The use of generative AI tools in higher education: Ethical and pedagogical principles

Nguyen Viet Khoa

Faculty of Foreign Languages, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam
Corresponding author email: khoa.nguyenviet@hust.edu.vn

The Use of Generative AI Tools in Higher Education: Ethical and Pedagogical Principles

Abstract

The integration of generative AI tools in higher education presents both significant opportunities and challenges. This paper addresses two key questions: how AI should be incorporated into higher education and what ethical and pedagogical principles should guide its use. While AI enhances creativity, efficiency, and personalized learning, it also raises concerns about over-reliance, bias, and ethical implications. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, this study examines the ethical and pedagogical impact of AI in education. Findings suggest that institutions must ensure AI complements traditional learning, uphold academic integrity, and promote critical thinking. Human-AI collaboration and equitable access are essential to support diverse learners. Additionally, prioritizing ethical AI use, data privacy, and AI literacy safeguards student rights and prepares educators and students for the evolving technological landscape. The paper concludes that institutions must develop clear AI policies, embed AI ethics into curricula, and provide ongoing faculty training. By addressing these considerations, higher education can harness AI's benefits while maintaining the critical role of human educators and ensuring equitable and ethical access for all learners.

Keywords: academic integrity, ethical and pedagogical principles, generative AI, higher education, responsible AI

1. Introduction

Many individuals are acquainted with tools like OpenAI's ChatGPT and Google's Gemini, which are large language models developed through generative AI technology utilizing text data. Additionally, modern AI models are now capable of generating outputs in forms such as images, videos, or code. Generative AI tools like ChatGPT, DALL·E, and Microsoft Copilot have swiftly emerged as transformative technologies across various domains, including higher education, as Hu (2023) and Lee et al. (2024) note. Thorp (2023) underscores that these tools, driven by advanced language models and deep learning algorithms, can produce human-like text, images, code, and even music. Within the educational sector, Bhullar et al. (2024) and Lee et al. (2024) highlight the new opportunities these tools present for enhancing both teaching and learning experiences.

ChatGPT, for instance, can assist students with writing assignments, help clarify complex topics, and provide real-time tutoring. It can generate personalized learning content, summaries, and feedback, making education more accessible and adaptable to individual student needs (Celik, 2023; Montenegro-Rueda et al, 2023). Similarly, tools like DALL·E, which generate images from textual descriptions, are useful in creative fields like design, art, and architecture, providing students with a unique platform to visualize concepts and explore new ideas (Hutson et al., 2024; Microsoft, 2024; Zhou, 2024).

As Samala et al. (2024) and McDonald et al. (2024) argue, the relevance of generative AI in higher education lies in its ability to foster creativity, streamline administrative tasks (e.g., grading, feedback), and offer scalable support in large courses. However, there are concerns about academic integrity, reliance on AI-generated content, and the potential for reinforcing biases. Bhullar et al. (2024) and Thorp (2023) emphasize that to harness AI's potential responsibly, educators must balance its use with fostering critical thinking and ensuring ethical practices.

As Yusuf et al. (2024) stress it is crucial to establish principles for using generative AI in educational environments, particularly in higher education, because these tools can significantly influence the core values of academic integrity, creativity, and critical thinking (see also Yin, 2024).

Generative AI, such as ChatGPT, has the potential to assist students in generating text, code, or even research ideas. However, without clear guidelines, this ease of access can lead to ethical dilemmas,

such as unintentional plagiarism or over-reliance on AI-generated content. Academic integrity, a cornerstone of higher education, may be compromised if students submit AI-created work as their own, undermining the authenticity of learning and evaluation. Many higher institutions emphasize that while generative AI can assist with research tasks such as brainstorming, summarizing, or generating code, it requires careful handling to maintain ethical standards. Faculty members are encouraged to set clear policies on AI usage in coursework to avoid academic misconduct (CETLI, 2023; Research & Innovation, 2024).

Creativity is another area where balance is necessary. Habib (2024) argues that while AI tools can inspire new ideas and aid in creative projects, overuse or inappropriate reliance on them may stifle original thought. Zhai et al. (2024) warn that this could reduce students' "motivation to engage in independent thinking and analysis" (p. 4).

Finally, higher education emphasizes critical thinking - engaging with, analyzing, and questioning information. If students depend on AI-generated outputs without critically assessing their quality or biases, the development of these essential skills can be hampered (Habib, 2024; Zhai et al., 2024).

Thus, establishing principles for responsible AI use ensures that these tools support learning while safeguarding academic integrity, fostering creativity, and promoting independent, critical thinking. As generative AI tools become more integrated into higher education, several critical questions arise. This article aims to address two key areas of concern that are crucial for guiding the responsible and effective use of these technologies in academic settings:

1) How should generative AI tools be integrated into higher education?

2) What ethical and pedagogical principles should guide their use?

The first question explores the practical aspects of using AI in higher education, i.e., the academic environments. It examines how AI tools can be embedded within teaching and learning processes to enhance educational outcomes without undermining core academic values. The focus will be on the best practices for introducing AI tools in ways that complement human teaching and learning. The second question investigates the ethical and educational principles that must inform AI integration. This includes addressing issues such as academic integrity, data privacy, equitable access, and the role of AI in supporting rather than replacing human creativity and critical thinking. By identifying key principles, this article aims to provide a framework for responsible AI use in higher education.

