

---

**1: The First Problem**

---

For table CUSTOMER:

customer-code  $\rightarrow$  name, customer-code  $\rightarrow$  address, customer-code  $\rightarrow$  order-id

order-id  $\rightarrow$  customer-code

For table EMPLOYEE:

employee-number  $\rightarrow$  name, employee-number  $\rightarrow$  department-name, employee-number  $\rightarrow$  department-budget

For table ORDER:

order-id  $\rightarrow$  order-date, order-id  $\rightarrow$  total-value, order-id  $\rightarrow$  customer-code, order-id  $\rightarrow$

employeenumber, order-id  $\rightarrow$  employee-name

(customer-code, employee-number)  $\rightarrow$  order-id

---

**2: The second problem**

---

For table CUSTOMER:

customer-code  $\rightarrow$  (name,address, order-id) is valid in table and it covers entire relational schema then its left hand side is a minimal key (customer-code)

order-id  $\rightarrow$  customer-code and customer-code  $\rightarrow$  (name, address, order-id) then through transitivity rule order-id  $\rightarrow$  (name, address, order-id)

order-id  $\rightarrow$  (name,address, order-id) then through augmentation rule (order-id, customer-code)  $\rightarrow$  (customer-code, name,address, order-id)

(order-id, customer-code)  $\rightarrow$  (customer-code, name,address, order-id) is valid in table and it covers entire relational schema then its left hand side is a minimal key (order-id, customer-code)

For table EMPLOYEE:

employee-number  $\rightarrow$  (name, department-name, department-budget) is valid in table and it covers entire relational schema then its left hand side is a minimal key (employee-number)

For table ORDER:

order-id  $\rightarrow$  ( order-date, total-value, customer-code, employee-number, employee-name) is valid in table and it covers entire relational schema then its left hand side is a minimal key (order-id)

(customer-code, employee-number)  $\rightarrow$  order-id and order-id  $\rightarrow$  ( order-date, total-value, customer-code, employee-number, employee-name) then through transitivity rule (customer-code, employee-number)  $\rightarrow$  (order-date, total-value, customer-code, employee-number, employee-name)

(customer-code, employee-number)  $\rightarrow$  (order-date, total-value, customer-code, employee-number, employee-name) then through augmentation rule (customer-code, employee-number, order-id)  $\rightarrow$  (order-id, order-date, total-value, customer-code, employee-number, employee-name)

(customer-code, employee-number, order-id)  $\rightarrow$  (order-id, order-date, total-value, customer-code, employee-number, employee-name) is valid in table and it covers entire relational schema then its left hand side is a minimal key (customer-code, employee-number, order-id)

---

**3: The third problem**

---

For table CUSTOMER:

the left side of  $(\text{order-id}, \text{customer-code}) \rightarrow (\text{customer-code}, \text{name}, \text{address}, \text{order-id})$  is not a superkey, but customer-code is a prime attribute, so the schema is in 3NF.

For table EMPLOYEE:

A relational schema  $\text{employee-number} \rightarrow (\text{name}, \text{department-name}, \text{department-budget})$  is in BCNF because does not exist a functional dependency whose left hand side is not a superkey

For table ORDER:

the left side of  $(\text{customer-code}, \text{employee-number}, \text{order-id}) \rightarrow (\text{order-id}, \text{order-date}, \text{total-value}, \text{customer-code}, \text{employee-number}, \text{employee-name})$  is not asuperkey, but order-id is a prime attribute, so the schema is in 3NF

---

**4: The fourth problem**

---

For table CUSTOMER: Use order-id and customer-code as joint primary keys:

$(\text{order-id}, \text{customer-code}) \rightarrow (\text{name}, \text{address}, \text{order-id})$

For table ORDER: Use order-id and customer-code as joint primary keys:

$(\text{customer-code}, \text{order-id}) \rightarrow (\text{order-date}, \text{total-value}, \text{customer-code}, \text{employee-number}, \text{employee-name})$