



Università degli Studi di Padova

Facoltà di Ingegneria

corso di laurea in Ingegneria Informatica

tesi di laurea

Managing Security of Computer Network Applications using Encryption Techniques

Advisor: Professor Dr. Ing. Nicola Laurenti
Co-Advisor: Professor Dr. Ing. Alexandru Soceanu

Candidate: Marco Martini

August 24, 2022

Contents

1 Abstract

- 1.1 English
- 1.2 Italian

2 Introduction

- 2.1 Motivation for the Work
- 2.2 Objective of the Work
- 2.3 Summary of the Work

3 Description of the Components

- 3.1 Next Generation Firewalls and Palo Alto
 - 3.1.1 Next Generation Firewalls
 - 3.1.2 Palo Alto Firewalls
- 3.2 SSL/TLS Decryption
- 3.3 Malware Detection in Firewalls
- 3.4 HTTPS Server with LetsEncrypt
- 3.5 The Network Attack
 - 3.5.1 ARP Spoofing
 - 3.5.2 HTTPS Proxy

4 The Experiment

- 4.1 Methodology
- 4.2 Setting up the Firewall
- 4.3 Setting up Decryption
- 4.4 Setting up Malware Protection
- 4.5 Testing the Setup
- 4.6 Setting up the Network Attack
 - 4.6.1 Setting up ARP Spoofing
 - 4.6.2 Setting up the HTTPS Proxy
- 4.7 Mitigating the Attack

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Conclusions

Bibliography

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Abstract

1.1 English

This paper covers the usage of SSL and TLS encryption techniques to improve the security of the Computer Network applications including their weaknesses. In order to do that an HTTPS web server will be implemented and will be accessed through a virtual network. The virtual network will be protected through a proprietary Next Generation Firewall (NGFW) from Palo Alto Networks, the paper will explore its Malware Detection and SSL Decryption capabilities showing their advantages and/or weaknesses. In order to verify the Firewall's effectiveness a Man In The Middle (MITM) attack will be deployed inside the virtual network. This paper will end by stating the results obtained by analysing the NGFW tools and their behaviour against the network attacks.

1.2 Italian

Questo documento copre l'utilizzo di tecniche di cifratura SSL e TLS per aumentare la sicurezza di applicazioni di rete rimediando alle loro vulnerabilità. Per farlo verrà creata una rete virtuale che accederà ad un server web HTTPS. La rete virtuale sarà protetta dal Firewall di nuova generazione (NGFW) proprietario di Palo Alto Networks, esplorando le funzionalità di Malware Detection e SSL Decryption, elencandone i vantaggi e/o svantaggi. Per dimostrare l'efficacia del Firewall verrà creato un attacco Man In The Middle (MITM). Si dimostrano infine i risultati dell'esperimento dati dall'analisi del comportamento degli strumenti del Firewall contro gli attacchi di rete.

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 Motivation for the Work

During the past 30 years the way we use computers has fundamentally changed, we now have devices capable of connecting to the Internet in our pockets, and that has lead to an ever increasing interest for companies to focus on the Web.

Nowadays the 55.9% of Alexa's list of most popular sites in the world provide a Secure SSL/TLS implementation[1].

While Encryption provides Confidentiality and Integrity[2] for the end user, it also provide attackers and malware softwares a way to inject their payload to vulnerable clients without being able to be detected.

This paper will be focused on the Malware protection capabilities that NGFW provide, even in encrypted connections. It's capable of that through an SSL Forward Proxy.

Despite the added security achieved by having a mediator between the untrusted zone (Internet) and the client, a Man In The Middle (MITM) attack could be used to compromise the network if forged well enough, this work will prove wether or not NGFW are effective against this type of attacks.

2.2 Objective of the Work

The Objective of this work will be showing how to implement a Decryption tunnel and Malware Detection in Palo Alto FW and demonstrating it's effectiveness when the network has been compromised through a MITM attack.

