

Ans \Rightarrow Proof by induction

$P(n)$: In a connected graph having n vertices, calling DFS on any vertex would not leave any vertex as gray (unvisited) and all ancestors call return.

Base case $n=2$

Let two vertices are v_1 and v_2 . Without loss of generality, assume that DFS is called on v_1 . Following events will occur (in order)

1. v_1 will be coloured yellow.
2. DFS will be called on v_2 and v_2 will be coloured yellow.
3. v_2 will return from DFS and finally v_1 will return from DFS.

Hence, $P(2)$ is true

Induction Hypothesis

Assume $P(n)$ is true for $n=k$

for $n=k+1$

Choose any vertex arbitrarily (call it v_1). Now DFS will be called on v_1 . Let v_2 be the neighbour vertex of v_1 where DFS will be called next.

Note that calling DFS on v_2 cannot ever call DFS on v_1 since colour of v_1 is changed to yellow before calling DFS on v_2 .

Thus, a total of $(n+1)-1=n$ vertices are in consideration.

Problem effectively reduced to calling DFS on n vertices which would not leave any vertex as gray (unvisited) and all ancestors call return, according to induction hypothesis.

Eventually, v_1 will call return.

Hence $P(k+1)$ is true whenever $P(k)$ is true.

Therefore, by principle of Mathematical Induction $P(n)$ is true for all n .

Hence Proved

Ans \Rightarrow

Prove by contradiction

Suppose source is in one component (component 1) and DFS(v_m) is called after calling DFS(s) and v_m is not reachable from s and is in different component (component 2).

Let DFS is called in following order

$s, u_1, u_2, u_3 \dots u_n, v_1, v_2 \dots v_m$ where

$s, u_1, u_2 \dots u_n$ are all vertices of component 1 and $v_1, v_2 \dots v_m$ are in component 2.

Here, DFS is called on v_1 ~~because of~~ after DFS call on u_n . ~~This~~ This is possible in 2 cases:

Case-1: v_1 is neighbour of u_n and was coloured gray when DFS(u_n) was called.

This is not possible as u_n and v_1 are in different component.

Case-2: Any one of $s, u_1, u_2 \dots u_n$ is ancestor of v_1 . This is again not possible as v_1 is in different component from $s, u_1, u_2 \dots u_n$.

There is no third case possible as DFS is triggered after entering in for loop which require neighbour relation or ancestor relationship.

Here we arrive at a contradiction that \nexists DFS on v_1 cannot be called from $s, u_1, u_2 \dots u_n$.

Hence, our assumption is wrong and "no recursive calls will be made on nodes not reachable from s ".

Hence Proved

Ans \Rightarrow Claim: The running time of DFS is $O(|V| + |E|)$.

- All colouring part takes constant time.
- We need to look for neighbour and make recursive calls which take time.

We can say that running time ~~constitute~~ is proportional to number of vertices scanned and number of edges scanned.

Now number of vertices scanned $\leq |V|$ because -

1. We visit only ~~white~~ gray nodes.
2. Every time we visit a gray node, we change its colour to green.
3. We never change node of an colour of any node back to gray.

Also note that number of edges scanned is $O(|E|)$ because -

1. Since every vertex is ~~is~~ visited at most once, the number of edges ~~is~~ scanned is roughly twice the number of vertices. So, ~~the~~ total edges scanned is $O(|E|)$.

~~Then~~ All other operations like checking colour, ~~color~~ changing colour takes constant time.

Hence, in total running time is $O(V + E)$ for DFS.