Credit Card Fraud Detection Project Report

Introduction

Context and Importance:

Credit cards are widely utilized for online purchases and payments due to their convenience. However, they carry significant risks, particularly the threat of credit card fraud. This type of fraud occurs when someone uses another person's credit card or card information without permission to make purchases or withdraw cash. Consequently, it is crucial for credit card companies to quickly detect and address fraudulent transactions to protect customers from unauthorized charges.

Goal:

The primary objective of this project is to develop a machine learning model capable of detecting fraudulent credit card transactions. By deploying this model, credit card companies can mitigate risks and better protect their customers from unauthorized charges.

Dataset:

The dataset comprises transactions made by European cardholders in September 2013, spanning a two-day period. It includes 492 fraudulent transactions out of a total of 284,807 transactions. This results in a highly imbalanced dataset, with the positive class (frauds) representing just 0.172% of all transactions.

1. Data Exploration and Pre-processing

Data Loading and Initial Exploration:

The dataset is loaded using Pandas. Initial exploration includes inspecting the first few rows, examining summary statistics, and verifying the data types of the columns.

Summary Statistics:

Summary statistics offer insights into the distribution and scale of the data, helping to understand the range, central tendency, and variability of the dataset.

Checking for Missing Values:

The dataset is checked for missing values, and it is confirmed that there are no missing values in any of the columns. This ensures the dataset is complete and ready for analysis without requiring imputation.

Distribution of Classes:

The distribution of the classes (fraudulent vs. non-fraudulent transactions) is examined to understand the class imbalance. This is crucial for effective model training and evaluation.

Handling Data Imbalance:

Given the heavily imbalanced nature of the data, several approaches are employed to address this issue:

- Check and Mitigate Skewness: Explaining the techniques used to handle skewness in the data.
- Handling Data Imbalance: Given the significant imbalance where only 0.17% of records represent fraudulent transactions, effective methods are utilized to balance the dataset. This includes employing techniques like SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique) and ADASYN (Adaptive Synthetic Sampling) to generate synthetic data for the minority class. These approaches mitigate the imbalance and enhance the model's ability to detect fraudulent activities effectively.

2. Model Building

Algorithms Used:

- The model will be trained using a diverse set of algorithms, including Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, and XGBoost, each offering unique strengths.
- By comparing their performance, we can identify the most effective algorithm for detecting fraudulent transactions.

Hyperparameter Tuning:

- The process of hyperparameter tuning will involve using Grid Search Cross Validation to identify the optimal values for the model's hyperparameters. This method systematically evaluates combinations of hyperparameter values to find the settings that yield the best model performance.
- This technique systematically searches through a predefined set of hyperparameters and evaluates their performance using cross-validation. It ensures that the model achieves optimal performance on unseen data by fine-tuning the hyperparameters to maximize accuracy and robustness.

3. Model Evaluation and Results

Evaluation Metrics:

Accuracy is not a suitable metric for this imbalanced dataset, as it can be misleading due to the
overwhelming number of non-fraudulent transactions. Instead, we will prioritize metrics like Precision,
Recall, and ROC-AUC. Precision assesses the proportion of correctly identified frauds among all
transactions flagged as fraudulent, while Recall measures the proportion of actual frauds correctly
identified by the model. ROC-AUC evaluates the model's ability to distinguish between classes,
providing a comprehensive measure of its performance on imbalanced data.

- Balancing Precision and Recall is crucial to minimize false positives and false negatives.
- Additionally, the ROC-AUC metric will be used to assess the model's ability to distinguish between fraudulent and non-fraudulent transactions.
- A good ROC score is indicated by a high True Positive Rate (TPR) and a low False Positive Rate (FPR), which reduces misclassifications and improves overall model performance.

Results:

- The evaluation results for each model will be presented, emphasizing key metrics such as Precision, Recall, and ROC-AUC. These metrics offer a comprehensive view of the model's performance, highlighting its effectiveness in detecting fraudulent transactions while managing the trade-off between false positives and false negatives. This approach ensures a thorough assessment of the model's ability to maintain accuracy and reliability in fraud detection scenarios.
- The results will help determine which model and hyperparameter settings achieve the best performance in detecting fraud within this imbalanced dataset. This assessment is crucial for selecting the most effective approach to ensure accurate and reliable fraud detection, considering the challenges posed by the skewed distribution of fraudulent transactions.

4. Conclusion and Summary

Summary of Findings:

- Our study has underscored the significant impact of balancing techniques on model effectiveness, as well as the varying performances observed across different model architectures. This highlights the importance of choosing appropriate methods to address data imbalance and selecting model architectures that best suit the specific characteristics of the dataset for optimal fraud detection.
- Key findings highlight the critical role of balanced datasets in enhancing predictive accuracy and reducing bias in model outcomes. This underscores the importance of addressing data imbalance through effective techniques to improve the overall performance and reliability of fraud detection models.
- Additionally, our analysis has provided insights into the comparative strengths of various machine learning algorithms under different balancing strategies.

Conclusion:

- Moving forward, further advancements can be pursued in the realm of balancing techniques, with exploration into more sophisticated methods such as ensemble learning and adaptive sampling.
- Additionally, the integration of deep learning models presents an exciting avenue for future research, promising enhanced capabilities in handling complex and high-dimensional data.
- Continual refinement and validation of these approaches will be crucial in advancing the field and achieving robust, reliable predictive models.