2.3 Summary of the Work

The Work will be as following:

- Setting up the Virtual Network
- Setting up Palo Alto Firewall
- Setting up Malware Detection
- Creating the SSL/TLS Certificates
- Setting up Decryption
- Testing Malware Detection
- Setting up the MITM attack
- Testing Malware Detection again
- Setup a way to block the attack

Chapter 3

Description of the Components

The following sections will briefly describe the components used in this experiment.

3.1 Next Generation Firewalls and Palo Alto

3.1.1 Next Generation Firewalls

Next Generation Firewalls are the evolution of traditional firewalls and are bound to replace them entirely in the corporate space.

Traditional Firewalls can only filter traffic based on state (flow of data instead of single network packets), port, protocol or through hand crafted filters.

Even if a Traditional Firewall is aware of the state of the connection, the data it can extrapolate is very low, for example it knows:

- When was the flow started
- When the flow is being used
- When the flow is being closed

Description of the Components

A Next Generation Firewall does everything a Traditional Firewall can and more by using AI enhanced algorithms and by using the Cloud as to always be up to date with new threats and malware.

In order for a Firewall to be classified as “New Generation” it must provide(source: “<https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/products/security/firewalls/what-is-a-next-generation-firewall.html#choose-an-ngfw-firewall>”):

- Standard firewall capabilities like stateful inspection
- Integrated intrusion prevention
- Application awareness and control to see and block risky apps
- Threat intelligence sources
- Upgrade paths to include future information feeds
- Techniques to address evolving security threats

3.1 Next Generation Firewalls and Palo Alto

3.1.2 Palo Alto Firewalls

Palo Alto Networks is an American multinational cybersecurity company based in Santa Clara, California.

Other than the mandatory NGFW features, Palo Alto's Firewall solutions provide many more tools, some of which are (source: "<https://docs.paloaltonetworks.com/pan-os/9-1/pan-os-web-interface-help/web-interface-basics/features-and-benefits>"):

- Application-based policy enforcement (App-ID?)
- User identification (User-ID?).
- Threat prevention.
- URL filtering.
- Traffic visibility.
- Networking versatility and speed.
- GlobalProtect.
- Fail-safe operation.
- Malware analysis and reporting.
- VM-Series firewall.
- Management and Panorama.

This paper will cover the Threat analysis feature of this platform enhanced by the decryption of SSL/TLS packets.

3.2 SSL/TLS Decryption

SSL and TLS protocols are the most used protocols to provide secure communication over the internet.

They are present between the Application Layer and the Transport Layer in the TCP/IP stack and enable to identify and authenticate two parties by keeping confidentiality and data integrity.




Figure 3.1: The SSL Layer in the TCP/IP Stack

3.2 SSL/TLS Decryption

In order for the two parties to communicate, an SSL/TLS Handshake must be performed first.




Figure 3.2: Overview of the SSL or TLS handshake

Source: <https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/ibm-mq/7.5?topic=ssl-overview-tls-handshake>

In short the first two packets are needed to establish the role of client/server between the two parties and establish a supported Cipher and Compression method along with the server sending the digital certificate.

After that the client verifies the server's certificate, sends a secret key used to encrypt the following data which is encrypted itself with the server's public key and optionally sends its own certificate in case of a symmetrical encryption method.

Finally both the client and server send a "finished" message encrypted with the secret key indicating that the handshake is complete.

Description of the Components

The SSL Decryption covered in this paper refers as a technique where instead of having 2 parties, we have 3:

The server establishes a handshake with the firewall acting as a client and the firewall at the same time establishes an handshake to the real client by acting as the server.




Figure 3.3: SSL Forward Proxy Diagram

Source: <https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/ibm-mq/7.5?topic=ssl-overview-tls-handshake>

3.3 Malware Detection in Firewalls

3.3 Malware Detection in Firewalls

The first line of protection in an organization against malicious attackers is in most cases the Firewall.

Since a Firewall provides a gateway to the outside world it makes sense that a malware protection strategy will also be installed there.

Traditional Firewalls used to only be able to inspect a flow of unencrypted data, as such, the only way to detect malware was to compare the hash of the downloaded data from the client to a local database which is highly exploitable (by for example changing a few bytes in the payload).

