

High-Dimensional Probability

Daniele Zago

November 20, 2021

CONTENTS

Lecture 1: Concentration inequalities	1
1.1 Hoeffding's inequality	1
1.2 Chernoff's inequality	4

LECTURE 1: CONCENTRATION INEQUALITIES

2021-11-20

The object of the first lectures is trying to characterize deviations of sums of random variables X_i w.r. to their expected value \mathbb{E} . These *concentration inequalities* take for instance the form of

$$\mathbb{P}(|S - \mu| > t) \leq \text{Bound},$$

where the bound is tighter than what we usually obtain using the standard inequalities that are presented in a first course in probability. In particular, we are not looking for asymptotic results as in the central limit theorem, but rather for estimates which are valid for any sample size N .

1.1 Hoeffding's inequality

Let us begin by recalling two standard inequalities which are going to be especially useful in the following sections.

Thm. 1 (Markov's inequality)

Let $X \geq 0$ be a random variable with finite expected value, then

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

A straightforward consequence of Markov's inequality can be obtained by replacing the random variable X with $|X - \mu|$ and squaring both sides inside the probability operator, which yields the following inequality.

Corollary 1 (Chebyshev's inequality)

If X is a random variable with finite variance, $\mathbb{V}[X] < \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{V}[X]}{t^2}.$$

Remark Many of the arguments that we make in this lecture will be based on the following trick: for any random variable X and for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X - \mu \geq t) &= \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \leq e^{\lambda t}) && \text{(monotone)} \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}] && \text{(Markov)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since it holds for any choice of $\lambda > 0$ we can obtain the tightest bound by optimizing w.r. to λ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X - \mu \geq t) \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}],$$

and since X is usually a sum of random variables, its characteristic function can be decomposed into a product and evaluated quite easily.

Thm. 2 (Hoeffding's inequality)

Let X_1, \dots, X_N be i.i.d Rademacher($\frac{1}{2}$) random variables and $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$, then for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\|a\|_2^2}\right)$$

Sample size Unlike standard concentration inequalities based on the central limit theorem, this inequality gives an exact bound for any value of N .

Tightness Moreover, we can see that the tail behaviour, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(Y \geq t)$, is square-exponential in t , which means that this bound is extremely tight.

Proof.

Suppose that $\|a\|_2 = 1$, otherwise we can rescale t accordingly. For $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i \geq t\right) &\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i}] \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^N \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda a_i X_i}]}_{\frac{1}{2}e^{\lambda a_i} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda a_i}} \quad (\text{Indep.}) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^N \cosh(\lambda a_i) \quad (\tfrac{1}{2}e^x + \tfrac{1}{2}e^{-x} = \cosh(x)) \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2} \quad (\cosh(x) \leq e^{\frac{x^2}{2}}, \text{ see here}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we want to find the optimal bound, $\lambda_{\text{opt}} = \inf_{\lambda > 0} e^{-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \|a\|_2^2}$, we first notice that the function inside the exponent is parabolic in λ ,

$$f(\lambda) = -\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \|a\|_2^2 \stackrel{\text{parabola}}{\implies} \lambda_{\text{opt}} = \frac{t}{\|a\|_2^2} \implies f(\lambda_{\text{opt}}) = -\frac{t^2}{2\|a\|_2^2}.$$

Therefore, by substituting the optimal λ we obtain the proof of Hoeffding's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i \geq t\right) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\|a\|_2^2}}.$$

□

Exercise Restate Hoeffding's inequality for $X_1, \dots, X_N \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ber}(\frac{1}{2})$, using the fact that $Z_i = 2X_i - 1$ with $Z_i \sim \text{Rademacher}(\frac{1}{2})$.

Exercise Use Hoeffding's inequality for Bernoulli random variables to prove that by tossing a coin N times we have the exact bound

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\text{at least } \frac{3}{4} \text{ heads}\right) \leq e^{-N/8}.$$

Remark We can get a double bound from the above 2 by using $\mathbb{P}(|S| \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}(S \geq t) + \mathbb{P}(-S \geq t)$, and observing that the Rademacher r.v. is symmetric $S = -S$. Therefore, both bounds are equal and the following two-sided inequality can be stated.

Thm. 3 (Two-sided Hoeffding's inequality)

Let X_1, \dots, X_N be i.i.d Rademacher r.v.'s, then for all $t \geq 0$ and for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\|a\|_2^2}\right).$$

We now turn to the more general problem of bounded random variables, which include as a special case the setting of Bernoulli r.v.'s with varying parameter p_i .

Thm. 4 (Hoeffding's inequality for bounded r.v.'s)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be independent but not identically distributed r.v.'s, such that $X_i \in [m_i, M_i]$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i] < \infty$. Then, for all $t \geq 0$ the following inequality holds,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N (M_i - m_i)^2}\right).$$

Proof.

(Exercise 2.2.7 in the book) The difficult part is achieving the constant 2 in the numerator, therefore we start with a different constant and then use a trick to get it. Let $\lambda > 0$, then by the same argument as before we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq t\right) &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])}] \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \prod_i \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])}] \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t + \sum_i \lambda (M_i - m_i)} \end{aligned}$$

This is not as easy to optimize as before since we don't have a quadratic form, therefore we need a subtle trick to transform it into a more easily handled problem.