Generative AI tools in higher education offer significant opportunities for enhancing learning, creativity, and efficiency. Their integration must be guided by principles upholding academic integrity, critical thinking, and equitable access to avoid risks like diminished originality, over-reliance, and the digital divide. This article argues for ethical and pedagogical frameworks to ensure AI complements rather than replaces human learning. Responsible AI use can enhance educational experiences, support creativity, and offer personalized learning while preserving essential integrity and critical thinking skills.

2. Overview of Generative AI Tools in Higher Education

2.1. Types of Generative AI Tools

According to Stryker and Scapicchio (2024), Generative AI refers to a class of artificial intelligence systems designed to create new content based on input data. These systems use advanced machine learning models, particularly deep learning, to generate text, images, code, or other types of content that mimic human creativity. Unlike traditional AI, which performs tasks based on predefined rules, generative AI learns patterns from large datasets and produces original outputs (Interaction Design Foundation, 2023).

For example, ChatGPT and Copilot are text generation tools that can craft coherent sentences, answer questions, and generate essays or articles based on prompts. DALL·E, another generative AI, creates images from textual descriptions, making it useful for artistic and creative applications. Similarly, GitHub Copilot assists in code generation by suggesting code snippets or even entire functions based on the context provided by the developer. Recent versions of generative artificial intelligence

chatbots such as ChatGPT and Copilot can deal with both text and images. There is no doubt that these tools are reshaping fields from education to software development, offering powerful assistance in various creative and technical tasks.

2.2. Current Applications in Higher Education

Generative AI tools and similar text generation platforms are increasingly employed by students and educators to assist with writing tasks. Bhullar et al. (2024) argue that these tools can help students draft essays, improve sentence structure, and refine ideas by providing suggestions for wording and grammar corrections. Similarly, Celik (2023) highlights that AI platforms can generate outlines, offer feedback on written content, and assist with research by summarizing complex information. Hutson et al. (2024) emphasize the value of these tools for students aiming to enhance their writing skills, particularly non-native speakers seeking support with language nuances. Lee et al. (2024) and Montenegro-Rueda et al. (2023) further point out that AI-driven assistance can provide significant benefits for developing writing proficiency. However, Thorp (2023) cautions that the use of such tools must be carefully monitored to ensure they function as learning aids rather than shortcuts, thereby preventing issues of academic dishonesty.

Generative AI tools like Otio, QuillBot and Scholarcy are also being employed in higher education to assist with tasks such as summarizing academic articles, generating research topics, and offering insights on complex subjects. AI-powered summarization tools can condense lengthy research papers or textbook chapters into concise summaries, helping students grasp key ideas more efficiently (ScreenApp, 2024). This is especially useful for managing large volumes of academic reading.

Additionally, as Bahroun et al. (2023) and Chubb et al. (2021) demonstrate, AI tools can suggest research topics by analyzing trends, gaps in existing literature, or by expanding on a student's initial idea. They can also provide insights into various disciplines, offering new perspectives, relevant sources, or potential frameworks for research projects. These capabilities enhance the learning and research process, enabling students and academics to explore topics more deeply and efficiently. However, the reliability of AI-generated content should always be cross-checked with primary sources to ensure academic rigor.

Generative AI tools are also transforming creative projects in higher education by enabling students to generate visual content, music, and multimedia presentations (Kurtz et al., 2024). Tools like DALL·E and DeepArt allow students to create images or artwork from textual descriptions, offering new possibilities for design, architecture, and art students. Similarly, AI music generation platforms, such as Amper Music and AIVA, assist in composing original music or soundtracks, which can be used in multimedia projects, film studies, or even in creative writing and theater courses.

In multimedia presentations, Rundquist (2024) lists AI tools that can help students design visually engaging slides, generate relevant graphics, and enhance video content with AI-generated visuals and audio. These tools significantly broaden the scope for creative expression, allowing students to experiment with concepts and ideas in ways that were previously time-consuming or technically challenging. However, it is important to guide students in balancing AI assistance with their own creativity, ensuring that AI complements rather than replaces human innovation in the creative process.[*]

Generative AI is increasingly being utilized as a powerful tool for assisting students with programming tasks in higher education. Platforms like GitHub Copilot and OpenAI's Codex provide real-time code suggestions, auto-completion, and debugging assistance, allowing students to write code

---

[*] At FPT University in Viet Nam, a lecturer was recently dismissed after giving a student a zero for submitting an assignment completed with the help of AI. This decision has sparked significant debate among students and faculty, highlighting the challenges and ethical dilemmas surrounding the use of AI in education. The university acknowledges the need to adapt its policies to better address the implications of AI tools and ensure academic integrity while also exploring the benefits these technologies can bring to the learning process (VTC News, 2024).

more efficiently (Myscale, 2024). These AI tools can analyze the context of the code being written and offer relevant snippets or solutions, helping students learn coding patterns and best practices.

Additionally, generative AI can help students grasp complex programming concepts by providing explanations, tutorials, or even generating example code to illustrate specific functions or algorithms (Myscale, 2024). This support is particularly beneficial for beginners who may struggle with understanding the syntax and logic of programming languages. Yilmaz and Yilmaz (2023, p. 2) emphasize that by facilitating a "hands-on coding approach," AI tools can encourage students to experiment and explore coding in a more interactive manner. However, the authors caution that educators must stress the importance of understanding the underlying principles of programming, ensuring that students use AI tools as aids rather than crutches that might hinder their learning journey (Yilmaz & Yilmaz, 2023).