Through NGFWs Malware signatures can be constantly updated through the Cloud and instead of comparing hashes, the threat prevention in this new technology can analyse the payload itself, even if compressed or comes from an encrypted source such as HTTPS.

3.4 HTTPS Server with LetsEncrypt

The HTTPS protocol is a secure version of the HTTP, to make it secure, SSL/TLS certificates must be installed into the server that deploys it.

Let's Encrypt is a non-profit Certification Authority that provides TLS certificates for free, although valid for only 90 days.

The official implementation is 'certbot', a tool that automates the generation and renewal of the certificates.

It also provide an automatic certificate installation for 'nginx' and 'Apache', the most popular and Open Source web server softwares.

3.5 The Network Attack

Since SSL Decryption is just a Man in The Middle implementation, the web client must trust the firewall before the website, so if not careful an user can be a victim of another MITM implementation.

3.5.1 ARP Spoofing


In order to deploy a successful MITM attack the user must connect to the Attacker machine first.

ARP Spoofing, or ARP poison, consists in a technique where the attacker sends multiple spoofed ARP messages.

Since ARP, Address Resolution Protocol, is used to associate a network device MAC address with its IP-address, spoofing an ARP message means

that the attacker will forcefully associate the MAC address of the LAN Gateway to the machine of the attacker himself.

Routing under normal operation



Routing subject to ARP cache poisoning




Figure 3.4: A successful ARP spoofing (poisoning) attack allows an attacker to alter routing on a network, effectively allowing for a man-in-the-middle attack

Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ARP_spoofing

3.5.2 HTTPS Proxy

An HTTPS proxy is a server application that acts as an intermediary between a client and a SSL encrypted website.

If used together with a spoofer, in our case an ARP Spoofer, every HTTPS traffic in the network will be redirected to the attacker allowing them to modify the resource at will.

It works exactly like an HTTPS server so it also needs its own SSL/TLS certificates, when used in a Network Attack they're usually forged to seem legitimate.

3.5 The Network Attack




Figure 3.5: HTTPS Proxy Interception[3]

Description of the Components

Chapter 4

The Experiment

4.1 Methodology

In order to verify the firewall effectiveness a virtual laboratory will be setup.

The virtual laboratory is deployed through Virtual Machines, since the Palo Alto Firewall is very resource intensive the hypervisor of choice has been KVM(source), with libvirt/qemu as the userspace component.

Instead of direct access to the Internet the VM clients will connect to the host machine, the host will run NGINX(source) configured with LetsEncrypt(source) certificates as the HTTPS server.





The 2 Firewall clients on the other hand will be running Kali Linux, an operating system designed for penetration testing, since it has some very handful tools preinstalled, Wireshark and Scapy.

The 2 clients have different purposes, one will be used as a standard client and the other as a malicious intruder which will deploy the network attack.

4.2 Setting up the Firewall

The first thing to do would be setting up the Firewall.

Since it's a simple network the firewall was setup with only 2 network interfaces, a WAN connected interface (in this case the host) and a LAN connected interface, where the clients are connected.

Interface	Interface Type	Management Profile	Link State	IP Address
 ethernet1/1	Layer3	WAN Management profile		Dynamic-DHCP Client
 ethernet1/2	Layer3	CLIENT Management profile		192.168.3.1/24


Virtual Router	Tag	VLAN / Virtual-Wire	Security Zone	Features	Comment
Default Router	Untagged	none	WAN		WAN
Default Router	Untagged	none	LAN		LAN

Figure 4.1: The Network Interfaces' Configuration in Palo Alto FW

The two interfaces must be configured to be part of a Virtual Router, so that the packets can be forwarded to each other.

We need to create some policies in order for the inside network to reach the WAN area.

Since the hosts outside of the internal network have no way to know where the source address is coming from, the next step is configuring NAT Masquerading, It's a technique in which IP addressed are mapped from one realm to another, in this case from the internal network to the external one and viceversa(source:"<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2663.txt>").