Trick In order to replace " $\cosh x \leq e^{x^2/2}$ " we can use the following trick: Let Y be a r.v. with $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$ (our case of $X - \mathbb{E}[X]$) and $Y \in [a, b]$, then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] \leq e^{\lambda^2 \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}}.$$

This is based on a symmetrization of Y by introducing another independent random variable $Y' \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ and $Z \sim \text{Rademacher}(\frac{1}{2})$ from which we have $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda Y'}] \stackrel{\text{Jens.}}{\leq} e^{-\lambda \mathbb{E}[Y]} = 1$, therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda Y'}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(Y-Y')}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z(Y-Y')}] = \mathbb{E}[\cosh(\lambda(Y-Y'))] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda^2 \frac{(Y-Y')^2}{2}}] = e^{\lambda^2 \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}}.$$

Using this trick, we can optimize the equation using

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq t\right) &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \prod_i e^{\lambda^2 \frac{(M_i - m_i)^2}{2}} \\ &= \exp\left(-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_i \frac{(M_i - m_i)^2}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We can optimize with $\lambda > 0$ and get the minimum with a different constant than 2. Finding this other minimum requires more work. □

Example (Book 2.2.9 – Boosting a randomized algorithm)

We have an algorithm that gives the right answer out of two classes with a probability $\frac{1}{2} + \delta$, with $\delta > 0$. We run this algorithm N (odd) times and take the majority vote to get the final classification.

Problem Find the minimal N such that $\mathbb{P}(\text{correct answer}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ fixed.

Solution Consider the following r.v. X_1, \dots, X_N be the indicator of the wrong answer

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i^{\text{th}} \text{ run is wrong} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then, using thm. 4 with $t = N\delta$, $M_i = 1$ and $m_i = 0$ we can bound the probability of wrong answer as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_1 + \dots + X_N \geq \frac{N}{2}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)) \geq N\delta\right) \stackrel{4}{\leq} \exp\left(-\frac{2N^2\delta^2}{N}\right).$$

Therefore, in order to have the required bounded probability we need

$$-2N\delta^2 \leq \log \varepsilon \iff \boxed{N \geq \frac{1}{2\delta^2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}.$$

1.2 Chernoff's inequality

Consider the last Hoeffding's inequality (thm. 4), then for a sum of random variables we can write the Gaussian tail using the CLT as approximately

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}.$$

Chernoff's inequality is useful in regimes of sums in order to prove a bound that is again independent from the central limit theorem. The following theorem is a merged result of Theorem 2.3.1, Exercise 2.3.2 and Exercise 2.3.5 in the book.

Thm. 5 (Chernoff's inequality)

Let X_1, \dots, X_N be such that $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p_i)$ and consider the cumulative sum $S_N = \sum_i X_i$ with expected value $\mu = \mathbb{E}[S_N] = \sum_i p_i$. Then, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(S_N \geq t) &\leq e^{-\mu} \cdot \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t && \text{for } t > \mu, \\ \mathbb{P}(S_N \leq t) &\leq e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t && \text{for } t < \mu, \\ \mathbb{P}(|S_N - \mu| \geq \delta\mu) &\leq 2e^{-C\mu\delta^2} && \text{for } \delta \in (0, 1],\end{aligned}$$

where C is a universal constant (i.e. does not depend on the other quantities).

Proof.

1. The first step is always the same, let $\lambda > 0$ then

$$\mathbb{P}(S_N \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda S_N} \geq e^{\lambda t}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda S_N}] = e^{-\lambda t} \prod_i \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}]. \quad (1)$$

Now for a Bernoulli random variable, $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}] = (1 - p_i)e^0 + p_i e^\lambda = 1 + (e^\lambda - 1)p_i$, and we use the following identity:

$$1 + x \leq e^x \quad \text{for all } x > 0,$$

to write

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}] = 1 + \overbrace{(e^\lambda - 1)p_i}^x \leq \exp((e^\lambda - 1)p_i).$$

Going back to (1), we have the following bound for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_N \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t} e^{(e^\lambda - 1) \sum_i p_i} = e^{-\lambda t + \mu(e^\lambda - 1)}.$$

Again, by optimizing over λ we find that the tightest bound from (1) is given by

$$f(\lambda) = -\lambda t + \mu(e^\lambda - 1) \implies \lambda_{\text{opt}} = \underset{\lambda > 0}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\lambda) = \log \frac{t}{\mu},$$

from which we obtain the first Chernoff bound,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_N \geq t) \leq e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^t.$$

2. For the second inequality, proceed as before using

$$\mathbb{P}(S_N \leq t) \stackrel{\lambda \geq 0}{\leq} \mathbb{P}(e^{-\lambda S_N} \geq e^{-\lambda t}).$$

3. We can obtain the bound on $\mathbb{P}(|S_N - \mu| \geq \delta\mu)$ by using the fact that

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_N - \mu| \geq \delta\mu) \leq \mathbb{P}(S_N - \mu \geq \delta\mu) + \mathbb{P}(S_N - \mu \leq -\delta\mu) \stackrel{(1),(2)}{\leq} \dots$$

□

Thm. 6 (Poisson tail)

Let $X \sim \text{Pois}(\gamma)$ with $\gamma > 0$, and

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k) = e^{-\gamma} \frac{\gamma^k}{k!}, \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let now $t > \gamma$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq e^{-\gamma} \left(\frac{e\gamma}{t} \right)^t \quad (\text{A})$$

Remark This bound is extremely useful and is similar to Chernoff's bound thm. 5, which works instead for a sum of random variables.

Proof.

Exercise Prove equation (A) using the basic trick $\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$, which can be computed explicitly, and then optimize over $\lambda > 0$. Briefly comment why this bound is optimal.

□