

Problem Set 1
UGBA 101A, Summer 2023

Due in section on Wednesday, July 12th

This problem set tests the knowledge that you accumulate in the first 2 class meetings. General rules for problem sets: show your work, write down the steps that you use to get a solution (no credit for right solutions without explanation), write legibly. If you cannot solve a problem fully, write down a partial solution. We give partial credit for partial solutions that are correct.

Problem 1. Univariate unconstrained maximization.

Consider the following maximization problem:

$$\max_x f(x; x_0) = \exp(-(x - x_0)^2)$$

1. Write down the first order condition for this problem with respect to x (notice that x_0 is a *parameter*, you should *not* maximize with respect to x_0).
2. Solve explicitly for the x^* that satisfies the first order condition.
3. Compute the second order condition. Is the point that you found in point 2 a maximum? Why (or why not)?
4. As a comparative statics exercise, compute the change in x^* as x_0 varies. In other words, compute dx^*/dx_0 .
5. We are interested in how the value function $f(x^*(x_0); x_0)$ varies as x_0 varies. We do it two ways. First, plug in $x^*(x_0)$ from point 2 and then take the derivative with respect to x_0 . Second, use the envelope theorem. You should get the same result!
6. Is the function f concave in x ?

Problem 2. Multivariate unconstrained maximization.

Consider the following maximization problem:

$$\max_{x,y} f(x,y;a,b) = ax^2 - x + by^2 - y$$

1. Write down the first order conditions for this problem with respect to x and y (notice that a and b are *parameters*, you do *not* need to maximize with respect to a and b).
2. Solve explicitly for x^* and y^* that satisfy the first order conditions.
3. Compute the second order conditions. Under what conditions for a and b is the point that you found in point 2 a maximum?
4. Assume that the conditions for a and b that you found in point 3 are met. As a comparative statics exercise, compute the change in y^* as a varies. In other words, compute dy^*/da . Compute it both directly using the solution that you obtained in point 2 and using the general method presented in class that makes use of the implicit function theorem. The two results should coincide!
5. We are interested in how the value function $f(x^*(a,b); y^*(a,b))$ varies as a varies. We do it two ways. First, plug in $x^*(a,b)$ and $y^*(a,b)$ from point 2 into f and then take the derivative of $f(x^*(a,b); y^*(a,b))$ with respect to a . Second, use the envelope theorem. You should get the same result! Which method is faster?
6. Under what conditions on a and b is the function f concave in x and y ? When is it convex in x and y ?

Problem 3. Multivariate constrained maximization.

Consider the following maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x,y} \quad & u(x,y) = x^\alpha y^\beta \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & p_x x + p_y y = M, \end{aligned}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$. The problem above is a classical maximization of utility subject to a budget constraint (we will have a lot more to say about this next week). The utility function $x^\alpha y^\beta$ is also called a Cobb-Douglas utility function. You can interpret p_x as the price of good x and p_y as the price of good y . Finally, M is the total income. I provide these details to motivate this problem. In order to solve the problem, you only need to apply the theory of constrained maximization that we covered in class. But beware, you are going to see a lot more Cobb-Douglas functions in the next few weeks!

1. Write down the Lagrangian function.
2. Write down the first order conditions for this problem with respect to x , y , and λ (the Lagrange multiplier).
3. Solve explicitly for x^* and y^* as a function of p_x, p_y, M, α , and β .
4. Notice that the utility function $x^\alpha y^\beta$ is defined only for $x > 0, y > 0$. Does your solution for x^* and y^* satisfies these constraints? What assumptions do you need to make about p_x, p_y , and M so that $x^* > 0$ and $y^* > 0$?
5. As a comparative statics exercise, compute the change in x^* as p_x varies. In order to do so, use the expressions that you obtained in point 3 directly and differentiate x^* with respect to p_x . Does your result make sense? That is, what happens to the quantity of good x^* consumed as the price of good x increases?
6. Similarly, compute the change in x^* as p_y varies. Does this result make sense? What happens to the quantity of good x^* consumed as the price of good y increases?
7. Finally, compute the change in x^* as M varies. Does this result make sense? What happens to the quantity of good x^* consumed as the total income M increases?
8. We have so far looked at the effect of changes in p_x, p_y , and M on the quantities of goods consumed. We now want to look at the effects on the utility of the consumer at the optimum. Use the envelope theorem to calculate

$$\frac{\partial u(x^*(p_x, p_y, M), y^*(p_x, p_y, M))}{\partial p_x}$$

What happens to utility at the optimum as the price of good x increases? Is this result surprising?

9. Use the envelope theorem to calculate

$$\frac{\partial u(x^*(p_x, p_y, M), y^*(p_x, p_y, M))}{\partial M}$$

What happens to utility at the optimum as total income M increases? Is this result surprising?