4.2 Setting up the Firewall




Figure 4.2: The Virtual Router Configuration in Palo Alto FW

	Name	Tags	Type	Source				
				Zone	Address	User	HIP Profile	
1	Pass LAN to WAN a...	none	interzone	LAN	any	any	any	
2	intrazone-default	none	intrazone	any	any	any	any	
3	interzone-default	none	interzone	any	any	any	any	
Destination								
	Zone	Address	Application	Service	Action	Profile	Options	Hit Count
	WAN	any	any	application-d...	Allow	none		21094
	(intrazone)	any	any	any	Allow	none	none	2376
	any	any	any	any	Deny	none	none	1620

Figure 4.3: The Firewall Policies in Palo Alto FW

	Name	Tags	Original Packet			
			Source Zone	Destination Zone	Destination Interface	Source Address
1	Source NAT Masque...	none	LAN	WAN	any	any
Translated Packet						
	Destination Address	Service	Source Translation		Destination Translation	
	any	any	dynamic-ip-and-port ethernet1/1		none	

Figure 4.4: NAT Masquerading in Palo Alto FW

4.3 Setting up Decryption

After the firewall has been configured, much like NAT works, the firewall stands in the middle between outbound and inbound connections.

The firewall connects to the server as the client would, representing it, and uses its own certificates to encrypt the connection between itself and the client making it so that the client believes to communicate directly with the server in a transparent way.

In order to do that we must generate our self signed certificate, and enable the option to Forward Trusted and/or Untrusted Certificates.

After the Certificate Generation we need to have a working Decryption Profile, Palo Alto Firewall provides by default a working one but one could create a customised one if needed.

Finally we can create a Decryption Policy, as with every other Firewall Policy, the source and Destination traffic must be selected, in this case any type of traffic, and then the decryption policy option, there are 3 types of Decryption available in Palo Alto FW:

- SSL Forward Proxy
- SSL Inbound Inspection
- SSH Proxy

In this case an SSL Forward Proxy will be used as it's a general approach which works for every SSL/TLS based server without any need to import the private key from external servers.

It's also possible to define some exceptions where the website included in it won't ever be decrypted, in case of trusted websites or when the website policy doesn't allow this form of redirection, i.e: Google.com

To verify if SSL Decryption is working correctly, after connecting to an HTTPS enabled website, this Captive Portal webpage should show up before being able to connect.

It is also possible to look at the certificate used to decrypt the webpage and verify that its Certificate Authority is the same as the one that was generated through the Firewall

Screenshot here

4.4 Setting up Malware Protection

TODO

4.5 Testing the Setup

4.6 Setting up the Network Attack

In order to setup the Network Attack the Open Source penetration testing tool ‘bettercap’ will be used.

It’s a “powerful, easily extensible and portable framework written in Go which aims to offer to security researchers, red teamers and reverse engineers an easy to use, all-in-one solution with all the features they might possibly need for performing reconnaissance and attacking WiFi networks, Bluetooth Low Energy devices, wireless HID devices and Ethernet networks.”[4]

It has both a GUI interface and a CLI interface, for simplicity the CLI interface will be used.

After launching it we can look at the various features through the **help** command:

Every tool is called a caplet, we’ll be using the “https.proxy” and “arp.spoof” caplets.

4.6.1 Setting up ARP Spoofing

Once bettercap has been launched, to start arp spoofing we just need to enter **arp.spoof on** in the console.

By default the tool will send a spoofed ARP message with the attacker’s IP address associated to the LAN Gateway every second to the entire subnet where the attacker is connected.

The configurable options are[?]:

- **arp.spoof.full duplex** : If true, both the targets and the gateway will be attacked, otherwise only the target (if the router has ARP spoofing protections in place this will make the attack fail). (default=false)
- **arp.spoof.internal** : If true, local connections among computers of the network will be spoofed, otherwise only connections going to and coming from the external network. (default=false)
- **arp.spoof.skip_restore** : If set to true, targets arp cache won’t be restored when spoofing is stopped. (default=false)


- `arp.spoof.targets` : Comma separated list of IP addresses, MAC addresses or aliases to spoof, also supports nmap style IP ranges. (default=<entire subnet>)
- `arp.spoof.whitelist` : Comma separated list of IP addresses, MAC addresses or aliases to skip while spoofing. (default=)

