# Applied Econometrics

## Derek Li

## Contents

1	Intr	roduction
	1.1	Econometric Methodology
	1.2	Data Types
		1.2.1 Cross-Section
		1.2.2 Time Series
		1.2.3 Repeated Cross-Section
		1.2.4 Panel Data
2	Rev	view of Statistics
	2.1	Estimator
	2.2	Sampling Distribution
	2.3	Confidence Interval
	2.4	Hypothesis Testing
		2.4.1 Two Types of Errors
		2.4.2 Testing Steps
		2.4.3 <i>p</i> -Value
	2.5	Proves of Some Theorems and Results (optional)
3	Sim	ple Regression
	3.1	Basic Property
	3.2	Econometric Model
	3.3	Estimator: OLS
	3.4	Properties of OLS
	3.5	Interpretation
4	Mu	ltiple Regression: Estimation
	4.1	Econometric Model
	4.2	Estimator: OLS
	4.3	Properties of OLS
		4.3.1 Omitted Variable Bias
		4.3.2 Including New Variables
5	Mu	ltiple Regression: Inference
	5.1	Confidence Interval
	5.2	<i>t</i> -Test
	5.3	F-Test
	5.4	Example in STATA

## 1 Introduction

Econometrics are quantitative methods of analyzing and interpreting economic data, which need to combine economic theory, math and statistics, data, and statistical or econometrics software.

We focus on estimating economic relationships, testing hypothesis involving economic behavior, and forecasting the behavior of economic variables.

### 1.1 Econometric Methodology

- Ask a question statement of theory or hypothesis
- Specification of economic model
- Specification of econometric model
- Collection of Data
- Estimation of the econometric model
- Hypothesis testing
- Prediction or forecasting

#### 1.2 Data Types

There are different data structures: cross-section, time series, repeated cross-section, and panel data.

#### 1.2.1 Cross-Section

Cross-section consists of a sample of individuals, households, firms, countries, etc, taken at a given point in time. Observations are generally independent draws from the population. It is commonly indexed by i as  $x_i$ .

#### 1.2.2 Time Series

Time series consists of observations on a variable or several variables over time. Observations are almost never independent of each other. It is commonly indexed by t as  $x_t$ .

#### 1.2.3 Repeated Cross-Section

Repeated cross-section consists of two or more cross-sectional data in different points in time, and is different units in different periods. It is commonly index by it as  $x_{it}$ .

**Example 1.1.** Suppose two cross-sectional household surveys are taking in 1985 and 1990. In 1985, a random sample of households is surveyed for variables such as income. In 1990, a new random sample of households is taken using the same survey questions. This is a repeated cross-section data set.

#### 1.2.4 Panel Data

Panel data consists of a time series for each cross-sectional unit in the data set. Observations are independent among units and dependent over time for each unit. It is commonly index by it as  $x_{it}$ .

### 2 Review of Statistics

Suppose  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Assume a random sample  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , i.e., identically and independently distributed (i.i.d.).

#### 2.1 Estimator

**Definition 2.1** (Statistic). A statistic is a function of the data.

**Definition 2.2** (Estimator). An estimator is a statistic that is used to estimate the parameter of interest.

Take  $\mu$  as an example, the proposed estimator is sample average

$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

#### 2.2 Sampling Distribution

We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{X}_n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[x_i\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mu = \mu,$$

i.e.,  $\overline{X}_n$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$ . Besides,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\overline{X}_{n}\right] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}\right] = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}\right] \stackrel{\text{independet}}{=} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{Var}\left[x_{i}\right] = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}.$$

**Definition 2.3** (Consistency). Let  $W_n$  be an estimator of  $\theta$  based on a sample  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ . Then  $W_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  is for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P(|W_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

As commonly stated, an estimator is called consistent when its sampling distribution becomes more and more concentrated around the parameters of interest as the sample size increases. Note that  $\overline{X}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2), Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ , then

$$Y_1 + Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + 2\text{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2)),$$

By theorem, we have the sampling distribution

$$\overline{X}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}),$$

provided  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

#### 2.3 Confidence Interval

**Theorem 2.2.** If Y has  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mu$ ,  $\text{Var}[Y] = \sigma^2$ , then  $Z = \frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma}$  is such that  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 0$ , Var[Z] = 1.