3. Benefits of Integrating Generative AI Tools in Higher Education

3.1. Enhanced Learning Experiences

AI has the potential to transform the educational landscape by offering personalized feedback, supplementing human instructors, and creating engaging learning opportunities (Bettayeb et al., 2024; UNESCO, 2022). By analyzing student performance in real time, AI systems can deliver tailored insights that address individual strengths and weaknesses. For example, tools like Grammarly provide specific suggestions for improvement, enabling students to understand their learning gaps and adjust their study strategies effectively, which is essential for adaptive learning (Pacheco-Mendoza et al., 2023).

While human instructors are vital, AI can serve as a valuable support system by managing routine tasks like grading and administrative duties. Seo et al. (2021) highlight that AI tools can personalize online learning, allowing instructors to focus on mentoring and fostering classroom discussions. Additionally, AI creates interactive environments through simulations and game-like experiences that cater to various learning styles, encouraging active participation (Luckin, 2018; Seo et al., 2021). By leveraging AI, higher education institutions can enhance the learning experience, meeting diverse student needs and fostering a more dynamic educational environment.

3.2. Efficiency for Instructors

AI tools can significantly support educators by automating repetitive tasks such as grading, content creation, and lesson planning, enabling them to focus on more meaningful aspects of teaching. In Vietnam, where teachers often manage large classes and administrative duties, AI systems can streamline grading by automatically assessing multiple-choice quizzes and providing feedback on essays through natural language processing (Seo et al., 2021). This saves time while ensuring consistency and fairness in evaluation.

For content creation, AI can assist in generating teaching materials aligned with course objectives. Tools like Quizizz, Quizlet, and Kahoot can create interactive quizzes tailored to diverse student needs, particularly beneficial for those learning English as a second language. AI platforms can also analyze curricula and suggest lesson structures and resources, allowing educators to engage more deeply with students and foster critical thinking, essential for preparing them for a competitive global workforce (Nguyen et al., 2022).

3.3. Promoting Creativity

AI plays a transformative role in enhancing student creativity by providing tools that encourage experimentation with new ideas and projects (UNESCO, 2022). Generative AI tools like ChatGPT and DALL·E allow students to brainstorm, create visual content, and push their creative boundaries. For instance, AI can generate story prompts and suggest character developments in creative writing, inspiring students to take risks in their storytelling (Hutson, 2023; Zhou et al., 2024).

Additionally, AI-powered platforms, as noted by Microsoft (2024), democratize access to high-quality multimedia resources, enabling students to experiment with digital art, music composition, and

4

more. Furthermore, AI fosters collaborative creativity by connecting students with peers and experts globally, enhancing innovation and creative confidence. Ultimately, these tools empower students to explore their creative potential, cultivating skills essential in an interconnected world (Henriksen et al., 2024).

3.4. Support for Diverse Learning Styles

As Varsik and Vosberg (2024) argue, AI can significantly enhance inclusivity in education by adapting content to meet diverse learning needs. By analyzing performance data, AI systems identify individual learning styles and areas for improvement, facilitating personalized educational experiences. For instance, AI-driven platforms can offer various content formats - videos, interactive simulations, and text resources - allowing students to engage in ways that align with their preferences. Visual learners benefit from infographics, while auditory learners might prefer podcasts. Additionally, AI provides real-time feedback and adjusts task difficulty based on performance, ensuring appropriate challenges. For students with special needs, AI can offer tailored resources, such as speech-to-text software. By leveraging AI, higher education institutions can ensure equitable access to quality education, empowering all students to reach their full potential (Varsik & Vosberg, 2024; UNESCO, 2022).

4. Challenges and Risks of Generative AI in Higher Education

4.1. Over-reliance on AI

Habib (2024) argues that while AI tools offer significant benefits in enhancing learning experiences, there is a growing concern about students becoming overly dependent on these technologies, which could undermine their critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Similarly, Schei et al. (2024) warn that as students increasingly rely on AI for tasks such as essay writing, problem-solving, or generating ideas, they may bypass essential cognitive processes that are crucial for deep understanding and innovation.

This dependence can lead to a passive learning approach where students may accept AI-generated content without questioning its validity or relevance, thereby "impairing critical cognitive skills" such as critical and analytical thinking as well as decision-making (Grassini, 2023 as cited in Zhai et al., 2024, p. 2). For instance, if students turn to AI for quick solutions or answers, they may neglect the critical thinking needed to evaluate information, identify biases, and develop independent viewpoints. Additionally, this reliance can limit opportunities for creative expression, as students may lean towards AI-generated suggestions rather than exploring their unique ideas and perspectives (Zhai et al., 2024).

Furthermore, when faced with complex problems, students accustomed to AI assistance might struggle to develop effective problem-solving strategies independently. To mitigate these risks, educational institutions must emphasize the importance of human cognition, encouraging students to use AI as a supplementary tool rather than an aid, fostering an environment that promotes inquiry, creativity, and independent thinking (Milberg, 2024; Yin, 2024).

4.2. Ethical and Legal Concerns

The rise of AI tools in education raises significant issues, including AI-generated plagiarism, intellectual property concerns, and potential misuse in academic work. For example, Grassini (2023) highlights the ethical concerns surrounding the misuse of intellectual property due to the widespread adoption of AI, stressing how difficult it has become to assess the originality of student work generated by AI tools like ChatGPT. AI can easily generate content that closely resembles existing work, making it challenging to determine originality and authenticity. This can lead to instances of plagiarism, undermining academic integrity.