4.6.2 Setting up the HTTPS Proxy

Developing the Script

4.7 Mitigating the Attack


4.7 Mitigating the Attack



The 'Generate Certificate' dialog box in Palo Alto Firewall configuration. It features a 'Certificate Type' section with 'Local' selected and 'SCEP' as an option. Below are input fields for 'Certificate Name' and 'Common Name', both containing 'Palo Alto CA'. A 'Signed By' dropdown is present, followed by a checked 'Certificate Authority' checkbox and an 'OCSP Responder' dropdown. A 'Cryptographic Settings' section is expanded, showing 'Algorithm' as RSA, 'Number of Bits' as 2048, 'Digest' as sha256, and 'Expiration (days)' as 365. At the bottom is a 'Certificate Attributes' table with columns 'Type' and 'Value', and 'Add'/'Delete' buttons. 'Generate' and 'Cancel' buttons are at the bottom right.

Type	Value
------	-------

(a) The Certificate Generation Menu in Palo Alto FW



The 'Certificate information' dialog box in Palo Alto Firewall configuration. It displays details for a certificate named 'Palo Alto CA'. Fields include 'Name', 'Subject' (/CN=Palo Alto CA), 'Issuer' (/CN=Palo Alto CA), 'Not Valid Before' (Aug 18 14:17:01 2022 GMT), and 'Not Valid After' (Aug 18 14:17:01 2023 GMT). The 'Algorithm' is RSA. Below are checkboxes for 'Certificate Authority' (checked), 'Forward Trust Certificate' (checked), 'Forward Untrust Certificate' (checked), and 'Trusted Root CA' (unchecked). 'Revoke', 'OK', and 'Cancel' buttons are at the bottom.

Field	Value
Name	Palo Alto CA
Subject	/CN=Palo Alto CA
Issuer	/CN=Palo Alto CA
Not Valid Before	Aug 18 14:17:01 2022 GMT
Not Valid After	Aug 18 14:17:01 2023 GMT
Algorithm	RSA

(b) The Certificate Settings Menu in Palo Alto FW

Figure 4.5: (a) Certificate Generation, (b) Certificate Settings

The Experiment





Figure 4.6: A few of the many options configurable for Decryption

	Name	Tags	Source			Destination	
			Zone	Address	User	Zone	Address
1	Decryption Policy	none	any	any	any	any	any
Decrypt Options							
URL Category	Service	Action		Type	Decryption Profile		
any	any	decrypt		ssl-forward-proxy	default		

Figure 4.7: Brief overview of the Decryption Policy used

4.7 Mitigating the Attack



The screenshot shows the Palo Alto Networks configuration interface. The left sidebar contains a navigation menu with categories like Setup, High Availability, Config Audit, Password Profiles, Administrators, Admin Roles, Authentication Profile, Authentication Sequence, User Identification, VM Information Sources, Troubleshooting, Certificate Management, Certificates, Certificate Profile, OCSP Responder, SSL/TLS Service Profile, SCEP, SSL Decryption Exclusion, Response Pages, Log Settings, Server Profiles, SNMP Trap, Syslog, Email, HTTP, Netflow, RADIUS, TACACS+, LDAP, Kerberos, SAML Identity Provider, Multi Factor Authentication, Local User Database, Users, User Groups, and Scheduled Log Export. The main content area displays a table titled '164 items' with columns: Hostname, Location, Description, and Exclude from decryption. The table lists various predefined decryption exceptions for different domains and services.

