

Experiment-1

License Name and its Description

| S.No | License Name | Short Description |
|------|---|--|
| 1 | MIT License | Very permissive license allowing reuse, modification, and distribution with attribution. |
| 2 | Apache License 2.0 | Permissive license with explicit patent rights and contribution protection. |
| 3 | GNU General Public License (GPL v3) | Strong copyleft license requiring source code disclosure for derivatives. |
| 4 | GNU Lesser General Public License (LGPL v3) | Allows linking with proprietary software; modifications must be open. |
| 5 | BSD 2-Clause License | Simple permissive license with minimal restrictions and attribution. |
| 6 | BSD 3-Clause License | Similar to BSD 2-Clause but prohibits endorsement using contributors' names. |
| 7 | Mozilla Public License 2.0 | Weak copyleft license; only modified files must be open-sourced. |
| 8 | Unlicense | Dedicates software to the public domain with no restrictions. |
| 9 | Creative Commons Zero (CC0) | Waives all copyright and related rights worldwide. |
| 10 | No License | Default state where all rights are reserved; others cannot use the code. |

Comparison between Licenses:

| License | Commercial Use | Modification | Distribution | Private Use | Patent Grant | Warranty | Key Requirements |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------|---|
| MIT License | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | ✗ No | Include copyright & license notice |
| Apache License 2.0 | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | Include license, notice file, state changes |
| GNU GPL v3 | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | Source code must be disclosed; same license |
| GNU LGPL v3 | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | Modifications to library must be open |
| BSD 2-Clause | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | ✗ No | Include copyright & license notice |
| BSD 3-Clause | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | ✗ No | No endorsement; include license notice |
| Mozilla Public License 2.0 | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | Modified files must be open-source |
| Unlicense | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | ✗ No | Public-domain-like; no attribution required |
| Creative Commons Zero (CC0) | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✓ Yes | ✗ No | ✗ No | Waives all copyright rights |
| No License | ✗ No | ✗ No | ✗ No | ✗ No | ✗ No | ✗ No | All rights reserved by default |

Github Alternatives:

| S. No | Platform Name | Short Description |
|-------|--------------------|--|
| 1 | GitLab | Complete DevOps platform with Git hosting, CI/CD, and issue tracking. |
| 2 | Bitbucket | Git repository hosting by Atlassian, best for Jira and Trello integration. |
| 3 | SourceForge | Open-source hosting platform with project management and downloads. |
| 4 | Gitea | Lightweight, open-source, self-hosted Git service. |
| 5 | Gogs | Minimal and fast self-hosted Git server. |
| 6 | AWS CodeCommit | Secure, fully managed Git service within AWS ecosystem. |
| 7 | Azure DevOps Repos | Git repositories with CI/CD and project management tools. |
| 8 | RhodeCode | Enterprise code management supporting Git, Mercurial, and SVN. |
| 9 | Codeberg | Non-profit, privacy-friendly Git hosting based on Gitea. |
| 10 | SourceHut | Minimalist Git hosting with email-based workflows. |
| 11 | Radicle | Decentralized, peer-to-peer Git collaboration platform. |
| 12 | Launchpad | Canonical's platform mainly used for Ubuntu projects. |
| 13 | Beanstalk | Git hosting with deployment and team collaboration tools. |