Additionally, intellectual property concerns arise when AI-generated content is based on proprietary material, raising questions about ownership and rights. Misuse of AI can also manifest in students submitting entirely AI-generated assignments, which diminishes their learning experience and understanding of the subject matter. Addressing these issues requires clear guidelines and ethical frameworks to promote responsible AI use while preserving academic standards and fostering creativity

5

(Center for Teaching Excellence, 2024; CETLI, 2023; Research & Innovation, 2024; see also Endtnote * in Section 2.2).

4.3. Bias in AI Models

Bias in AI algorithms can significantly impact the quality of education and assessment by spreading inequalities and misrepresenting student capabilities. According to Perrotta (n.d), for example, biased algorithms may favor certain demographic groups, leading to unfair evaluations or recommendations that disadvantage others. This can undermine students' confidence and hinder their academic progress.

To mitigate the risks of bias in AI systems, educational institutions should implement several strategies, such as ensuring AI tools are trained on diverse datasets, conducting regular audits to detect and correct biases, fostering transparency in AI-driven decision-making, and involving educators and students in the development process to enhance fairness and address concerns (Manyika et al., 2019).

4.4. Lack of AI Transparency

The opacity of AI decision-making complicates its use in education, leading to mistrust among students and educators. Without clarity on how AI outputs are generated, educators struggle to provide informed guidance, and students miss opportunities to develop critical thinking skills. To address this, transparency and discussions about AI limitations are essential in fostering trust and improving its application in learning environments (Manyika et al., 2019; Perrotta, n.d).

4.5. Challenges Faced and Solutions Implemented

Integrating AI tools into education poses challenges, including limitations in technical infrastructure, faculty training, and concerns over academic integrity. Many institutions struggle with the technology required to support AI, especially in under-resourced environments, while faculty may lack the skills to integrate AI effectively, leading to improper use (Luckin, 2018; Selwyn, 2021). Academic integrity issues, such as plagiarism and fairness in AI-generated content, further complicate the process. Holmes et al. (2019) propose that institutions have taken steps like investing in cloud-based solutions, implementing faculty development programs, and establishing clear policies for AI use and transparency to address AI-related challenges. They stress that such actions are crucial to ensuring AI supports, rather than replaces, the learning process.

5. Ethical and Pedagogical Principles for the Use of Generative AI Tools in Higher Education

5.1. Academic Integrity

Ensuring academic integrity is paramount when integrating generative AI tools in higher education. These technologies should be employed in ways that uphold the authenticity and originality of student work. As students increasingly turn to AI for assistance, it is crucial to emphasize the importance of producing original content and avoiding plagiarism (Yusuf et al., 2024). When students rely too heavily on AI-generated outputs without proper attribution, they risk undermining the very foundation of academic honesty, which can lead to diminished learning experiences and devaluation of their credentials.

To foster a culture of integrity, institutions should establish clear policies governing the use of generative AI in academic work. Many institutions have required their students to disclose when they have utilized AI tools in their assignments, ensuring transparency in the writing and research process. For example, Center for Teaching Excellence (2024) provides guidelines on how to communicate clear expectations regarding the use of generative AI tools to uphold academic integrity. To help students use AI responsibly, institutions should provide clear guidelines that explain the difference between using AI as a tool and submitting AI-generated work. For example, students could use AI to draft ideas or refine suggestions, but they should still actively engage with the content and make it their own. Submitting work entirely created by AI may not reflect the student's personal understanding or effort. By establishing these boundaries, schools can encourage smart use of AI while ensuring academic integrity is upheld (Center for Teaching Excellence, 2024).

6

5.2. Critical Thinking and Human-AI Collaboration

It is essential to stress that generative AI tools should not replace human cognitive processes but rather enhance them. While AI can assist in generating ideas, summaries, and even creative content, students must actively engage in critical analysis, synthesis, and evaluation of these AI-generated outputs. As Holmes et al. (2019) observe, this engagement is crucial for developing higher-order thinking skills, as it encourages students to assess the quality and relevance of information provided by AI, ensuring they do not accept outputs at face value. By fostering a mindset that values inquiry and skepticism, educators can prepare students to navigate an increasingly complex digital landscape where information is abundant but varies in quality.

To promote an engaging learning environment, educators should incorporate assignments that prompt students to evaluate and enhance AI-generated content. For example, Kim et al. (2024) suggest that students could review an AI-generated essay, pinpoint its strengths and weaknesses, and then revise or improve it with their own insights. Similarly, Sandy (2024) recommends using such activities to encourage critical thinking, helping students develop their unique voices and analytical abilities. Furthermore, Kim et al. (2024) argue that discussing the ethical implications and biases present in AI outputs can deepen students' understanding of the relationship between human cognition and artificial intelligence. By promoting this collaborative approach, educators can empower students to use AI as a valuable tool while preserving the critical thinking skills necessary for academic success and informed citizenship (Kim et al., 2024; Sandy, 2024).

5.3. Equitable Access and Inclusivity

Addressing concerns about equitable access to generative AI tools is critical in higher education, particularly given the potential for disparities in learning opportunities among different socioeconomic groups. As AI technologies become more integrated into educational practices, students lacking access to these tools may find themselves at a disadvantage, intensifying existing inequalities. This inequity can hinder their ability to engage fully with the curriculum, participate in collaborative projects, and develop the skills necessary for success in a technology-driven world. Therefore, it is imperative to ensure that all students have equal opportunities to benefit from generative AI, fostering an inclusive learning environment that promotes educational equity (EDUCAUSE Review, 2021).

