

Deepanshu

2019C550427

Homework 1.1

Page No.	
Date	

## Insertion Sort

Describing Insertion Sort in the way of describing algorithms shown during flipped lectures.

### Problem

Sorting a given list of integers

### Input Specifications (given):

An array of  $n$  numbers

### Output Specifications (output):

1. An array of  $n$  numbers.
2. For any chosen subsequence of output array, the number appearing before in the array should not be larger than the number appearing afterwards in the array.  
i.e.  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$  be a sequence from output array.  
then  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3 \leq \dots \leq b_k$  where  $1 \leq k \leq n$
3. Output should be one of the permutation of the input.

### Instance

Instance 1:  $A = [10, 12, 4, 3, 7]$

Instance 2:  $A = [1]$



## Pseudo code of Insertion Sort

Algorithm insertionSort (int A[], int n)

(1-based indexing)

$i \leftarrow n-1$  // Starting from second last and considering last  
// element to be sorted (single element is sorted)

```
while  $i > 0$  // Outer loop
do temp  $\leftarrow A[i]$  // preserving  $A[i]$  so that it is not lost during swapping
   $j \leftarrow i+1$ 
  while  $j < n$  and  $A[j] < temp$  // Inner loop
  do  $A[j+1] \leftarrow A[j]$  // Swap
     $j++$ 
   $A[j+1] \leftarrow temp$ 
   $i--$ 
```

## Edge cases

following cases should be taken care of while writing the Insertion Sort algorithm

1. That array is already sorted.
2. That array is reversed sorted.
3. If array contains negative numbers.
4. If all elements of array are same.
5. If all numbers are prime. (I don't know why this is considered a special case, but I read it somewhere).



Space and time complexity1. Space complexity

The algorithm is an "in place" algorithm. This means that no space depending on  $n$  is required.

Space complexity is of constant order.  $O(1)$

2. Time complexity

Outer loop is executed  $n$  times. Therefore, complexity depends on the number of times inner loop runs.

worst case analysis (reverse sorted)

When the array is ~~to~~ reverse sorted, the number of swaps are maximum since every number should be brought to first index for every iteration of outer loop.

Let  $c_1$  be the number of primitive operations in inner most loop.

Total operations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n c_1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_1 (i-1)$$

$$c_1 \left[ \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n \right]$$

$$c_1 \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right)$$

$$O(n^2)$$



## Best case analysis (sorted)

When the array is sorted, the number of swaps are zero for every iteration of first loop.

Let  $c_1$  be the number of primitive steps in inner loop.

Total operations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_1 = c_1 n \text{ or}$$

$$O(n)$$

(In  
Doubt) →

### Remarks

The sorted array is not always the best case for sorting. Similarly, reverse sorted array is also not always worst case for sorting.

This is true in case of Insertion sort Algorithm

### Doubts

1. Point 5 of edge cases.
2. Is sorted array always the best case for sorting?

### Note

We can also do Binary search to put elements in partially sorted array.

Time complexity in that case:  $O(n \log n)$  for all cases.



Deepanshu

2019CS50427

Page No.	
Date	

1/10/20

Homework

Revised Lecture 1.2

Pseudo code for descending order:

```
while  $i > 0$   
do  $temp \leftarrow A[i]$   
(1-based indexing)
```

Algorithm ~~insertionSort~~ (int  $A[]$ , int  $n$ )

$i \leftarrow n - 1$

```
while  $i > 0$  // Outer loop  
do  $Temp \leftarrow A[i]$   
   $j \leftarrow i + 1$   
  while  $j < n + 1$  and  $A[j] > temp$  // Inner loop  
  do  $A[j - 1] \leftarrow A[j]$  // Swap  
     $j++$   
   $A[j - 1] \leftarrow temp$   
   $i--$   $i--$ 
```

only change.

We ~~only~~ need to only change the comparison ~~of each~~ sign.  
Therefore, loop will enter  
All swaps will take place in other cases and resulting  
array will be reverse sorted (decreasing order)



Deepanshu

2019C550427

Page No. \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

### Homework 1.3

Q. formally prove that there is no  $n$  such that  $n^2 \leq n$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

Ans: We will prove by contradiction.

Assumption:  $c$  is positive

Suppose there exist  $n = n^*$  (let say) greater than  $n_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} n^{*2} &< cn^* \text{ for all } n^* > n_0 \\ n^* &< c \text{ for all } n^* > n_0. \quad - (A) \end{aligned}$$

~~But~~ But since  $n$

$$\text{clearly } c > n^*$$

$$\text{putting } \Rightarrow 2c > n^* \quad - (1)$$

Since  $2c > n^* > n_0$ , it should satisfy (A)

$$2c < c$$

which is a contradiction for positive  $c$ .

Therefore, our assumption ~~that~~ is wrong.

$\Rightarrow$  There is no  $n$  such that  $n^2 \leq n$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

Hence Proved.

## Homework 1.4

Algorithm prefixAverages(~~int~~ a)

```
sum ← 0 // variable to store partial sums upto  
        // ith value  
for i ← 0 to n-1 do  
    sum ← sum + a[i]  
    A[i] ←  $\frac{(\text{double}) \text{sum}}{(\text{double}) (i+1)}$  // Avg =  $\frac{\text{sum}}{\text{freq.}}$   
return array A
```

We have a single loop running  $n$  times.  
Running time:  $O(n)$