

①

Deepanshu 2019CS50427

Ans-1(a) Proving that  $f(n)$  is not  $O(g(n))$ .

Proof by contradiction

Assume that  $f(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$ .

from definition of Big-oh

$$f(n) \leq g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0. \quad \text{--- ①} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{for some} \\ n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \end{matrix}$$

Choose a non-prime number  $n_1 > n_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_1) &= n_1 \\ g(n_1) &= n_1^2 \end{aligned} \quad \left[ \text{from function definition} \right]$$

clearly  $f(n_1) < g(n_1)$  which contradicts  $\text{--- ①}$   
Thus, our assumption is wrong and  
 $f(n)$  is not  $O(g(n))$

Hence proved

Proving that  $g(n)$  is not  $O(f(n))$ .

Proof by contradiction

Assume that  $g(n)$  is  $O(f(n))$ .

Again from definition of Big-oh

$$g(n) \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0. \quad \text{--- ①} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{for some} \\ n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \end{matrix}$$

From number theory, we know that there can be arbitrarily large prime number.

Choose a prime  $n_p > n_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_p) &= n_p^3 \\ g(n_p) &= n_p^2 \end{aligned} \quad \left( \text{from function definition} \right)$$

clearly,  $f(n_p) > g(n_p)$  which contradicts  $\text{--- ①}$

Hence  $g(n)$  is not  $O(f(n))$ .

Hence Proved



2

Deepanshu 2019CS50427

(b)  $u(n) = 1 + \sin(n)$

$v(n) = 1$

checking if  ~~$u(n)$~~   $v(n)$  is  $O(u(n))$ .

Assume that  $v(n)$  is  $O(u(n))$ . Then,

$v(n) \geq u(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . [for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ]

$1 \geq 1 + \sin(n)$

$\sin(n) \leq 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  which is definitely not true since  $\sin(n)$  is a ~~periodic~~ periodic function and oscillates between  $-1$  and  $1$ .

-  $v(n)$  is not  $O(u(n))$ . — ①

checking if  $u(n)$  is  $O(v(n))$ .

Assume that  $u(n)$  is  $O(v(n))$ .

$u(n) \geq v(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  [for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ]

$1 + \sin(n) \geq 1$

$\sin(n) \geq 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . which is again not true since  $\sin(n)$  is a periodic function with values between  $-1$  and  $1$ . Thus  $\sin(n)$  will be negative for some  $n \geq n_0$ .

$u(n)$  is not  $O(v(n))$ . — ②

From ① & ②, we can say that  $u$  and  $v$  form a mutually non-dominating pair of functions.



Ans-2 ⇒ Let SMC denote Sparse Matrix Class and LL denote Linked List.

Algorithm  $\text{value at Cell (int i, int j, SMC A, SMC B)}$   
 Ptr temp<sub>1</sub> ← A.rowArray[i]  
 Ptr temp<sub>2</sub> ← B.colArray[j]  
 int n ← A.rowArray.length  
 float result ← 0  
 while (n > 0)  
     result ← result + (temp<sub>1</sub>.val) \* (temp<sub>2</sub>.val)  
     n--  
     temp<sub>1</sub> = temp<sub>1</sub>.nextInCol  
     temp<sub>2</sub> = temp<sub>2</sub>.nextInRow  
 return result

~~Algorithm multiply (SMCA, SMCB).~~  
~~n ← A.rowArray.length~~  
~~SMC resultMatrix;~~  
~~resultMatrix.rowArray ← new Array[n]~~  
~~resultMatrix.colArray ← new Array[n].~~

~~for (i ← 0; i < n; i++)~~  
~~generateNode~~  
~~for (j ← 0; j < n; j++)~~  
~~generateNode (0, 0)~~



Deepanshu 2019C550427

Algorithm generateNode (int i, int j, SMC A, SMC B, n)

LL node

float val = valueAtCell (i, j, A, B)

if (i ≥ 0 and j ≥ 0 and i < n and j < n).

node = new LinkedList (i, j, val, generateNode (i+1, j),  
generateNode (i, j+1))

else if (i ≥ n or i < 0)

~~LL node = new LinkedList (i,~~

else

node = null

return node

Algorithm multiply (SMC A, SMC B)

n ← A.rowArray.length

~~SMC resultMatrix~~

SMC resultMatrix

resultMatrix.rowArray ← new Array (n)

for (i ← 0, i < n, i++)

resultMatrix.rowArray [i] = generateNode (i, 0, generateNode (0, 1, null, null))

for (i ← 0, i < n, i++)

resultMatrix.colArray [i] = generateNode (i, 0, null, generateNode (0, i, null, null))



Ques-3 → class BBMH {  
 (a) Node root;  
 BBMH() {  
 this.root = null;  
 }  
~~BBMH(Node root)~~  
 BBMH(Node root) {  
 this.root = root;  
 }

class Node {  
 int data;  
 Node left;  
 Node right;  
  
 Node() {  
 this.data = 0  
 this.left = null  
 this.right = null  
 }  
 Node(int data) {  
 this.data = data  
 this.left = null  
 this.right = null  
 }

② Algorithm insert(int data)

~~if (size(root.left) < size(root.right))~~  
 if (size(root.left) < size(root.right))  
 while (data < root.left.data)

Li+1, 0



(b) Algorithm insert (int data)

while (data < root.val)

if (size (root.left) < size (root.right))

insert (root.left)

else

insert (root.right)

Node n = Node (data)

~~n = left~~

~~if (root~~

if (size (root.left) < size (root.right))

~~n = root~~ n.left = root

n.right = root.right

root.right = null.

else

n.right = root

n.left = root.left

root.left = null

return



## Algorithm • ~~tree~~ Node

① Algorithm delete (int data)  
 while (data < root->data and root != null)  
   delete (root->left)  
   delete (root->right)

~~if (root == null)~~

if (root == null)  
   return

else (

if (size (root->left) < size (root->right))

~~root = root->right~~

~~root->right->left = root~~

root->right->left = root->left

free (root)

else.

~~root->left->right = root~~

root->left->right = root->right

free (root).

From induction, insertion would preserve the 2 properties.

Also, delete preserves the condition of point 2 and also point 1 (trivial)