

I described the traits of CVSS and the criticism that the authors Spring et al. mentioned in my first piece. Spring et al. 2021.

There were excellent points made by Nkosona and Gokul, which I appreciated. There was a common theme from all the threads related to scoring, and it is a high-risk factor. In response, I found some insightful stats [Garg, P 2022] that showed the sheer volume of threats for 2021 and how many were categorised as Critical and High. If time permitted, a drill down into these High and Critical threats would have been interesting to see if calcification was correct for a given organisation.

For my second post, I found an interesting thread by Yash, who described his experience with vulnerability within his organisation. The threat was categorised as High or Critical, but after reviewing, the threat for his organisation was low. I was able to relate to this and shared my experience. I found this topic very interesting. A list of known vulnerabilities is an advantage, but the scoring needs to be performed and rated by individual organisations depending on the use case and the environment.

Garg, P (2022) Unpromising Vulnerability Prioritization with Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) Available From: <https://www.hivepro.com/unpromising-vulnerability-prioritization-with-common-vulnerability-scoring-system-cvss/> [Accessed 28 October 2022]