


# Homework 17.1

Ans  $\Rightarrow$  Proof by induction.

$P(n)$ : Tree of  $n$  vertices has  $n-1$  edges.

Base Case

$n=2$  

Tree has only one edge. Hence  $P(2)$  is true

Induction Hypothesis

Assume that  $P(n)$  is true ~~for all~~  $n=k$  [weak induction].

for  $n=k+1$

Since tree does not contain a cycle, we know that it will always have a leaf. Call it  $v$ .

~~Go~~ Remove the leaf  $v$ . The remaining ~~the~~ subgraph is again connected (as we are removing leaf). Also since ~~the~~ ~~did not~~ original tree had no cycle, removing a vertex cannot create a cycle.

Hence it is also a tree having  $(k+1)-1 = k$  vertices.

By applying induction hypothesis, this tree has  $k-1$  edges. Now add the leaf back. Note that since degree of leaf is one, adding a leaf would increase count of edges by 1.

Now, this tree has  $k+1$  vertices and  $k$  edges.

$P(k+1)$  is true whenever  $P(k)$  is true.

By principle of mathematical induction,  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n$ .

Hence Proved



Deepanshu 20190550427

Homework 17-2

Ans  $\Rightarrow$

Proof by implication

We will first argue that in a tree, there is a unique path between two vertices.

Proof by contradiction

Let  $\exists$  there are some  $u, v \in E$  such that there is more than one path between them.

Path 1 =  $u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v$

Path 2 =  $u, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m, v$

The walk  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, w_m, \dots, w_1$  is a closed walk that contains a cycle.

Consider smallest  $i$  such that  $v_i \neq w_i$

So  $v_i, \dots, v_j$  and  $w_i, \dots, w_k$  are distinct for some  $j, k$  such that  $v_{j+1} = w_{k+1}$ .

Note that  $v_i, \dots, w_{k+1}, w_k, \dots, v_i$  is a cycle which contradicts with property.

Hence our assumption is wrong and there is always a unique path between any two vertices of a tree.

Now we know that tree of  $n$  vertices has  $n-1$  edges.

Consider any edge  $e = (u, v) \in E$ .

Note that  $u, v$  is the unique path between  $u$  &  $v$  and removing  $e$  will disconnect the tree.

Hence, if a graph on  $n$  vertices has less than  $n-1$  edges, it cannot be connected.

Hence Proved.

Note:-

In other words, ~~it~~ a tree is minimally connected graph on  $n$  vertices.



Deepanshu 2019C50427

### Homework 17-3

Ans  $\Rightarrow$  It will have at least  $K$  components

#### Proof by implication

Note that on adding an edge to a graph, following cases are possible.

Case-1: Number of component remain the same.  
If the end vertices of the edge are already connected, the number of connected component would remain the same.

Case-2: Number of components reduced by 1.  
If the end vertices ~~are~~ were disjoint i.e. there was no path between them, then total number of components would reduce by 1 as now ~~one~~ of the two components merge ~~through~~ into 1 through this edge.

#### Proof by construction

Start with  $n$  vertices and no edge. The graph currently has  $n$  components.

- Add an edge. The ~~com~~ number of component would change.
- Keep adding ~~all~~ ~~ed~~ all  $n-k$  edges.

$\mathbb{I}$

In extreme case, every time we add an edge, it corresponds to case 2 of above argument (which is possible as number of vertices are greater than number of edges).

After insertion, we will be left with at least  $n - (n-k) = k$  components.

Hence Proved