To reduce the digital divide, institutions should ensure all students have access to generative AI tools, regardless of their background. This could involve providing free or discounted access to necessary software and hardware. Additionally, offering training through workshops and tutorials can help students confidently integrate AI into their learning. By prioritizing equitable access and support, institutions can create a more inclusive environment, enabling all students to thrive in an AI-enhanced education system (EDUCAUSE Review, 2021).

5.4. Pedagogical Alignment

For generative AI tools to be effective in higher education, they must align with pedagogical goals and support personalized learning while prioritizing student-centered education. The integration of AI should enhance, rather than undermine, the core objectives of a course. When utilized effectively, AI can facilitate tailored educational experiences, helping to meet the diverse needs of students. However, it is crucial that these tools are employed in ways that promote deep learning and comprehension, rather than acting as shortcuts that circumvent the learning process (Milberg, 2024; Yekollu et al., 2024).

Educators should adopt strategies that leverage AI tools to reinforce learning objectives. For instance, AI can be used to generate personalized study plans that adapt to individual learning styles and progress, allowing students to focus on areas where they need improvement. Additionally, AI can facilitate peer collaboration by suggesting group activities or projects based on shared interests and strengths, fostering a sense of community and teamwork among students. Moreover, educators can incorporate assignments where students must critically engage with AI-generated content, ensuring that these tools serve as a means to deepen understanding rather than replace traditional learning methods. By aligning AI usage with pedagogical goals, educators can create enriching learning environments that

enhance student engagement and achievement (Milberg, 2024; Yekollu et al., 2024).

5.5. Ethical Use and Data Privacy

s generative AI tools become more prevalent in higher education, addressing ethical considerations is essential for responsible usage. A primary concern is the protection of student data. With AI systems often requiring access to sensitive information, institutions must implement stringent data privacy measures to comply with regulations and safeguard student information from unauthorized access and misuse. Gujjula and Sanghera (2023) emphasize that protecting student data fosters trust in educational technologies and upholds the ethical obligation to prioritize students' rights.

Another critical ethical consideration is the transparency of AI algorithms. Blackman and Ammanath (2022) argue that transparency involves systematically transferring knowledge among stakeholders. However, many generative AI tools operate as "black boxes," where decision-making processes remain opaque. This lack of transparency can lead to biases, unfair treatment, and the reinforcement of stereotypes. Educational institutions should advocate for AI systems that provide clear insights into their decision-making processes, enabling users to critically evaluate the generated content.

To address ethical concerns, institutions should establish clear data handling and privacy policies that outline protocols for collecting, storing, and processing student data. Informing students about data collection practices fosters trust and encourages responsible data use. Moreover, incorporating discussions on the ethical implications of AI technology into the curriculum can empower students to critically engage with AI. By establishing robust data policies and fostering ethical classroom discussions, higher education institutions can cultivate a responsible approach to AI usage that prioritizes student privacy and promotes informed engagement with emerging technologies (Ghimire et al., 2024; Ifenthaler et al., 2024).

5.6. Lifelong Learning and AI Literacy

As AI transforms industries and job markets, AI literacy emerges as a vital skill for the future workforce. Defined as the understanding of AI technologies and the ability to critically evaluate their tools and outputs (Černý, 2023), AI literacy is essential for students navigating a world increasingly influenced by AI-driven solutions in tasks like data analysis and content creation.

By fostering critical evaluation of AI, educators can instill a mindset of inquiry and skepticism, enabling students to discern the quality, relevance, and ethical implications of AI-generated information. This skill is crucial in combating misinformation and empowering students to make informed, responsible decisions in academic and professional settings (MIT Sloan, n.d.).

Integrating AI literacy into education through workshops, case studies, and interdisciplinary projects prepares students to thoughtfully engage with technology (Aliabadi et al., 2023). As AI continues to revolutionize fields such as healthcare, finance, and education, prioritizing AI literacy ensures that students become adaptable, informed, and ethical participants in a technology-driven workforce (Bhullar et al., 2024; Yin, 2024). Ultimately, promoting AI literacy is essential for empowering students to thrive in an AI-powered world.

5.7. Evolving Role of Educators

The role of teachers is set to evolve significantly with the rise of AI in education, transitioning from traditional content delivery to more of a mentorship and facilitative role. As AI takes on tasks such as content generation, grading, and personalized feedback, educators will have the opportunity to focus on guiding students in the critical engagement with AI tools rather than just delivering information (Gnanaprakasam & Lourdusamy, 2024; MIT Sloan, n.d).

Teachers will become mentors who help students navigate AI-generated content, ensuring they develop critical thinking skills necessary to assess, critique, and refine the outputs of these tools. They will focus on teaching students how to question AI results, spot biases, and understand the limitations of these technologies. Additionally, educators will shift toward facilitating deeper learning experiences

8

by fostering creativity, problem-solving, and collaboration, while encouraging students to synthesize their own knowledge with AI-assisted insights.

This evolution also empowers teachers to personalize instruction more effectively, dedicating time to individual mentorship, especially for complex and higher-order thinking skills. Ultimately, educators will guide students in becoming responsible, ethical users of AI who can collaborate with, rather than passively rely on, these technologies (Gnanaprakasam & Lourdusamy, 2024).

5.8. AI as a Pedagogical Tool

The integration of AI in education has significant pedagogical implications, particularly in promoting independent learning and balancing automation with human oversight (Selwyn, 2016). AI tools offer personalized learning experiences by adapting to individual student needs, providing targeted practice and feedback (Luckin, 2018). This fosters self-directed learning, enabling students to engage with content at their own pace and take ownership of their progress. AI-based tutoring systems further enhance this by supporting, rather than replacing, human intelligence (Cukurova et al., 2019).