Hostname	Location	Description	Exclude from decryption
*.whatsapp.net	Predefined	whatsapp: pinned-cert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
kdc.uas.aol.com	Predefined	aim: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
bos.oscar.aol.com	Predefined	aim: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.agni.lindenlab.com	Predefined	second-life: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.onepagecrm.com	Predefined	onepagecrm: pinned-cert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
update.microsoft.com	Predefined	ms-update: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*update.microsoft.com	Predefined	ms-update: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
activation.sls.microsoft.com	Predefined	ms-product-activation: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yuuguu.com	Predefined	yuuguu: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
yuuguu.com	Predefined	yuuguu: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.PacketIX VPN	Predefined	packetix-vpn: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.SoftEther VPN	Predefined	packetix-vpn: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.softether.com	Predefined	packetix-vpn: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.tpncc.simplifymedia.net	Predefined	simplify: pinned-cert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
tpnxmmp.simplifymedia.net	Predefined	simplify: pinned-cert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.table14.fr	Predefined	winamax: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.gotomeeting.com	Predefined	gotomeeting: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.live.citrixonline.com	Predefined	gotomeeting: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.mozilla.org	Predefined	for mozilla update, no appid: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
lr.live.net	Predefined	live-mesh, live-mesh-remote-desktop, live-mesh-sync: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
anywhere2.telus.com	Predefined	for call anywhere, no appid: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
accounts.mesh.com	Predefined	live-mesh, live-mesh-remote-desktop, live-mesh-sync: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
storage.mesh.com	Predefined	live-mesh, live-mesh-remote-desktop, live-mesh-sync: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.sharpcast.com	Predefined	sugarsync: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
auth2.triongames.com	Predefined	rft: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.zumodrive.com	Predefined	zumodrive: pinned-cert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
*.urlcloud.paloaltonetworks.com	Predefined	paloalto-wildfire-cloud: client-cert-auth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Figure 4.8: A list of Decryption Exceptions

SSL Inspection

If you proceed with this session then, in accordance with company security policy, SSL encrypted traffic that you initiate will be temporarily decrypted so that it can be inspected for viruses, spyware, and malware.

After inspection, the traffic will be re-encrypted and sent to its destination.

IP: 199.34.228.69

Category: not-resolved

Would you like to proceed with this session?

Figure 4.9: The

The Experiment

```
any.proxy > not running
api.rest > not running
arp.spoof > not running
ble.recon > not running
c2 > not running
caplets > not running
dhcp6.spoof > not running
dns.spoof > not running
events.stream > running
gps > not running
hid > not running
http.proxy > not running
http.server > not running
https.proxy > not running
https.server > not running
mac.changer > not running
mdns.server > not running
mysql.server > not running
ndp.spoof > not running
net.probe > not running
net.recon > not running
net.sniff > not running
packet.proxy > not running
syn.scan > not running
tcp.proxy > not running
ticker > not running
ui > not running
update > not running
wifi > not running
wol > not running
```

Figure 4.10: Bettercap help page

Chapter 5

Results and discussion

5.1 Conclusions

Bibliography

- [1] “Ssl pulse.” <https://www.ssllabs.com/ssl-pulse/>, 2022. SSL Pulse is a continuous and global dashboard for monitoring the quality of SSL / TLS support over time across 150,000 SSL- and TLS-enabled websites, based on Alexa’s list of the most popular sites in the world.
- [2] “How ssl and tls provide identification, authentication, confidentiality, and integrity.” <https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/ibm-mq/7.5?topic=ssl-how-tls-provide-authentication-confidentiality-integrity>, 2022.
- [3] Z. D. Z. M. D. S. R. B. N. S. E. B. M. B. J. A. Halderman and V. Paxson, “The security impact of https interception.” Network and Distributed System Security Symposium (NDSS) Online available Online available https://zakird.com/papers/https_interception.pdf, February 2017.
- [4] “bettercap.” <https://github.com/bettercap/bettercap>.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

List of Figures

3.1	The SSL Layer in the TCP/IP Stack
3.2	Overview of the SSL or TLS handshake
3.3	SSL Forward Proxy Diagram
3.4	A successful ARP spoofing (poisoning) attack allows an attacker to alter routing on a network, effectively allowing for a man-in-the-middle attack
3.5	HTTPS Proxy Interception[3]
4.1	The Network Interfaces' Configuration in Palo Alto FW . .
4.2	The Virtual Router Configuration in Palo Alto FW
4.3	The Firewall Policies in Palo Alto FW
4.4	NAT Masquerading in Palo Alto FW
4.5	(a) Certificate Generation, (b) Certificate Settings
4.6	A few of the many options configurable for Decryption . .
4.7	Brief overview of the Decryption Policy used
4.8	A list of Decryption Exceptions
4.9	The
4.10	Bettercap help page