

# DeepImportance Proof

**Theorem 1.** *The importance-driven coverage (IDC) test adequacy criterion given by (1) is sound by construction.*

$$IDC(Y) = \frac{|\{INCC(j) | \exists y \in Y : \forall V_n^i \in INCC(j) \bullet \min d(\phi(y, n), V_n^i)\}|}{|INCC|} \quad (1)$$

where

- $INCC$  is the important neurons cluster combinations
- $Y$  is the test set that is part of the input domain  $X$ , i.e.,  $Y \subseteq X$
- $\phi(y, n)$  is the activation value of neuron  $n$  given input  $y \in Y$
- $V_n^i$  is the neuron's  $n$  cluster centroid
- $d(\phi(y, n), V_n^i)$  is the Euclidean distance between  $\phi(y, n)$  and  $V_n^i$

*Proof.* We need to show that the IDC score will either remain the same or increase when adding a new test input  $y'$  to the test set  $Y$ .

According to (1), we have that  $Y$  covers a finite number of INCC with the score  $IDC(Y)$  given by the set  $Z \subseteq Y$  such that

$$\min d(\phi(y, n), V_n^i) \text{ holds for each } y \in Z, \forall V_n^i \in INCC(j). \quad (2)$$

Assume we have  $Y' = Y \cup \{y'\}$ , i.e., extending test suite  $Y$  with test input  $y'$ . We have two cases for  $y'$

**case I:** the  $i$ -th INCC covered by  $y'$  as per (2) is already included in the INCC covered by  $Y$ . Hence,  $IDC(Y) = IDC(Y')$

**case II:** the  $i$ -th INCC covered by  $y'$  as per (2) is not included in the INCC covered by  $Y$ . Thus,  $IDC(Y) < IDC(Y')$

□