Deepank Agrawal
17CS30011

LA for AI/ML
Assignment -3

PAGE NO.:
DATE: / /

1.) let for any vector $x \in R^n$:

$$x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]^T$$

(a) here, $y = y_s + y_a$

or,

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} y_{s,1} + y_{a,1} & y_{s,2} + y_{a,2} & \cdots & y_{s,n} + y_{a,n} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

or, $y_i = y_{s,i} + y_{a,i} \quad \forall \ i \in [1, n]$

and, $y_{n-i+1} = y_{s,n-i+1} + y_{a,n-i+1}$

Since, $y_{s,i} = y_{s,n-i+1}$ and $y_{a,n-i+1} = -y_{a,i}$

$\therefore \ y_i = y_{s,i} + y_{a,i}$

and, $y_{n-i+1} = y_{s,i} - y_{a,i}$

or, $y_{s,i} = \dfrac{y_i + y_{n-i+1}}{2}$, $y_{a,i} = \dfrac{y_i - y_{n-i+1}}{2}$

So, for any $y \in R^n$,

$$y_s = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{y_1 + y_n}{2} & \frac{y_2 + y_{n-1}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{y_n + y_1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^T \text{(symmetric)}$$

$$y_a = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{y_1 - y_n}{2} & \frac{y_2 - y_{n-1}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{y_n - y_1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^T \text{(assymmetric)}$$

Such that $y = y_s + y_a$

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

(b) let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$f(y) = y_s$, where y_s is the symmetric part

also, let $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$g(y) = y_a$, where y_a is the asymmetric part.

Now, f is linear iff:

$f(\alpha y + \beta x) = \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

LHS

$$f(\alpha y + \beta x) = (\alpha y + \beta x)_s$$

$$= \left[\frac{(\alpha y+\beta x)_1 + (\alpha y+\beta x)_n}{2} \quad \frac{(\alpha y+\beta x)_2 + (\alpha y+\beta x)_{n-1}}{2} \cdots \frac{(\alpha y+\beta x)_n + (\alpha y+\beta x)_1}{2}\right]^T$$

$$= \left[\frac{\alpha y_1 + \beta x_1 + \alpha y_n + \beta x_n}{2}, \frac{\alpha y_2 + \beta x_2 + \alpha y_{n-1} + \beta x_{n-1}}{2} \cdots \frac{\alpha y_n + \beta x_n + \alpha y_1 + \beta x_1}{2}\right]^T$$

$$= \left[\frac{\beta(x_1+x_n)}{2} + \frac{\alpha(y_1+y_n)}{2} \cdots \frac{\alpha(y_n+y_1)}{2} + \frac{\beta(x_n+x_1)}{2}\right]^T$$

$$= \beta\left[\frac{x_1+x_n}{2} \quad \frac{x_2+x_{n-1}}{2} \cdots \frac{x_n+x_1}{2}\right]^T +$$

$$\alpha\left[\frac{y_1+y_n}{2} \quad \frac{y_2+y_{n-1}}{2} \cdots \frac{y_n+y_1}{2}\right]^T$$

$$= \beta x_s + \alpha y_s = \beta f(x) + \alpha f(y)$$

$$= \text{RHS}$$

Hence, f is a linear function

PAGE NO.:
DATE: / /

Similarly, we can show that g is a linear function.

For a linear function, we know

$$f(y) = A_f \cdot y \quad \text{where, } y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \; A_f \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

and $g(y) = A_g \cdot y$

now, $A_f \cdot y = y_s$

$$A_f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \cdots \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\,0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

now, $A_g \cdot y = y_a$

$$A_g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & 0 \; 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & 0 \; 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

2.) We have

$$f(u,v) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 u + \theta_3 v + \theta_4 uv$$

(a) now, $f(P_{ij}) = f(x_i, y_j)$

$$= \theta_1 + \theta_2 x_i + \theta_3 y_j + \theta_4 x_i y_j$$

$$A\theta = b$$

here, $A \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & y_1 & x_1 y_1 \\ 1 & x_1 & y_2 & x_1 y_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_2 & y_1 & x_2 y_1 \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 & x_2 y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_m & y_n & x_m y_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{mn \times 4}$

$$\theta = [\theta_1 \quad \theta_2 \quad \theta_3 \quad \theta_4]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 1}$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} \\ F_{12} \\ \vdots \\ F_{21} \\ F_{22} \\ \vdots \\ F_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{mn \times 1}$$

PAGE NO.:
DATE: / /

(b) For a unique solution to $A\theta = b$,

the A matrix should be a tall matrix

i.e., $MN \geq 4$

For $m=1$ or $n=1$, it is easy to verify that the columns of A are not linearly independent.

hence, $M \geq 2$ and $N \geq 2$

minimum values of $M, N = (2, 2)$ to expect an unique solution to $A\theta = b$.