By theorem, we have

$$Z = \frac{\overline{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Therefore,

$$1 - \alpha = P\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leqslant Z \leqslant z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = P\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leqslant \frac{\overline{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leqslant z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$$
$$= P\left(\overline{X}_n - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \mu \leqslant \overline{X}_n + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

i.e.,  $(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval is

$$\left[\overline{X}_n - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \overline{X}_n + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right].$$

#### 2.4 Hypothesis Testing

#### 2.4.1 Two Types of Errors

We want to test  $H_0$  against  $H_1$  and there are two types of errors:

- (1) Reject  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is true;
- (2) Reject  $H_1$  when  $H_1$  is true.

There is a trade-off between two types of errors: if  $P(\text{Reject } H_0|H_0)$  decreases, then  $P(\text{Reject } H_1|H_1)$  increases. But we fix the  $P(\text{Reject } H_0|H_0)$  at a very small level, i.e., we reject  $H_0$  when there is strong evidence against it.

#### 2.4.2 Testing Steps

- (1) Fix the  $P(\text{Reject } H_0|H_0)$  at some level  $\alpha$ .
- (2) Define a test statistic and we have to know the distribution of test statistic under  $H_0$ .
- (3) Define rejection region,  $\{|t|>c\}$ , where c is the critical value and

$$\alpha = P(|t| > c|H_0) = 1 - P(-c < t < c|H_0).$$

(4) Check the result and decide whether reject  $H_0$  or not.

#### **2.4.3** *p*-Value

Given the observed value of the test statistic, p-value is the smallest significance level  $\alpha$  at which the null would be rejected. The smaller the p-value, the greatest the evidence against  $H_0$ .

#### 2.5 Proves of Some Theorems and Results (optional)

**Theorem 2.3** (Markov's Inequality). If X is a nonnegative random variable and a > 0, then

$$P(X \geqslant a) \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}.$$

*Proof.* Since X is a nonnegative random variable, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx = \mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^a x f(x) dx + \int_a^\infty x f(x) dx$$
$$\geqslant \int_a^\infty x f(x) dx \geqslant \int_a^\infty a f(x) dx = a \int_a^\infty f(x) dx = a P(X \geqslant a).$$

Hence

$$P(X \geqslant a) \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}.$$

**Theorem 2.4** (Chebyshev Inequality). For any b > 0,

$$P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge b) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{b^2}.$$

*Proof.* By Markov's Inequality, we have

$$P((X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \ge b^2) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]}{b^2} = \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{b^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge b) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{b^2}.$$

**Theorem 2.5** (Weak Law of Large Numbers). Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sequence of independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ . Let  $\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\overline{X}_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Chebyshev Inequality, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P(|\overline{X}_n - \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}_n]| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[\overline{X}]}{\varepsilon^2} \Leftrightarrow 0 \le P(|\overline{X}_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon} = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left(\left|\overline{X}_n - \mu\right| > \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

It follows that  $\overline{X}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\mu$ .

## 3 Simple Regression

#### 3.1 Basic Property

**Property 3.1.**  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) = 0.$ 

Proof. 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n\overline{x} = n\overline{x} - n\overline{x} = 0.$$

**Property 3.2.** 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(y_i - \overline{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})y_i$$
.

*Proof.* On the one hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(y_i - \overline{y}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{x}(y_i - \overline{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(y_i - \overline{y}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})\overline{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})y_i.$$

Corollary 3.1.  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (x_i - \overline{x}).$ 

Property 3.3.  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y|X]]$ .

### 3.2 Econometric Model

Let (Y, X, U) be random variables with joint distribution s.t. Y = g(X, U), where Y is dependent variable, X is explanatory (regressor/covariate) variable, and U is unobservable variable.

**Assumption 1** (Linear in Parameters).  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + U$ .

**Example 3.1.**  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x^2 + u$ , then  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 2\beta_1 x$ .

**Example 3.2.**  $\ln y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln x + u$ , then  $\frac{\partial \ln y}{\partial \ln x} = \beta_1 \approx \frac{\Delta y\%}{\Delta x\%}$ .

**Assumption 2** (Zero Conditional Mean).  $\mathbb{E}[U|X] = \mathbb{E}[U]$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[U] = 0$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let Y be wage, X be training program, and U be ability. If training is assigned randomly, then X and U are fully independent. Nevertheless, if let X be education, then the education may influence the ability so that  $\mathbb{E}[U|X=0] \neq \mathbb{E}[U|X=1]$ , then A2 is violated.

