Anubhuto
10 March 2025

Qualitative Analysis - Positive

• You have a clear and concise introduction, starting with your name and stating your passion for research in AI, which immediately grabs the attention of the listener.

• You have highlighted your relevant technical skills, such as expertise in C, Python, TensorFlow, and ROS, which are essential for a research engineer role.

• You have also showcased your project experiences, such as TTE and Barrow-X, which demonstrate your ability to work on complex projects and contribute to innovative solutions.

Qualitative Analysis - Areas of Imrpovement

• You could improve by providing more specific details about your projects, such as the challenges you faced and how you overcame them, to give a clearer understanding of your problem-solving skills.

• You should avoid using casual phrases, such as 'groovy stuff', in a professional video resume, as it may come across as unprofessional.

• You could benefit from elaborating on your experiences in the AI alignment community and KTL competitions, to demonstrate your expertise and commitment to the field.

• You should be more specific when talking about your interests outside of work, such as swimming, chess, and martial arts, to show how they can be relevant to your professional life.

• You could improve by providing a clearer conclusion, summarizing your key strengths and reiterating your enthusiasm for the research engineer role, to leave a lasting impression on the listener.

Detailed Evaluation Metrics

No.	Items to look out for	5 point scale / Answer
1.	Did the speaker speak with confidence? • Posture • Smile • Eye Contact • Energetic Start	**Good** **Excellent** **Good** **Good**
2.	Was the content interesting and as per the guidelines provided?	The content was somewhat interesting but lacked depth and specific examples as per the guidelines provided.
3.	Who are you and what are your skills, expertise, and personality traits?	Excellent
4.	Why are you the best person to fit this role?	Excellent
5.	How are you different from others?	Excellent
6.	What value do you bring to the role?	Excellent
7.	Did the speech have a structure of Opening, Body, and Conclusion?	The speech had a clear structure, starting with an introduction, followed by a body that highlighted the speaker's skills and experiences, and concluding with a statement about their passion and enthusiasm for the role.
8.	Did the speaker vary their tone, speed, and volume while delivering the speech/presentation?	The speaker maintained a good tone and varied their speed of delivery, but could have pronounced some words more clearly and avoided rushing through certain sections.
9.	How was the quality of research for the topic? Did the speech demonstrate good depth? Did they cite sources?	The quality of research for the topic was good, demonstrating a good depth of knowledge in areas such as AI and machine learning, although there were no specific citations or references provided to support the claims made.

10.	How convinced were you with the overall speech on the topic? Was it persuasive? Will you consider them for the job/opportunity?	The overall speech was convincing, and the speaker's passion and enthusiasm for the role were evident, making them a strong candidate for the job opportunity, as they demonstrated a good balance of technical skills and soft skills, and showed a clear understanding of the role and its requirements.