However, AI's ease of use raises concerns about student accountability. There is a risk that students may overly rely on AI-generated content instead of actively engaging with material. Clear guidelines on responsible AI use and transparent disclosure in assignments can help address these challenges (Center for Teaching Excellence, 2024).

While automation in grading and feedback offers efficiencies, human oversight remains essential. Educators must interpret AI-generated outputs to preserve the value of critical thinking and creativity (Selwyn, 2016; Luckin, 2018). AI should enhance, not replace, the human elements of teaching, such as mentorship and ethical judgment.

6. Policy recommendations

6.1. Institutional Policies on AI Use in Higher Education

Some guidelines for universities aimed at regulating and supporting the integration of generative AI tools within academic environments are proposed in this paper. Key recommendations include establishing clear rules for AI use in assignments and exams, requiring students to disclose AI usage in their work, developing AI literacy programs, creating guidelines for AI-assisted feedback, ensuring equitable access to AI tools, protecting student data and privacy, and supporting faculty development and training. These guidelines aim to ensure responsible use, enhance academic integrity, and promote effective utilization of AI technologies in teaching, learning, and research.

By establishing these guidelines, it is expected universities can ensure that generative AI is used to enhance learning while maintaining academic integrity and equitable access.

6.2. AI Ethics Education

As Nguyen et al. (2022, p. 4236) emphasize, the complexity of AI demands a "holistic and applicable set of ethical principles" in the educational context. Thus, advocating for the inclusion of ethics in AI education is essential to ensure students understand the ethical implications of using AI in their academic work.

Universities should integrate ethics into AI and technology curricula, covering topics like data privacy, algorithmic bias, and societal impacts. Ethical discussions should span all disciplines to ensure a broader understanding. Real-world case studies on AI-generated plagiarism, biased decisions, and intellectual property issues foster critical thinking. Clear, accessible policies defining ethical AI use in academic work should be developed, promoting transparency and originality. Faculty can design projects exploring ethical AI dilemmas, encouraging practical understanding. Open discussions, seminars, and debates on AI's ethical impacts, featuring experts, will help students reflect on responsible AI usage. This approach ensures AI complements human capabilities while upholding academic integrity and ethical standards.

9

By advocating for and embedding AI ethics into education, universities can equip students with the critical tools they need to responsibly navigate AI's growing influence on academic work and beyond. This approach ensures that AI serves as a complement to human capabilities while upholding academic integrity and ethical standards.

6.3. Ongoing Training for Educators

Ongoing professional development is essential for educators to integrate AI tools effectively into their teaching practices. Universities should offer regular training and workshops on generative AI tools like ChatGPT, Copilot and DALL·E, emphasizing practical applications for student engagement and personalized learning while addressing ethical concerns and limitations.

Encouraging participation in AI-focused professional learning communities allows educators to collaborate and stay updated on advancements. Tailored AI literacy programs will help educators understand technical concepts, tackle issues like data privacy and algorithmic bias, and ensure human oversight in AI-driven teaching.

Incorporating AI education into certification and continuing education credits can incentivize educators to enhance their expertise. Access to online courses, webinars, and AI Labs for experimentation fosters hands-on learning. Furthermore, universities should promote participation in AI conferences and establish mentorship programs to pair experienced educators with novices, ensuring AI enhances their pedagogical strategies rather than disrupts them.

7. Conclusion

In essence, the integration of generative AI in higher education presents significant benefits, enhancing creativity, efficiency, and personalized learning. However, to harness these advantages responsibly, its application must be guided by ethical and pedagogical principles to mitigate risks such as over-reliance, bias, and ethical concerns.

Institutions should prioritize academic integrity by ensuring that AI tools complement rather than replace human learning. By emphasizing critical thinking and fostering collaboration between students and AI, deeper engagement can be achieved, while equitable access ensures that all learners benefit from these advancements. Furthermore, prioritizing ethical use, data privacy, and AI literacy is crucial to protecting student rights and preparing them for an AI-driven future.

By embedding AI ethics into curricula, establishing clear usage guidelines, and facilitating discussions on its ethical implications, educational institutions can ensure that AI enhances the learning experience. Ultimately, prioritizing equitable access, safeguarding student privacy, and providing ongoing faculty training are essential steps toward creating an ethical, AI-powered education system that empowers both students and educators alike.

Declarations of Interests
The author declares that there are no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process
During the preparation of this work the author used Copilot to improve readability and language. After using this tool, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the published article.

References

Aliabadi, R., Singh, A., & Wilson, E. (2023). Transdisciplinary AI education: The confluence of curricular and community needs in the instruction of Artificial Intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence in Education Technologies: New Development and Innovative Practices*, 137–151.

Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A. (2023). Transforming education: A comprehensive review of generative Artificial Intelligence in educational settings through bibliometric and content analysis. *Sustainability*, *15*(17), 12983. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151712983

Bettayeb, A. M., Talib, M. A., Zahraa, A., & Dakalbab, F. (2024). Exploring the impact of ChatGPT: Conversational AI in education. *Frontiers in Education*, *9*(7). https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1379796

Bhullar, P. S., Joshi, M., & Chugh, R. (2024). ChatGPT in higher education - a synthesis of the literature and a future research agenda. *Education and Information Technologies*, *2024*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12723-x

Blackman, R., & Ammanath, B. (2022, June 20). *Building transparency into AI projects*. Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2022/06/building-transparency-into-ai-projects

Celik, I. (2023). Towards intelligent-Tpack: An empirical study on teachers' professional knowledge to ethically integrate artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools into education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *138*(138), 107468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107468

Center for Teaching Excellence. (2024, July). *Ethical use of AI in writing assignments*. Cte.ku.edu; The University of Kansas. https://cte.ku.edu/ethical-use-ai-writing-assignments

Center for Teaching Innovation. (n.d.). *AI & academic integrity*. Teaching.cornell.edu; Cornell University. https://teaching.cornell.edu/generative-artificial-intelligence/ai-academic-integrity

Černý, M. (2024). University students' conceptualisation of AI literacy: Theory and empirical evidence. *Social Sciences*, *13*(3), 129–129. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci13030129

CETLI. (2023, July 25). *Academic integrity statements that address generative AI*. Center for Excellence in Teaching, Learning and Innovation; University of Pennsylvania. https://cetli.upenn.edu/resources/syllabus/academic-integrity-statements-that-address-generative-ai/

Chubb, J., Cowling, P., & Reed, D. (2021). Speeding up to keep up: Exploring the use of AI in the research process. *AI & SOCIETY*, *1*(37). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01259-0

Cukurova, M., Kent, C., & Luckin, R. (2019). Artificial intelligence and multimodal data in the service of human decision-making: A case study in debate tutoring. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *50*(6), 3032–3046. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12829

EDUCAUSE Review. (2021). *Leveraging generative AI for inclusive excellence in higher education*. EDUCAUSE Review. https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/8/leveraging-generative-ai-for-inclusive-excellence-in-higher-education

Ghimire, A., & Edwards, J. (2024). From Guidelines to Governance: A Study of AI Policies in Education. In A. M. Olney, I. Chounta, Z. Liu, O. C. Santos, & Bittencourt, Ig Ibert (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence in Education. Posters and Late Breaking Results, Workshops and Tutorials, Industry and Innovation Tracks, Practitioners, Doctoral Consortium and Blue Sky* (pp. 299–307). Springer Nature Switzerland.

Gnanaprakasam, J., & Lourdusamy, R. (2024). *The role of AI in automating grading: Enhancing feedback and efficiency* (Seifedine Kadry, Ed.). IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1005025

Grassini, S. (2023). Shaping the future of education: Exploring the potential and consequences of AI and chatgpt in educational settings. *Education Sciences*, *13*(7), 692. MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070692

Gujjula, R., & Sanghera, K. (2023). Ethical considerations and data privacy in AI education. *Journal of Student-Scientists' Research*, *2023*(5). https://doi.org/10.13021/jssr2023.3958

Habib, S. (2024, February 5). *AI can help − and hurt − student creativity*. University of South Carolina. https://sc.edu/uofsc/posts/2024/02/conversation-ai-help.php

Henriksen, D., Mishra, P., & Stern, R. (2024). Creative Learning for Sustainability in a World of AI: Action, Mindset, Values. *Sustainability*, *16*(11), 4451–4451. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114451

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. The Center For Curriculum Redesign.

Hu, K. (2023, February 2). ChatGPT sets record for fastest-growing user base. *Reuters*. https://www.reuters.com/technology/chatgpt-sets-record-fastest-growing-user-base-analyst-note-2023-02-01/

Hutson, J., Lively, J., Robertson, B., Cotroneo, P., Lang, M., Hutson, J., Lively, J., Robertson, B., Cotroneo, P., & Lang, M. (2024). Expanding Horizons: AI Tools and Workflows in Art Practice. In *Creative Convergence: The AI Renaissance in Art and Design* (pp. 101–132). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/9783031451270_5

Ifenthaler, D., Majumdar, R., Gorissen, P., Judge, M., Mishra, S., Raffaghelli, J., & Shimada, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: Implications for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, *2024*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758024097470

Interaction Design Foundation. (2023, December 1). *What is Generative AI?* The Interaction Design Foundation. https://www.interaction-design.org/literature/topics/generative-ai

Jin, Y., Yan, L., Echeverría, V., Dragan Gašević, & Roberto Martínez Maldonado. (2024). Generative AI in higher education: A global perspective of institutional adoption policies and guidelines. *ArXiv*, *abs/2405.11800*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:269921841

Kim, J., Yu, S., Detrick, R., & Li, N. (2024). Exploring students' perspectives on generative AI-assisted academic writing. *Education and Information Technologies*, *2024*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12878-7

Kurtz, G., Amzalag, M., Shaked, N., Zaguri, Y., Kohen-Vacs, D., Gal, E., Zailer, G., & Barak-Medina, E. (2024). Strategies for integrating generative AI into higher education: Navigating challenges and leveraging Opportunities. *Education Sciences*, *14*(5), 503. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14050503

Lee, D., Arnold, M., Srivastava, A., Plastow, K., Strelan, P., Ploeckl, F., Lekkas, D., & Palmer, E. (2024). The Impact of Generative AI on Higher Education Learning and Teaching: A Study of Educators' Perspectives. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *6*(100221), 100221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100221

Luckin, R. (2018). *Machine learning and human intelligence: The future of education for the 21st century*. Ucl Institute Of Education Press.