From A1 and A2, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|X] \stackrel{\text{A1}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + U|X] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbb{E}[X|X] + \mathbb{E}[U|X]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{A2}}{=} \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \mathbb{E}[U] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X.$$

**Assumption 3** (Random Sample).  $\{(x_i, y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is i.i.d.

**Assumption 4** (Sample Variation).  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  are not all the same.

Assumption 5 (Homoscedasticity).  $Var[U|X] = \sigma_U^2$ 

From A1 and A5, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y|X] \stackrel{\text{A1}}{=} \operatorname{Var}[\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + U|X] = \operatorname{Var}[U|X] \stackrel{\text{A5}}{=} \sigma_U^2.$$

#### 3.3 Estimator: OLS

We want to solve

$$\min_{\widehat{\beta}_0,\widehat{\beta}_1} \sum_{i=1}^n Q\left(\widehat{\beta}_0,\widehat{\beta}_1\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \widehat{\beta}_0 - \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i\right)^2.$$

Let

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \hat{\beta}_0} = -\sum_{i=1}^n 2\left(y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i\right) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \hat{\beta}_1} = -\sum_{i=1}^n 2\left(y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i\right) x_i = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{\beta}_0 = \overline{y} - \beta_1 \overline{x}.$$

Besides,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( x_i y_i - \widehat{\beta}_0 x_i - \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( x_i y_i - x_i \overline{y} + \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i \overline{x} - \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i^2 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \overline{y} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i \overline{x} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - n \overline{x} \overline{y} + n \widehat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}^2 - \widehat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = 0,$$

and thus

$$\widehat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} - n \overline{x} \overline{y}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} - n \overline{x}^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} y_{i} - x_{i} \overline{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i}^{2} - x_{i} \overline{x})} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} (y_{i} - \overline{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} (x_{i} - \overline{x})} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})(y_{i} - \overline{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}.$$

## 3.4 Properties of OLS

Property 3.4.  $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_1\right] = \beta_1$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\widehat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})(y_{i} - \overline{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i} + u_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})\beta_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})\beta_{1}x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})u_{i} \right]$$

$$= \beta_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})u_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}.$$

By A4,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \neq 0$ , and thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1}|x_{1},\cdots,x_{n}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})u_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})^{2}} \middle| x_{1},\cdots,x_{n}\right]$$

$$= \beta_{1} + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})u_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})^{2}} \middle| x_{1},\cdots,x_{n}\right]$$

$$= \beta_{1} + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})\mathbb{E}[u_{i}|x_{1},\cdots,x_{n}]$$

$$\stackrel{A3}{=} \beta_{1} + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})\mathbb{E}[u_{i}|x_{i}]$$

$$\stackrel{A2}{=} \beta_{1} + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-\overline{x})\cdot 0 = \beta_{1}.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_1\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_1|x_1,\cdots,x_n\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_1\right] = \beta_1$ . As a consequence, OLS is unbiased.

Property 3.5. Var 
$$\left[\widehat{\beta}_1|x_1,\cdots,x_n\right] = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n (x_i-\overline{x})^2}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\beta}_{1}\right] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})u_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} \middle| x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})u_{i} \middle| x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{A3}}{=} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left[u_{i} \middle| x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{A3}}{=} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} \operatorname{Var}\left[u_{i} \middle| x_{i}\right] \stackrel{\text{A5}}{=} \frac{\sigma_{u}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}.$$

Notice that larger  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$  implies smaller  $\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}_1\right]$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Gauss-Markov Theorem). Under A1 to A5, OLS is the best linear unbiased estimator.

**Definition 3.1** (Standard Error). Let  $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$ ,  $\hat{u}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_U^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2$ , define

$$SE\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}\right) = \sqrt{\widehat{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}_{1}\right]} = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{U}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}}.$$

**Property 3.6.**  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_i = 0.$ 

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{u}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \widehat{y}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \widehat{\beta}_{0} - \widehat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y} + \widehat{\beta}_{1} \overline{x} - \widehat{\beta}_{1} x_{i})$$
$$= n\overline{y} - n\overline{y} + n\widehat{\beta}_{1} \overline{x} - n\widehat{\beta}_{1} \overline{x} = 0.$$

**Property 3.7.**  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{i} x_{i} = 0.$ 

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{u}_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \widehat{y}_i) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y} + \widehat{\beta}_1 \overline{x} - \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i) x_i$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - n \overline{x} \overline{y} + \widehat{\beta}_1 \left( n \overline{x}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \right) = 0.$$

**Definition 3.2.**  $R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SST}}$ , where  $SSR = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_i^2$ ,  $SST = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$ .

