EVALUATING DEEP LEARNING METHODS FOR CLASSIFYING BUGS

BTECH. PROJECT-II REPORT

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF

BACHELOR OF TECHNOLOGY IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Submitted by:

Deepanshu Singhaniya (2K19/SE/033)

Aryan Singh (2K19/SE/019)

Under the supervision of: **Prof. (Dr.) Ruchika Malhotra**



DEPARTMENT OF SOFTWARE ENGINEERINGDELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

(Formerly Delhi College of Engineering)

Bawana Road, Delhi - 110042

May 2023

Delhi Technological University (Formerly Delhi College of Engineering)

Bawana Road, Delhi - 110042

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

We, Deepanshu Singhaniya (2K19/SE/033) & Aryan Singh (2K19/SE/019), students of

B.Tech. (Software Engineering), hereby declare that the project Dissertation titled "

EVALUATING DEEP LEARNING METHODS FOR CLASSIFYING BUGS" which

is submitted by us to the Department of Software Engineering, Delhi Technological

University, Delhi in Partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the degree of

Bachelor's of Technology, is original and not copied from any source without proper

citation. This work has not previously formed the basis for the award of any Degree,

Diploma Associateship, Fellowship or other similar title or recognition.

Place: Delhi

Deepanshu Singhaniya (2K19/SE/033)

Date: 01/05/202

Aryan Singh (2K19/SE/019)

(iii)

Software Engineering

Delhi Technological University

(Formerly Delhi College of Engineering)

Bawana Road, Delhi - 110042

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the Project Dissertation titled "EVALUATING DEEP LEARNING

METHODS FOR CLASSIFYING BUGS" by Deepanshu Singhaniya (2K19/SE/033) &

Aryan Singh (2K19/SE/019), Software Engineering, Delhi Technological University,

Delhi in partial fulfillment of the requirement of the award of the degree of Bachelor Of

Technology, is a record of the project work carried out by the students under my

supervision. To the best of my knowledge, this work has not been submitted in part or

full for any degree or diploma to this University or elsewhere.

Place: Delhi

Date: 01/05/2023

Prof. Ruchika Malhotra

SUPERVISOR

(iii)

ABSTRACT

Software maintenance is a crucial part of software development, especially now more than ever. Without proper maintenance, software can become outdated and unreliable and vulnerable to security threats, which can have serious consequences for users and organizations that rely on it. But as the software projects become larger, it becomes It is the responsibility of the managers to assign the bugs to the developers so that the developers can use their time efficiently in resolving those bugs. But the capacity of Managers' ability to analyze each and every bug report and assign it to the appropriate developer is being out-paced by the sheer number of bug reports, leading to slow progress. It's impossible for developers or managers to be able to understand hundreds of reports a week, let alone being able to have a good idea of each and every developer in the team to be able to appropriately assign the bugs to them.

This paper proposes an automated approach to help with the problem. Our method uses a neural learning algorithm to analyze the open bug database and learn which developers are best at solving specific types of bug reports. When a bug report is created, the classifier will be able to suggest a few developers who would be able to fix it.

A bug report contains a Title and a Description, An automatic bug classification algorithm such as ours makes use of title and description of the bug reports as inputs and assigns it to any of the accessible developers using the data about previously solved bugs of that particular developer. The main issue that poses a challenge heterogeneity of the content in bug description, is a mixture of unstructured code snippets, text, and stack traces, which may make the input data very noisy and difficult to interpret.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We, Deepanshu Singhaniya (2K19/SE/033) and Aryan Singh (2K19/SE/019) would like

to thank our supervisor Prof. (Dr.) Ruchika Malhotra for her valuable feedback and

timely suggestions during the entire duration of our dissertation work, without which

this work would not have been possible. It is not easy to gain the faculty of seasoned

and highly acclaimed scholars who can vouch for and guide your work, and we are

eternally grateful to have the honor of working on our final dissertation under Prof.

Ruchika. Not only did Prof. Ruchika help us stay on track with respect to the project

delivery timeline, but also sat through each session of editing with us. She has

painstakingly invested his time and energy even much beyond the office hours in order

to support us, and this work could not have been completed without reaching a standard

level of research quality without her valuable guidance. We would like to convey our

most sincere regards to all faculty members and distinguished scholars of the

Department of Software Engineering, who lent us their time, resources, knowledge and

efforts unconditionally and wholeheartedly. This work is truly built upon the efforts that

were lent to us by all the well-meaning scholars who assisted us in finding and curating

the requisite knowledge from reputable sources, helped annotate our document so that

we can eliminate any unintended plagiarism, and also mentored us in the technologies

and tools we wished to adopt in order to execute this project.

Deepanshu Singhaniya

Aryan Singh

2K19/SE/033

2K19/SE/019

(v)

CONTENTS

Candidate's Declaration

Certificate

Abstract

Acknowledgement

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Symbols, abbreviations

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 General
- 1.2 Bug Assignment
- 1.3 Machine Learning vs Deep Learning
- 1.4 Why Bidirectional RNN?

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Review Work
 - 2.2.1 Fuzzy Logic Model
 - 2.2.2 Traditional machine learning models(NB, SVM etc.)
 - 2.2.3 Tossing-Graph models
 - 2.2.4 Team Network model
 - 2.2.5 Other Custom models

CHAPTER 3 PROPOSED WORK

- 3.1 Methodology
 - 3.1.1 Data Preprocessing
 - 3.1.2 Word Tokeninze
- 3.2 Classifying Dataset
- 3.3 Validating Results
- 3.4 Comparing with current approaches
- 3.5 Implementation Details

CHAPTER 4 FINDINGS

4.1 Ten Fold Cross Validation

- 4.2 Results
- 4.3 Conclusion

REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

 Table 3.1. Accuracies compared across other approaches

LIST OF FEATURES

Figure 1.1.	Bug Description		
Figure 3.1.	Working of the model		
Figure 3.2.	k-Folds Cross Validation		
Figure 3.3.	Best Achieved cross validation accuracy		
Figure 4.1.	Achieved Accuracy		
Figure 4.2.	Training vs Testing accuracy through epochs		
Figure 4.3.	Training vs Testing loss through epochs		

LIST OF SYMBOLS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND NOMENCLATURE

1. **ML** Machine Learning

2. **DL** Deep Learning

3. **BOW** Bag or Words

4. **CV** Cross Validation

5. MNB Multinomial Naive Bayes

6. SVM Support Vector Machine

7. **RNN** Recurrent Neural Network

8. **Al** Artificial Intelligence