. For this, we will use the `star_evolve_star_plus_point_mass` directory from Minilab1. Here, we already have a point mass next to a star. The only difference is that the star as it currently stands is not the same as the accretor star from Minilab1. Thus, we will use the `accretor_final.mod` file and use this to load the accretor's final profile as explained below:

1. Make a fresh copy of the Minilab1 `evolve_star_plus_point_mass` exercise. You can download a pre-setup directory from [here](#).
2. Open `inlist.1` and there in the `&star_jobs` region paste the following lines (these should already be there if you download the directory).

```
load_saved_model = .true.
load_model_filename = 'accretor_final.mod'
```

These lines instruct MESA to use the final profile of the accretor star from Minilab1 as the initial state of the current star in the `star_plus_point_mass` directory.

3. Next, we will need to specify the period of the binary at the moment when we set the primary to a point mass.

Q. Can you find the mass and period of the binary as they were at the end of Minilab1?

Ans. The mass of the primary star is $\approx 5M_{\odot}$, while the mass of the secondary star is $\approx 22M_{\odot}$. In addition, the period is ≈ 25 days. This is a larger period than what we started with (6 days), implying that the binary widened during the mass transfer process.

The primary, which is now a black hole, is much lighter than the companion star. For the subsequent evolution (to bypass model convergence issues), we will ad hocly set the mass of the black hole to the following value in the `inist_project` file

```
m2 = 20d0 ! black hole mass in Msun
initial_period_in_days = 25d0 ! The period of the binary at the end of Minilab1
```

Also note that we have approximately matched the period to that from the Minilab1 run.

4. As our goal is to evolve the spin of the black hole, we would like to save this spin evolution in the history file. To do this, open the `run.binary_extras.f90` file and there in the function `how_many_extra_binary_history_columns` replace the `how_many_extra_binary_history_columns = 0` line with `how_many_extra_binary_history_columns = 1`. This tells the code that we would like to have an extra history column.
5. Next, we will have to tell the code what data we want to write in this column. For this, go to the `data_for_extra_binary_history_columns` function in the same file. At the end of the function, include the following

```
names(1) = 'abh'
! Set the spin of the black hole at the beginning of mass transfer to zero
if (b% eq_initial_bh_mass == b% m(2)) then
    vals(1) = 0
endif
```

Here `names(1) = 'abh'` is the name of the extra column that will be saved in the `binary_history.data` file and `val(1)` contains the data of the same column, i.e., the value of the spin of the black hole `abh`. As you can see, we till the black hole does not accrete any mass, we set this to zero.

6. Once the black hole begins to accrete mass, its spin will increase. To evolve the spin of the black hole (in accordance with the discussion earlier), add the following lines underneath the previous addition. After this, you are all set.

```
call calc_black_hole_spin(b% eq_initial_bh_mass, b% m(2), vals(1))

contains

! include the below file in you Minilab2 directory. It contains one more
function
include '../black_hole_spin.f90'
```

If you are curious about the contents of the `black_hole_spin.f90` file then they should look like this

```
subroutine calc_black_hole_spin(Mbh_in, Mbh, abh)

    real(dp) :: Mbh_in, Mbh, abh, dt, c, G

    ! Define constants
    c = 2.99792d10 !speed of light (cgs)
    G = 6.674d-8   !Newton's constant (cgs)

    ! Note
    !Mbh_in is the initial mass of the black hole
    !Mbh is its current mass
    !abh is its current Kerr spin parameter

    ! Calculating abh evolution
    abh = sqrt(2.0/3.0) * (Mbh_in / Mbh) * (4.0 - sqrt(18.0 * (Mbh_in**2) /
        (Mbh**2) - 2.0))
    if (abh > 0.994) abh = 0.9994 ! Max spin that we allow here

end subroutine calc_black_hole_spin
```

Compile the above code and run it. You will see something like Fig. 6 in the `pgstar` output. While the model runs, you can see that the file `binary_history.data` file should start populating the column named `abh` with the black hole spin data. On the bottom RHS of the `pgstar` plot, you should be able to see how the spin a evolves with time.




Figure 5: The evolution of a black hole’s spin as it accretes mass. The initial spin $a_{\text{BH}} = 0$.

Q. From Eq. 3, can you calculate how much mass a nonrotating black hole has to accrete to spin up to $a_{\text{BH}} = 0.6$, $a_{\text{BH}} = 0.75$ and $a_{\text{BH}} = 0.99$? You will find that a black hole has to accrete increasingly more mass to spin up to larger values of a_{BH} .

Ans. See Fig. 5 for a detailed answer.

We will run this model till it begins to fail due to non-convergence issues. In case your run does not finish, you can watch the pre-computed movie [here](#) with name `black_hole_mass_and_spin_evolution.mp4`.

References

- [1] M Renzo and Y Götzberg. Evolution of accretor stars in massive binaries: Broader implications from modeling ζ ophiuchi. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 923(2):277, 2021.
- [2] Kip S. Thorne. Disk-Accretion onto a Black Hole. II. Evolution of the Hole. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 191:507–520, July 1974.
- [3] Roger Penrose. Gravitational Collapse: the Role of General Relativity. *Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie*, 1:252, January 1969.
- [4] James M. Bardeen. Kerr Metric Black Holes. *Nature*, 226(5240):64–65, April 1970.




Figure 6: A snapshot of a star being evolved next to a black hole. The bottom RHS subplot shows the mass and spin evolution of the black hole.