## 3.5 Interpretation

Table 3.1: Summary of Functional Forms Involving Logarithms

Table 5.1. Sammary of Lancetonal Loring Inverving Bogarismus							
Model	Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	Interpretation of $\beta_1$				
Level-Level	y	x	$\Delta y = \beta_1 \Delta x$				
Level-Log	y	$\ln x$	$\Delta y = \frac{\beta_1}{100} \% \Delta x$				
Log-Level	$\ln y$	x	$\%\Delta y = 100\beta_1 \Delta x$				
Log-Log	$\ln y$	$\ln x$	$\%\Delta y = \beta_1\%\Delta x$				

#### Example 3.4 (Level-Log). Suppose

$$hours = 33 + 45.1 \ln(wage),$$

then a 1% increase in wage increases the weekly hours worked by about 0.451.

#### Example 3.5 (Log-Level). Suppose

$$\ln(wage) = 2.78 + 0.094educ,$$

then one more year of education increases hourly wage by about 9.4%.

## 4 Multiple Regression: Estimation

#### 4.1 Econometric Model

**Assumption 1** (Linear in Parameters).  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + U$ . We can write Y as

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 & \cdots & X_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{X}\beta + U,$$

where **X** is  $1 \times (k+1)$ ,  $\beta$  is  $(k+1) \times 1$ .

**Assumption 2** (Zero Conditional Mean).  $\mathbb{E}[U|\mathbf{X}] = 0$ .

**Assumption 3** (Random Sample).  $\{(y_i, x_{1i}, \dots, x_{ki}), i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is i.i.d.

**Assumption 4** (No Perfect Collinearity). There is no exact linear relationship among the explanatory variables.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + U, X_3 = X_1 + X_2$ . Then

$$Y = \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)X_1 + (\beta_2 + \beta_3)X_2 + U,$$

which violates No Perfect Collinearity.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_1^2 + \beta_3 (X_1 \cdot X_2) + U$ , then

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial X_1} = \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 X_1 + \beta_3 X_2,$$

and thus the model does not violate No Perfect Collinearity.

**Assumption 5** (Homoscedasticity).  $Var[U|X_1, \dots, X_k] = \sigma_U^2$ 

From A1 and A5, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma_U^2.$$

#### 4.2 Estimator: OLS

We want to solve

$$\min_{\widehat{\beta}_0, \dots, \widehat{\beta}_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i - \widehat{\beta}_0 - \widehat{\beta}_1 x_{1i} - \dots - \widehat{\beta}_k x_{ki} \right)^2.$$

With F.O.C., we have  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$ .

#### 4.3 Properties of OLS

**Property 4.1.**  $R^2$  increases when the model includes more explanatory variables.

**Property 4.2** (Partialling Out). Regress  $X_1$  on  $X_2, \dots, X_k$ :

$$X_1 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_2 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} X_k + \Omega.$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\Omega}_i = X_{1i} - \widehat{X}_{1i} = X_{1i} - (\widehat{\alpha}_0 + \widehat{\alpha}_1 X_{2i} + \dots + \widehat{\alpha}_{k-1} X_{ki}).$$

Regress  $Y_i$  on  $\widehat{\Omega}_i$ :

$$Y_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \widehat{\Omega}_i + V_i,$$

then

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{i} Y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{i}^{2}} = \widehat{\beta}_{1}.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\beta}_1$  measures the effect of  $X_1$  on Y after  $X_2, \dots, X_k$  have been partialled out.

**Property 4.3.** Under A1 to A4, OLS is unbiased, i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\beta}_{j}\right] = \beta_{j}, j = 0, \dots, k$ .

Property 4.4. Under A1 to A5,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right] = \frac{\sigma_{U}^{2}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{ij} - \overline{x}_{j})^{2}\right](1 - R_{j}^{2})},$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $R_j^2$  is the  $R^2$  of the regression of  $X_j$  on all other X's.

#### 4.3.1 Omitted Variable Bias

Suppose the true model is

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + U,$$

with  $\mathbb{E}[U|X_1, X_2] = 0$ . Now ignore  $X_2$  and instead consider  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + U$ .

Suppose  $X_2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_1 + V$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[V|X_1] = 0$ , and thus

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_1 + V) + U = (\beta_0 + \beta_2 \alpha_0) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha_1) X_1 + (\beta_2 V + U)$$
  
:=  $\widetilde{\beta}_0 + \widetilde{\beta}_1 X_1 + \varepsilon$ .