PAGE NO.:
DATE: / /

3.) We have,

$A, B \in R^{n \times n}$

$\|\cdot\|_2$ - induced 2-norm of matrix

To prove: $\|AB\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \|B\|_2$

We know that

for $P \in R^{n \times n}$ and $x \in R^n$

$$\|Px\|_2 \leq \|P\|_2 \|x\|_2 \quad - (1)$$

Put, $P = A$, $x = Bx$

So, $\|ABx\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \cdot \|Bx\|_2$

$\leq \|A\|_2 \cdot \|B\|_2 \|x\|_2$

[From (1)]

Dividing by $\|x\|_2$:

$$\frac{\|ABx\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \|A\|_2 \cdot \|B\|_2$$

Taking max both sides:

or, $\|AB\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \cdot \|B\|_2$

proved

Yes, Frobenius norm also follows this property but the proof should follow from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

4.) We have,

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$A = I_n + ab^T$; $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

now, suppose we are given a and b vectors.

# of operations for $b^T x \Rightarrow n + n - 1$
$\Rightarrow \underline{(2n-1)}$

and $(ab^T) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

now, $Ax = (I_n + (ab^T))x$
$= I_n \cdot x + (ab^T)x$
$= x + (ab^T)x$
$= x + a(b^T x)$

~~or, $Ax = (1 + ab^T)x$~~

# of operations for $(b^T x) = (2n-1)$
$= 2n-1$ operations

# of operations for $a(b^T x) = 3n-1$ operations

$\therefore$ # of operations for $(I + ab^T)x$
$= 3n - 1 + n$
$= 4n - 1$ operations

$\therefore$ Ax can be computed in $4n-1$ operations if $A = I_n + ab^T$.

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

5.) we have,

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > 1$

(a) left inverse:

the columns of the matrix should be linearly independent.

Since, $n > 1$ and x has 1 column

$\Rightarrow$ x has a left inverse

For ex: $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

now, for $c = [-1 \quad 1]$,

$cx = I_1 = 1$

(b) Right Inverse:

the rows of the matrix should be linearly independent.

Since, $n > 1$ and x has 1 column

$\Rightarrow$ rows of x are linearly dependent

$\Rightarrow$ right inverse of x doesnot exist.

PAGE NO.:
DATE: / /

7) We have
$A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$

(a) $A+B$:

$A+B$ may not be invertible

Consider, $B = -A$
or, $[B_{ij}] = -[A]_{ij} \quad \forall\, i,j \in [1..n]$

So, $A+B = \mathbf{0}$.
which is not invertible.

(b) $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n\times 2n}$

Since, A, B are invertible
$\Rightarrow$ columns of A, B are linearly independent
$\Rightarrow$ columns of $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ will also be linearly independent

$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ has linearly independent columns.

$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible

$\Rightarrow \left|\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right| \neq 0 \quad - (1)$

PAGE NO.:
DATE: / /

(c) $\begin{pmatrix} A & A-B \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}$

now, determinant $\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & A-B \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right)$

$\Rightarrow \left|\begin{pmatrix} A & A-B \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right|$

we can do matrix transformations

$\Rightarrow \left|\begin{pmatrix} A & A-B \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right| \longrightarrow \left|\begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right|$

$[C_2 \to C_2 - C_1]$

$\Rightarrow \left|\begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right| \longrightarrow \left|\begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right|$

$[R_1 \to R_1 + R_2]$

From part (b) $\left|\begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right| \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \left|\begin{pmatrix} A & A-B \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}\right| \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow$ invertible

(d) ABABA

we know that, $|A| \neq 0$, $|B| \neq 0$

so, $|ABABA| = |A|\cdot|B|\cdot|A|\cdot|B|\cdot|A|$ [Property of determinants]

$= |A|^3 \cdot |B|^2$

$\neq 0$

$\therefore$ invertible

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

8) We have:

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, Invertible matrix.

$$\text{max mag}(A) = \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \|Ax\|_2$$

$$\text{min mag}(A) = \min_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \|Ax\|_2$$

$$\text{Cond}(A) = \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2$$

(a) A is invertible

so, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow Ax = y, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

or $x = A^{-1}y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

now,
$$\text{max mag}(A) = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$

$$= \max_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|y\|_2}{\|A^{-1}y\|_2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\min_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|A^{-1}y\|_2}{\|y\|_2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\text{min mag}(A^{-1})}$$

So, $\text{max mag}(A) = \dfrac{1}{\text{min mag}(A^{-1})}$

proved

PAGE NO.:
DATE: / /

(b)

$$\text{Cond}(A) = \|A\|_2 \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_2$$

$$= \max \text{mag}(A) \cdot \max \text{mag}(A^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{\max \text{mag}(A)}{\min \text{mag}(A)} \quad [\text{From (a)}]$$

proved