Scheduler


Scheduler – in most simple terms

- Selects a process to execute and passes control to it
 - The process is chosen out of "READY" state processes
 - Saving of context of "earlier" process and loading of context of "next" process needs to happen
- Questions
 - What are the different scenarios in which a scheduler called?
 - What are the intricacies of "passing control"
 - What is "context" ?

Steps in scheduling scheduling

- Suppose you want to switch from P1 to P2 on a timer interrupt
- P1 was doing
 F() { i++; j++;}
- P2 was doing
 G() { x--; y++; }
- P1 will experience a timer interrupt, switch to kernel (scheduler) and scheduler will schedule P2

4 stacks need to change!



12 1

- User stack of process -> kernel stack of process
 - Switch to kernel stack
 - The normal sequence on any interrupt!
- Kernel stack of process -> kernel stack of scheduler
 - Why?
 - Kernel stack of scheduler -> kernel stack of new process . Why?
 - Kernel stack of new process->user stack of new process

scheduler()

- Enable interrupts
- Find a RUNNABLE process. Simple round-robin!
- c->proc = p
- switchuvm(p): Save TSS and make CR3 to point to new process pagedir
- p->state = RUNNING
- swtch(&(c->scheduler), p->context)

swtch

```
swtch:
movl 4(%esp), %eax
movl 8(%esp), %edx
# Save old callee-saved registers
pushl %ebp
pushl %ebx
pushl %esi
pushl %edi
# Switch stacks
movl %esp, (%eax)
movl %edx, %esp
# Load new callee-saved registers
popl %edi
popl %esi
popl %ebx
popl %ebp
 ret
```

scheduler()

- swtch(&(c->scheduler), p->context)
- Note that when scheduler() was called, when P1 was running
- After call to swtch() shown above
 - The call does NOT return!
 - The new process P2 given by 'p' starts running!
 - Let's review swtch() again

swtch(old, new)

- The magic function in swtch.S
- Saves callee-save registers of old context
- Switches esp to new-context's stack
- Pop callee-save registers from new context

ret

- where? in the case of first process returns to forkret() because stack was setup like that!
- in case of other processes, return where?
 - Return address given on kernel stack. But what's that?
 - The EIP in p->context
 - When was EIP set in p->context ?

scheduler()

- Called from?
 - mpmain()
 - No where else!
- sched() is another scheduler function!
 - Who calls sched() ?
 - exit() a process exiting calls sched ()
 - yield() a process interrupted by timer calls yield()
 - sleep() a process going to wait calls sleep()

```
void
sched(void)
 int intena;
 struct proc *p = myproc();
 if(!holding(&ptable.lock))
  panic("sched ptable.lock");
 if(mycpu()->ncli != 1)
  panic("sched locks");
 if(p->state == RUNNING)
  panic("sched running");
 if(readeflags()&FL IF)
  panic("sched interruptible");
 intena = mycpu()->intena;
 swtch(&p->context, mycpu()->scheduler);
/*A*/ mycpu()->intena = intena;
```



- get current process
- Error checking code (ignore as of now)
- get interrupt enabled status on current CPU (ignore as of now)
- call to swtch
 - Note the arguments' order
 - p->context first, mycpu()->scheduler second
- swtch() is a function call
 - pushes address of /*A*/ on stack of current process p
 - switches stack to mycpu()->scheduler. Then pops EIP from that stack and jumps there.
 - when was mycpu()->scheduler set? Ans: during scheduler()!

sched() and schduler()

```
sched() {
...
swtch(&p->context, mycpu()->scheduler);
/* X */
swtch(&(c->scheduler), p-
>context); /* Y */
}
```

- scheduler() saves context in c->scheduler, sched() saves context in p->context
- after swtch() call in sched(), the control jumps to Y in scheduler
 - Switch from process stack to scheduler's stack
- after swtch() call in scheduler(), the control jumps to X in sched()
 - Switch from scheduler's stack to new process's stack
- Set of co-operating functions

sched() and scheduler() as co-routines

- In sched()
 swtch(&p->context, mycpu()->scheduler);
- In scheduler() swtch(&(c->scheduler), p->context);
- These two keep switching between processes
- These two functions work together to achieve scheduling
- Using asynchronous jumps
- Hence they are co-routines

To summarize

- On a timer interrupt during P1
 - trap() is called. Stack has changed from P1's user stack to P1's kernel stack
 - trap()->yield()
 - yield()->sched()
 - sched() -> swtch(&p->context, c->scheduler()
 - Stack changes to scheduler's kernel stack.
 - Switches to location "Y" in scheduler().

- Now the loop in scheduler()
 - calls switchkvm()
 - Then continues to find next process (P2) to run
 - Then calls switchuvm(p): changing the page table to the P2's page tables
 - then calls swtch(&c->scheduler, p2's->context)
 - Stack changes to P2's kernel stack.
 - P2 runs the last instruction it was was in! Where was it?
 - mycpu()->intena = intena; in sched()
 - Then returns to the one who called sched() i.e. exit/sleep, etc
 - Finally returns from it's own "TRAP" handler and returns to P2's user stack and user code