Manyika, J., Silberg, J., & Presten, B. (2019, October 25). *What do we do about the biases in AI?* Harvard Business Review; Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2019/10/what-do-we-do-about-the-biases-in-ai

McDonald, N., Johri, A., Ali, A., & Hingle, A. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence in higher education: Evidence from an analysis of institutional policies and guidelines. *ArXiv (Cornell University)*, *Jan 2024*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2402.01659

Microsoft. (2024). *Bing image creator improvements with DALL-E 3*. Microsoft Bing; Microsoft. https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/bing/do-more-with-ai/image-creator-improvements-dall-e-3?form=MA13KP

Milberg, T. (2024, April 28). *The future of learning: AI is revolutionizing education 4.0*. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2024/04/future-learning-ai-revolutionizing-education-4-0/

MIT Sloan. (n.d.). *Practical strategies for teaching with AI*. MIT Sloan Teaching & Learning Technologies. https://mitsloanedtech.mit.edu/ai/teach/practical-strategies-for-teaching-with-ai/

Montenegro-Rueda, M., Fernández-Cerero, J., Fernández-Batanero, J. M., & López-Meneses, E. (2023). Impact of the implementation of ChatGPT in education: A systematic review. *Computers*, *12*(8), 153. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12080153

Myscale. (2024, May 22). *Unlocking variances: OpenAI code Copilot vs GitHub Copilot*. Myscale.com. https://myscale.com/blog/key-differences-openai-code-copilot-github-copilot/

Nguyen, A., Ngo, H. N., Hong, Y., Dang, B., & Nguyen, B.-P. T. (2022). Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in education. *Education and Information Technologies*, *28*(28). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11316-w

Pacheco-Mendoza, S., Guevara, C., Mayorga-Albán, A., & Fernández-Escobar, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A predictive model for academic performance. *Education Sciences*, *13*(10), 990. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13100990

Perrotta, C. (n.d.). *Algorithmic bias and fairness in education: A (very brief) primer*. Monash Digital Education Research. https://der.monash.edu/algorithmic-bias-and-fairness-in-education-a-very-brief-primer/

Research & Innovation. (2024). *Generative AI in academic research: Perspectives and cultural norms*. Research & Innovation; Cornell University. https://research-and-innovation.cornell.edu/generative-ai-in-academic-research/

Rundquist, S. (2024). *12 best AI tools for presentations in 2024*. Mentimeter. https://www.mentimeter.com/blog/meetings/ai-tools-for-presentations

Samala, A. D., Rawas, S., Wang, T., Reed, J. M., Kim, J., Howard, N.-J., & Ertz, M. (2024). Unveiling the landscape of generative artificial intelligence in education: a comprehensive taxonomy of applications, challenges, and future prospects. *Education and Information Technologies*, *2024*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12936-0

Sandy. (2024, September 9). *The impact of AI on academic writing: Challenges and solutions for students*. CocoFax Blog; CocoFax Blog. https://cocofax.com/blog/the-impact-of-ai-on-academic-writing-challenges-and-solutions-for-students/

Schei, O. M., Møgelvang, A., & Ludvigsen, K. (2024). Perceptions and use of AI chatbots among students in higher education: A scoping review of empirical studies. *Education Sciences*, *14*(8), 922. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14080922

ScreenApp. (2024). *ScreenApp watches video for you*. Screenapp.io. https://screenapp.io/blog/scholarcy-review

Selwyn, N. (2016). *Is technology good for education?* Malden, Ma.

Selwyn, N. (2021). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (Third edition). Sydney Bloomsbury.

Seo, K., Tang, J., Roll, I., Fels, S., & Yoon, D. (2021). The impact of Artificial Intelligence on learner–instructor interaction in online learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *18*(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00292-9

Stryker, C., & Scapicchio, M. (2024, March 22). *What is Generative AI?* Www.ibm.com; IBM. https://www.ibm.com/topics/generative-ai

Thorp, H. H. (2023). ChatGPT Is fun, but not an author. *Science*, *379*(6630), 313–313. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg7879

UNESCO. (2022). *How can artificial intelligence enhance education?* Unesco.org. https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/how-can-artificial-intelligence-enhance-education

Varsik, S., & Vosberg, L. (2024). The potential impact of Artificial Intelligence on equity and inclusion in education. *OECD Artificial Intelligence Papers*, *2024*(23). https://doi.org/10.1787/15df715b-en

VTC News. (2024, August 31). *Students "ridiculed" for using AI, what does FPT University say?* Vietnam.vn . https://www.vietnam.vn/en/sinh-vien-bi-beu-rieu-vi-dung-ai-truong-fpt-noi-gi/#gsc.tab=0

Yekollu, R. K., Tejal, B. G., Sammip, S. B., Shivkumar, H., & Kader, A. (2024). AI driven personalized learning paths: Enhancing education through adaptive Systems. In R. Asokan, D. P. Ruiz, & S. Piramuthu (Eds.), *Smart Data Intelligence* (pp. 507–517). Springer Nature Singapore.

Yilmaz, R., & Yilmaz, F. G. K. (2023). The effect of generative artificial intelligence (AI)-based tool use on students' computational thinking skills, programming self-efficacy and motivation. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *4*(100147), 100147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100147

Yin, W. (2024, January 24). *Will our educational system keep pace with AI? A student's perspective on AI and learning*. EDUCAUSE Review. https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/1/will-our-educational-system-keep-pace-with-ai-a-students-perspective-on-ai-and-learning

Yusuf, A., Pervin, N., & Román-González, M. (2024). Generative AI and the future of higher education: A threat to academic integrity or reformation? Evidence from multicultural perspectives. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *21*(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00453-6

Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: A systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00316-7

Zhou, E., & Lee, D. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence, human creativity, and art. *PNAS Nexus*, *3*(3). https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae052