# Probability

## Derek Li

## Contents

1	Rev	iew	2
	1.1	Sequence	2
	1.2	Set	4
	1.3	Number System and Euclidean Space	5
	1.4	Function	j
		1.4.1 Indicator Function and Indicator Map	Š
	1.5	Linear Algebra	7
2	Pro	bability Space	3
3	Exp	pectation	)
	3.1	Expectation	9
	3.2	Variance, Covariance and Correlation	9
	3.3	Equality in Distribution	1
	3.4	Conditional Expectation	1
4	Pro	bability Distribution 12	2
	4.1	General Finite Discrete Distribution	2
	4.2	Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral	3
	4.3	Uniform Distribution	3
		4.3.1 Fundamental Theorem of Applied Probability	5
	4.4	Bernoulli Distribution	7
	4.5	Binomial Distribution	7
	4.6	Negative-Binomial and Geometric Distribution	)
	4.7	Poisson Distribution	1
	4.8	Exponential Distribution	2
	4.9	Gamma Distribution	3
		Beta Distribution	1
	4.11	Normal Distribution	1
	4 12	$v^2$ Distribution	ŝ

### 1 Review

### 1.1 Sequence

**Theorem 1.1.**  $\sup(-x_n) = -\inf(x_n)$  and  $\inf(-x_n) = \sup(x_n)$ .

*Proof.* We know  $\forall x_n \in \{x_n\}, \exists x \text{ s.t. } x \leq x_n \Rightarrow -x \geq -x_n, \text{ i.e., } -x \text{ is the upper bound for } \{-x_n\}$  and x is the lower bound for  $\{x_n\}$ .

Besides,  $\exists y \text{ s.t. } y = \sup(-x_n)$ , i.e.,  $-x_n \leqslant y \leqslant -x \Rightarrow x \leqslant -y \leqslant x_n$ . Hence,  $-y = -\sup(-x_n)$  is the greatest lower bound for  $\{x_n\}$  and wherefore

$$-\sup(-x_n) = \inf(x_n) \Rightarrow \sup(-x_n) = -\inf(x_n).$$

Similarly, we can show that  $\inf(-x_n) = \sup(x_n)$ .

Theorem 1.2.  $\inf_{k \geqslant m} x_k \leqslant \sup_{k \geqslant n} x_k, \forall m, n.$ 

*Proof.* We have

$$\inf_{k \geqslant n} x_k \leqslant x_n \leqslant \sup_{k \geqslant n} x_k.$$

Assume  $m \leq n$ , we have

$$\inf_{k \geqslant m} x_k \leqslant \inf_{k \geqslant n} x_k \leqslant x_n \leqslant \sup_{k \geqslant n} x_k.$$

Assume  $m \ge n$ , we have

$$\inf_{k \geqslant m} x_k \leqslant x_n \leqslant \sup_{k \geqslant m} x_k \leqslant \sup_{k \geqslant n} x_k.$$

Wherefore,  $\inf_{k \geqslant m} x_k \leqslant \sup_{k \geqslant n} x_k, \forall m, n.$ 

**Definition 1.1** (Upper Limit). We define upper limit  $\overline{\lim} x_n = \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n$  as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{i\geqslant n} x_i = \inf_{n\geqslant 1} \sup_{i\geqslant n} x_i.$$

**Definition 1.2** (Lower Limit). We define lower limit  $\underline{\lim} x_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n$  as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf_{j\geqslant n}x_j=\sup_{n\geqslant 1}\inf_{j\geqslant n}x_j.$$

Theorem 1.3.  $\underline{\lim} x_n \leqslant \overline{\lim} x_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\inf_{k \ge n} x_k \le \sup_{k \ge n} x_k$ ,

$$\underline{\lim} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{k \geqslant n} x_k \leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \geqslant n} x_k = \overline{\lim} x_n.$$

Theorem 1.4.  $\sup_{k \ge n} x_k - \inf_{k \ge n} x_k = \sup_{i,j \ge n} |x_i - x_j|.$ 

*Proof.* We have

$$\sup_{i \geqslant n} x_i - x_j = \sup_{i \geqslant n} (x_i - x_j),$$

for any fixed j.

Wherefore

$$\sup_{i \geqslant n} x_i - \inf_{j \geqslant n} x_j = \sup_{i \geqslant n} x_i + \sup_{j \geqslant n} (-x_j) = \sup_{j \geqslant n} \sup_{i \geqslant n} (x_i - x_j)$$
$$= \sup_{j \geqslant n} \sup_{i \geqslant n} |x_i - x_j| = \sup_{i,j \geqslant n} |x_i - x_j|.$$

**Definition 1.3** (Cauchy).  $x_n$  is Cauchy iff

$$\sup_{i,j\geqslant n}|x_i-x_j|\to 0,$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** If a sequence converges, it must be Cauchy.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ , then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } n \geqslant N \Rightarrow |x_n - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,  $\forall i, j \geq N$ , we have

$$|x_i - x_j| = |x_i - x + (x_j - x)| \le |x_i - x| + |x_j - x| < \varepsilon,$$

i.e., the sequence is Cauchy.

Theorem 1.6.  $x = \overline{\lim} x_n = \underline{\lim} x_n \Leftrightarrow x_n \to x$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) We have  $\inf_{k \ge n} x_k \le x_n \le \sup_{k \ge n} x_k$ .

Since  $x = \overline{\lim} x_n = \underline{\lim} x_n$ , then

$$\inf_{k\geqslant n} x_k \leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{k\geqslant n} x_k = x = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{k\geqslant n} x_k \leqslant \sup_{k\geqslant n} x_k.$$

As a consequence,

$$|x_n - x| \le \sup_{k \ge n} x_k - \inf_{k \ge n} x_k \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

i.e.,  $x_n \to x$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Since  $x_n \to x$ , then the sequence is Cauchy, i.e.,

$$\sup_{i,j\geqslant n}|x_i-x_j|=\sup_{k\geqslant n}x_k-\inf_{k\geqslant n}x_k\to 0\text{ as }n\to\infty.$$

Therefore,  $\overline{\lim} x_n = \underline{\lim} x_n = x$ .

### 1.2 Set

**Definition 1.4** (Power Set). For a given set  $\Omega$ , the power set is the set of all of its subsets

$$\mathcal{P}(\Omega) = \{A | A \subset \Omega\}.$$

The power set is closed w.r.t. all the usual set-theoretic operations.

**Definition 1.5** (Symmetric Difference). For any two sets A and B,

$$A\Delta B = (A - B) + (B - A) = A \cup B - AB.$$

**Definition 1.6** (Arbitrary Unions). Let  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $A_n \subset \Omega$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\omega \in \bigcup_{n \ge 1} A_n \text{ iff } \exists n \text{ s.t. } \omega \in A_n$$

**Definition 1.7** (Arbitrary Intersections). Let  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $A_n \subset \Omega$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\omega \in \bigcap_{n \ge 1} A_n \text{ iff } \forall n, \omega \in A_n.$$

Hence, we have

$$P(\omega \in A_n, \exists n) = P\left(\omega \in \bigcup_{n \ge 1} A_n\right) \text{ and } P(\omega \in A_n, \forall n) = P\left(\omega \in \bigcap_{n \ge 1} A_n\right).$$

**Definition 1.8** (Infinitely Often). Let  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $A_n \subset \Omega$ ,  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\omega \in \bigcap_{N \geqslant 1} \bigcup_{n \geqslant N} A_n \text{ iff } \forall N, \exists n \geqslant N \text{ s.t. } \omega \in \bigcup_{n \geqslant N} A_n.$$

**Property 1.1.** For any monotone sequence of sets, there will always exist a limit set, i.e.,

$$A_n \uparrow A = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} A_n$$
, or  $A_n \downarrow A = \bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} A_n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A_n$  is increasing, then  $\bigcap_{k \ge n} A_k = A_n$  and thus

$$\underline{\lim} A_n = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} \bigcap_{k \geqslant n} A_k = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} A_n.$$

Besides,  $\bigcup_{k \ge n} A_k = \bigcup_n A_n$  and thus

$$\overline{\lim} A_n = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \bigcup_{k \ge n} A_k = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \bigcup_n A_n = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} A_n.$$

Wherefore,  $\underline{\lim} A_n = \overline{\lim} A_n = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} A_n = A \Rightarrow A_n \uparrow A$ .

Similarly, we can show that if  $A_n \downarrow \bigcap_{n\geqslant 1} A_n$ .

### 1.3 Number System and Euclidean Space

With the notation of set, we can consider whole number as:  $0 = \emptyset, 1 = \{\emptyset\}, 2 = \{0, 1\}, \cdots$ . Therefore

$$n + 1 = n \cup \{n\}$$
  
=  $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \cup \{n\}$   
=  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ .

We can also define number systems with set:

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}, \mathbb{Q} = \left\{\frac{n}{m} \middle| n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\},$$

$$\mathbb{R} = \left\{x = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n \middle| r_n \in \mathbb{Q}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \mathbb{C} = \{z = x + iy \middle| x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

In multi-variable calculus, we define

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \{ \mathbf{x} | x_i \in \mathbb{R}. i = 1, \cdots, n \},\$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_i, i = 1, \dots, n)$  and

$$\mathbb{R}^{\infty} = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots) | x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

#### 1.4 Function

Before we define a function, we look at the product  $A \times B$  of any two sets A and B, which is defined as the set of all ordered pairs that may be formed of the elements of the first set A, with the second set B:

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) | a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

**Definition 1.9** (Ordered Pairs). An ordered pair is  $(a, b) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ .

**Definition 1.10** (Function). A function f with domain A and range B, denoted by  $f: A \to B$ , is any  $f \subset A \times B$  s.t.  $\forall a \in A, \exists! b \in B$  with  $(a,b) \in f$ .

From the definition, b is uniquely determined by a and we may write b = f(a).

The collection of all functions from a particular domain A to a certain B is denoted by

$$B^A = \{ f \subset A \times B | f : A \to B \}.$$

**Definition 1.11** (Inverse Image). For any function say  $X : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$ , the inverse image of any  $A \subset \mathcal{X}$  is defined as

$$X^{-1}(A) := \{ \omega \in \Omega | X(\omega) \in A \}.$$

**Definition 1.12.** f = g iff  $f(x) = g(x), \forall x$ .

#### 1.4.1 Indicator Function and Indicator Map

**Definition 1.13** (Indicator Function). For any  $A \subset \Omega$ , we define  $I_A \in 2^{\Omega}$  by

$$I_A(\omega) := \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in A \\ 0, & \omega \notin A \end{cases}.$$

Indicator function defines a bijective correspondence between subsets of  $\Omega$  and their indicator functions, that is referred to as the indicator map

$$I: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} 2^{\Omega}$$
  
 $A \mapsto I_A.$ 

**Theorem 1.7.** The indicator map is bijective.

*Proof.* We want to show the indicator map is both injective and surjective.

(Injection) Let  $I_A = I_B$ , then  $I_A(\omega) = I_B(\omega), \forall \omega$ .

We have

$$\omega \in A \Leftrightarrow I_A(\omega) = 1 = I_B(\omega) \Leftrightarrow \omega \in B$$
,

i.e., A = B.

(Surjection) Want to show  $\forall f \in 2^{\Omega}, \exists A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } I(A) = I_A = f.$ 

Take any  $f \in 2^{\Omega}$  and let  $A = \{\omega | f(\omega) = 1\}$ . We have

$$\omega \in A \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} f(\omega) = 1 \\ I_A(\omega) = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow f(\omega) = I_A(\omega), \forall \omega.$$

Hence,  $f = I_A$ .

From the proof, we also have

$$A = f^{-1}(1) = I_A^{-1}(1).$$

**Definition 1.14** (Convergence of Set).  $A_n \to A$  iff  $I(A_n) \to I(A)$ .

By the theorem, we have

$$A_{n} \to A \Leftrightarrow \overline{\lim} I(A_{n}) = \underline{\lim} I(A_{n}) = I(A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \inf_{n \geqslant 1} \sup_{k \geqslant n} I(A_{k}) = \sup_{n \geqslant 1} \inf_{k \geqslant n} I(A_{k}) = I(A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I\left(\bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} \bigcup_{k \geqslant n} A_{k}\right) = I\left(\bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} \bigcap_{k \geqslant n} A_{k}\right) = I(A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} \bigcup_{k \geqslant n} A_{k} = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} \bigcap_{k \geqslant n} A_{k} = A.$$

Note that

$$I_{\bigcap_{n\geqslant 1}A_n}(\omega) = \inf_{n\geqslant 1}I_{A_n}(\omega) \text{ and } I_{\bigcup_{n\geqslant 1}A_n}(\omega) = \sup_{n\geqslant 1}I_{A_n}(\omega).$$

Also,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A_n}(\omega) \in \mathbb{W} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

**Example 1.1.** If  $A_n \to A$ ,  $B_n \to B$ ,  $C_n \to C$ , then  $A_n(B_n - C_n) \to A(B - C)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A_n \to A, B_n \to B, C_n \to C$ , then  $I(A_n) \to I(A), I(B_n) \to I(B), I(C_n) \to I(C)$ . Besides,

$$I_{A_n(B_n-C_n)} = I_{A_n(B_n-B_nC_n)} = I_{A_nB_n} - I_{A_nB_nC_n} = I_{A_n}I_{B_n} - I_{A_n}I_{B_n}I_{C_n} \rightarrow I_AI_B - I_AI_BI_C = I_{A(B-C)}.$$

Therefore, 
$$A_n(B_n - C_n) \to A(B - C)$$
.

**Example 1.2.** If  $A_n \to A$ ,  $B_n \to B$ , then  $A_n \Delta B_n \to A \Delta B$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A_n \to A, B_n \to B$ , then  $I(A_n) \to I(A), I(B_n) \to I(B)$ . Besides,

$$I_{A_n \Delta B_n} = I_{A_n \cup B_n - A_n B_n} = I_{A_n + B_n - 2A_n B_n} = I_{A_n} + I_{B_n} - 2I_{A_n} I_{B_n} \to I_A + I_B - 2I_A I_B = I_{A \Delta B}.$$

Therefore, 
$$A_n \Delta B_n \to A \Delta B$$
.

### 1.5 Linear Algebra

**Definition 1.15** (Dot Product). We define

$$\mathbf{x}^T \cdot \mathbf{y} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i.$$

**Definition 1.16** (Vector Norm).  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}$ .

### 2 Probability Space

**Definition 2.1** ( $\sigma$ -algebra). Let  $\Omega$  be a set, then  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra s.t.

- (1)  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (2) if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A^C \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complements;
- (3) if  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable unions.

Note. From the second and third condition above we know that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^C\right)^C$ . We can also show that  $\Omega, \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Probability Measure). The probability measure  $P: \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$  is a function s.t.

- (1)  $\sigma$ -additivity:  $P\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$  provided  $A_i A_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j;$
- (2) non-negativity:  $P(A) \ge 0, \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (3) normalization:  $P(\Omega) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Probability Space). A probability space is a mathematical triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , where the sample space  $\Omega$  is the set of all possible outcomes, the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection of all the events, and the probability measure P is a function returning an event's probability.

**Property 2.1.** If  $A_n \uparrow A$  or  $A_n \downarrow A$ , then  $P(A_n) \to P(A)$ .

*Proof.* If  $A_n \uparrow A$ , we have

$$A = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} A_n = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} (A_n - A_{n-1}),$$

where  $A_0 = \emptyset$ . Let  $B_n = A_n - A_{n-1}$  and we have  $B_n$ 's are disjoint for all n. Hence,

$$P(A) = P\left(\bigcup_{n \ge 1} (A_n - A_{n-1})\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n - A_{n-1}) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{j} P(A_n - A_{n-1})$$
$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{j} (A_n - A_{n-1})\right) = \lim_{j \to \infty} P(A_j) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n),$$

i.e.,  $P(A_n) \to P(A)$ 

If 
$$A_n \downarrow A$$
, then  $A_n^C \uparrow A^C$ , and  $P(A_n^C) \to P(A^C) \Leftrightarrow P(A_n) \to P(A)$ .

Corollary 2.1 (Sequential Continuity).  $A_n \to A \Rightarrow P(A_n) \to P(A)$ .

Proof. Let  $B_n = \bigcap_{k \ge n} A_k$  and  $C_n = \bigcup_{k \ge n} A_k$ . Since  $A_n \to A$ , we have  $B_n \uparrow A$ ,  $C_n \downarrow A$  and thus  $P(B_n) \to P(A)$ ,  $P(C_n) \to P(A)$ . Besides, since  $B_n \subseteq A$ ,  $A_n \subseteq C_n$ , then  $P(B_n) \le P(A)$ ,  $P(A_n) \le P(C_n)$  and thus

$$P(A) - P(A_n) \le P(C_n) - P(B_n) \to P(A) - P(A) = 0.$$

### 3 Expectation

### 3.1 Expectation

**Definition 3.1** (Expect Value). We define expect value as

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}.$$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{R}^* \cup \{*\}$  defined on  $\mathcal{R} = \{X : \text{Real valued random variable}\}$ , and  $\mathcal{R}$  itself is a vector space.

Example 3.1.  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \mathbb{E}[XY] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \cdot \mathbf{y}}{n}.$ 

Example 3.2. 
$$||X|| = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}}{\sqrt{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{||\mathbf{x}||}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\angle(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  be the angle between  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . Then

$$\cos \angle(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}/n}{(\|\mathbf{x}\|/\sqrt{n})(\|\mathbf{y}\|/\sqrt{n})} \to \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}},$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Property 3.1.**  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  is closest constant to X, i.e.,

$$|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |X - t|.$$

Proof. Let 
$$f(t) = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(X-t)^2]}, g(t) = \mathbb{E}[(X-t)^2].$$

### 3.2 Variance, Covariance and Correlation

**Definition 3.2** (Orthogonal Projection). We say

$$o.p.(X|Y) = \hat{Y}$$

satisfies two properties:  $\hat{Y} = tY$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $X - \hat{Y} \perp Y$ .

Corollary 3.1. Since o.p. $(X|Y) = \hat{Y}$ , then

$$t = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}.$$

*Proof.* Since o.p. $(X|Y) = \hat{Y}$ , then  $X - \hat{Y} \perp Y$  and thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X - \widehat{Y}\right)Y\right] = 0 \Rightarrow t = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}.$$

Corollary 3.2. Since o.p. $(X|Y) = \hat{Y}$ , then

$$\cos \angle (X, Y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\|X\| \|Y\|} \stackrel{\text{LLN}}{=} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|} = \cos \angle (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\cos \measuredangle(X,Y) = \frac{\|\widehat{Y}\|}{\|X\|} = \frac{t\|Y\|}{\|X\|} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]\|Y\|}{\|Y\|^2 \|X\|} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\|X\|\|Y\|} \stackrel{\text{LLN}}{=} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|\|\mathbf{y}\|} = \cos \measuredangle(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}).$$

**Definition 3.3** (Covariance). Define

$$Cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{X}\dot{Y}\right] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])].$$

Property 3.2. Covariance satisfies:

(1) bilinear: Cov 
$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j Y_j\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i b_j \text{Cov}(X_i, Y_j);$$

(2) symmetric: Cov(X, Y) = Cov(Y, X);

(3) non-negative:  $Cov(X, X) = \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{X}\dot{X}\right] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] = Var[X] \geqslant 0$  with equality iff  $X = \mathbb{E}[X]$ , with probability 1.

**Definition 3.4** (Correlation). Correlation is the cosine of the angle between the centered variables, i.e.,

$$\rho(X,Y) = \cos \not \leq \left(\dot{X},\dot{Y}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[X]}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[Y]}} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma(X)\sigma(Y)},$$

where  $\sigma(X) = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[X]} = \|\dot{X}\| = \|X - \mathbb{E}[X]\|.$ 

Example 3.4. We have

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2}} = \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \overline{x}\mathbf{1})^T (\mathbf{y} - \overline{y}\mathbf{1})}{\|\mathbf{x} - \overline{x}\mathbf{1}\| \|\mathbf{y} - \overline{y}\mathbf{1}\|} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}^T \dot{\mathbf{y}}}{\|\dot{\mathbf{x}}\| \|\dot{\mathbf{y}}\|} \to \rho(X, Y),$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Markov Inequality). Given  $Z \ge 0, t \ge 0$ , for any  $g: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  be increasing, we have

$$P(Z \geqslant t) \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[g(Z)]}{g(t)}.$$

*Proof.* We have  $g(Z) \geqslant g(t)I(Z \geqslant t) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[g(Z)] \geqslant g(t)\mathbb{E}[I(Z \geqslant t)] = g(t)P(Z \geqslant t)$ , and thus  $P(Z \geqslant t) \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[g(Z)]}{g(t)}$ .

Corollary 3.3 (Chebyshev Inequality). We have

$$P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge k) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]}{k^2}$$

or

$$P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$

**Property 3.3.**  $Var[X] = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = \mathbb{E}[X]$ , with probability 1.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose Var[X] = 0, then

$$P\left(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geqslant \frac{1}{n}\right) \leqslant n^2 \times 0 = 0,$$

i.e.,  $P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X] \ge \frac{1}{n}) = 0$  for all  $n \Rightarrow P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| < \frac{1}{n}) = 1$  for all n.

Let  $A_n = (|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| < \frac{1}{n})$ . As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $A_n$  is decreasing and  $A_n \to A = (|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| = 0)$ .

Thus, 
$$P(A_n) \to P(A) = P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| = 0) = 1 \Rightarrow P(X = \mathbb{E}[X]) = 1$$
.

$$(\Leftarrow)$$
 Suppose  $P(X = \mathbb{E}[X]) = 1$ , then  $P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]^2| = 0) := P(Y = 0) = 1$ .

Therefore, 
$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = 0 \times 1 = 0$$
.

### 3.3 Equality in Distribution

**Definition 3.5** (Equality in Distribution).  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$  on sample space  $\mathcal{X}$  iff

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[g(Y)], \forall g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}.$$

**Example 3.5.** If  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ , then let  $g = I_A, A \subset \mathcal{X}$ , then

$$P(x \in A) = \mathbb{E}[I_A(X)] = \mathbb{E}[I_A(Y)] = P(Y \in A).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ , then  $\phi(X) \stackrel{d}{=} \phi(Y)$ ,  $\forall \phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[g(Y)], \forall g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $g = h\phi, \forall h : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ , then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[h\phi(X)] = \mathbb{E}[h\phi(Y)], \forall h : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R},$$

i.e., 
$$\phi(X) \stackrel{d}{=} \phi(Y), \forall \phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}.$$

### 3.4 Conditional Expectation

**Definition 3.6** (Conditional Expectation). X is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variable, and

$$\mathbb{E}[X|W] = \text{o.p.}(X|L_2(W)),$$

where  $L_2(W) = \{g(W)|g: \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}, E[g(W)^2] < \infty\}, \mathcal{W}$  is the sample space of W.

**Example 3.6.** Take  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), X = \frac{1}{Z}, X^2 = \frac{1}{Z^2}$ . Thus  $X \notin L_2(Z)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** If  $X, Y \in L_2$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[|XY|] < \infty$ .

*Proof.* We have  $|XY| \leq \frac{X^2 + Y^2}{2}$  and thus

$$\mathbb{E}[|XY|] \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[Y^2]}{2} < \infty.$$

Corollary 3.4. If  $X, Y \in L_2$ , then  $X + Y \in L_2$ , i.e.,  $L_2$  is a subvector space of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $(X + Y)^2 = X^2 + 2XY + Y^2 \le X^2 + 2|XY| + Y^2$  and thus

$$\mathbb{E}[(X+Y)^2] \leqslant \mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[|XY|] + \mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty.$$

### 4 Probability Distribution

### 4.1 General Finite Discrete Distribution

**Definition 4.1** (Finite Scheme). A finite scheme is a name for any finite discrete distribution where

$$X \sim \begin{pmatrix} a_1, \cdots, a_N \\ p_1, \cdots, p_N \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., 
$$X = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j I_{\{a_j\}}(X)$$
.

By the definition, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \mathbb{E}\left[I_{\{a_j\}}(X)\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j P(X = a_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j p_j.$$

**Definition 4.2** (Cumulative Distribution Function). We define

$$F(x) = P(X \leqslant x) = P(X \in (-\infty, x]) = P_X((-\infty, x]).$$

**Example 4.1.**  $F(x + n^{-1}) = P_X((-\infty, x + n^{-1}])$ . As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$F(x + n^{-1}) \to P_X((-\infty, x]) = F(x).$$

**Example 4.2.**  $F(x - n^{-1}) = P_X((-\infty, x - n^{-1}])$ . As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$F(x - n^{-1}) \to P_X((-\infty, x)) = P(X < x).$$

**Definition 4.3.**  $F(x+) := \lim_{n \to \infty} F(x+n^{-1}) = F(x)$ , i.e., any distribution function is right continuous at every point x.

**Definition 4.4.**  $F(x-) := \lim_{n \to \infty} F(x-n^{-1}) = P(X < x).$ 

**Definition 4.5** (Probability Mass Function). A probability mass function of X is  $p : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$  given by

$$p(x) = P(X = x) = P_X(\{x\}) = P(X \in \{x\}).$$

**Property 4.1.** p(x) = F(x) - F(x-).

*Proof.* We have 
$$p(x) = P(X \le x) - P(X < x) = F(x) - F(x-)$$
.

**Property 4.2.**  $|\{x \in \mathbb{R} | p(x) > 0\}| \le |\mathbb{N}|.$ 

*Proof.* Note that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} | p(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in \mathbb{R} | p(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Actually,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |\{x \in \mathbb{R} | p(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}| < n.$$

Otherwise, 
$$\exists A_n = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} | p(x) > \frac{1}{n}\} \text{ s.t. } P(A_n) > \frac{n}{n} = 1.$$

**Property 4.3.** F is continuous at  $x \Leftrightarrow p(x) = 0$ .

*Proof.* F is continuous at 
$$x \Leftrightarrow F(x-) = F(x+) \Leftrightarrow F(x-) = F(x) \Leftrightarrow F(x) - F(x-) = p(x) = 0$$
.

### 4.2 Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral

Consider any  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued  $X \ge 0$  and let

$$X_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}} I_{\left(\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right)}(X).$$

Then we have  $0 \leq X_n \leq X$ , and thus

$$0 \leqslant X - X_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( X - \frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) I_{\left(\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right]}(X) + X I_{\left(\sqrt{n}, \infty\right)}(X)$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} I_{\left(\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right]}(X) + X I_{\left(\sqrt{n}, \infty\right)}(X)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} I_{\left(0, \sqrt{n}\right]}(X) + X I_{\left(\sqrt{n}, \infty\right)}(X)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + X I_{\left(\sqrt{n}, \infty\right)}(X) \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , i.e.,  $X_n \to X$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $h:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  be continuous and we have  $h(X_n)\to h(X)\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[h(X_n)]\to \mathbb{E}[h(X)],$  i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) P\left(\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}} < X \leqslant \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left[F\left(\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - F\left(\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]$$
$$:= \int_{0}^{\infty} h(x) dF(x),$$

which is called the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.

### 4.3 Uniform Distribution

**Definition 4.6** (Finite Discrete Uniform Distribution).  $U \sim \text{unif}(\Omega)$  with  $|\Omega| < |\mathbb{N}|$  iff

$$P(U = \omega) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \Leftrightarrow P(U \in A) = \frac{|A|}{|\Omega|}.$$

**Example 4.3.**  $U \sim \text{unif}\{1, \dots, n\} \text{ iff } P(U = i) = \frac{1}{n}, i = 1, \dots, n.$ 

**Example 4.4.** Let  $U \sim \text{unif}\{1, \cdots, n\}$ , then  $-U \sim \text{unif}\{-n, \cdots, -1\}$  and  $n+1-U \sim \text{unif}\{1, \cdots, n\}$ . Hence we say  $n+1-U \stackrel{\text{d}}{=} U$  and thus

$$n+1-\mathbb{E}[U]=\mathbb{E}[U]\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[U]=\frac{n+1}{2}=\frac{1+\cdots+n}{n}.$$

**Example 4.5.** Let  $U \sim \text{unif}\{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $U^k \sim \text{unif}\{1^k, \dots, n^k\}$ , and thus we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U^{k}\right] = \frac{1^{k} + 2^{k} + \dots + n^{k}}{n} \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\left[(U-1)^{k}\right] = \frac{0^{k} + 1^{k} + \dots + (n-1)^{k}}{n},$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U^k\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[(U-1)^k\right] = n^{k-1}.$$

Recall that

$$(a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U^{3}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[(U-1)^{3}\right] = n^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left[U^{3}\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[U^{3}\right] - 3\mathbb{E}\left[U^{2}\right] + 3\mathbb{E}\left[U\right] - 1),$$

i.e.,

$$3\mathbb{E}\left[U^2\right] = n^2 + 3\mathbb{E}\left[U\right] - 1 = n^2 + \frac{3(n+1)}{2} - 1 = \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U^{2}\right] = \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} = \frac{1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + n^{2}}{n}.$$

**Example 4.6.** Let  $U \sim \text{unif}\{1, \dots, n\}$ , then

$$Var[U] = \mathbb{E}\left[U^2\right] - (\mathbb{E}[U])^2 = \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} - \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} = \frac{n^2 - 1}{12}.$$

**Definition 4.7** (Standard Uniform).  $Z \sim \text{unif}(p)$ , where  $p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ , iff

$$P(Z=i) = \frac{1}{p}, \forall i \in p.$$

 $U \sim \text{unif}[0, 1] \text{ iff}$ 

$$P(U \leqslant u) = u, \forall 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1.$$

Example 4.7.  $U \sim \text{unif}[0,1] \Leftrightarrow [nU] \sim \text{unif}\{0,\cdots,n-1\}, \forall n.$ 

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ )  $P([nU] = k) = P(k \le nU < k+1) = P(\frac{k}{n} \le U < \frac{k+1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n}$ . We also need  $\frac{k}{n} \ge 0$  and  $\frac{k+1}{n} \le 1$  and thus  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ , i.e.,  $[nU] \sim \text{unif}\{0, \dots, n-1\}, \forall n$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Consider  $P(U < r), \forall r \in \mathbb{Q}[0, 1)$ . Suppose  $r = \frac{k}{n}, k < n$ , we have

$$P(U < r) = P(nU < k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P(nU < k, [nU] = i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(0 \le nU < k, i \le nU < i + 1)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P(i \le nU < i + 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P([nU] = i) = \frac{k}{n} = r.$$

Besides,  $\forall 0 \leq u < 1, \exists \{r_n\} \in \mathbb{Q}[0,1) \text{ s.t. } r_n \downarrow u \text{ and thus } [0,r_n) \to [0,u] \text{ and }$ 

$$P(U \le u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(U < r_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = u.$$

#### 4.3.1 Fundamental Theorem of Applied Probability

**Definition 4.8** (p-Adic Series). For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p \ge 2$  we define the p-adic series

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i p^{-i}.$$

**Example 4.8.**  $Z: z_{11}, z_{12}, \cdots, z_{1n}, \cdots; z_{21}, z_{22}, \cdots, z_{2n}$  and  $U = .z_{11}z_{12}z_{13}\cdots, .z_{21}z_{22}z_{23}\cdots$ .

If  $Z \sim \text{unif}(10)$ , then  $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n \cdots = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i 10^{-i}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\dot{p}^{\infty} = \{\mathbf{z} = (z_i, i \in \mathbb{N}) | z_i \in p, i \in \mathbb{N}, z_i < p-1 \text{ io}(i)\}$ . Then  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i}$  defines a bijective function  $\Phi : \dot{p}^{\infty} \stackrel{\cong}{\to} [0, 1)$ .

*Note.* The range cannot include 1, because it is not allowed to end in p-1 repeated and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^{-i}(p-1) = \frac{p-1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{-i} = \frac{p-1}{p} = 1.$$

*Proof.* We know  $0 \le u < \frac{p-1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{-i} = 1$ .

Besides,

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 \le u - \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i p^{-i} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i} < \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p^{-i} (p-1) = p^{-(n+1)} \frac{p-1}{1-1/p} = p^{-n}.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow z_n p^{-n} \le u - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i p^{-i} < p^{-n} + z_n p^{-n} = (z_n + 1) p^{-n}.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow z_n \le p^n \left( u - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i p^{-i} \right) < z_n + 1.$$

Recall that [x] = m iff  $m \le x < m + 1$  uniquely determines m as the greatest integer less than or equal to x. Therefore,

$$z_n = \left[ p^n \left( u - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i p^{-i} \right) \right], n \geqslant 2,$$

and  $z_1 \le pu < z_1 + 1 \Rightarrow z_1 = [pu].$ 

**Lemma 4.2.**  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i p^i = 0$ , where  $|a_i| < p, \forall i \Leftrightarrow a_i = 0, \forall i$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i p^i = 0$ .

(1) When  $n = 1 : |a_1|p = |a_0| .$ 

(2) Suppose it holds for all n, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i p^i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i p^i + a_{n+1} p^{n+1} = a_{n+1} p^{n+1} = 0 \text{ and } a_0 = \dots = a_n = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$|a_{n+1}p^{n+1}| = |a_np^n| < p^{n+1} \Rightarrow |a_{n+1}| < 1 \Rightarrow a_{n+1} = 0.$$

Wherefore, by induction, it holds for all i.

$$(\Leftarrow)$$
 Suppose  $a_i = 0, \forall i$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i p^i = 0$ , where  $|a_i| < p, \forall i$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** For  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} \in \dot{p}^{\infty}$ , we have

$$z_1 = b_1, \dots, z_n = b_n \Leftrightarrow u \in \left[\sum_{i=1}^n b_i p^{-i}, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i p^{-i} + p^{-n}\right].$$

*Proof.*  $(\Leftarrow)$  We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} p^{-i} \leq u < \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} p^{-i} + p^{-n} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} p^{-i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} p^{-i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} z_{i} p^{-i} < \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} p^{-i} + p^{-n}$$
$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_{i} - b_{i}) p^{-i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} z_{i} p^{-i} < p^{-n}.$$

Besides,

$$0 \leqslant \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i} < (p-1) \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p^{-i} = p^{-n},$$

then

$$-p^{-n} < -\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i} \le 0.$$

Therefore,

$$-p^{-n} < \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - b_i) p^{-i} < p^{-n} \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - b_i) p^{-i} \right| < p^{-n} \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - b_i) p^{n-i} \right| < 1,$$

where  $|z_i - b_i| < p$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - b_i) p^{n-i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - b_i) p^{n-i} = 0$ . By lemma,  $z_i = b_i$ .

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose  $z_i = b_i, \forall i$ , then

$$0 \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - b_i) p^{-i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i} < 0 + (p-1) \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p^{-i} = p^{-n},$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i p^{-i} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i} < \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i p^{-i} + p^{-n}.$$

**Theorem 4.1** (Fundamental Theorem of Applied Probability). For  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i p^{-i}, p \ge 2$ , we have

$$U \sim \text{unif}[0,1] \Leftrightarrow Z_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{unif}(p).$$

### 4.4 Bernoulli Distribution

**Definition 4.9** (Bernoulli Distribution).  $Z \sim \text{bern}(p), p \in [0, 1]$  iff

$$Z \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 4.9. 
$$Z^{-1} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \infty & 1 \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}$$
.

**Example 4.10.**  $Z^{s} = Z, \forall s > 0.$ 

Property 4.4.  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = p$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Z^2] = \mathbb{Z} = p$ .

**Property 4.5.**  $Var[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Z^2] - (\mathbb{E}[Z])^2 = p - p^2 = pq, \sigma(Z) = \sqrt{pq}.$ 

#### 4.5 Binomial Distribution

**Definition 4.10** (Binomial Distribution).  $X \sim bin(n, p), n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in [0, 1]$  iff

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} S_n = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n,$$

where  $Z_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} Z \sim \text{bern}(p), i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Note that p is the probability per trial.

Property 4.6.  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[Z_i] = np$ .

**Property 4.7.** Var $[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var}[Z_i] = npq, \sigma(X) = \sqrt{n}\sqrt{pq}$ .

**Property 4.8.** Let  $X \sim \text{bin}(m, p), Y \sim \text{bin}(n, p), X \perp Y$ , then  $X + Y \sim \text{bin}(m + n, p)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{m+n} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{bern}(p)$ . Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{d}{=} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 + \dots + Z_m \\ Z_{m+1} + \dots + Z_{m+n} \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have  $X + Y \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} Z_i$ .

**Property 4.9.**  $P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^{k} q^{n-k}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $P(X = k) = P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n = k)$  and  $P(Z = z) = p^z q^{1-z}, z \in \{0, 1\}$ . Also,

$$P((Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)) = P(Z_1 = z_1, \dots, Z_n = z_n) \stackrel{\text{independent}}{=} P(Z_1 = z_1) \dots P(Z_n = z_n)$$
$$= p^{z_1} q^{1-z_1} \dots p^{z_n} q^{1-z_n} = p^{\sum z_i} q^{n-\sum z_i}.$$

Hence,

$$P(X = k) = \sum P((Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)), (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C_k^n,$$

where 
$$C_k^n = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) | z_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^n z_i = k \right\}.$$

Thus,

$$P(X = k) = \sum_{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C_k^n} p^{\sum z_i} q^{n - \sum z_i} = \sum_{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C_k^n} p^k q^{n - k} = |C_k^n| p^k q^{n - k} := \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n - k}.$$

**Property 4.10.** 
$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n^{(k)}}{k!} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$
.

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n P(X=k) = 1 = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \, p^k q^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \, p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

Let  $p = \frac{t}{1+t}, q = \frac{1}{1+t}$ , then

$$(1+t)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} t^k$$

$$\Rightarrow n(1+t)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n k \binom{n}{k} t^{k-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} t^k = \sum_{k=0}^n k \binom{n}{k} t^{k-1}$$

Let j = k + 1, k = j - 1, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} n \binom{n-1}{j-1} t^{j-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n \binom{n-1}{k-1} t^{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} t^{k-1}.$$

Wherefore

$$k \binom{n}{k} = n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \Rightarrow \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-(k-1))}{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(k-1))} \binom{n-k}{0}.$$

Since

$$P(X = 0) = \binom{n}{0} q^n = P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n = 0) = P(Z_1 = \dots = Z_n = 0)$$

$$\stackrel{\perp}{=} P(Z_1 = 0) \dots P(Z_n = 0) \stackrel{\text{indentical}}{=} P(Z = 0)^n = q^n,$$

then  $\binom{n}{0} = 1$  and thus

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-(k-1))}{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(k-1))} = \frac{n^{(k)}}{k!} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}.$$

**Property 4.11.**  $\mathbb{E}[X^{(r)}] = \begin{cases} n^{(r)}p^r, & r = 0, \dots, n \\ 0, & r = n + 1, \dots \end{cases}$ 

Proof. Let  $g(X) = X^{(r)} = X(X-1)\cdots(X-(r-1))$ , we have  $P(X=k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k}$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[X^{(r)}] &= \mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} g(k)p(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{(r)} \frac{n^{(k)}}{k!} p^{k} q^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=r}^{n} \frac{n^{(k)}}{(k-r)!} p^{k} q^{n-k} = n^{(r)} p^{r} \sum_{k=r}^{n} \frac{(n-r)!}{(k-r)!(n-k)!} p^{k-r} q^{n-k} \\ &= n^{(r)} p^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{N!}{j!(N-j)!} p^{j} q^{N-j} = n^{(r)} p^{r}, \end{split}$$

when  $r = 0, \dots, n$ .

**Example 4.11.**  $X^{(2)} = X(X - 1) = X^2 - X$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X^{(2)}] = n^{(2)}p^2 = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = n^{(2)}p^2 + np$ .

**Example 4.12.** Let  $Z_i \stackrel{\text{independent}}{\sim} \text{bin} \left(i, \frac{2}{3}\right), X = (Z_1 + 3Z_2 - 2Z_3)^2$ 

We have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \text{Var}[Z_1 + 3Z_2 - 2Z_3] + (\mathbb{E}[Z_1 + 3Z_2 - 2Z_3])^2$$

$$= 1 \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} + 9 \times 2 \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times 3 \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{2}{3} + 3 \times 2 \times \frac{2}{3} - 2 \times 3 \times \frac{2}{3}\right)^2 = \frac{22}{3}.$$

### 4.6 Negative-Binomial and Geometric Distribution

**Definition 4.11** (Negative-Binomial Distribution). Suppose  $Z_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} Z \sim \text{bern}(p), S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say  $T_k \sim \text{negbin}(k, p)$  iff

$$(T_k = n) = (S_{n-1} = k - 1, Z_n = 1) = (S_{n-1} < k \le S_n),$$

where  $n = k, k + 1, \cdots$ .

**Definition 4.12** (Geometric Distribution).  $W \sim \text{geo}(p)$  iff

$$W \stackrel{d}{=} T_1$$
,

i.e.,  $geo(p) \equiv negbin(1, p)$ .

**Property 4.12.**  $P(W = n) = P(S_{n-1} = 0, Z_n = 1) = P(S_{n-1} = 0)P(Z_n = 1) = pq^{n-1}$ , where  $n = 1, \dots$ 

**Property 4.13.** If  $T \stackrel{d}{=} T_k, W \stackrel{d}{=} T_1, T \perp W$ , then  $T + W \stackrel{d}{=} T_{k+1}$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$P(T+W=n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} P(T=n-i, W=i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} P(T=n-i)P(W=i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} P(T_k=n-i)P(T_1=i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} P(S_{n-i-1}=k-1, Z_{n-i}=1)P(S_{i-1}=0, Z_i=1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} P(Z_1+\dots+Z_{n-i-1}=k-1, Z_{n-i}=1)P(Z_{n-i+1}+\dots+Z_{n-1}=0, Z_n=1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} P(Z_1+\dots+Z_{n-i-1}=k-1, Z_{n-i}=1, Z_{n-i+1}=\dots=Z_{n-1}=0)P(Z_n=1).$$

Let n - i = j, then i = n - j and thus

$$P(T+W=n) = \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_{j-1} = k-1, Z_j = 1, Z_{j+1} = \dots = Z_{n-1} = 0) P(Z_n = 1)$$

$$= P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_{n-1} = k) P(Z_n = 1) = P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_{n-1} = k, Z_n = 1)$$

$$= P(T_{k+1} = n).$$

Therefore,  $T + W \stackrel{d}{=} T_{k+1}$ .

Note that

$$P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n = k) = \sum_{j=k}^n P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n = k, k^{\text{th}} \text{ success occurs on } j^{\text{th}} \text{ trail})$$

$$= \sum_{j=k}^n P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n = k, Z_1 + \dots + Z_{j-1} = k - 1, Z_j = 1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=k}^n P(Z_1 + \dots + Z_{j-1} = k - 1, Z_j = 1, Z_{j+1} = \dots = Z_n = 0).$$

Corollary 4.1. If  $T \stackrel{d}{=} T_1, W \stackrel{d}{=} T_1, T \perp W$ , then  $T + W \stackrel{d}{=} T_2$ .

Corollary 4.2. If  $W_1, \dots, W_k \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} W \sim \text{geo}(p)$ , then

$$W_1 + \dots + W_k \stackrel{d}{=} T_k$$
.

Corollary 4.3. If  $T_1 \stackrel{d}{=} T_{k_1}, T_2 \stackrel{d}{=} T_{k_2}, T_1 \perp T_2$ , then

$$T_1 + T_2 \stackrel{d}{=} T_{k_1 + k_2}.$$

**Property 4.14.** 
$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} np(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} npq^{n-1} = p\frac{d}{dq} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n = p\frac{d}{dq} \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right) = \frac{p}{(1-q)^2} = \frac{1}{p}.$$

Property 4.15.  $Var[W] = \frac{q}{p^2}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\mathbb{E}[W(W-1)] = \mathbb{E}[W^2] - \mathbb{E}[W]$  and

$$\mathbb{E}[W(W-1)] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n-1)P(W=n) = pq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n-1)q^{n-2}$$
$$= pq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} q^n = \frac{2pq}{(1-q)^3} = \frac{2q}{p^2}.$$

Hence,  $\mathbb{E}[W^2] = \frac{2q}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{p+2q}{q} = \frac{1+q}{p^2}$ , and thus

$$Var[W] = \mathbb{E}[W^2] - (\mathbb{E}[W])^2 = \frac{1+q}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p^2} = \frac{q}{p^2}.$$

Property 4.16.  $\mathbb{E}[T_k] = \frac{k}{p}$ .

We can say  $S_n$  is the random number of successes in fixed number n of trails and  $T_k$  is the random number of trails in fixed number k of successes.

Since  $\mathbb{E}[S_n] = np$ , then  $p = \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n}$ , i.e., the average number of successes per trail. Since  $\mathbb{E}[T_k] = \frac{k}{p}$ , then  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[T_k]}{k}$ , i.e., the average number of trials per success.

**Property 4.17.** If  $T_k > n \Leftrightarrow S_n < k, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $T_k \sim \operatorname{negbin}(k, p) \Leftrightarrow S_n \sim \operatorname{bin}(n, p)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $S_n \sim \text{bin}(n, p)$ . We have

$$P(T_k = n) = P(T_k \le n) - P(T_k \le n) = P(T_k \le n) - P(T_k \le n - 1)$$
  
=  $P(T_k > n - 1) - P(T_k > n) = P(S_{n-1} < k) - P(S_n < k).$ 

Since  $(S_n < k) \subset (S_{n-1} < k)$ , then

$$P(T_k = n) = P((S_{n-1} < k)(S_n < k)^C) = P(S_{n-1} < k \le S_n) = P(S_{n-1} = k - 1, Z_n = 1).$$

Hence,  $T_k \sim \operatorname{negbin}(k, p)$ .

(⇒) Suppose  $T_k \sim \operatorname{negbin}(k, p)$  and thus  $(T_k = n) = (X_{n-1} = k - 1, Z_n = 1)$ , where  $X_n \sim \operatorname{bin}(n, p)$ . We have

$$P(S_n = k) = P(S_n \le k) - P(S_n < k) = P(S_n < k + 1) - P(S_n < k)$$

$$= P(T_{k+1} > n) - P(T_k > n) = P(T_k \le n) - P(T_{k+1} \le n)$$

$$= P(T_k \le n - 1) - P(T_{k+1} \le n - 1) + P(T_k = n) - P(T_{k+1} = n)$$

$$= P(S_{n-1} = k) + P(T_k = n) - P(T_{k+1} = n).$$

We can show  $P(S_n = k) = P(X_n = k)$  by induction:

(i) n = 1: since  $k = \mathbb{N}$ , then k = 1. We have

$$P(S_1 = 1) = P(T_1 \le 1) - P(T_2 \le 1) = p = P(X_1 = 1).$$

(ii) n > 1: suppose  $P(S_{n-1} = k) = P(X_{n-1} = k)$ , we have

$$P(S_n = k) = P(S_{n-1} = k) + P(T_k = n) - P(T_{k+1} = n)$$

$$= P(X_{n-1} = k) + P(T_k = n) - P(T_{k+1} = n)$$

$$= P(X_{n-1} = k, Z_n = 1) + P(X_{n-1} = k, Z_n = 0)$$

$$+ P(X_{n-1} = k - 1, Z_n = 1) - P(X_{n-1} = k, Z_n = 1)$$

$$= P(X_n = k, Z_n = 0) + P(X_n = k, Z_n = 1) = P(X_n = k).$$

Therefore,  $S_n \stackrel{d}{=} X_n$ , i.e.,  $S_n \sim \text{bin}(n, p)$ .

### 4.7 Poisson Distribution

Before we define Poisson distribution, we first let  $\lambda = np$  and thus  $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$ . Therefore

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{\frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{n^k}}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n.$$

We have

$$\frac{\frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{n^k}}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k} = \frac{1\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{k-1}{n}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k} \to 1, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Besides,

$$n\ln\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right) = \frac{-\lambda\left[\ln\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)-\ln 1\right]}{-\frac{\lambda}{n}} := -\lambda\frac{f(1+h)-f(1)}{h}$$
$$\to -\lambda f'(1) = -\lambda, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Hence, 
$$\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \to e^{-\lambda}$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

As a consequence,

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \to \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 4.13** (Poisson Distribution).  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  iff

$$P(N = k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(X_n = k),$$

where  $X_n \sim \text{bin}\left(n, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)$ .

Property 4.18.  $\mathbb{E}[N] = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathbb{E}[N] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-\lambda} = \lambda.$$

Suppose  $N_t$  is the random number of successes in time t > 0. We assume  $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathbb{E}[N_t])$  and  $\mathbb{E}[N_t] \propto t$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}[N_t] = \lambda t$  for some  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 4.14** (Poisson Process).  $(N_t, t > 0)$  is a Poisson process iff  $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$ , for t > 0 and for any strictly increasing sequences  $0 < t_n$  as  $n \to \infty, N_{t_1}, N_{t_2} - N_{t_1}, \cdots, N_{t_n} - N_{t_{n-1}}, \cdots$  are mutually statistically independent.

We say  $T_n$  is the random amount of time for n successes, we have

$$T_n > t \Leftrightarrow N_t < n$$
.

Therefore,

$$F_n(t) = P(T_n \le t) = P(N_t \ge n) = 1 - P(N_t < n) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t},$$

and

$$f_n(t) = F'_n(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

### 4.8 Exponential Distribution

**Definition 4.15** (Exponential Distribution).  $X \sim \exp(1)$  iff

$$f(z) = e^{-z},$$

and  $Y \sim \exp(\theta)$  iff

$$Y = \theta Z$$
.

### 4.9 Gamma Distribution

Before we define Gamma distribution, we first let  $Z_n = \lambda T_n$ . Suppose  $G_n(z) = P(Z_n \leq z)$ , then

$$G_n(z) = P(\lambda T_n \leqslant z) = P\left(T_n \leqslant \frac{z}{\lambda}\right) = F_n\left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right).$$

Hence,

$$g_n(z) = G'_n(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} f_n\left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{z^{n-1}e^{-z}}{(n-1)!} = \frac{z^{n-1}e^{-z}}{\Gamma(n)}.$$

**Definition 4.16** (Gamma Distribution).  $Z \sim G(p), p > 0$  iff

$$g(z) = \frac{z^{p-1}e^{-z}}{\Gamma(p)}, z > 0,$$

where

$$\Gamma(p) = \int_0^\infty z^{p-1} e^{-z} \mathrm{d}z,$$

and  $X \sim G(p, \theta)$  iff

$$X = \theta Z$$
.

**Property 4.19.**  $\Gamma(p+1) = p\Gamma(p)$  and thus  $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ .

Example 4.13.  $\Gamma(1) = \Gamma(2) = 1, \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$ .

Property 4.20.  $\mathbb{E}[Z^s] = \frac{\Gamma(p+s)}{\Gamma(p)}$ .

Corollary 4.4.  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = \text{Var}[Z] = p$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p)} = p.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^2] = \frac{\Gamma(p+2)}{\Gamma(p)} = \frac{\Gamma((p+1)+1)}{\Gamma(p)} = \frac{(p+1)\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p)} = (p+1)p,$$

then

$$Var[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Z^2] - (\mathbb{E}[Z])^2 = p.$$

Corollary 4.5.  $\mathbb{E}[Z^{-1}] = \frac{\Gamma(p-1)}{\Gamma(p)} = \frac{1}{p-1}$ .

Corollary 4.6. If  $Z \sim G(p), W \sim G(q), Z \perp W$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z}{W}\right] = \mathbb{E}[ZW^{-1}] = \mathbb{E}[Z]\mathbb{E}[W^{-1}] = \frac{p}{q-1}.$$

Corollary 4.7. If  $X \sim G(p, \theta)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X^s] = \theta^s \mathbb{E}[Z^s] = \frac{\theta^s \Gamma(p+s)}{\Gamma(p)}.$$

**Property 4.21.** Suppose  $T=Z+W, U=\frac{Z}{T}$ , then  $Z\sim G(p), W\sim G(q), Z\perp W$ 

$$\Leftrightarrow T \sim G(p+q), f_U(u) = \frac{\Gamma(p+q)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)} u^{p-1} (1-u)^{q-1}, 0 < u < 1, \text{ and } T \perp U.$$

*Proof.* Since  $T = Z + W, U = \frac{Z}{T}$ , then Z = TU, W = T - Z = T(1 - U). Since  $Z \perp W$ , then

$$g(z, w) = \frac{z^{p-1}e^{-z}w^{q-1}e^{-w}}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}.$$

Thus

$$h(t,u) = g(z,w) \left| \frac{\partial(z,w)}{\partial(t,u)} \right|_{+} = \frac{u^{p-1}(1-u)^{q-1}t^{p+q-1}e^{-t}}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)} = \frac{\Gamma(p+q)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}u^{p-1}(1-u)^{q-1} \cdot \frac{t^{p+q-1}e^{-t}}{\Gamma(p+q)}.$$

Therefore,

$$g(t) = \frac{t^{p+q-1}e^{-t}}{\Gamma(p+q)} \Rightarrow T \sim G(p+q).$$

Besides,

$$f_U(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t, u) dt = \frac{\Gamma(p+q)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)} u^{p-1} (1-u)^{q-1}$$

and thus  $T \perp U$ .

### 4.10 Beta Distribution

**Definition 4.17** (Beta Distribution).  $U \sim \text{Beta}(p;q)$  iff

$$U = \frac{Z}{Z + W},$$

where  $Z \sim G(p), W \sim G(q), Z \perp W$ .

Property 4.22.  $\mathbb{E}[U] = \frac{p}{p+q}$ .

*Proof.* Let T=Z+W, then Z=UT. By the property in Gamma distribution, we have and  $T\sim G(p+q)$  and  $T\perp U$ . Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[U]\mathbb{E}[T]$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E}[U] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]}{\mathbb{E}[T]} = \frac{p}{p+q}.$$

Property 4.23. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[U^2] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z^2]}{\mathbb{E}[T^2]} = \frac{\Gamma(p+2)/\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p+q+2)/\Gamma(p+q)}.$$

### 4.11 Normal Distribution

**Definition 4.18** (Standard Normal Distribution).  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  iff

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}.$$

**Definition 4.19** (Normal Distribution).  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  iff

$$X = \mu + \sigma Z$$
.

Property 4.24.  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \Leftrightarrow -Z \stackrel{d}{=} Z, \frac{Z^2}{2} \sim G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . By definition, we immediately have

$$-Z \stackrel{d}{=} Z$$
.

Let  $W = \frac{Z^2}{2}$ , we have

$$G(w) = P(W \le w) = P(-\sqrt{2w} \le Z \le \sqrt{2w}) = 2P(0 \le Z \le \sqrt{2w})$$
  
=  $2(P(Z \le \sqrt{2w}) - P(Z \le 0)) = 2P(Z \le \sqrt{2w}) - 1$   
=  $2\Phi(\sqrt{2w}) - 1$ .

Thus

$$g(w) = G'(w) = 2\phi(\sqrt{2w}) \cdot (2w)^{\frac{1}{2}-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} w^{\frac{1}{2}-1} e^{-w} = \frac{w^{\frac{1}{2}-1} e^{-w}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Therefore,  $W = \frac{Z^2}{2} \sim G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose  $-Z \stackrel{d}{=} Z$  and  $\frac{Z^2}{2} \sim G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ . Let  $W = \frac{Z^2}{2}$ . We have  $|Z| = \sqrt{2W}$ , then

$$P(|Z| \leqslant z) = P(\sqrt{2W} \leqslant z) = P\left(W \leqslant \frac{z^2}{2}\right) = G\left(\frac{z^2}{2}\right).$$

Since  $-Z \stackrel{d}{=} Z$ , then

$$P(|Z| \leqslant z) = P(Z \leqslant z) + P(-Z \leqslant z) = 2P(Z \leqslant z) = 2\Phi(z).$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2}G\left(\frac{z^2}{2}\right)$$

and thus

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z}{2}g\left(\frac{z^2}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}.$$

Hence,  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

**Property 4.25.** If  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^n] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 2k - 1\\ (2k - 1)!!, & \text{if } n = 2k \end{cases},$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* If n = 2k - 1, then we have

$$-Z^{n} = (-Z)^{n} \stackrel{d}{=} Z^{n} \Rightarrow -\mathbb{E}[Z^{n}] = \mathbb{E}[-Z^{n}] = \mathbb{E}[Z^{n}]$$

and thus  $\mathbb{E}[Z^n] = 0$ .

If n = 2k, since  $Z^2 = 2W, W \sim G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^n] = 2^k \mathbb{E}[W^k] = 2^k \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + k\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{2^k \frac{2k-1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{2k-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \dots = (2k-1)!!.$$

**Example 4.14.**  $Var[Z^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z^4] - (\mathbb{E}[Z^2])^2 = 3 - 1 = 2.$ 

Example 4.15.  $\mathbb{E}[Z^{16}] = 15!!$ .

## 4.12 $\chi^2$ Distribution

**Definition 4.20** ( $\chi^2$  Distribution).  $X \sim \chi^2_{(m)}$  iff

$$X = 2Z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Z_i^2,$$

where  $Z \sim G\left(\frac{m}{2}\right), Z_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$