If  $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon|X_1] = 0$ , then  $\widetilde{\beta}_1$  for  $\widetilde{\beta}_1$  is unbiased, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{\delta}_1\right] = \delta_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha_1,$$

then Bias  $(\hat{\delta}_1) = \beta_2 \alpha_1$ . Because the bias in this case arises from omitting  $x_2$ , we call  $\beta_2 \alpha_1$  the omitted variable bias.

#### 4.3.2 Including New Variables

Suppose we have  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + U$ , and we consider whether  $X_{k+1}$  should be included in the model.

We consider these cases:

- If  $\beta_{k+1} = 0$ , then we should not include  $X_{k+1}$ .
- If  $\beta_{k+1} \neq 0$  and  $X_{k+1}$  is uncorrelated with all other X's:
  - There is no omitted variable bias problem.
  - $\Delta R_j^2 = 0$  and  $\sigma_U^2$  decreases, and thus  $\text{Var}\left[\hat{\beta}_j\right]$  decreases.

Hence we should include  $X_{k+1}$ .

- If  $\beta_{k+1} \neq 0$  and  $X_{k+1}$  is correlated with other X's:
  - Excluding  $X_{k+1}$  leads to omitted variable bias.
  - $R_j^2$  increases and  $\sigma_U^2$  decreases, and thus the change in  $\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}_j\right]$  is unclear. We should still include  $X_{k+1}$  to avoid omitted variable bias.

## 5 Multiple Regression: Inference

Assumption 6 (Normality).  $U|\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_U^2)$ 

With A6 we have

$$Y|\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma_U^2).$$

Property 5.1. Under A1 to A6,

$$\hat{\beta}_j \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\beta_j, \frac{\sigma_U^2}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ij} - \overline{x}_j)^2\right] (1 - R_j^2)}\right),$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

Corollary 5.1. We have

$$\frac{\widehat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left[\widehat{\beta}_j\right]}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Corollary 5.2. We have

$$T = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\operatorname{SE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_j\right)} \sim t_{(n-k-1)},$$

where SE 
$$\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{U}^{2}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{ij}-\overline{x}_{j})^{2}\right](1-R_{j}^{2})}}, \widehat{\sigma}_{U}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\widehat{u}_{i})^{2} = \frac{1}{n-k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i}\widehat{\beta}\right)^{2}.$$

#### 5.1 Confidence Interval

The  $(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\beta_j$  is

$$\left[\widehat{\beta}_j \pm c \cdot SE\left(\widehat{\beta}_j\right)\right].$$

#### **5.2** *t*-Test

We want to test

$$H_0: \beta_j = \beta_j^0 \text{ against } H_1: \beta_j \neq \beta_j^0,$$

and we use the test statistic

$$T = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\operatorname{SE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_j\right)}.$$

#### **5.3** *F*-Test

Suppose the unrestricted model  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + U$ . F-test is a joint test to test last q coefficients are zero, i.e., we want to test

 $H_0: \beta_{k-q+1} = \cdots = \beta_k = 0$  against  $H_1:$  At least one of them is not 0.

Under  $H_0$ , we have the restricted model  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \beta_{k-q} X_{k-q} + U$ , and the test statistic is

$$F = \frac{(SSR_r - SSR_{ur})/q}{SSR_{ur}/(n-k-1)} \sim F_{(q,n-k-1)}$$

under  $H_0$ .

## 5.4 Example in STATA

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = $n$
Model	SSE	k	$\frac{\text{SSE}}{k}$	F( , ) = $F$ Prob > F = $p$ -value of the $F$ -test
Residual	SSR	n-k-1	$\frac{\text{SSR}}{n-k-1}$	$R - squared = 1 - \frac{SSR}{SST}$ $Adj R-squared$
Total	SST	n-1	$\frac{\text{SST}}{n-1}$	Root MSE = $\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_U^2}$

Y	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
X1	$\hat{\beta}_1$	$\operatorname{SE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}\right)$	â.		[^
X2	$\widehat{eta}_2$	$\operatorname{SE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{2}\right)$	$\frac{\beta_j}{\operatorname{SE}(\widehat{\beta}_j)}$	p-value of $t$ -test	$\left[\widehat{\beta}_j \mp c \cdot \operatorname{SE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_j\right)\right]$
_cons	$\widehat{eta}_0$	$\operatorname{SE}\left\langle \widehat{\beta}_{0}\right\rangle$			