

# Smart Contract Security Audit Report

Auditor: Your Name

January 24, 2026

# Contents

0.1	High Severity Findings . . . . .	2
0.2	Medium Severity Findings . . . . .	3
0.3	Disclaimer . . . . .	3

## High Severity Findings

### [H-1] Erroneous updateExchangeRate in deposit Causes Redemption Failure

**Impact:** High

**Likelihood:** High

**Description:** In the ThunderLoan system, the `exchangeRate` determines the conversion between AssetTokens and underlying tokens. It is responsible for tracking fees owed to liquidity providers.

However, the `deposit` function updates the exchange rate without actually collecting any fees, leading the protocol to believe it holds more assets than it does.

**Vulnerable Code:**

```
1 function deposit(IERC20 token, uint256 amount)
2     external
3     revertIfZero(amount)
4     revertIfNotAllowedToken(token)
5 {
6     AssetToken assetToken = s_tokenToAssetToken[token];
7     uint256 exchangeRate = assetToken.getExchangeRate();
8
9     uint256 mintAmount =
10         (amount * assetToken.EXCHANGE_RATE_PRECISION()) / exchangeRate;
11
12     assetToken.mint(msg.sender, mintAmount);
13
14     // Incorrect exchange rate update
15     uint256 calculatedFee = getCalculatedFee(token, amount);
16     assetToken.updateExchangeRate(calculatedFee);
17
18     token.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(assetToken), amount);
19 }
```

**Impact:**

1. Liquidity providers are unable to redeem funds.
2. Fees are miscalculated, over-rewarding or under-rewarding LPs.

**Proof of Concept:**

1. Liquidity provider deposits funds.
2. A user executes a flash loan.
3. LP redemption becomes impossible.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the exchange rate update from the `deposit` function.

### [H-2] Using deposit Instead of repay Allows Theft of Funds

**Impact:** High

**Likelihood:** High

**Description:** An attacker can repay a flash loan using `deposit`, satisfying the balance check while minting AssetTokens that can later be redeemed, draining protocol funds.

**Attack Flow:**

1. Attacker takes a flash loan.
2. Funds are deposited instead of repaid.
3. Flash loan check passes.
4. Attacker redeems minted AssetTokens.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Prevent deposits while a flash loan is active.

```
1 if (s_currentlyFlashLoan(token)) {  
2     revert ThunderLoan__CurrentlyFlashLoan();  
3 }
```

### [H-3] Storage Collision in Upgrade Freezes Protocol

**Impact:** High

**Likelihood:** High

**Description:** The storage layout between `ThunderLoan` and `ThunderLoanUpgraded` is inconsistent, causing storage collisions due to reordered variables and constants.

**Impact:** Fee calculations become corrupted, breaking core protocol functionality.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Maintain identical storage layout across upgrades.

```
1 uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;  
2 uint256 private s_flashLoanFee;
```

## Medium Severity Findings

### [M-1] TSwap Oracle Manipulation via Flash Loans

**Impact:** Medium

**Likelihood:** Medium

**Description:** `ThunderLoan` relies on TSwap AMM pricing, which is vulnerable to manipulation within a single transaction using flash loans.

**Impact:** Liquidity providers receive reduced fees due to manipulated oracle prices.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Use Chainlink price feeds with a TWAP fallback.

## Disclaimer

This audit does not guarantee the absence of vulnerabilities. It reflects the auditor's best effort at the time of review.